Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 651.

DATED 27 July /96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs. 48-0-0 to V. Paroomaloo Naick late process server 1st grade Dt. Mnfs' Court Tindivanam, S. Arcot Dt.-

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. T. Paroomaloo naick (no house or country name)

2. Father's name. Rangappa naick. do

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu, Canaray, Vishnuvite

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Kasbah Virdhachalam, Virdhachalam Taluq, South Arcot District

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Process Server 1st Grade District munsif's Court Tindivanam

6 Date of beginning of service. 16th August 1873

7. Date of ending of service. ~~19th~~ 31st march 1896. The medical certificate was dated 19th march 1896 but was detained in service up to 31st march 1896 as per District Court Proceedings No: 205 dated 28th march 1896.

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	22.	7.	~~4~~ 15
of which superior	..	..	..
inferior	22.	5.	19 26
non-qualifying and interruptions	..	1.	15

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* Invalid gratuity. The applicant having been declared by the District Surgeon South Arcot to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees 8

11. Proposed pension. nil

12. Proposed gratuity. Rupees 48

13. Date from which pension is to commence. nil

14. Place of payment. Virdhachalam Taluq Treasury, South Arcot District

15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era. † 20th December 1836

16. Height. 5 feet 5 inches

17. Marks. Two wound scars one in the right thigh and the other on the right clavicle ie (shoulder blade)

18. Date. 31st March 1896

M Viswanathá Ayyar
District munsif
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF T. Paroomaloo naick Process Server District munsif's Court Tindivanam.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
District munsif's Court Viridhachalam	Peon	6	.	16.8.73	11.10.73	.	1	26					by the District munsif's Court of Viridhachalam from the 1st March 1892 to 31st March 1895 from the acquittance	Verified from the records of the District Munsif's Courts of Viridhachalam and Tindivanam and the verification of the service from 1873 except for 1879 confirmed by reference to the Annual Returns on record in this office.
	Leave without allowance		.	12.10.73	11.11.73	-	1	-						
	Peon	6	.	12.11.73	12.12.73	-	1	1						
	Leave without allowance		.	13.12.73	25.1.74	-	1	13						
	Peon	6	.	26.1.74	1.2.74	.	.	6						
	Leave without allowance		.	2.2.74	15.2.74	.	.	14						
	Peon	6	.	16.2.74	22.4.75	1	2	7						
	Leave without allowance		.	23.4.75	8.5.75	.	.	16						
	Peon	6	.	9.5.75	20.5.75	-	.	12						
	Leave without allowance		.	21.5.75	22.6.75	-	1	2						
	Peon	6	.	23.6.75	21.1.76	.	6	29						
	Under suspension		.	22.1.76	4.2.76	.	.	.	-		(a) 13	(a) non-qualifying		
	Peon	6	.	5.2.76	27.3.76	.	1	23						
Total period of Superior Service				..	..									
	Leave without allowance		.	28.3.76	24.4.76	.	.	27						
	Peon	6	.	25.4.76	10.2.78	1	9	16						
	Leave without allowance		.	11.2.78	22.3.78	.	1	12						
	Peon	6	.	23.3.78	18.12.79	1	8	26						
	Leave without allowance		.	19.12.79	12.1.80	-	-	24						
	Peon	6	.	13.1.80	26.8.81	1	7	14						
	Leave without allowance		.	27.8.81	30.8.81	.	.	4						
	Peon	6	.	31.8.81	31.3.84	2	7	1						
	Thrown out		.	1.4.84	2.5.84	.	.	.	-	(b) 1	2	(b) non-qualifying		
	Peon	6	.	3.5.84	28.7.84	-	2	26						
	Leave without allowance		.	29.7.84	26.9.84	.	1	28						
	Peon	6	.	27.9.84	5.12.85	1	2	9						
	Leave without allowance		.	6.12.85	15.12.85	-	.	10						
	Peon	6	.	16.12.85	3.9.86	.	8	18						
	Leave without allowance		.	4.9.86	18.9.86	.	.	15						
	Peon	6	.	19.9.86	15.10.86	.	.	27						
	Leave without allowance		.	16.10.86	30.10.86	.	.	15						
	Peon	6	.	31.10.86	4.1.87	.	2	4						
	Leave without allowance		.	5.1.87	19.1.87	.	.	15						
	Peon	6	.	20.1.87	15.6.87	.	4	26						
	Leave without allowance		.	16.6.87	16.7.87	.	1	1						
	Peon	6	.	17.7.87	4.1.88	.	5	18						
	Acting Peon	6		5.1.88	19.1.88	.	.	15						
	Peon	6	.	20.1.88	3.8.88	.	6	14						

