

Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 51.

DATED 21st July 1906.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Rejecting a petition from C. Venkataswamy
Maid, Lake Clerk, Govt-Medical Stores, Madras
Under para 5 (3) Petition Rules -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

N. 19, Ayalore Muthia
Mudely Street, Black Town,
Madras, 9 January 1896



To
The Secretary to the
Govt. of Madras Pension Department
Fort. St. George

Respected Sir,

With reference to your endorsement
- ment N. 1529, dated 26th December 1895,
I respectfully enclose herein the
Copy of the Govt. of India, Military
Department letter N. 1427, dated 15th
(with 11th as stated in petition dated 10th Dec 1895)
May 1895, furnished to me by the Principal
Medical Storekeeper, Madras, with a request
that the same may be kindly returned to
me when no longer required.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most obedient servant
Ch. K. Atasami Naider
The Clerk, Govt. Medical
Stores, Madras.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. 1529 p
25-12-95

Read. C.No. 1695 416.

Sec 4

Order 20th Jan^y 1896
No. 51

HON. SECY.

Para 5(3), Pension Rules.

From

G. Venkatasami Naidu.

late a clerk

Govt. Medical Stores,
Madras.

Note

Lb 201.

This is a hard case; but the only ground for refusing to apply para 5(3) is that he now quotes three precedents. The Pbr. with 1 note returned } analogous cases in which special pensions were granted; these were not quoted in the previous petition.

It is open to Govt. to address the Govt. of India again with the remark that the inequality of treatment pointed out by the memorialist appears to justify a reconsideration of his case.

LD
18-7-96

Note

Please see letter to India 8.20/826.295 and copy of India's reply to it to the Secy. marked with tape in this current. India has declined to sanction the increased pension recommended; & the present paper does not contain any new facts for a reconsideration. Hence draft order for approval.

Sec 4 India deals with each case on its merits & does not reject this. - The Secy.

Det. quote him in 1894 - I think it was in that year that India was back from the independent policy. In such cases as this the Govt. has to take into account the fact that the case was brought up & that there was a possibility of a reconsideration on a basis of new facts.

P.T.O.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN C. No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.