Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 35

DATED 14-1-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a Superann. pension of Rs. 10-0-0 per mensem to S. Venkatasawmy late Dt. Post mail overseer, Madugula-Paderu line.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION ~~OR GRATUITY.~~

1. Name of Applicant. Punkara Venkatasawmy
2. Father's name. Punkari Balakristnamma
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu — Vyshnava — Telaga
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Vizagapatam, Vizagapatam District
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Late District Post Mail overseer, Madugula — Paderu line.
6. Date of beginning of service. 1—8—55
7. Date of ending of service. 31—12—94

	Y.	M.	D.
8 Length of service, including interruptions	39	5	0
of which superior	38	4	25
inferior .. .. —	0	0	0
non-qualifying and interruptions	1	0	5

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Superannuation pension, inefficiency owing to old age.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 20-0-0 Rs 15—0—0
11. Proposed pension. — — — — 10-0-0 Rs 7—8—0
12. Proposed gratuity. — Nil
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1—1—95
14. Place of payment. Vizagapatam
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 1—4—1835
16. Height. 5 ft. 6 inches
17. Marks. Left elbow dislocated: a mole on the left side of the back and a mark of burning on the left hand.
18. Date 16 Octr/95

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Sunkara Venkatasawmy, late District Post Mail overseer, Madugula—Paderu line.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Vizagapatam Post Office	Letter Delivery Peon	5 to 5½	"	1-8-55	3-8-63	8	"	3				1 to 3.	By the Verification Statement of the Vizagapatam Post Office — Do — furnished by the Comptroller, Post Office Doc. D — Do — of the Berhampore P.O. Doc. F, Office Doc. E	Verified partly from the records of the Postal department and partly by the affidavit of the applicant and the evidence of his cotemporary employes and the verification of the Service from 1889 confirmed by reference to the Annual Returns on record in this Office.
	Do	6		4-8-63	22-1-66	2	5	19						
	Leave without pay			23-1-66	22-3-66				"	2	"			
	Letter Delivery peon	6		23-3-66	3-4-67	1	"	~~12~~ 11						
Tuni-Chatrapur line	Acting Sub Overseer	15		4-4-67	19-7-67	"	3	16						
Vizagapatam Post Office	Letter Delivery peon	9		20-7-67	5-3-68	"	7	~~15~~ 16						
Tuni-Chatrapur line	Acting overseer, 3rd range	15		6-3-68	14-7-68	"	4	9						
	Do Do	20		15-7-68	24-12-68	"	5	10						
Vizagapatam Post Office	Letter Delivery peon	9		25-12-68	10-4-70	1	3	~~17~~ 16						
Tuni Chatrapur line	Acting overseer	20		11-4-70	20-7-70	"	3	10						
Vizagapatam Post Office	Letter Delivery peon	9		21-7-70	3-10-72	2	2	13						
Between Anakapallii and Bimilii	Overseer	20		4-10-72	22-5-75	2	7	19						
	Leave without pay			23-5-75	6-6-75				"	"	~~15~~ 14			
	Overseer	20		7-6-75	16-4-76	"	10	10						
Tuni-Chatrapur line	Overseer, 3rd Range	20		17-4-76	6-11-76	"	6	~~21~~ 20						
	Leave without pay			7-11-76	6-12-76				"	1	"			
	Overseer, 3rd Range	20		7-12-76	31-12-77	1	"	~~25~~ 24						
	Mail Overseer	20		22-[illegible]	[illegible]									
	Do	20		2-4-86	5-6-86	"	2	4				4		
	Do	20		6-6-86	31-10-88	2	4	~~26~~ 25				6	(1) By the Statement of the applicant, Doc. A & Certificates A¹, A² & A³ and Order of ap (2) — Do — of Veeravalla Sinayya Garu, pensioned Clerk, Collecto (3) — Do — of Haridass Parentaloo, pensioned Head Constable, Viza	
	Out of Employ &			1-11-88	12-2-89	.	.	.	.	3	12			
Madugula-Paderu line	District Post Mail overseer	15		13-2-89	30-11-92	3	9	18				4		
	Privilege leave	15		1-12-92	31-12-92	"	1	"						
	District Post Mail Overseer	15		1-1-93	12-1-93	"	"	12						
	Sick leave without allowance			13-1-93	13-4-93	.	"	"	"	3	1			
	District Post Mail overseer	15		14-4-93	30-6-93	"	2	17						
	Leave without pay			1-7-93	28-7-93	.	.		.	.	28			
	District Post Mail overseer	15		29-7-93	31-12-94	1	5	~~3~~ 2						
						38	4	~~25~~ 22	1	-	5			

& Owing to reduction of establishment.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.	Good
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.	Nil
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI).	Nil
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.	Nil
5. Any other remarks.	The applicant having attained the age of 59 years was ordered to retire in this office No: 26617 dated 5-12-94.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)].	The service claimed by the applicant has been established and should be admitted.

SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

1-8-55 to 31-12-77
9th to 30th September 1878
1st to 21st May 1885.

1. Certified that should Government be pleased to admit the period of service noted in the margin, claimed in the affidavit of the applicant on the evidence of cotemporary employés qualifying service in Superior grades will be duly proved for 38 years 4 months and 22 days: and a Superannuation Pension not exceeding Rs 7-8-- per mensem equal to 30/60th Average emoluments will be admissible under Articles 439, 502 and 518 (b), of the Civil Service Regulations, 2d Edition.

The calculations have been duly verified.

2. It will be seen from the 2d page of the application that on the 31st October '88 the applicant was thrown out of employ in consequence of abolition of his appointment. He could have then been granted a pension of Rs 10 per mensem had he claimed it. Should Government see fit, that amount may now be sanctioned to him under Article 483 of the Civil Service Regulations.

3. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Postmaster General, Madras in his letter No: $\frac{\text{P.G. 1 No: 462}}{\text{21377}}$ dated 16th October 1895. marked with cape –

4. In accordance with Rule 3 under Article 994 (b), the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Article 514 of the Regulations.

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office.
21st December 1895

No. $\frac{\text{ADV}}{579}$

Offg: Accountant General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
26 DEC 95
DEPARTMENT

C. No 1749

APPLICATION FOR PENSION ~~or Gratuity~~

Date of Application. 16 Oct 95

Name of Applicant. Senkara Venkataswamy

Last Appointment. Late District Post Mail overseer, Madugula - Paderu line.

Class of pension or gratuity. Superannuation pension

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned. Nil

Date of Commencement. 1-1-96

Date of sanction.

G.O. 35/96

Cuo 1749/95

A

6

Statement given by Senkara Venkataswamy Mail overseer, Madugula Paderu line

My name is Senkara Venkataswamy My age is now 62 years - I was first employed as letter peon Vizagapatam Post office in the 1st Aug 1855

I was first employed by Mr Jones the first Superintendent of the Postal Department - My pay was then Rs 5/- m/s and some time after it was raised to Rs 5 1/2 and gradually Rs 8/-

Mr Smollett was the District Collector and Mr Blair was the Asstt Collector at the time of my first employment

The latter was in charge of the Postal department until Mr Jones arrived He made over the charge of the Postal Deptt to Mr Jones

I was granted an appointment order when I was first entertained ~~and~~ but I mislaid it somewhere

somewhere

I remember my appointment date as 1-8-1855 from my apptt. order. After the date was entered in my service book I was not so much careful to secure it: but my appointment was two years before the Bengal mutiny which was in the year 1857 and in the 2nd half year of 1855 I was employed — I have no other proof than this and also those who know of my appointment in the Deptt. have all died and no one is living now — even to produce personal evidence.

Also some about 5 years after my employment and abt 3 years after the Bengal mutiny I was granted a testimonial by Captn. J Mason. Secretary to General Lano, which testimonial I now produce and from this also I can firmly state that I was employed in the year 1855

I served at Vizagapatam as letter peon for abo

I was made acting overseer on 4 or 5 occasions between the years 1867 and 1872 I acted for the overseer and in the year 1872 while Mr Ricks was the Superintendent of Post Offices I was made permtt. overseer on Rs 20/- and sixteen I was overseer on the high road until the reduction of Estt. in the 1888 was brought into force.

At this time Mr Nelson was the Superintendent of the division, who first asked me to apply for pension — I did accordingly but he changed his mind and asked me to take up the appointment of mail overseer in the ~~at~~ Post Estt. on Rs 15/- at the line Anakapally Madugula and Madugula Paderu I on this occasion represented that I had already completed my service and the new appointment might interrupt my pension

on this he told me that I would

undoubtedly get Rs 10/- pension for my service up to 1888. at Rs 20/- pay & for the additional service I would either get Addl. pension or Compensation

In this appointment after 1888 I have served for about 6 years.

I was, in the whole of my 40 years service only on leave for about 9 months –

With regard to the period of service from 9-9-1878 to 30-9-1878 i.e 22 days which is said to have been not traceable in record I remember that I was first transferred by Mr Johnson to Berhampore I travelled by steamer, and after reaching Berhampore was retransferred to Vizagapatam and this return journey also ~~I made~~ was by steamer, and these 22 days is the time occupied for my journey to & fro and preparation. Again the period of service from 1-5-85 to 21-5-85 i.e 21 days said to have not been traceable in records is the period during which I was on leave, as far as I recollect;

The order granted to me by Mr Crusswell I also produce

Mailoverseer
Madupula Ladempin

Taken down by me

Inspector of P.Os
[illegible] Sub Dn

16-6-95

a/ SUPERINTENDENT OF POST OFFICES:
VIZIGAPATAM DIVN

295 S. Venkatasamy of Vizagapatam D/16/2/71. A 14 Feby 187/ . A'

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT.

