Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 680.

DATED 29th July/96.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 4—4—0 per mensem to and an invalid gratuity of Rs. 28 V. Yerrayya, late attender Stationary Sub Magistrates office Yellamanchili.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Vaddiparthi Yerrayya
2. Father's name. Vaddiparthi Pala Bapiraju
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu, Brahmin
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Chodavaram, Veeravilli
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Attender, Stationary Submagistrate's office, Yellamanchili
6. Date of beginning of service. 7th November 1865
7. Date of ending of service. 4th February 1896

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	30	2	28
of which superior	17	1	~~13~~ 16
inferior	11	3	19
non-qualifying and interruptions	1	9	~~26~~ 23

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* Invalid gratuity
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 7 – –
11. Proposed pension. – – –
12. Proposed gratuity. Rs 42 – –
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 5th February 1896
14. Place of payment. Yellamanchili
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 15th May 1847
16. Height. 5 feet 4 inches
17. Marks. Color of skin hazel. Round face. Black mark on the face. Stature medium
18. Date. 9th April 1896.

For Collector

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

---

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

2,000 - 9-12-93.

BACK

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date

FURTHE

G.O.	No.	Department.	Da

40

the D. of B. is [illegible] 1845
D. of Apt [illegible] 67 [illegible] 5-8-67

1184 [illegible]

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Vaddiparthi Yerrayya, Dufterband, Stationary Submagistrate's office, Yellamanchili

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Taluk office, Golgonda	Ag 3rd Gumasta	--	7-8-0	7-11-65	25-1-66	-	-	.	-	2	19		Verified by means of pay bills and acquittance rolls maintained in the office	Service from 7 Novr 65 to 25 Jany 66, 6 to 21 May 1867 and from 13 July to 4 August 1867 does not count for pension being rendered in acting appointments. Verified from the records of the Collector's office Vizagapatam and the verification of the service except for 1871 confirmed by reference partly to the Annual returns and partly to the Audit Registers on record in this Office.
Vacant		–	–	26-1-66	5-5-67	-	-	-	1	3	10			
Principal Assistant Collector's office Narasipatam	Ag writer	–	7-8-0	6-5-67	21-5-67	-	-	.	-	-	16			
Vacant		–	–	22-5-67	12-7-67	-	-	-	-	1	21			
Taluk office Anakapalli	Ag License Gumasta	–	20-0	13-7-67	~~7~~ 4-8-67	-	-	7	.	.	~~25~~ 22			
Do Golgonda	Gumasta	15	--	8-8-67	28-2-68	-	6	~~23~~ 26	-	-	-			
Do Anakapalli	Do	15	- -	1-3-68	20-9-84	16	6	20	-	-	.			
Suspension		15	–	21-9-84	15-10-84	-	-	-	–	-	25			
Taluk office Veeravilli	Dufterband	7	–	16-10-84	9-5-86	1	6	24	-	.	.			
Do Do	Ag 2nd Gumasta	7	8	10-5-86	7-9-86	–	3	28	-	-	-			
Do Do.	Dufterband	7	–	8-9-86	22-4-94	7	7	15	-	-	-			
Leave on loss of pay		–	–	23-4-94	30-4-94	-	-	8	-	-	.			
Taluk office Veeravilli	Dufterband	7	–	1-5-94	28-12-94	–	7	28	-	-	.			
Stationary Submagistrate's office, Yellamanchili	Do	7	-	29-12-94	30-1-95	–	1	2	-	-	-			
Leave on loss of pay		-	-	31-1-95	4-2-96	1	–	4						
						28	5	~~2~~ 5	1	9	~~26~~ 25			

Total period of ~~Superior~~ Service { Superior	17	1	13			
Inferior ..	11	3	19			
	28	5	2	1	9	26

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Suspended for having embezzled two opium mudilka stamps and in that connection was reduced.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI.) Nil

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil

5. Any other remarks. A deposition from the applicant as to the correct date of his birth is herein enclosed

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. Service claimed is established and may be admitted

for Collector
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Should Govt: specially permit the applicant to have the benefit of Article 436 of the Civil Service Regulations in respect of his degradation from an appointment on Rs 15 to one on Rs 7/ on the 16 Octr 84 (vide last sentence of that Article)

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in ~~inferior or~~ superior grades ~~has been~~ duly proved for 17 years 1 month & 16 days; and that ~~an~~ Invalid pension ~~or gratuity~~ not exceeding Rs 4 4 0 (Rs four & annas four only) equal to 17/60 average Emoluments will be ~~is~~ admissible under Articles 485 & 518 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations, 2 Edn. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).~~

2. Certified further that qualifying service in Inferior grades has been duly proved for 11 years 3 months & 19 days and that an Invalid gratuity not exceeding Rs 28 – (Rs twenty eight only) equal to 4 months pay is admissible under Articles 436, 485 & 524 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations.