Service from 16th August 1873 to 29th February 1892 were verified acquittance Rolls and Rough pay bills of that Court and that from Rolls and Rough pay bills of the District munsif's Court of Tindivanam

District munsif's	Leave without allowance		..	4.8.88	15.9.88	.	1	12 ✓				
Court Virdhachalam	Peon	6	.	16.9.88	5-2.89	.	4	20 ✓				
	Leave without allowance		.	6.2.89	15.3.89	-	1	10 ✓				
	Peon	6	.	16.3.89	31.3.89	.	.	15 ✓				
	Peon	7	.	1.4.89	17.1.90	.	9	17 ✓				
	Acting Peon	m/t ~~8~~ 7	/	18.1.90	16.4.90	-	2	29 ✓				
	Peon	7	.	17.4.90	15.6.90	.	1	29 ✓				
	Peon	8	.	16.6.90	29.2.92	1	8	14 ✓				
District munsif's	Peon	8	.	1.3.92	23.8.92	-	5	23 ✓				
Court Tindivanam	Leave without allowance		.	24.8.92	14.10.92	.	1	21 ✓				
	Peon	8	.	15.10.92	10.9.95	2	10	26 ✓				
	Leave without allowance		.	11.9.95	25.9.95	.	.	15 ✓				
	Peon	8	.	26.9.95	6.2.96	-	4	11 ✓				
	Leave without allowance		.	7.2.96	17.3.96	-	1	11 ✓				
	Peon	8	.	18.3.96	(c) 19.3.96	.	.	2 ✓				(c) date of Surgeon's certificate
	Total period of service				.	22	5	19	.	1	15	(d) Retained under article 500 of the Civil Service Regulations
Deduct service after med. certe.	Peon	8	.	20.3.96	31.3.96	.	.	.	.	.	(d) 11	
	Total period of Service				- .	22	5	19	.	1	26	

M Visvanatha Ayyar
District munsif

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. good
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Reason for suspension for 13 days cannot be ascertained now, the records relating to it not being available
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI.) nil
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. nil
5. Any other remarks.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. Established and should be admitted

M Visvanatha Ayyar
District Munsif
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in inferior ~~or superior~~ grades has been duly proved for 22 years 5 months & 19 days; and that an invalid ~~(pension or~~ gratuity) not exceeding Rs. 48/- (Rs Forty eight only) equal to 6 months pay is admissible under Articles 485 and 524 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations, 25th. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).~~

In accordance with Rule 3 under Article 994 (b), the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Article 514 of the Regulations.

No A.D.J / 199

Fort Saint George
Accountant Genl's Office
14th July 1896

To
The under Secretary to Govt:,
Pension Dept.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
15 JUL 1896
PENSION DEPARTMENT

NA
15796

J. Marrison
Offg: Accountant Genl.
~~Audit Officer.~~

Ed
MN

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate :—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c , as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

C. No 770

G.O. 651/96

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR G[illegible]

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction

Case 770/96

No 81.

CIVIL MEDICAL FORM No. 32.

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined T. Perumalu Naik

son of Rangappa naik.

a Process server

in the District Munsif's Court Tindivanam.

His age is by his own statement $59\frac{2}{12}$ years and by appearance about 60.

years.

I consider T. Perumalu Naik

to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of cataract.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

STATION Cuddalore

Date 19th March 1896.

Name.

Rank.

Designation.

NOTE.— the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits."

Countersigned.

Surgn.-Major-Genl.,

Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

Rege 269

STATEMENT OF CASE.

1. Rank. Process Server
2. Name. T. Perumalu Naik
3. Department or Office. Judicial
4. Marks of identification. Vide Service Register
5. Age. 59 years & 2 months
6. Service. 34 years.
7. Sick leave granted during the service. Not known
8. Disease or cause of disability. Cataract
9. Abstract statement of case showing how the disease or disability was contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

This man complains of defective eyesight and of rheumatic pains in his back and elbow joints.
He has immature senile Cataract in both eyes owing to which he is considered unfit for any further Service