CERTIFICATE OF APPOINTMENT.

1st or Chicacole Div

is to certify that S. Venkatasamy
S Balakristnama aged 36 years 8 months
days, professing the Telaga Religion, has been this day
d to the Post of peon in the Vizagapatam P.O.
ipees ~~[illegible]~~ 9 to 12 He was, he says, admitted on
August 1855

Henry Presswell
Ins. ~~P[illegible]~~ Chicacole Div

536
518
18

e Kumma A² Buketaung Lekke Peon fo
t he is a smart active man, and
a very
n him, good character as far I shall

1st 1860. J. Gratton Cape

174

536
518
18

A3

This is to certify that the bearer D.
tanny, Letter boy No. 7, served under
a period of about fourteen months,
which time he conducted himself
proprietor, & gave much satisfaction in
charge of his duties.

Chas. C. Howard
Post Master

zapatam,
March [illegible]

A4

Fire Lot Chicacole
Insp. Post Masters Office
Camp Kottapakam
4th April 1867

No. 16 of 1867/68

The Insp. Post Master muniicates intimation of the Post Master Generals sanction to the following arrangements for runners in the Vizagapatam Post Office line. No. 1 Sub Overseer from Tonee Office Vizagapatam salary Rs 15 vice Viramma Cocanada to join immediately. The Offg. Post Master Vizagapatam will employ a fit person [illegible] S. Venkataswamy and relieve him immediately.

Sigd J. D'Costa
I. P. Office
1st Divn

To the Post Master
Vizagapatam

No. 17 of 1867/68

Inspy forwarded to S. Venkataswamy who will proceed at once to the line & and take charge of the range from Cocanada, and if necessary dismiss the whole of the runners from Tonee to Capinicottah & employ others as the post is travelling very slow between

Assistant Collector of the Vizagapatam Dt.

He was first Volunteering in the Vizagapatam Post Office and when the

[illegible] Station, the Sircar's Post Master
m Sarvey Keuthukamm[illegible] the permission
[illegible] shall be will [illegible] take advantage
of the same and make [illegible] of the
[illegible] fact [illegible] force for the
advantage of [illegible] the Dept and [illegible]
[illegible]
Honor [illegible]
[illegible] treatment he will be permitted
to return to his present Post as soon as
[illegible] Every [illegible] right [illegible] the same
[illegible] have [illegible] correctly

[illegible] Dec
[illegible]

5
7
1749

B

Statement given by Veeramani Sinayya garu retired clerk Collectors' Office Vizagapatam-

My name is Veeramani Sinayya and a native of Vizagapatam
I am now 65 years old - I am the retired English clerk Collectors' Office Vizagapatam - I retired in the year 1876
I was first employed in the Collectorate as clerk on the 1st January 1848
I do well remember this date from my appointment order which is not now with me - I served on in the Collectorate for about 28 years and then retired-

I know Emkara Venkataswamy
He is a native of Vizagapatam
He is now a mail overseer in the Postal Deptt:
I know him from the time when Mr Swaney was the Dt Collector and Mr Blair as the Asstt Collector of the Vizagapatam Dt.
He was first Volunteering in the Vizagapatam Post Office and when the

the charge of the Postal department
was made over from the hands of the
Collector to a Superintendent, who
was first appointed ~~fo~~ in the
Postal Department, S. Venkataswamy
was entertained by the above said
Superintendent as delivery peon
in the Vizagapatam Post Office
The name of the said Superintendent
I not well remembered by me—
Mr Blair was looking after Postal
department until the arrival of this
Superintendent to whom the former
had made over charge— and from
that time until I retired I had the
opportunity of seeing this Venkataswamy
very frequently some time as delivery peon
and during the rest period as mail
overseer— and since I retired I have
been seeing him but not so frequently
I know Haridass Parentaloo garu
He is a retired Hd Constable I know him
from the time of his employment
in the Head Police Cutchery— He was
a Dufdar in that Cutchery but
some time after this in the year
1861 while Mr E. G. R. Payne was the
Dt Collector the Police Force was
established in the District— At this
time Parentaloo garu was transferred
to the Police department as Dy Constable
and was still serving in the
Vizagapatam— Some years after
this he was made Hd Constable
and was sent here and there
but after all returned to his native
place Vizagapatam and after
a service of a few years again,
he retired—

Veeramulla Sooriah

Pensioned clerk Collector's office

Taken down by me

Inspector 31/8/95—

Superintendent

C

Statement given by Haridass Paroomaloo garu Pensioned Head Translator Vizagapatam.

