Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O. No. 643.

DATED 27 July/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a superannuation pension of Rs. 2-9-7 per mensem to Chamvo Nair late Dt Post Village Postman ~~Pt~~ Palghat Post office.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Revised

~~21~~
615

APPLICATION FOR PENSION ~~OR GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Chamu Nair

2. Father's name. Madapalath Poneshan Nair.

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu, Nair, Sudra.

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Vadakanthara Amsham Vemallara Desam, Palghat Taluk, Malabar.

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Late Dt. Post village Postman, Palghat Post Office.

6. Date of beginning of service. 12th January 1858.

7. Date of ending of service. 30th September 1895.

		Y.	M.	D.
8	Length of service, including interruptions	37	8	19
	of which superior	24	10	6
	inferior	1	11	„
	non-qualifying and interruptions	10	11	13

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Superannuation pension; inefficiency owing to old age.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 6-8-„

11. Proposed pension. Rs 2-9-7

12. Proposed gratuity. Nil

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1st October 1895

14. Place of payment. Palghat

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 15th June 1839

16. Height. 5 feet, 3 inches.

17. Marks. A scar on the right foot about 3 inches above the big toe, and a mole just behind the left ear. Two scars on each arm above the wrist.

18. Date 17-6-1896

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

A Innes

Postmaster General

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Chamoo Nair, late District Post-village Postman, Palghat Post Office

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Palghat Taluk Cutchery	ag: Police Sibandhi peon	-	4	12-1-58	4-2-58	-	-	-	-	-	24	Rejected under articles 403 and 463 of the Civil Service Regulations 7	5. By copy of the applicant's Service Roll ... Doc: G.	Service from 12th January '58 to 30 June '61 does not count for pension being composed partly of service rendered in Acting appointments and partly of service rendered in appointments on Inferior grades.
	Out of Employ	-	-	5-2-58	24-9-58	-	-	-	-	7	20	1+2		
	ag: Revenue peon	-	4	25-9-58	1-10-58	-	-	-	-	-	7	7		
	Out of Employ	-	-	2-10-58	6-10-58	-	-	-	-	-	5	1+2		
	ag: Revenue peon	-	4	7-10-58	7-11-58	-	-	-	-	1	1	7		
	Out of Employ	-	-	8-11-58	14-12-58	-	-	-	-	1	7	1+2		
	ag: Police Sibandhi peon	-	4	15-12-58	18-3-59	-	-	-	-	3	4	7		
	Out of Employ	-	-	19-3-59	20-3-59	-	-	-	-	-	2	1+2		
	ag: Police Sibandhi peon	-	4	21-3-59	19-4-59	-	-	-	-	-	30	7		
	Out of Employ	-	-	20-4-59	31-7-59	-	-	-	-	3	12	1+2		Verified partly from the records of the Postal Department and partly by the affidavit of the applicant and the evidence of his contemporary employés and the verification of the service from 1880 to 1892 confirmed by reference to the Annual Returns on record in this Office
	Permanent Police Sibandhi Peon	4	-	1-8-59	18-10-59	-	-	-	-	2	18	Inferior 7	By the Revenue Department Doc: E ... Doc: F+F'	
	Leave without pay	-	-	19-10-59	31-10-59	-	-	-	-	-	13	1+2		
	Police Sibandhi Peon	4	-	1-11-59	13-1-60	-	-	-	-	2	13	7		
	Leave without pay	-	-	14-1-60	31-1-60	-	-	-	-	-	18	1+2		
Palghat Sub Collector's Cutchery	Peon	5	-	1-2-60	30-11-60	-	-	-	-	10	-	7		
	Leave without pay	-	-	1-12-60	30-6-61	-	-	-	-	7	-	1,2+4		
Palghat Post Office	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	1-7-61	31-3-68	6	9	-	-	-	-	1+4		
Thrown out of Employ owing to reduction of establishment; out of employ	...	-	-	1-4-68	31-1-76	-	-	-	7	10	-	1+3,5+6	Bills ... Doc: J; Doc: K. Revenue ... signed by the Cashier Post Office	
Palghat Post Office	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	1-2-76	30-6-77	1	5	-	-	-	-	8 ×		
	Leave without pay	-	-	1-7-77	21-7-77	-	-	-	-	-	21	1+6		
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	22-7-77	4-11-77	-	3	~~14~~ 13	-	-	-	8 ×		
	Leave without pay	-	-	5-11-77	17-12-77	-	-	-	-	1	13	1+6	5. By the statement of K. [illegible] 6. By the statement of [illegible] 7. By the verification statement [illegible]	
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	18-12-77	20-1-78	-	1	3	-	-	-	8 ×		
	Leave without pay	-	-	21-1-78	14-5-78	-	-	-	-	3	24			
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	15-5-78	30-11-78	-	6	16	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	-	1-12-78	31-12-78	-	-	-	-	1	-	1+6		
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	1-1-79	15-6-79	-	5	15	-	-	-	8 ×		
	Leave without pay	-	-	16-6-79	4-12-79	-	-	-	-	5	19	1+6		
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	5-12-79	11-7-80	-	7	7	-	-	-	8 ×	1. By the statement of the applicant ... Doc: A+A' 2. — do — of [illegible] ... Doc: B+B' 3. ... do ... of Pandarathi Raman Nair Doc: C+C' 4. By the statement of B. [illegible] Doc: D.	
	Leave without pay	-	-	12-7-80	25-7-80	-	-	-	-	-	14			
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	26-7-80	5-8-80	-	-	~~11~~ 10	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	-	6-8-80	29-8-80	-	-	-	-	-	24			
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	30-8-80	9-1-84	3	4	~~11~~ 10	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	-	10-1-84	31-1-84	-	-	-	-	-	~~22~~ 21			
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	1-2-84	4-2-85	1	-	4	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	-	5-2-85	22-3-85	-	-	-	-	1	18			
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	23-3-85	30-4-85	-	1	8	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	-	1-5-85	10-5-85	-	-	-	-	-	10			
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	11-5-85	30-9-85	-	4	20	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	-	1-10-85	14-10-85	-	-	-	-	-	14			
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	15-10-85	31-12-87	2	2	16	-	-	-			
	Do - Do.	6/8	-	1-1-88	1-3-93	5	2	1	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	-	2-3-93	2-4-93	-	-	-	-	1	1	9 ×		
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	3-4-93	21-10-93	-	6	19	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	-	22-10-93	15-11-93	-	-	-	-	-	~~25~~ 24			
	Dt. Post village Postman	6/8	-	16-11-93	30-9-95	1	10	15	-	-	-			
					Total	24	10	~~16~~ 7	12	10	13			

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who ...