M. VISVANATHA ... DISTRICT MUNSIFF 23 MAR. 95

6
15
770

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact height. Feet.	Exact height. Ins.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
T. Peroomaloo	Cavaray	Paloor Thana of Vud a chelum	Rungapa Naik	Paloor	Not Known 20-12-36	40	"	"	5	5	Scar in the left thigh [illegible]	20-12-76	பெருமாள்நாயக்	[illegible]
						51	9	21				11/10/88	[illegible]	Reattested [illegible] Pillay D. Munsiff
						58	3	5	5	5	Do Two wound scars, one in the right thigh and the other on the right clavicle i.e. (Shoulder blade)	Reattested 25/3/95	[illegible]	[illegible] District Munsif

21

Regr 229

STATEMENT OF CASE.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Peon Munsif's Court Vriddhachallam	Substantive	"	6 " "	"	16-8-73	
Peon No Perumalo	Permanent	"	6 "	.	3-5-84	
Court Peon.	Acting	Process Peon	~~7~~ 6 "	"	5-1-86	

T. Perumal Naik Process Peon

Leave without allowance from 12 October to 11 December 1873
Do from 13 December 1873 to 25 Jany 1874
Do from 2 to 15 Feby 1874
Do from 23d April to 8 May 1875
Do from 21 May to 22d June 1875
Under Suspension from 22d Jany to 4 Feby 1876
Leave without allowance from 28 March to 26 April 1876
Do from 5 to 19 Janry 1887

S. Subramania Pillay
District Munsiff

L.A

STATEMENT OF CASE.

Regn 2829

No. 9 District Court of South

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Leave without allowance from 11th February to 22nd March 1878	M M Iyer D.M. 31-3-96			
Leave without allowance for eight days from the 19th December 1879.				
Leave without allowance for 17 days from the 27th December 1879.				
Leave without allowance from 27th to 30th August 1881.				
District Munsif		31-3-84	Thrown out under Dt. Court's Pro: of 31-3-84	D.M.
			Restored under the Dt. Court's Pro:	D.M.
Leave on forfeiture of pay from 29th July to 26th September 1884 both days inclusive	D.M.			
Leave without allowance from 6th December 85 for 10 days				
-Do- Do. from 4 to 18th September 86.				
Leave without allowance from 16th to 30th October 86				
Leave without allowance from 16th June to 16th July 87.	D.M.			
Leave	D.M.	4-1-88	[illegible]	D.M.
	D.M.	9-1-88	Return of permanent incumbent	D.M.

District Court of South

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Process peon. District Munsif's Court, Vriddhachalam.	Substantive	—	7 with effect from 1st April 89		17.10.89	
Do	Ag.	Peon on 7 Rs	8	—	18.1.90	
Do	Substantive	—	7	—	17.4.90	
Do	Do	—	8	—	16.6.90	
Process peon District Munsif's Court Tindivanam.	Permanent	—	Rs 8	—	1-3-92	

Again leave without pay for 17 days from 28th September & returned to duty on 15th October 1892

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Leave without allow for 43 days from 4 Augt 88	with reference to G.O. No 717 dated 29 June 88, Pension Verified up to 11 October 1888			Subramania Iyer
Leave on forfeiture of V.P. from 6 July to 15 March 89				
Rejoined duty on 16 March 89				
Verified up to 30 March 89 with reference G.O. No 717 dated 29 June 88 Pension				
Verified		16.10.89	Increase of pay sanctioned by G.O. of 9th July 89 No. 1178.	
Verified up to 6th January 90		17.1.90	Promotion	
		16.4.90	Return of permanent incumbent	
Verified up to 28 Feb 91		15-6-90	Promotion	
		29.2.92	Transfer to the District Munsif's Court at Tindivanam	
Verified up to 29th February 1892				
Leave without pay for one month & 5 days from 24 August 1892		31-3-96 ~~19.3.96~~	Retired	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.

Verified up to 31st March 1893 from pay bills & acquittance rolls.

District Munsif

Verified up to 31st January 1894 from rough pay bills & acquittance rolls.

District Munsif

Verified up to 30th September 1894 from rough pay bills and acquittance rolls.

District Munsif

Leave without pay for 15 days from 11th to 25th September 1895.

M Viswanatha Ayyar

District Munsif

Verified up to 31st December 1895 from rough pay bills and acquittance rolls.

M Viswanatha Ayyar

District Munsif.

Leave without pay for 40 days from 7th February 1896.

M V Ayyar

D.M.

Verified upto 19th March 1896 from rough pay bills and acquittance rolls.

M Viswanatha Ay

D Munsif.

