

Pension (189 *8*) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. *390*

DATED *19 Aug/96*

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Sanctioning the re-employment
of the pensioner Ibrahim Ali with retrospective
effect from the 3rd Feb/92 -*

Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 390

DATED 19 May 1906

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the re-employment
of the pensioner Ibrahim Ali with retrospective
effect from the 3rd Feb 1902 -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

By one No. 6114
acc of 86

GODAVARI DISTRICT COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

9-4-1896

FROM

V. A. Brodie, Esquire,

COLLECTOR,

Godavari District,

TO

THE

Secretary to Government
Pension Department
Madras.

SIR,

With reference to your official memorandum no 32 dated 21st March 96, I have the honor to state that Ibrahim Beg who is a retired police pensioner was re-employed by the Head-Collector in February 92 to act as the gumasta of the minor nayakudie of Akutigapadu. The present Sub-Treasury Officer of Tanuku discovered in January 1895, the erroneous payment made to him in his Sub-Treasury since the date of his re-employment, and stopped further payment including arrears due from September 94, and submitted his case for the orders of the Treasury Deputy Collector. The latter directed the Sub-Treasury Officer to address the Head-Collector, either to discharge him at once from service or to obtain the sanction

Pension
REVENUE (1896) DEPARTMENT.

Current Number 345

FROM

The COLLECTOR,

Godavari District.

To The Secretary to Government
Pension Department
No. Madras

Reference No. 6114
all of 96

Dated

Despatched

Received

9 } April 1896.

SUBJECT.

Explaining why the irregular
employment of Ibrahim Beg was
not brought to the notice of Govern-
ment when it was first discovered.

GODAVARI DISTRICT COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

2 — 3 — 1896

FROM

V. A. Brodie Esquire

COLLECTOR,

Godavari District,

TO

THE

Secretary to the Government
Revenue Department
Madras.

SIR,

Ibrahim Beg, a pensioned police constable, in receipt of a retiring pension of Rs 3-4-6 per mensem, was appointed by the Head Assistant Collector on 3rd February 1892, to act as gumasta of the minor Nayakudw of Akutigapadu, a village in Tanuku Taluk, on a monthly pay of Rs 4. As the pensioner was paid from the village Service Fund, his appointment should not have been made without the previous sanction of the Local Government. My Treasury Dy Collector directed him, therefore, to refund the whole amount of pension drawn by him from February 1892 and stopped payment of the pension due to him from September 1894 under article 576 of the C. S. R. The pensioner states he is a poor man, has no other means of income but his pension and that of his

PPD No. 16, 298

L. O. No. 1207

D. 12th 01st

1896

having been the gumasta of a village servant, should not stand in his way, as that appointment was given to him on condition that he should give it up as soon as the minor attained his majority, and as he had to spend the pay towards the maintenance of the minor (who is his relative) and the members of his (minor's) family.

Not the whole of the pay; at least unless he has an income from bates &c.

The minor having now attained majority, the services of Ibrahim Beg. were dispensed with from the 17th Instant. The pensioner having applied to me for exemption from the operation of article 876 of the C.S.R., I have the honor to request that the Government will be pleased to accord sanction for the exemption applied for with retrospective effect in the special circumstances of the case. ^{As} ~~and~~ the gumastah of a village menial for whose maintenance petitioner had to make provision out of the scanty pay of the appointment, ^{and} personally he can have derived little profit out of it, and unless accorded the exemption sought for, will have been a loser and not a gainer.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

(sd) V. A. Brodie
Collector

Duenabala Damadas
For Collector

Pension
DEPARTMENT (1896)

Current number 211

FROM

The COLLECTOR,

Godavari District.

To

The Secretary to the Government

No.

Revenue Department

Madras.

Order

No 6114
acc

Dated 2

Despatched 2

Received 5

March 1896.



Requesting sanction for the
exemption of Ibrahim Beg
from the operation of article
576 of the C.S.A.

The Hon. Mr. Grose, C.E.

In the circumstances of the
case, the reemployment of the pensioner
with retrospective effect is sanctioned.

Secy

Ref. No. 32 of 21-3-96.

It appears that the L.D.C.
took objection to the Constable's
drawing both pension & pay so far
back as Sept. 1894, but that his
services were not discontinued until
February 1896. The C.E.
is requested to explain why
the matter was not brought to the
notice of Govt. when the irregularity
was first discovered.

Note: This looks as if the local officials
had kept the matter quiet until the
Note minor under case of acc
Please see precedent put up - G.O.
of 30-10-95, No 1285, Pension.

20.3.19.96

Yes.
P.T.O.

[16]

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 345.

From the Collector of Godavari of 2nd March 1896 No. 6114
acc.

Om. to do of 21st March 1896 No. 32.

Letter from do Dated 9th April 1896, No. 6114
acc.

FOR ORDERS.

Please see Om. to the Collector of Godavari and
his reply to it of 9th April 1896. He says that the Sub-
Treasury Officer, Tanuku, discovered only in January
1895 the erroneous payment made to the pensioner from
the date of his reemployment, that he stopped further
payment of pension - including the arrears of pension due
from Sept. 1894 - and submitted the case for the Treasury & 9
Collector's orders, and that the whole affair was under corres-
pondence between the sub-Treasury Officer, the Treasury & 9
Collector and the H.E. Asst. Collector for a long time, i.e. till
February 1896 when it was brought to the notice of Govt.
Orders are solicited as to whether in the circumstances of
the case the reemployment need be sanctioned with
retrospective effect.

21/3/96

P.T.O.

P.T.O.

at the time of his retirement he
was in receipt of a ^{salary} pension of
Rs. 7 pm. : This ^{retiring} pension is Rs. 3.4.6
plus pay as ⁱⁿ arrears from 3.2.92
has been Rs. 4/-; the pension having
been stopped since Sept. 1894.

There ~~are~~ ^{were} no strong grounds
for his re-employment (CSR. Bd.
576); but he has done the work &
it may be thought that he should
get the pay. ^{may} may
Orders are solicited as to
whether retrospective sanction
may be accorded to his re-employment
up to Sept. 1894 only, or to the date when
the employment ceased.

LD
9.5.96

I think sanction ^{may be} ~~but not after~~
given up to Sept. 94. This
very questionable whether sanction
CD. have been given "on strong
public grounds" to the man's
re-employment, and the
proper enforcement of the rules
cannot be obtained if they
can be broken to begin with
& sanction obtained by pressure
or appeal ad misericordiam
afterwards.

12.5.96

13/5/96