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. 2,000 if he is considered ... of the Civil Service Regulations.

KS
10.7.96

24/80 × 6-8-1
5) 13
2-9-7

Thrown out of employ	owing to reduction of establishment; out of employ - - - -	-	-	1-4-68	31-1-76	-	-	-	7	10	-	163,5+6	[illegible]	record in this Office
Palghat Post Office	Do. Post village Postman	6/8	-	1-2-76	30-6-77	1	5	-	-	-	-	8 ✗		
	Leave without pay	-	-	1-7-77	21-7-77	-	-		-	-	21	1+6		
	Do. Post village Postman	6/8	-	22-7-77	4-11-77	-	3	~~14~~ 13	-	-	-	8 ✗		

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Nil
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI). The Applicant states that he received no gratuity when he was thrown out of employ in 1868.
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil.
5. Any other remarks. The Applicant has served as Postman for 5 years with approval, vide Doc: H. He was ordered to retire in Gen. Order No. 8072 dated 14-6-95.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. The service claimed by the Applicant has been established and should be admitted; vide Summary of Evidence, Doc: L.

Postmaster General
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

should Government be pleased to admit the period of service detailed in the 2nd page of the application and claimed in the affidavit of the applicant on the evidence of contemporary employees

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in ~~inferior or~~ superior grades ~~has been~~ will be duly proved for 24 years 10 months 7 days; and that a superannuation (pension ~~or gratuity~~) not exceeding Rs. 2-9-7 (Rupees two, annas nine and pies seven) per mensem equal to 24/60 of average emoluments will be admissible under Article 502 and 578 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Postmaster General in his letter No 33935 dated 11 February '96 accompanying marked with tape

In accordance with Rule 2 under Article 994 (b) the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Article 514 of the Regulations.

Fort Saint George
Acctt General's Office
9 July '96

No ADJ/186

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
PENSION DEPARTMENT

J. Harrison
Offg. Accountant General
~~Audit Officer.~~

The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

MKN

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

10.7.96

C. No 744

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY— Pension

Date of Application. 17' June 1896

Name of Applicant. Chamu Nair

Last Appointment. Late District Post village Postman, Palghat Post Office.

Class of pension or gratuity. Superannuation pension.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned. Nil.

Date of Commencement. 1st October '95.

Date of sanction.

G.O. 643/96

C.No. 744/96

A

Statement given by [illegible] Madapalath Chamu Nair District Village Postman of Palghat Post office—

I joined the Government service in the year 1858, as an acting Taluq peon in the Palghat Taluq cutchery. In 1859 I was appointed a permanent peon in the same cutchery on a salary of Rs. 4/. In the same year I was transferred to the Sub Collector's Office at Palghat as a peon on a pay of Rs 5/. Shortly afterwards the Sub Collector's Office was transferred to Tellicherry, and I received orders to proceed to Tellicherry, but I applied for leave and stayed at Tellicherry. My elder brother, Chathu Nair, who was employed as a Village Postman at Palghat happened to die about that time, and I applied for his post. I was appointed Village Postman Palghat, in the beginning of the year 1861. Ever since I worked as ~~on~~ Village Postman Palghat till September 1895, when I retired from the service. ~~on~~ I was never suspended or degraded. but I was absent frequently on leave for short periods. Pandarathu Raman Nair, pensioned Village Postman Palghat who worked with me from the year 1861 to 1885 will bear testimony to my service during that period. Irappacot Velu retired Havildar of the Head Assistant Collector's Office Palghat, and Muthu

Iyer, clerk in the same office, and who is my neighbour will bear testimony to my services. My pay was raised to Rs 6/8 about the year 1874 —

Signature of Chamu Nair

Taken by me this day

Supt 3 November 1895.

A'

Supplemental statement given by Chamu Nair, late District Postman Palghat.

During my service in the Revenue Department at Palghat, I was absent on leave during the following periods —

from 19 October 1859 to 31 October 1859
from 14 January 1860 to 31 January 1860.
from 1 December 1860 to 30 June 1861.

Prior to the 1st August 1859 I served in the Revenue Department in acting appointments only for the periods verified from records. I did not serve continuously before the 1st August 1859. From the year 1861 to 1868, I was employed as District Village Postman of Palghat on a salary of Rs 6/8. In 1868 orders were received from the Collector to dispense with the services of one of the District Village Postman of Palghat, and I was thrown out of employ. I did not receive any gratuity from Government for my services prior to 1868. As there was only one other District Village Postman employed at Palghat, he was unable to effect delivery throughout all the villages attached to the Palghat Post Office. The inhabitants of Thattamangalam and Chittur, whose letters were until then delivered by me, specially complained of the non delivery of their letters, and agreed to pay me half an anna per letter, if the Postmaster would allow me to deliver their letters regularly as I had done before. The Postmaster directed me to deliver articles at Thattamangalam, and other villages formerly served by me, and to receive a fee of half an anna for every letter delivered to the villagers. I continued to deliver letters accordingly till 1875, when sanction was received for an additional Village Postman Palghat, and I was given that appointment. From that time, that is from about July 1875 to the end of September 1895, I was continuously employed as District Village Postman Palghat on a salary of Rs 6/8.- I was absent on leave during the following periods.