3. It is observed from the Collector's letter and the papers connected with his suspension that the character and past conduct of the applicant have not been entirely satisfactory. It rests therefore with Government to make such reduction in the amount of Pension that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to Article 514 (b) of the Regulations.

Ex: Mil
Fort St. George
Acctt. Genl: Office
26 [illegible]

To the Under Secretary to Govt:,
Pension Deptt:

No [illegible] / 179

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
29 JUN.
PENSION DEPARTMENT

J. Manison
~~Audit Officer.~~
Offg: Accountant Genl.

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate :—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

6
8
689

Memo

Vaddiparti Yerriah, Head Gumasta, Anakapalle Taluk is reduced to the post of Dufterbund in Viravilly Taluk

× × × ×

Principal Assistant Collector's office, Vizagapatam 11th October 1884

(Sd) H. H. O'Farrel
Ag Principal Assistant Collector

(True copy)

(Sd) D. J. Ramaswami
Dy Tahsildar, Anakapalle

(True copy)

For Collector

Lb.

6
7
689

No. 1387 dated 21st September 1884.
Camp: Anakapalle

Proceedings of the Principal Assistant Collector, Vizagapatam.

Order

Vaddiparti Yerrappa Pantulu, Head Gumasta in the Taluk office, Anakapalli having embezzled some of pri muchilka stamps, is to be considered to have been suspended until further order. The Deputy Tahsildar Anakapalli is requested to communicate this order to him and to order the second gumasta to take over charge from him.

A proper person will shortly be appointed as Head Gumasta.

(Sd) H. H. O'Farrell
Ag Principal Assistant
Collector
(True translation)

Head Collector

Tl.

6
6
689

Proceedings of the Principal Assistant Collector of Vizagapatam, dated Narsipatam, 9th October 1884

Present - . H. H. O'Farrell Esquire
Ag Principal Assistant
Collector

On examining the Deputy Tahsildar's treasury at Anakapalli on the 11th ultimo, I found that out of 21 opium and Maddat Muchilka forms (printed on 8 anna stamped papers) only ten could be produced and the deficiency could not be accounted for. In the course of the day 9 more forms were brought to me which the Gumasta stated he had found in his dufter (in itself an irregularity) and the remaining two could not be produced.

2 Subsequently (on the 19th inst) a report was made to me that the two missing forms (Maddat Muchilkas) had been discovered by the Gumasta in his dufter.

3 On examining these two forms, I found that they bore the Vizagapatam treasury stamp of the date of 10th June 1884, while the latest supply to this or to any other subtreasury

in the Division had been issued in March. It was therefore clear that the statement of the gumasta was false; and a subsequent comparison of these Muchilkas with genuine forms in the Collector's office showed beyond doubt that they had been printed at some private press on 8 anna stamped paper procured probably by collusion with some stamp vendor or from some private person.

4. On calling for the opium, and Maddak Muchilkas in the Deputy Tahsildar's office I found two Maddhak Muchilkas missing and on getting hold of one of the licensees (the other licensee was at Kasimkota) I found that the value of the stamp on the corresponding muchilka had not been credited in the accounts. The Gumasta admitted that the other Muchilka also had not been credited in the accounts.

5. I called for the ex-officio stamp vendor's endorsement book which the Gumasta as ex-officio vendor of these stamped papers was bound to keep. But he could not or would not produce it though the Treasury Deputy Collector reported to me that one had been issued to this Subtreasury (among others) in 1879.

6 What happened, then, is clearly this. The Muchilkas were executed and the value of the stamps not entered in the Treasury accounts. I am willing to believe that this was a mere accident in the first instance arising from press of work, it being a fact that nearly the whole work of the office was thrown on him. But to conceal this fault, the Gumastah has descended to the most despicable subterfuges and has either destroyed or kept back official documents or records. I am bound to take serious notice of the matter. The Gumasha in question V. Yerrayya Pantulu, Head Gumasha, Anakapalli Deputy Tahsildar's office on Rs 15 is reduced to the post of Dafterband, Viravilli Taluq on Rs 7.

