

[G.]
24
12-08

Pension DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

Again G.O., dated

Current No. 542

" "

" "

189 , No.

189 , No.

of 1896, dated 20.5.96, from A. Venkataramanayya

" 189 , " , "

" 189 , " , "

ORDER. No. 586 of 9 July 1896.

Ordered that the memorial be forwarded to the Govt. of India.

28
27/6/96

No. 587.

Letter

20

The Secy. to the Govt. of India
Home Dept. (Judicial)

Sir,

I am directed to transmit the enclosed memorial from A. Venkataramanayya, late Head Clerk of the St. Munsifs Court, Puthur, S. Canara St., praying for the grant of a pension.

2. The memorialist's case may be summarized as follows:—

On

On the 29th of October 1894, A. Varamanayya resigned his appointment requested his superior, the St. Munif, recommend him for a superannuation pension as he had completed 55 years of age. His resignation he subsequently withdrew alleging that the Munif had extorted it by putting pressure upon him. A full and enquiry was made into the matter by the St. Judge who found that the memorialist had accepted a sum of Rs. 400 from four of the clerks junior to him as an inducement to vacate his appointment. In this instance, these circumstances the Judge ordered the memorialist to retire and ~~this order was~~ ^{on appeal} ~~upheld on appeal to the High Court~~ declined to interfere with the Judge's discretionary power in the matter. On receipt of the pension application recommending the grant of the retiring allowance admissible in respect of the memorialist's qualifying superior service of 26 years and odd, viz. Rs 20/- per mensem, the Govt. concurring in the finding of the St. Judge, and seeing no reason to suppose that the memorialist was ignorant of the rules forbidding trafficking in appointments, decided that A. Varamanayya had forfeited all claim to pension. The St. Judge's enquiry

F. No. 954/96



and, by committing his offence with respect to the rules which had been approved by making false & malicious statements of fact & was employed in the office.

(The St. Judge held no enquiry: it was St. Miff at D. J.)

~~at the order of the St. Judge, went, reports to show that the memorialist's initial misconduct was aggravated by the false and malicious accusations which he made against the St. Munif.~~
The memorialist put in a review application in April 1896 which, however, was rejected as he adduced no new facts for the reconsideration of his case. In his appeal to the Govt. of India, the petitioner contests the correctness of the St. Judge's finding on the ground that the enquiry conducted into his case was incomplete inasmuch as he had no opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses who deposed against him. It is to be observed that the evidence recorded consisted for the most part of the admissions of his fellow clerks that they had paid a certain sum of money to the petitioner. ~~It is improbable that any cross-examination would weaken the force of this evidence.~~ The witnesses have themselves been departmentally punished on the strength of their own admissions.

In these circumstances I am to state that H.E. the Governor in Council has no recommendations to make on behalf of the petitioner.

(Not if they stuck to their story but they might have been induced by refusal of the money or to deny it.)

24.6.96

Draft letter to India for approval.

Pension case

DESPATCH

The Hon'ble Mr. Grose, C. I. E.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A — Copy in full.
- B — Not to be copied.
- P — Copy abstract only.
- Q — Copy heading only.

enc 25/6

No. 630, PUBLIC, 16TH JUNE 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From Colonel M. J. KING-HARMAN, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Military Department, to the Adjutant-General in India, dated Simla, the 21st May 1896, No. 1359-B.

I am directed to state, for the information of the Commander-in-Chief, that the Government of India have had under consideration the question of the manner in which correspondence is to be carried on between the Lieutenant-Generals Commanding the Forces, Punjab, Bengal, Madras and Bombay, and Local Governments or Administrations and Political officers.

2. It has been decided that, in future, all official correspondence between the Lieutenant-Generals and the Local Governments and Administrations shall be carried on between the Deputy Adjutant-General of the Command and the Secretaries to the Local Government or Administration concerned; and that as regards Political officers,

- * 1. The Resident at Hyderabad.
- 2. The Resident in Mysore.
- 3. The Agent to the Governor-General in Rajputana.
- 4. The Agent to the Governor-General in Central India.
- 5. The Agent to the Governor-General in Baluchistan.

Residents of the 1st class and Agents to Governor-General * shall be addressed by the Deputy Adjutant-General through their 1st Assistants; other Political officers being addressed direct.

Copy forwarded to—

The Home Department for information and for communication to Local Governments and Administrations.

The Foreign Department for information and for communication to the Political officers concerned.

(By order.)

(Signed) F. A. BUCKLAND,
Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. 1001.

Copy forwarded to the Government of Madras for information.

(By order, &c.)

HOME DEPT., PUBLIC,
SIMLA, 2nd June 1896.

(Signed) L. M. THORNTON,
Dy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

ORDER—No. 630, Public, dated 16th June 1896.

Communicated to the Departments of the Secretariat.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat. *P. C. S. S.*

Exd. A. Raiff.

Pension 1896 Department

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC.

Current Number 712

27/96



Order 9 July 1896 No. 588.

Recorded

27-7-96

27/96

Issued

Recd.

2-Jul 1896

Encl.

G.O., No. 630, 16th June 1896.

JUNE.

Correspondence.

Communicating to Secretariat Departments letter from the Government of India stating that, in future, all official — between the Lieutenant-Generals and the Local Governments and Administrations should be carried on between the Deputy Adjutant-General of the Command and the Secretaries to the Local Government or Administration concerned.