From 1 July 1877 to 21 July 1877.
From 5 November 1877 to 17 December 1877-
From 21 January 1878 to 14 May 1878.
From 16 June 1879 to 4 December 1879.
From 12 July 1880 to 25 July 1880.
From 6 Augt 1880 to 29 August 1880.
From 10 January 1884 to 31 January 1884
From 5 February 1885 to 22 March 1885.
From 1 May 1885 to 10 May 1885.
From 1 October 1885 to 14 October 1885.
From 2 March 1893 to 2 April 1893 and
From 22 October 1893 to 15 November 1893 -

My correct name is Madapalathe Channu Nair - It appears to have been incorrectly shown as Sannu Nair and Chandu Nair in the Returns. The date of my first appointment in permanent Government service is the 1st August 1859. The date of my subsequent entertainment after I had been thrown out of service owing to reduction of establishment is about the beginning of July 1875. The date of my birth, by the Christian Era is 15 June 1839.

signature of Channu Nair

Taken by me
Kanakasubba
Supt
18/5/96

D1 [illegible]

Irappacot B

Statement given by Blu, retired Havildar of the Head Assistant Collector's Office Palghat.

I am now 60 years old. I retired from service from the 21 October 1895. I have been recommended for a pension of Rs 4/- My service for 41 years and 10 months has been verified by the records of the Head Assistant Collector's Office. I joined the Government service in the year 1854, when the late Mr. Conolly was Collector of Malabar. I was employed as a Peon in the Head Assistant Collector's Office at Palghat. When the Head Assistant's Office was constituted a Sub Collector's Office, I was transferred as a Peon to the Sub Collector's Office. When it was again made a Head Assistant's Office, ~~he~~ I was ~~transferred~~ transferred as a Peon to the Head Assistant's Office. ~~he~~ I served continuously at Palghat. I remember that Channu Nair was employed as a Peon in the Tahsildar's Cutcherry on a salary of Rs 4/ per mensem, about 4 years after my entertainment, that is in the year 1858. He held acting appointments at first for short periods. In 1859, he was appointed a permanent Peon. He served for some time in the Taluq Cutcherry, then in the Sub Collector's Cutcherry at Palghat. In the beginning of 1861 the Sub Collector's Cutcherry was transferred to Tellicherry and Channu Nair received orders to proceed to Tellicherry but he remained on leave at Palghat. Chanu Nair's elder brother Chattu Nair, who was employed as a Village Postman at Palghat died suddenly. Chanu Nair applied for his brother's appointment, and was appointed Village Postman Palghat. He served as Village Postman Palghat continuously from 1861 till the end of September 1895. He was never suspended or degraded: but he was absent for short periods on leave.

Signature of Velu

Taken by me this day the 3 November 1895

Supdt P.O. Calicut Dn.

B'

Supplemental

Statement given by Irappakot Velu, retired Havildar of the Head Assistant Collector's Office Palghat.

The statement given by me on the 3rd November 1895, regarding the services of Chanu Nair, late District Post Village Postman of Palghat is correct to the best of my knowledge. I forgot to mention however in my previous statement that from 1868 to 1874 he drew no pay from Government, as the services of one of the two Village Postmen attached to Palghat were dispensed with. Chanu Nair continued to deliver articles in his beat as usual, during the whole of this period. Under a private arrangement he received from the addressees, half an anna for every cover delivered by him. Chanu Nair was continuously in service from 1861 to 1868 and from 1874 to September 1895

Signature of Velu

Taken by me

Supt 28/1/96.

Statement of Pandarath Raman Nair
Pensioned Village Postman of Palghat Post-office

I Know Muthandath Shamoo Nair,
Village Postman of Palghat Post-office
He was appointed in the Postal Department
in Palghat; in place of his brother Chatoo
Nair about the year 1861.
Shamoo Nair was transferred from the
Head assistant Collector's office, Palghat
to Palghat Post-office on the death of
his brother Chatoo Nair, which was
about the year 1861.
Shamoo Nair and myself were attached to Palghat
office, where we both Served together
from the date of his appointment in
the Postal Department, until I.
~~retired from my Services.~~ was transferred from Palghat, two years before I retired from my Services.
I retired in 1885. when I retired I had
a Service of 35 years.
My age now is 70 years.
I Know Shamoo Nair's Services, in the Postal
department well, because we both Served
in one office, he was not suspended
or dismissed, until I retired.

പ. രാമൻ നായർ
witness. Madavan Nair

Taken by me

Ins Palghat Dn.
4/11/95

Statement supplementary given by Pandaratt Raman Nair
Pensioned Village Postman of Palghat Post-office

The Statement given by me in my previous Statement regarding the Services of Muttirakal Shamoo Nair, late District Post Village Postman of Palghat, is Correct to the best of my Knowledge.

Shamoo Nair worked with me on the death of his brother, late Chathu Nair. I forgot to mention in my previous Statement that Shamoo Nair between the years 1868 and 1874, did not draw pay from Government, as the Services of one of the two Village Postmen then attached to Palghat Post-office, were abolished, & being the Senior, my Services were retained and Shamoo Nair was thrown out of employ. And that though he was thrown out of employ, yet he continued to deliver articles in his beat as he did before he was thrown out of employment, in 1868, under a private arrangement receiving half anna for every Cover from the addressees.

I remember quite well that Shamoo Nair was Continuously in Service from 1861 to 1868 and from 1874 to September 1895.

രാമൻനായര
കയ്യെഴുത്ത [illegible] Signature of Raman Na
[illegible] Vadaveri Nair

Ramanasallam
Supt. 29/4/96

Statement of B. Muthu Iyer, Clerk in Head assistant Collector's office D

I know Shamos, now Village Postman of Palghat Post-office from 1860. he was peon in the Sub Collector's office, Palghat.