7 The matter is very discreditable to the present Deputy Tahsildar D. J. Ramaswami Pantulu. The

stamped papers in question must have passed out of his office in November 1883: and it would appear that he has never, since that date, examined these stamps, though it was his business to do so every month, and he was (I presume) certifying month by month that he had done so and found the stock correct.

(Sd) H. H. O'Farrell
Ag Principal Assistant
Collector

(True Copy)

(Sd) H. H. O'Farrell
Ag Principal Assistant
Collector

(True Copy)

1866
PENSION DEPARTMENT
Case No. 5
No. 689
Dated

For Collector

W.

No. 1342

Proceedings of the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam dated Lakkavarapucota 10th November 1884.

Read letter No. 558 dated 16th October 1884 from the Principal Assistant Collector.

Order

In his letter the Principal Assistant Collector brings to notice certain irregularities discovered in connection with the stamp transactions in the office of the Deputy Tahsildar of Anakapally.

On the 11th October 1884 the Principal Assistant Collector examined the stock of stamps in charge of the Deputy Tahsildar. According to the stamp accounts there ought to be a balance of 21 opium and maddat muchilka forms printed on 8 anna stamps in the treasury but there were actually in stock only 11 stamps. The Deputy Tahsildar was unable to account for the deficiency. In the course of that day, however, nine out of the eleven missing stamps were brought to the Principal Assistant

Collector stating that they had been found in gumasta V. Yerrayya's dufter.

Repeated orders have been issued from this and the Treasury Deputy Collector's office to the several Deputy Tahsildars in the District but the blank muchilka stamps should be included in the stock account and should be carefully secured under the lock and seal of the Deputy Tahsildar in common with other stamps. It is not therefore clear how the stamps came into the gumastah's dufter. They should not have been taken out of the stamp chest except when parties actually apply for them and until payment was made and credited to Government.

The remaining two stamps missing could not be traced till the 19th idem on which date a report appears to have been made by the Deputy Tahsildar to the Principal Assistant Collector to the effect that these two stamps had also been found in the same gumastah's dufter. When 11 stamps were found deficient on the 11th a thorough search was probably made in the gumastah's dufter which resulted in the discovery of only nine stamps. It is therefore inexplicable how the remaining two

stamps

stamps were again found in the same dufter 8 days after. This explanation therefore is in the face of it false.

The Principal Assistant Collector thinks that these are not the identical stamps missing but some other stamps substituted in their place. This is very probably the case. These two stamps bear the Vizagapatam Huzur Treasury Stamp of 10th June 1889, but it would appear that the latest supply of muchilka stamps to this or to any other subtreasury in the Sub Division was issued in March last. So it is impossible that there should be in the Anakapalli Treasury a muchilka stamp issued in June. Further it appears that the printing on these two stamps is different from that on other muchilka stamps printed in the Government press. From these circumstances the Principal Assistant Collector concludes that the gumasta procured two blank half rupee stamps and got them printed at some private press. Stamps issued from the Huzur Treasury to the Subtreasuries or to stamp vendors simply bear the treasury stamp while those sold by the ex-officio or non-official vendors to parties bear their endorsement in addition. It is therefore not clear how the gumasta could have got two stamps without such endorsement from any private person or from any stamp vendor except with the collusive assistance of some stamp vendor. By the stamp rules the vendors are bound to make certain endorsement on stamps sold, before issuing them. It is very desirable, if possible, to find out who the vendor was that sold to the gumastah without the prescribed endorsement

The Principal Assistant Collector further found that the value of two maddat muchilka stamps sold so long ago as November 1883 was not brought to account. The gumasta admits this and, attributes the omission to mere accident, arising from press of work. Then the question arises, has the gumastah collected the value from the purchaser or not. If he has what has become of the money. Has the actual balance in the treasury, at any time from the date of sale in excess of the account balance by the amount of the value of these stamps. It does not appear that this was the case. It is therefore probable either that the gumastah misappropriated the value or that he did not collect it from the purchaser by oversight. The Principal Assistant Collector is willing to admit the latter supposition but it is hard to reconcile this with the gumasta's subsequent conduct in making away with the ex-officio stamp vendor's endorsement book in his charge which was also found to be missing. The gumastah's conduct is thus open to the grave charges of

(1) Embezzlement of Government money.

(2) Destruction of public records.

(3) Culpable negligence of duty.