8	9	10	11	12
Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

Verified up to 31st March 1896, from Rough pay bills and acquittance rolls.

M Viswanatha Ayyar

District Munsif

Received up D U Octr 88

27

18th June

8

8

Process Peon No 22

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. T. Paroomaloo Naik

Caste or Race. Cavaray

Residence. Paloor near Vridachellum

FATHER'S Name. Ruig appa Naik

Residence. Paloor

Date of birth. Not Known

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

6

2

No. 32.

District Court of South Arcot
Cuddalore, 9th April 1896.

From

H. A. Sim Esquire,
Ag District Judge of
South Arcot.

1608

11 APR 96
MADRAS
13/4

To

The Honourable Mr J. F. Price,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Madras.

Sir,

Under article 990 of the Civil Service Regulations, I have the honour to submit for the consideration and orders of Government an application for gratuity on behalf of T. Perumalu Naik, late Process Peon of the District Munsif's Court at Tindivanam, South Arcot District.

2 - The applicant has been declared by the District Surgeon unfit for further service in consequence of Cataract. The District Surgeon's certificate is herewith forwarded: though dated 19th March 1896, it reached the District Munsif only on the 23rd March 1896 and reached myself only on the 27th; the peon was, therefore, retained in service until the 31st March 1896.

3 - The peon's name is sometimes spelt as 'Paroomaloo Naik' and sometimes 'Perumalu Naik'.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

H A Sim
Ag District Judge.

Pension Department.

From
The District Judge of
South Arcot

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government,
Madras

Dated 9th
Despd. 10th } April 1896.
Recd.

No 32

Enclosures. 3

PENSION DEPARTMENT
6
1
770

Submitting an application
for gratuity on behalf of
T. Perumalu Naik, late Process
peon of the District Munsif's
Court at Tindivanam, South
Arcot District.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS 1 MAY 96

2014

Pension Department.

Rec 27-4-96

No. A.D.K. 30

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
23rd April 1896.
Despd on 24. 4. 96.

From
The Accountant-General to the
Government of Madras,

To
The Ag. District Judge of
South Arcot

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for

1 Explanation should be furnished as to why in the Annual Returns up to 1891 on record in this Office the date of applicant's birth is given as 1837 instead of as 20th December 1836 as shewn in the application.

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from ____ to ____, whereas in the history of services

2 Transmission of the Peon's list of the District Munsiff's Court of Virdhachalam for 1879, which is not forthcoming in the records of this Office.

3 Explanation why the applicant did not draw any gratuity for his earlier service rendered up to 31st March 1882 when he was thrown out of employ owing to reduction of Establishment.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for ~~pension~~ Gratuity of T. Paroomaloo Naick late 1st Grade Process peon Dist Munsiff's Court Tindivanam received with your letter No 32 dated 9th April/96 is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

~~Chief Superintendent.~~
Asst Accountant General

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

Vide District Munsif's letter No 152 of the 29th April 1896 explaining the above points which is herewith forwarded with peons' list.

Cuddalore
1st May 1896

Ag District Judge.

R 23/4

6
14
770

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1876 [illegible] 22 [illegible] 1876 [illegible]

[illegible]

1896 [illegible] 7 [illegible]

[illegible]

1896 [illegible] 7 [illegible]

6
12
770

To. The district Munsifs' court of Vridhachalam.

Affidavit put in by T. Perumal Naik late Process peon District Munsifs' court, Tindivanam.

I hereby declare on oath that I do not remember at the distance of time the cause of my suspension from the 22nd January to 4th February 1876, when I was a process peon ~~a~~ in your honor's court

17th May 1896 (Signed) T. Perumal Naik.

The deponent Perumal Naidu solemnly affirmed befor me as abovestated and signed.

17th May 1876.

(Signed) S. Makomara Pillai
V. M. Thoravalu.

(a true translation)

Affidavit put in by T. Perumal Naik
late process server of the District
Munsif's court, Tindivanam.

6
11
770

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1876 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1876 [illegible]

[illegible]

1876 [illegible] 22 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1896 [illegible] 17

[illegible]

Taken before me

[illegible]

Hd Clerk

Statement made by Peon V. Ramasami Naidu, before the Distr Munsif's court of Vridhachalam.

I have been a peon of this court from before 1876. Y, Perumal Naick transferred from this court to the District Munsif's co Tindivanam was doing duty with me here in 1876. The cause of the suspension of the said Perumal Naick from 22nd Jany 1876 to 4th February 1876, I do not now remember -

17th May 1896.