In 1861, the Sub Collector's office was transferred to Tellicherry and Head assistant Collector's office was transferred from Tellicherry to Palghat. Shamos was though also ordered to go to Tellicherry, yet he obtained leave and in the same year he joined in the Postal department. The Postal department was, at that time, managed by Deputy Collector of Calicut. So from that time I see him working in the Palghat Post office upto ~~this date~~ Sept 1895 WM. I do not know whether he was suspended &c. but I always see him working as Village Postman. he is my neighbour. I joined in the Sub Collector's office at Palghat in 1860. and when the Sub Collector's was removed to Tellicherry my services were placed in Head assistant Collector's office Palghat. and I lived always at Palghat.

My age now about is 56 years

B Muthu Iyer
17-12-95

Obtained by me

INSPECTOR OF POST OFFICES

1	2 തസ്ഥിക

പാലക്കാട് താലൂക്ക

[illegible]

ടി. [illegible]

ടി.

[illegible]

ടി.

ടി.

ടി. [illegible]

ടി. ടി. ടി.

[illegible]

ടി.

പ(1856 [illegible]) 1860 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

൧൦/1/95

STATEMENT OF THE SERVICES of Chamu Nair late Village Postman Palghat P.O. as verified by the records of the Calicut Post Office.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as Service.			Period not reckoned as Service.			REMARKS.
						Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.	
Dist. Post Establishment	Village Postman Palghat P.O	6/8	-	1st February 76	31st July 76	-	6	-				
				1st August 76	31st December 76				-	5	-	His name does not appear in the Acqt: Roll.
				1st January 77	20th January 78	1	-	20				
				21st January 78	31st January 78				-	-	11	Leave without pay.
				1st February 78	31st March 78	-	2	-				
				1st April 78	31st July 78				-	4	-	His name does not appear in the Acqtce: Roll.
				1st August 78	31st May 79	-	10	-				
				1st June 79	30th November 79					6	.	His name does not appear in the acquittance Roll.
				1st December 79	4th December 79	-	-	-		-	4	Leave without pay.
				5th December 79	31st December 79	-	-	-	-	-	27	His name does not appear in the acquittance Roll
				1st January 80	30th June 80	-	6	-				
				1st July 80	31st July 80				-	1	-	His name does not appear in the acquittance Roll.
				1st August 80	5th August 80	-	-	5				
				6th August 80	29th August 80				.	.	24	Leave without pay.
				30th August 80	9th January 84	3	4	11				
				10th January 84	31st January 84				.	.	22	Leave without pay
				1st February 84	4th February 85	1	-	4				
				5th February 85	22nd March 85				0	1	18	Leave without pay.
				23 March 85	30th April 85	-	1	8				
				1st May 85	10th May 85				.	.	10	Leave without pay.
				11th May 85	30th September 85	-	4	20				
				1st October 85	14th October 85				.	.	14	Leave without pay.
				15th October 85	31st December 87	2	2	16				

Calicut Post Office,
Dated 23 January 1896.

Form No. 79.

Postmaster.

18-6-94—500.

~~Revised~~ F1

STATEMENT OF THE SERVICES of Chamu Nair late Village Postman Palghat P.O. as verified by the records of the Calicut Post Office.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as Service.			Period not reckoned as Service.			REMARKS.
						Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.	
Dist. Post Establish-ment	Village Postman Palghat P.O.	6/8	-	1st Feb 76	30th June 77	1	5	-				✓
				1st July 77	21st July 77				.	.	21	✓ His name does not appear in the Acqt Roll, but one Raman acted for him. +
				22 July 77	4th Nov 77		3	14				✓
				5th Nov 77	17th Dec 77					1	13	✓ His name does not appear in the Acqt Roll but one Raman acted for him. +
				18th Dec 77	20th Jany 78	-	1	~~4~~ 3				
				21st Jany 78	14th May 78				-	3	24	✓ Leave without pay but one Kunjan Nair acted for him.
				15th May 78	30th June 78	-	1	~~15~~ 16				✓
				1st July 78	30th Nov 78	-	5	-				✓
				1 Dec 78	31st Dec 78				-	1	-	✓ No Acqt Roll
				1st Jany 79	15th June 79		5	15				✓
				16th June 79	4th Dec 79				-	5	19	✓ His name does not appear in the Acqt Roll but Ammu & Kizanoidum acted for him.
				5th Dec 79	30th June 80	-	6	26				✓
				1st July 80	11 July 80	.	-	11	.	.	~~11~~	✓ ~~Leave without pay, one Valu acted for him.~~
				12 Do 80	25th Do 80						14	Leave without pay. one Valu acted for him.
				26th Do 80	5th Aug 80	-	.	11				✓
				6th Aug 80	29th Do 80						24	✓ Leave without pay.
				30 Do 80	9th Jany 84	3	4	11				✓
				10th Jany 84	31st Do 84						22	✓ Do
				1st Feb 84	4th Feb 85	1	-	4				✓
				5th Feb 85	22nd Mar 85				-	1	18	✓ Do
				23rd Mar 85	30th Ap 85	-	1	8				✓
				1 May 85	10 May 85						10	✓ Do
				11th May 85	30th Septr 85	-	4	20				✓
				1st Oct 85	14th Octr 85						14	✓ Do
				15th Do 85	31st Dec 87	2	2	16				✓

Calicut Post Office,
Dated 16th May 1896.

Form No. 79.

Postmaster.

28-6-94.—500.

J

Statement of K. Arumugam Pillai, Postman No. 4 Palghat Post Office.