The second is the gravest of the charges The Principal Assistant Collector considered however that it is sufficient to punish the gumasta by a reduction from the post of Head gumasta in Anakapalli Deputy Tahsildar's office to that of Dufterbund in the office of the Virsainty Deputy Tahsildar the Acting Collector is of opinion that the punishment is lenient but still does not wish to interfere with the descretion of the Principal Assistant Collector.

The Collector must record his severest displeasure of the manner in which the Deputy Tahsildar of Anakapalli D. Janakiramaswamy Pantulu has discharged his Treasury duties. The irregularities brought to notice reflect great discredit on him. It is quite clear that there has been great laxity in the discharge of Treasury work in the office. The Deputy Tahsildar does not appear to have been examining his stock of stamps every month as required by the rules. If he had really done this, the irregularities noticed above could have been discovered at the end of the month in which they occurred. The certificates furnished by the Deputy Tahsildar in connection with the examination of the treasury and stamps month by month must have been either false or his examination must have been conducted in so perfunctory a manner as to be alltogether useless. In either case, the Deputy Tahsildar Janakiramaswamy

Pantulu

Pantulu is greatly to blame. As the Principal Assistant Collector reports that the Deputy Tahsildar is suffering from ill health and that he has been therefore unable to exercise that supervision over his Treasury work that he is expected and bound to do, the Acting Collector refrains from taking any mo serious notice of his remissness in the discharge of this most important of his duties. As recommended by the Principal Assistant Collector the Deputy Tahsildar is granted privelege leave for a period of one month and twenty days in order to enable hi to place himself under medical treatment and Veerasamy Naidu, Deputy Tahsildar of Cheepurupalli is appoint as Deputy Tahsildar and Submagistrate of Anakapally vice Janakira maswamy Pantulu. It is possible that the irregularities now brought to notice in this Treasury may be only a prelude to more serious ones. The Treasury Deputy Collector is therefore requested to take the earlies opportunity of visiting this subtreasu and making a thorough examination of it. The Collector also understand that the subtreasuries in the Distri were not examined at all last year. The Deputy Collector will, it is hoped, therefore find it convenient to examine them as early as possible.

(Sd) H. G. Turner
Ag. Collector.

(True Copy)

for Collector

6
4
689

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Vaddiparti Yerrayya [illegible]	Brahmin [illegible]	Sarvasidhi [illegible]	Vaddiparti Peda Bapirazu [illegible]	Sarvasidhi [illegible]	15th May 1847 [illegible] 1847 [illegible]	~~24~~	~~6~~	~~15~~	5	3	[illegible]	[illegible] 1872	[illegible]	[illegible]
						25	5	"	Present height 5	4	Color of skin bazel; Round face; Mustachios just rising; Black mark on the face; stature medium.	15th Octr 1872		R.J.MELVILLE

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Verified & found correct, except as regards the mustachios.

17-12-75.

Ag. H.A. Collector

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	15-	7-8-"	7-11-65	[illegible]
3rd Gumastah, Golugondah Taluk	Acting Permanent	None.	15-"-"	7-8-"	7-11-65	
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	15	7-8-"	6-5-67	[illegible]
[illegible] Writer, Dl. Asst. Collr's Office, Vizagapatam Dt.	Do	None				
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	20-"-"	20-"-"	15-7-67	[illegible]
[illegible] License-tax Gumastah, Anakapulli Taluq	Do	None				

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
[illegible]	[illegible]	25-1-66	[illegible] By return of permanent incumbent	[illegible]
" " " " " " " " "	"	21-5-67	[illegible] Do	
" The bearer hereof Vurdipurti Yerrayya was License Tax Goomasta in my Office for a short space of 25 days. During which time I had every reason to be satisfied with him. He was a steady working youngman. (Sd) W. Saskiah Sub Magistrate Anakapilly 5.8.67	[illegible]	7-8-67	[illegible] Transferred to the Golgondah Taluq	[illegible]

R. J. MELVILLE

R. J. MELVILLE

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
[illegible] Gumastah in the Golugondah Taluq	[illegible] Substantive permanent	" "	15-"	" "		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	28-2-68	[illegible] Transferred to the Hd Gumastah's ship, Ankapulli Taluq.	[illegible]
[illegible] Head Gumastah Ankapulli Taluq	[illegible] Substantive Permanent	" "	" 15-"	"	1-3-68	[illegible]	[illegible] Ankapalli 22-6-72 I am very glad to certify that I have been much pleased with the Magisterial work that Vaddiparti Yerriah Pantulu has performed during my stay here as Sub Magistrate for one year. He is very intelligent & painstaking servant. (Sd) A. Ramannah Sub Magistrate		20 Septr 1894.	Suspended	

Service Verified by the Huzur records

12-3-77 Deputy Collector

C. Tamiah
V.R. Keeper

J. W. Mc MURRAY
23 JAN 82
TREASURY DY. COLLECTOR

Verified up to 30 Septr 1894.