(Signed) V. Ramasami Naidu.

Taken before me.

(Signed) C. Raghava Row
Head clerk.

6
10
770

Statement taken
from V. Ramasami Naidu
A Peon of the District
Munsif's Court of
Vriddhachalam

PENSION DEPARTMENT.
6
9
770

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

1873 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1876
[illegible]
[illegible] 1876 [illegible]
22 [illegible] 4 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

1896 ௵ [illegible] 17 [illegible]
[illegible]

Taken before me

H. Clerk

Statement made by peon Appasami Naik before the District Munsif's court of Vridhachalam

I have been a peon of this court from 1873. Y. Perumal Naick transferred from this court to the District Munsif's court Tindivanam was doing duty with me here in 1876. The cause of the said Perumal Naick's suspension from 22nd January 1876 to 4th February 1876 I do not now remember.–

17th May 1896. (Signed) Appasami.

Taken before me.
(Signed) C. Raghava Row
Head clerk

(a true translation)

Statement taken from Peon Appasami

6
7
770

District Munsif's court

No: 120. Vriddhachalam, 28th March 96

From

M. R. Ry. T. Srinivasaiyangar Avergal
District Munsif of Vriddhachalam

To

The District Munsif of
Tindivanam

Sir,

With reference to your letter no: 77 dated the 24th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that no order suspending Perumal Naick is forthcoming among the records of this court. But it appears from the acquittance rolls that the peon was without duty from the 22nd January to 4th February 1896.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

(Signed) T. Srinivasa aiyangar
District Munsif

(True copy)

By order
R. Ramanujam
Head clerk.

Copy of the letter No: 120 dated 28th March 1896 received from the District Munsif's Court of Vriddhachalam

6
6
770

No: 222

Proceedings of the District Court of South Arcot dated 8th April 1896.

Read letter No: 45 dated the 24th Instant from the District Munsif of Tindivanam requesting to furnish him with a copy of the order suspending Perumal naick a Process peon of his Court, from 22nd January to 4th February 1896 while the peon was serving in the District Munsif's Court at Vriddhachalam.

The suspension order of the peon is not forthcoming among the records of this Court

(True Extract)

(Sd) H. A. Sim
Ag. District Judge.

To,
The District Munsif of
Tindivanam.

(True Copy)

By order
R Jamena Rao
Head Clerk.

Subject

Copy of the District Court Proceedings No: 222 dated 8th April 1896.

1896
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
Enc. No. 5
No. 770

District Munsif's Court,
Vriddhachalam
29th April 1896.

No. 152.

From

T. C. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Avergal,
District Munsif,
Vriddhachalam.

To

The District Judge of
South Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to the Court's Proceedings of the 27th Instant, No 284, I have the honor to furnish the following explanation regarding the points 1 and 3 noted in the Accountant General's No $\frac{A.D.K}{30}$ dated the 23rd Idem, Pension:—

(1) In the 1st page of the Applicant T. Peroomaloo Naick's Service Book, the date of birth in Column 6 is said to be not known, but his age is shown, in Column 7, to have

have been 40 (probably the approximate age) on the 20th December 1876 when the Service Book was entered. So the exact date of birth could not have been then ascertained and the year of birth seems to have been roughly given, in the returns of this Court up to 1891, as 1837, counting back the age from the date of entry. As the peon was transferred to the District Munsif's Court, Tindivanam, on 1st March 1892, the date of birth must have been differently given for the first time in the Annual return submitted by that Court in April 1892; and it is obvious from the Service Book that the altered date 20th December 1836

has

has been arrived at by counting back exactly 40 years from the date of entry 20th December 1876.

(2) It appears, from the Correspondence files of this Court, that, although the peon was thrown out under Proceedings of the District Court of South Arcot, No. 92-D/1884, further correspondence was opened regarding the propriety of the selection of persons proposed for reduction: The District Court, in its Proceedings of the 17th April 1884, No 103-D, called upon the District Munsif to reconsider the claims of certain 2 ~~persons~~ (peons), including T. Perumaloo Naick, and, on the District Munsif's Arzi No 56 of the 21st Idem, it was finally ordered by the District Court, in the Proceedings No 136-D dated the 29th April 1884, that T. Perumaloo

Naick

Naick, among others, should be retained in Service. The peon was accordingly reinstated on the 3rd May 1884. It also appears from the Pro.gs No 103 above quoted, that the thrown-out peons themselves had appealed to the District Court, but did not prefer to apply for gratuity. Hence there seems to have been no gratuity drawn.