I am now about 38 years of age. I joined the Palghat Post Office, when I was about 12 years of age, in the year 1870. I was first employed as a stamp vendor, for selling postage labels at the Post Office, and I was paid Rs 2/- per mensem by the Sub Postmaster Veerasamy Pillai. I was employed as stamp vendor for about five years, from 1870 to 1875. I remember that during this period Raman Nair and Chamu Nair were employed in the Palghat Post Office as District Village Postmen. Of these two men, Raman Nair drew pay from Government: but Chamu Nair was employed privately, and was paid at the rate of Anna one, for every letter delivered, by the residents of Thathamangalam and Chittur whom he served. In the year 1875, sanction having been received for the entertainment of an additional District Village Postman for Palghat, Chamu Nair was admitted as District Village Postman of Palghat on a salary of Rs 6/8. per mensem. A few months after Chamu Nair was employed as District Postman Palghat, I was appointed District Postman Alatur, and proceeded to that Office. In 1876, I was appointed Ditrict Postman Palghat, and served in that capacity till September 1880, when I was again appointed District Postman Alatur. I served at Alatur for about 4 years, and in 1884, I was appointed Telegraph messenger Palghat on Rs 7/- Subsequently I was promoted to the appointment of Postman No 4 of Palghat. From 1884 up to date, I am continuously employed at Palghat. I am able to state therefore that from 1876 to 1880, and again from 1884 to 1895, Chami Nair was continuously employed as District Postman Palghat Post Office.

(signature of Arumugam)

Taken before me this day the 10 March 1896.

Supt.

K

Statement of Marakkuddathu Nagan, Box Peon, Palghat Post Office.

I am now about 40 years of age; when I was about 18 years old, that is in the year 1874, I was first appointed Runner on the line Palghat to Olavakode. For several years I was employed as Runner on the same line and subsequently I was appointed Box Peon in the Palghat Post Office. From 1874 up to date, I have attended the Palghat Post Office daily, except for short periods during which I was on leave. When I first joined the Department in 1874, the Postmaster of Palghat was Veerasamy Pillay. The names of the Town Postmen, who were then doing duty in the Office were Arumugam Pillay, Pakkiri Sahib and Palaniyandy Pillay. These three men died several years ago. In 1874, there was one District Village Postman attached to the Office, and that was Raman Nair, who has been now pensioned. Chami Nair, who had been formerly employed as District Village Postman and who had been thrown out of employ on reduction of Establishment was also doing duty as District Village Postman: but it was under a private arrangement, and at the special request of the inhabitants of Thathamangalam and

Chitter who agreed to pay him one anna for every letter delivered to them. About one year after I joined the office, that is in the year 1875, an additional District Village Postman having been sanctioned for Palghat, Channu Nair was employed as District Village Postman. From that time forward he was employed as District Postman Palghat on a salary of Rs 6/8 per mensem. From 1875 till the end of September 1895, Channu Nair was continuously employed as District Postman of Palghat. He was absent on leave frequently for short periods—

Signature of Nayar.

Taken by me this day the 10th March 1896.

Supt.

L

Summary of Evidence.

Chamoo Nair late District Post village Postman, Palghat Post office, claims service from 1859 to 30th September '95 excepting the period from 1868 to July 1875 during which he states he was out of employ owing to reduction of establishment.

2. His claim is borne out by official records with the exception of the following periods:—

	From	To	y	m	days
(1)	19-10-59	31-10-59	"	"	13
(2)	14-1-60	31-1-60	"	"	18
(3)	1-12-60	30-6-61	"	7	"
(4)	1-7-61	31-1-76	14	7	"
(5)	1-7-77	21-7-77	"	"	21
(6)	5-11-77	17-12-77	"	1	13
(7)	1-12-78	31-12-78	"	1	—
(8)	16-6-79	4-12-79	"	5	19

3. In support of the applicants' claim for the unverified periods, evidence has been obtained from the following witnesses:—

(1) Irappakot Velu, retired Havildar, Head assistant Collector's office, Palghat,

(2) Panoorvatti Raman Nair, pensioned village Postman, Palghat Post Office,

(3) B. Muthu Iyer, Clerk, Head assistant Collector's Office, Palghat,

(4) K. Arumugam Pillai, Postman, Palghat Post Office,

(5) Marakkuddathu Nagan, Box Peon, Palghat Post Office.

4. The applicant declares that he entered Government service in 1858 as acting Taluk peon Palghat Taluq Cutcherry and acted in that appointment for broken periods until 1859* in which year he was permanently appointed as a peon in the Taluq Cutcherry at Palghat; that in the same year he was transferred as a peon to the Sub Collector's Office at the same station; that shortly afterwards when the latter office was transferred to Tellicherry, he instead of proceeding thither, remained at Palghat on leave; and that about that time his brother, Chathu Nair who was employed as

* verified by the Revenue Deptt. (vide Doc: E)

2

as village Postman, Palghat Post Office, having died, he applied for the vacant post and was appointed to it in the beginning of 1861. He first stated that he continued to serve in the same appointment from the year 1861 until he retired in 1895. A pension application was prepared accordingly and submitted to Government; but the Accountant General having returned the pension papers and pointed out that neither the applicant's name nor his appointment is traceable in the Annual Returns from 1868 to 1874 on record in his office, the case was referred to the Superintendent of Post Offices Calicut Division who reports as follows:-

"When I asked the applicant to "explain why neither his name nor "appointment is traceable in the annual "Returns from 1868 to 1874, he first stated "that the Returns were either incorrect "or incomplete and that he was continuously "in service during the period. Being not satisfied

"satisfied with his statement I made further
"enquiries amongst the officials employed
"in the Palghat Post office. Two of the
"employés viz:- Arumugam Pillai, Postman
"No. 4, and Mr. Nagan Box Peon informed
"me that the applicant was thrown out
"of employ owing to reduction of establishment:
"but continued to serve the villages of his
"beat, under a private arrangement up to
"1875, when sanction having been received
"for the entertainment of an additional
"District village Postman for Palghat, Chamo
"Nair was re-employed. I took down the
"statements of these two individuals and
"confronted Chamo Nair with these statements:
"He then very reluctantly admitted that he
"was out of employ from 1868 to 1874,
"although he actually continued to serve
"as a village Postman under a private
arrangement". Thus a supplemental
statement (Doc: A') has been obtained
from the applicant in which he says
that

that from 1861 to 1868 he was employed
as District Post village Postman of Palghat
on Rs. 6-8-" ; that in 1868 he was thrown
out of employ owing to reduction of establishment and did not then receive any
gratuity for his past services; that he then
with the permission of the Postmaster
continued to serve the villages of his
beat for a fee of half an anna for
every letter delivered until July 1875 when
sanction having been received for an
additional village Postman at Palghat,
he was re-employed and served
continuously up to the date of his
retirement.