Dy Collector
19.12.94

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.
Dafterband Viravalli Taluq	Perᵗ.	"	7	"	16th Oct 1884	"
2nd Gumasta of the Viravalli Taluq	Acting	Dufterband	7 15	8 "	10th May 1886	"
Dufterband	Permanent	"	7		8th September 1886	
		Leave on loss of pay from 31st January 1895 to				
		Verified up to 4 February 1896				
		29/12/96			Dy Collector	
	Leave on loss of pay for 8 days from 23rd April 1894.					
Returning Submaster of the [illegible]	Permanent ~~Dafterband~~		7	-	29.12.94.	

8	9	10	11	12
Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Suspended from 21st September 1884 to 15 October 1884.				
Reduced to dafterband Viravalli Taluq. vide Procs: of P. A Collr 9th Oct 1884 Do		9th May 1886		
verified up to the end of 1888 Ramadas Ag Dy Collr		7th September 1886	Permanent incumbent.-	
Verified & Changes in personal marks of identification	Dy Tahsildar Viravalli 11.12.88			
Verified up to the end of 31 December 1890 Dy Collector			Leave on loss of pay from 1895 to 4 February 1896	
Verified up to the end of December 1892 Dy Collector Ue.	Dy Tahsildar	28.12.94 4.2.96	Transfer Retired	

1880 [illegible]
[illegible]
1884 [illegible]

10/15

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name.		[illegible]
Caste or Race.		[illegible]
Residence.		[illegible]
FATHER'S	Name.	[illegible]
	Residence.	[illegible]
Date of birth.		15-5-47

Name. Vaddiparti Yarrayya
Caste or race. Brahmin
Residence. Sarvasidhi
Fathers Name. Vaddiparti Peda Bapirazu
Residence Sarvasidhi
~~Date~~
Date of birth 15-5-47

J. W. McMURRAY
27 JAN 80
TREASURY DEPY. COLLECTOR

TREASURY OFFICER VIZAGAPATAM
1 JUL 89

See outfit book

Report to the Supdt [illegible]
he was reduced for [illegible]

15 FEB 96

[V. C. P.—No. 144.—19 11-9[illegible]—300.]

1874

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
27 APR 96
MADRAS

Re. C./Dis. No.

VIZAGAPATAM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Dated 9th April 1896.

From
L. C. Miller Esqr
~~W. A. Willock Esqr.,~~
Ag Collector,
Vizagapatam District.

To

The Accountant General
~~Secretary to the Commissioner of~~
to the Government of Madras
~~Salt, Abkari and Separate Revenue,~~
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit an application for gratuity on behalf of V. Yerrayya, attender in the Stationary submagistrate's office, Yellamanchili

2 Yerrayya was first entertained in the Public Service as a Taluk gumasta on Rs 15-–- in the Golgonda Taluk on 6th August 1867. He was transferred on equal pay on 1st March 1868 to the Anakapalle Taluk as Head gumasta and he continued in that capacity till 20th September 1884, when he was suspended and ultimately reduced to the post of an attender on Rs 7-–- with effect from the 16th of October 1884, by the Principal Assistant Collector under the following circumstances.

3 On the 11th September 1884 while inspecting the Anakapalle subtreasury, the

Principal Assistant Collector found the stock of opium and Maddat Muchilka forms (printed on eight-anna stamped papers) short by eleven stamps. Nine were found in V. Yerrayya's dufter on the same day. The remaining two could not be found. Subsequently it was reported that the two had been found also in the same gumasta's dufter on the 19th September. He was thereon reduced as he was suspected of having embezzled the stamps in question. Yerrayya's service having been thus partly superior and partly inferior, he is in the ordinary course entitled to pension on the superior and gratuity on the inferior service under the provisions of article 436 of the Civil Service Regulations. But he could not be given the benefit of this article without express sanction of the Government as he was reduced for misconduct.