2. The Office copies of the detailed lists of Establishments prior to 1881 are not forthcoming in this office and I am therefore unable to furnish copy of such a list for 1879, with reference to the marginal note 2 of the Accountant General's letter. I have, however prepared a list of peons of this Court as stood on 31st March 1880, on a reference to the Acquittance Rolls, and forward the same herewith.

3. The papers which accompanied the District Court's Pro.gs are herewith returned.

I have the honor to remain,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant,
District Munsif

6
13
770

Recd 9 May 96

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K. 70

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
7th May 1896.

despd 8-5-96.

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE District Judge,
South Arcot.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for ~~pension of~~ gratuity of T. Paroomaloo Naick late 1st gr. Peon Lower District Munsif's Court, Tindivanam & received with your letter No. 32 dated 9th April 1896 is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Asst Accountant Genl.
~~Chief Superintendent.~~

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for ______ ______

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from ______ to ______, whereas in the history of services

I Transmission of the applicant's affidavit and the evidence of a contemporary employee, if any, is requested stating the reason for the applicant's suspension for 13 days from 22nd January 1876.

II In the accompanying service book the appointment which the applicant held from

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

5 January to 19 January 1888 and from 18 January to 16 April 1890 is given as acting while on the 2nd page of the application it is entered as permanent. The discrepancy should be explained.

Vide letter No 71 dated the 20th May 1896 from the Dt. Munsif of Tindivanam in reply.

(By order)

District Court
Cuddalore
16th June 1896

T. Arogiasamy
Sheristadar

2833

Accountant General's Office
12 JUN 96
Madras

6
4
770

District Munsif's Court

No: 71
Encs: 8

Tindivanam 20th May 1896

From

The District Munsif of
Tindivanam

To

The District Judge of
South Arcot

1. Application for gratuity of T. Perumal Naick
2. His Service Book
3. Accountant-General's letter $\frac{A\,DK}{70}$ dated 7th May 1896.
4. Affidavit put in by T. Perumal Naick late process server of the District Munsif's Court of Tindivanam
5. Statements taken from V. Ramasami Naidu and Appasamy Peons of the District Munsif's Court of Vriddhachalam.
6. Copy of the letter No: 120 dated 28th March 1896 received from the District Munsif of Vriddhachalam
7. Copy of the Proceedings of the District Court No: 222 dated 8th April 1896.

Sir,

In returning herewith the application for gratuity of process peon T. Perumal Naick with the other papers received with the proceedings of the District Court No: 315 dated 9-5-96 I have the honor to state that an affidavit has been obtained from the said peon through the District Munsif of Vriddhachalam, as also statements from 2 of the contemporary employees of the Vriddhachalam Munsif's Court where the aforesaid individual was employed at the time of the period of Suspension in question, and that no proper reason can be assigned by me for his Suspension during the said period as the records relating to it are not available at present as it would appear from the copies of the enclosed letters received from Vriddhachalam Munsif's Court as well as from the District Court.

As regards the 2nd information wanted by the Accountant General, I have to state that as there was no column in the application form to show the nature of the appointment, the discrepancy arose, and that it has been supplied now as per service-book.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
By order.
R Samuelke
Head Clerk

1896
No. 3
770

---

(1)

C. No. 770.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Dt. Judge, S. Arcot dated 9th April 1896, No. 32.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 14th July 1896, No. A.D.J./199.

ORDER THEREON, 27th July 1896. No. 651

Character – Good

Suspended for 13 days in 1876 – records relating to this suspension are stated to be not available & reason not known.

Under articles 485 & 524 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to T. Paroomaloo Naick, late Process server, 1st Grade, District Munsif's Court, Tindivanam, S. Arcot Dt., an invalid gratuity — of Rupees (48/-) Forty eight only payable at Vriddhachalam ~~from~~ in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 22 years, 5 months and 19 days.

DD
22.7.96

KS
20.7.96

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" " Dt. Judge, S. Arcot.

C. No. 770.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Despd.

DESPATCH

G.O., 27th July 1896 No. 651.

GRANTING an invalid gratuity of Rs. 28 only to T. Paroomaloo Naick, late Process Server 1st Grade, Dt. Munsif's Court, Tindivanam, S. Arcot Dt. –

List

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 189 .

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.