5. Witness (1), Irappakot Velu, retired
Havildar of the Head assistant Collector's
office, Palghat, deposes that he served
in that office from 1854 to 21st October '95;
that about 4 years after his entertainment, the applicant was appointed as
an acting peon at the local Tahsildar's
Cutcherry and was confirmed in 1859 and
that

that in the beginning of 1861 while on leave, applicant was appointed as a village Postman Palghat where he served continuously up to September 1895 excepting× the period from 1868 to 1874 during which he continued to deliver articles in his beat as usual under a private arrangement but did not receive any pay from Government.

× vide Supplemental statement, Doc: 'B'.

Witness (2), Pandarath Raman× Nair, pensioned village Postman, Palghat, states that about the year 1861 when Chathu Nair died, his brother, i.e. the applicant was transferred from the Head Assistant Collector's Office, Palghat to the local Post Office as village Postman, and that they both worked together at Palghat until he (deponent) was transferred from Palghat 2 years before he retired on pension which was in 1885. In his supplemental statement he adds that during the period from 1868 to 1874 the applicant was out of Government service

× Extract from the history of service given in his pension case :-

× × from .. .. To

Postman Pudunagram } 15-5-57 - 30-6-61

Do- Palghat ... 1-7-61 .. 30-11-83

× × ×

retired on 2-12-85.

service owing to reduction of Establishment, but under a private arrangement continued to discharge his duties as before.

Witness (3), B. Muthu Iyer, a clerk in the Head Assistant Collector's office, Palghat, states that he has known the applicant since 1860; that in the year 1861 the applicant joined the postal Department and from that time until September '95 he worked as village Postman Palghat. He further says that he always used to see the applicant working as a village Postman, they being neighbours.

Witness (4), K. Arumugam Pillai, Postman, Palghat Post Office, deposes that he was employed as a stamp vendor in that office for about 5 years from 1870 to 1875 and that during this period the applicant and Raman Nair (witness No. 2) were working in that office as distinct village Postmen, but the former was employed privately.

privately and was paid at the rate of one anna, for every letter delivered, by the residents of the villages which he served. He adds that in the year 1875 when an additional District Post village postman for Palghat was sanctioned, the applicant was employed in that capacity on Rs. 6-8-. He testifies to the continuity of the applicant's service as District Post village Postman, Palghat from 1876 to 1880 and again from 1884 to 1895, as he (witness) was employed in the same office during these periods.

Witness (5) M. Nagan, Box Peon, Palghat states that he has known the applicant from 1874 and gives a similar account of his service as witness (4) has furnished. He further deposes that the applicant served continuously from 1875 till the end of September 1895.

6.

6. It will be seen that witnesses Nos. 1 + 2 at first corroborated the applicant's original statement to the effect that his service from the time of his entry in the postal department had been continuous throughout, but subsequently in supplemental statements (Docs. B' and C') explained that they forgot to mention the fact of the applicant being without employ owing to reduction of establishment from 1868 to 1874. As regards witness No. 3, the Divisional Superintendent of Post Offices reports that no supplemental statement was taken from him as he (witness) on further interrogation stated that he had seen the applicant serving continuously as village Postman from 1861 to 1895 and that he was not aware that the applicant was out of employ between 1868 and 1874.

7. As regards the applicant's statement that when he was first employed in the Postal Department in 1861, his pay was Rs. 6-8-, and also with regard to his own evidence and that:

of

of witnesses that he was re-employed in 1875 when an additional District Post village Postman for Palghat was sanctioned, the following particulars have been ascertained from records in this Office:-

The management of the District Post in the Malabar District was transferred to the Imperial establishment on 1st December '74, and only one District Post village postman on Rs 5/- was then attached to the Palghat Post Office. Subsequently sanction was accorded in this Office No. 10084/13 dated 28th December '75 to the entertainment of 2 additional District Peons (altogether 3) for the Palghat Post Office, pending the approval of the Collector of Malabar. But it is not known from what date the measure was carried into effect. However the applicant could not have been re-employed in 1875. It has therefore been assumed that he was re-employed on 1st February '76, the date from which his services have been verified by the Postmaster Calicut. Accordingly in page 2

of

of the pension roll, the pay of the applicant from 1st July '61 to 31st March '68 is shown as Rs 5/- only and the date of re-employment as 1st February '76.

8. In lieu of the verification statement of the Calicut Post Office previously furnished (Doc: F), a revised one (Doc: F') has now been submitted by the Postmaster Calicut. The differences in the entries between the two statements are explained by the Postmaster as due to careless preparation of the original one by the late Treasurer of the Office. This plea cannot be accepted as the Postmaster ought to have satisfied himself as to the correctness of the document before signing it. Suitable notice will be taken of the matter.

9. From the foregoing evidence, it may be admitted that the applicant has had a service of 24 years, 10 months and 6 days in the superior scale and 1 year & 11 months

in

in the Inferior scale. Considering that he is not entitled to any gratuity for the service rendered in the Inferior scale and that only a short period of 1 month and 24 days is wanting to complete 25 years in the Superior scale the deficiency of 1 month and 24 days might have been condoned under article 465 A (2)(a) of the Civil Service Regulations and the applicant granted a pension of Rs 3-4-" per month equal to half his pay; but as he practised a deception in suppressing the fact of his having been unemployed from 1st April 1868 to 31st January 1876, the benefit of the article is not recommended on his behalf; and therefore the grant of the pension to which his service of 24 years, 10 months and 6 days actually entitles him, viz:- Rs 2-9-7, may alone be sanctioned.