4 On going through the Principal Assistant Collector's report and the Collector's order thereon (copies of which are herein enclosed), I find that what actually happened was that eleven stamps were short, of which nine were found in the gumasta's dufter and two could not be found. It was subsequently reported that the two missing stamps also were found in Yerrayya's dufter, but Mr O'Farrel, the then Principal Assistant Collector on examining them found that they could not be the missing stamps as they bore a Treasury stamp of later date than the last issue of such stamps. It is possible that the gumasta took out the stamps originally from the stock irregularly merely for convenience of sale to parties but it is clear that he attempted to cover this fault by various subterfuges. Moreover it was shown that the two missing Muchilka stamps had not been credited in the accounts when licenses were issued, a fact which gave a very serious complexion to the case. The Principal Assistant Collector thought that this might be an accident, but the Collector was doubtful about it. If the Government thinks that the applicant has been sufficiently punished by his reduction which has practically put him on half pay or less for twelve years, he might be allowed a pension which will amount to Rs 4-4-0 :

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

for Collector

Ref. on current No. 7805/acc of 1895.

From

The Collector of

Vizagapatam

To

The Accountant General
to the Government
of Madras

Madras.

Dated 9th April 1896

Despatched 22nd April 1896

Received.

No.
Enclosures. No. 8.

Subject

Submitting an application for pension on behalf of V. Yerrayya, attender in the stationary, submagistrates' office, Yellamanchili

6
1
689

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

Pension Department.

2115 6

15th May

No. A.D.K. 82

15/5/96

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
14th May 1896.

Desp? 15-5-96

From

The Accountant-General to the
Government of Madras,

To

The Collector of
Vizagapatam

1. ~~Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for~~ ________ ________

1. Explanation should be furnished as to why in the annual Returns on record in this office the date of the applicants' birth is given as 1845 instead of as 15th May 1847 as shewn in the application, and why in the

~~2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from~~ ________ ~~to~~ ________, ~~whereas in the history of services~~

audit Register for 1867 the date of his appointment is given as 5th August 67 while in the application this date is not traceable.

2. Attention is invited to article 487(a) of the Civil Service Regulations.

3. Reason of termination of the applicants' service on the 20th September 1884 should be inserted in the appropriate column of his service book.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for ~~pension~~ gratuity of V. Yerrayya, late attender stationery sub magistrate's office Yellamanchili received with your letter No. 7805 dated 9th April 96, is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Asst. Accountant General
~~Chief Superintendent.~~

P.T.O.

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

13/5

2634

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
9 JUN 96
MADRAS

1564

1 The entry in the annual returns is incorrect An affidavit taken from V. Yerrayya about the exact date of birth is herein enclosed. The correct date of the appointment is 5 August 1867. The necessary alterations are now made in the Service Register and in the pension application.

2 The medical officer's statement required under Article 437(a) of the Civil Service Regulations is herein enclosed.

3 The reason of termination of the applicant's service on the 20 September 1884 is noted in the ~~appropriate~~ Column of the Service Register.

Vizagapatam
5th June 1896

For Collector

R.H.

6
3
689

C. No. 689.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Collector of Vizagapatam dated 9th April 1896, No. 7805

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 26 June 1896, No. A.D.J./179.

ORDER THEREON, 29 July 1896 No. 680,

Character. Good

Suspended in Sep. 1884 for 25 days & was then reduced from Rs 15 to Rs 7 for having Embezzled two opium muchilika stamps.

Here are the Collector's letter ~~marked with tape~~ flagged A in the file regarding the applicant's suspension & reduction.

Para 3 for approval.

KA
13-7-96

approved
17/7/96

Under articles 485 & 578 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Vaddiparthi Yerrayya, late Attender Stationary sub magistrate's office, Yellamanchili an invalid pension of Rupees (4-4-0) Four and annas four p. m. payable at Yellamanchili from 5th February 1896 in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 17 years, 1 months and 16 days.

2. H. E. the Governor in Council is also pleased to grant to the app
cant an Invalid gratuity of Rs (28-0-0) twenty eight only, under ar
436, 455 & 524 (a) of the C.S.R., in consideration of his qualifying
inferio

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

" Collector of Vizagapatam.

inferior service of
[illegible]
3. The applic
service in 1884
give him the

C. No. 689.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

The Hon. Mr. Gross C.S.

Dated Feb 4
Despd.

G.O., 29th July 1896 No. 650.

✓

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 4-4-0 per m. (and an invalid gratuity of Rs 28) to V. Yerrayya late attender Stationary Sub Magistrate's office, Yellamanchili.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed } 189

Read again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government .. .. .. A A A A A A A

Letter of Government

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.