Postmaster General

Post Office Department.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
12 FEB 96
MADRAS

From
THE POSTMASTER GENERAL, MADRAS,

To
The Accountant General
Madras

Regd

No. Ol, 497 dated Madras, the 11 February 1896.
33935

605

SIR,

I have the honour to forward for favourable consideration and sanction of Government a Register of application for a superannuation pension of Rs (3-4-") Three & annas four only per month in behalf of Chamoo Nair, late District Post Village Postman, Palghat Post office.

2. His Last-pay certificate Doc I is enclosed.

3. As regards the delay in the submission of the pension case the Superintendent of Post offices Calicut Division explains that the statement of one of the witnesses, namely B. Muthu Iyer, cited by the applicant,

Cont'd

could not be obtained earlier than 17th December 1895 owing to his absence from Palghat and that for this reason he was unable to complete the case sooner.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Postmaster General

Cor. 453 200-4-8-94.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

URGENT

RECEIVED 27 FE 96 OFFICE OF THE POSTMASTER GENERAL MADRAS

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Fort St.-George.

No. A.D.K. 430

Accountant-General's Office,

26 February 1896.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Postmaster General,

Madras.

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for ______

1 It should be explained why in the annual returns from 1868 to 1874, neither the applicants name nor his appointment is traceable.

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from ______ to ______, whereas in the history of services

2 The Returns of the Palghat Post Office for the years from 1875 to 1879 should be transmitted.

3. In the Returns for the years from 1880 to 85, the names M. Damoo Nair, Damoo Nair, Shamu Nair, Chandoo Nair (1885) appear. The date of applicant (appointment) is shewn as 11 July 1875 and 7th July 1875, and the date of birth is shewn as 1 July 1838, 1st April 1845, 15 June 1841 & Nov. 1842. Further, in the Returns for the years from 1886 to 92, the date of appointment is given as 1 December 1869 and the year of birth as 1842. It should be stated whether the names above given are identical with the name of the applicant; and if so the discrepancies in the dates of his birth & appointment should be explained.

4. In the applicants affidavit it is stated, that he was appointed village postman of Palghat in the beginning of the year 1861 and that his pay was raised to Rs 6-8 about the year 1874 but these facts are not borne out by the entries in the 2 page of the application. The difference should be explained.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of Chamoo Nair late District Post Village Postman Palghat Post Office received with your letter N P G 3 No 497/33935 dated 11 Feby 1896 is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

J. W. Redford 25/2

Assistant Accountant-General.

5 The applicants service upto 30 June 1861 being rendered in inferior grades, should be shewn in the column 'Period not reckoned as service'.

6. The applicant's service prior to 1st August 1859 should also be shewn in the 2 page of the application.

7. All the ~~[illegible]~~ cases which are not supported by Office records should find a place in the applicant's affidavit.

20

Post Office Department.

2845

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
19 JUN 96
MADRAS

From

THE POSTMASTER GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

The Accountant General,
Madras,

Regd

No. P.G. 1-497 dated Madras, the 17 June 1896.
8491

SIR,

In returning herewith your letter No. ADK/430 dated 26th February '96 in original together with its enclosures, I have the honor to state in reply as follows:—

Point 1. It has since been ascertained that the applicant was out of employ from 1868 to 1875 owing to reduction of establishment. A revised pension roll has therefore been prepared and is herewith submitted together with a summary of Evidence, Doc: L.

Point 2.— The Postmaster, Calicut, reports that the Annual Returns of the District Post Establishment prior to 1880 are not available.

Point 3.— The applicant in his supplemental statement (Doc: A') declares that his correct

name

17.4.96.—2000.

name is Madapalatt Chamu Nair. It appears to have been incorrectly shown in various forms in the Annual Returns. He further declares that the date of his birth is 15th June 1839 and that he was re-entertained in July 1875 after he had been thrown out of service owing to reduction of establishment. The discrepancies as to the dates of birth and appointment appear to be the result of clerical errors on the part of those responsible for the preparation of the Annual Returns.

X discrepancy in point 4.

Points 4 to 7.– These have now been attended to in the revised pension roll submitted herewith. The leave from the 1st to 31st December '78 does not find a place in the applicant's supplemental affidavit Doc: A' through an omission on the part of the Divisional Superintendent who recorded it, but the document has not been returned for revision as this would involve further delay, and the applicant in his original statement Doc: A states that he was frequently absent on leave

leave for short periods.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servant,

W Innes
Postmaster General

Madapalatt Chanoo Nair . H

APPLICATION FOR PENSION ~~OR GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Chanoo Nair

2. Father's name. Madapalath Poneshan Nair

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu — Nair — Sudra

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Vadakunthara Amshom Vemakara Desam, Palghat Taluq, Malabar

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Late District Post Village Postman Palghat P.O

6. Date of beginning of service. 1st August 1859

7. Date of ending of service. 30th September 1895

	Y.	M.	D.
8 Length of service, including interruptions	36	2	—
of which superior	32	3	25
inferior	1	11	2
non-qualifying and interruptions	1	11	3

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Superannuation pension; inefficiency owing to old age

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 6—8—.

11. Proposed pension. Rs 3—4—.

12. Proposed gratuity. Nil

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1—10—95

14. Place of payment. Palghat

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 15—6—1839

16. Height. 5 ft 3 inches

17. Marks. A scar on the right foot about 3 inches above the big toe, and a mole on the head just behind the left ear. Two scars on each arm above the wrist.

18. Date 11-2-96

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

(1) Verificat.n conf.d from 1880 to 1892 – (2) In 1885 the name of one Chandoo Nair appears instead of his name. (3) The correct date of birth is not given in any of the Returns.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Chamoo Nair, late District Post Village Postman Palghat Post office

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.											
Palghat Taluq Cutcherry	Police Sibbanthi Peon	4	–	1-8-59	18-10-59	–	2	18				5	(6) By the Verification statement of Calicut P.O Doc F (7) By copy of the applicant's service Roll Doc G	Service from 1-2-1-58 to 30-6-61 does not count for pension being composed partly of service rendered in acting appointments and partly of service rendered in appointments on inferior grades. Verified partly from the records of the Postal Department and partly by the affidavit of the applicant and the evidence of his contemporary employes and the verification of the Service from 1880 to 92 confirmed by reference to the Annual Returns on record in this office.
	Leave without pay	-	-	19-10-59	31-10-59	-	–	13				×1&2		
	Police Sibbanthi Peon	4	-	1-11-59	13-1-60	-	2	13				5		
	Leave without pay	-	-	14-1-60	31-1-60	-	–	18				×1&2		
Palghat Sub Collector's Cutcherry	Peon	5	–	1-2-60	30-11-60	—	10	–				5		
	Leave without pay		-	1-12-60	30-6-61	-	7	-				×1&2		
Palghat P.O	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8		1-7-61	31-1-76	14	7	-				×1&4		
	Do	6/8		1-2-76	31-7-76	-	6	-						
	Leave without pay	–	-	1-8-76	31-12-76	-	–	–	-	5	-			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	–	1-1-77	20-1-78	1	-	20						
	Leave without pay	-	-	21-1-78	31-1-78	-	-	-	-	-	11			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	–	1-2-78	31-3-78	-	2	-						
	Leave without pay	–	-	1-4-78	31-7-78	-	-	-	-	4	4			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	-	1-8-78	31-5-79	–	10	–	-	-	-	+1&4 & 6		
	Leave without pay	-	-	1-6-79	30-11-79	-	-	–	-	6	-			
	Do	.	–	1-12-79	4-12-79	-	-	-	–	-	4			
	Do	–	—	5-12-79	31-12-79	-	-	-	-	-	27			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	-	1-1-80	30-6-80	—	6	-	–	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	-	1-7-80	31-7-80	—	–	–	–	1	-			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	-	1-8-80	5-8-80	–	–	5						
	Leave without pay	—	–	6-8-80	29-8-80	-	–	-	-	-	24			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8		30-8-80	9-1-84	3	4	11	-	-	-	6	(4) By the statement of 16 [illegible] Clerk head assistant Collector's office Doc D 5. By the Verification statt. furnished by the Revenue Depts. Doc E	
	Leave without pay	-	-	10-1-84	31-1-84	-	-	-	-	-	22			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	-	1-2-84	4-2-85	1	~	4	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	—	-	5-2-85	22-3-85	-	—	-	-	1	18			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	-	23-3-85	30-4-85	-	1	8	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	-	—	1-5-85	10-5-85	-	—	–	–	-	10			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	-	11-5-85	30-[illegible]-85	—	4	20	-	-	-			
	Leave without pay	—	—	1-10-85	14-10-85	-	–	–	–	-	14			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	-	15-10-85	31-12-87	2	2	16	-	-	-			
	Do	6/8	-	1-1-88	1-3-93	5	2	1	-	-	-	7	(1) By the statement of the applicant Doc A (2) Do — I Velu retired Havildar Doc B (3) do — P. Raman Nair pensioned Village Postman Palghat P.O Doc C	
	Leave without pay	-	—	2-3-93	2-4-93	-	–	–	-	1	1			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	-	3-4-93	21-10-93	-	6	19	-	-	–			
	Leave without pay	–	-	22-10-93	15-11-93	-	-	-	-	-	25			
	Dt. Post Village Postman	6/8	-	16-11-93	30-9-95	1	10	15	-	-	-			
					Total	34	2	27	1	11	3			

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

/3

(1) Verified: cmp? from 1880 to 1892 – (2) In 1885 the name of one Chandoo Nair appears instead of his name. (3) The correct date of birth is not given in any of the Returns.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Chauna Nair, late District Post Village Postman Palghat,

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.	Good
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.	Nil
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI).	Nil
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.	Nil
5. Any other remarks.	The applicant has served as Postman for 5 years with approval; vide Doc. 4. He was ordered to retire in this office No 8072 dated 14th June 1895.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)].	The service claimed by the applicant has been established and should be admitted.

SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for years [illegible] months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

19 / June / 98

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY— Pension

Date of Application. 11—2—96

Name of Applicant. Chamoo Nair

Last Appointment. Late District Post Village Postman, Palghat Post office

Class of pension or gratuity. Superannuation pension

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned. Nil

Date of Commencement. 1—10—1895

Date of sanction.

C. No. 744

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Post Master General Madras dated 11th Feby 1896, No. $\frac{\text{P.G. No. 497}}{33935}$.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 9th July 1896, No. $\frac{\text{A.D.J.}}{186}$. ✓

ORDER THEREON, 21st July 1896 No 643. ✓

Character. Good

Under articles 502 & 518 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Chamoo Nair, late Dt. Post Village Postman, Palghat Post office, a superannuation pension — of Rupees (2-9-7) two annas nine & pies seven p. m. payable at Palghat from 1st October 1895. in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 24 years, 10 months and 7 days.

KS 15.7.96

D 18/7. 96

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" Post Master General Madras.

C. No. 744.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Despd.

G.O., 21st July 1896 No. 140

Granting a superannuation pension of Rs: 2-9-7 p. m. to Chamoo Nair, late Dr. Post village Postman, Palghat Post Office.

List

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189

Read again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government .. .. .. A A A A A A A

Letter of Government

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.