My name is Haridass Paroomaloo and a native of Vizagapatam
I am about now 60 years old
I was first employed in the Head Police Cutchery at Vizagapatam as Duftar - The exact date & year of my employment is not correctly known to me but after a service of 5 or 6 years in the said Cutchery, the Police Corps was established in the District It was either in the year 1860 or 61 - when my services were transferred to the Police Department & I was made Deputy Translator with Rs 10/8 per mensem
This was while Mr Payne was the Dt Collector and Major Burk was the Superintendent
Mr Tennant was the Dy Inspector General & Mr Robertson was the Inspector General of

of Police-

from 1861 and until I retired i.e. the year 1879 I served the Police Deptt. Some time as Dy Constable and during the rest period as Head Constable I served the department altogether about 18 years-

Most of my service was in Vizagapatam but for a few years, after I was made Hd Constable, I was sent to Palkonda After all I returned to Vizagapatam and some time after this I retired.

I know Embara Venkataswamy. While I was employed in the Head Police Cutchery, he also was seeking for some employment. He, long since by that time, was volunteering in the Vizagapatam P.O. & at the time I was entertained, he also tried for a post in my Cutchery, along with me, but finding, there, no vacancy at that time, he again gone to the Post office where he appeared before the Postal Superintendent and who gave him the appointment of delivery peon in the Vizagapatam Post office The Collector at that time was Mr Smollett and the Mr Blair was the Asstt, who was looking after our duties in the Head Police Cutchery. Before the arrival of the abovesaid Superintendent, Mr Blair had also the control over the Postal Department. Venkataswamy has served some about 10 or 12 years as delivery peon and afterwards was made Tappal overseer and he is still serving in the same Deptt.

I know Mr Veeramalla Suriayya He was in the Collectorate Vizagapatam as a clerk, since long before my first employment and also retired only a few years before I retired.

Sworn by me

Subrahmanya
Inspector of Post
Vizagapatam Sub Dn 31/8/85

Pensioned Hd Constable

Superintendent P.O.

…EMENT OF THE SERVICES of Sunkara Venkataswamy late Overseer Madugula …deroo Line as verified by the records of the Vizagapatam Post Office.

Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.
Mail Overseer [illegible] Line	20	.	1-1-78	8-9-78	-	8	8				
			9-9-78	30-9-78	.	.	.	.	-	22	Not traceable from the records.
Do Do	20	.	1-10-78	31-12-83	5	3	-				
Do Do	20	.	1-1-84	28-2-84	.	2	.				Absent on privilege leave.
Do Do	20	.	1-3-84	30-4-85	1	2	.				
			1-5-85	21-5-85	.	.	.	.	.	21	Not traceable from the records
Do Do	20	.	22-5-85	5-6-86	1	.	15				The period from 6-6-86 to 12-2-89 [illegible] by the records of the [illegible] Post Office
Mail Overseer Madugula Paderoo Line	15	.	13-2-89	30-11-92	3	9	18				
Do Do	15	.	1-12-92	31-12-92	.	1	.				Absent on privilege leave.
Do Do	15	.	1-1-93	12-1-93	.	.	12				
Do Do	.	.	13-1-93	13-4-93	.	.	.	.	3	1	Absent on Sick leave without allowance
Do Do	15	.	14-4-93	30-6-93	.	2	17				
Do Do	.	.	1-7-93	28-7-93	.	.	.	.	.	28	Absent on leave without pay.
Do Do	15	.	29-7-93	31-12-94	1	5	3				
				Total	13	10	13	.	5	12	

Vizagapatam Post Office,
…ed 6th June 1895.

Form No. 79.

Postmaster.

30-11-93.—600.

E

Service of Sunkara Venkataswamy verified from the ecords of the Office Comptroller, Post Office.

	To	Appointments.	Pay.			Acting or other allowances.			REMARKS.
			Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	
78	8. 9. 78	Imperial mail overseer, Tuni Chatrapur line	20	.	-				Verified from the Books of Establishment from 1st April 1878 to 1st April 1886. The books of Establishment for 1st April 1887 and 1888 show that the post of the overseer to which he is stated to have been attached was held by one K. Suria narayana and not by the applicant. The District Post service of the applicant from 10th February 1889 to 31st December 1894 should be verified by the Accountant General concerned. His service prior to 1st April 1878, from 9th to 30th September 1878 and from 1st to 21st May 1885
. 78	31. 12. 83	Do.	20	.	.				
84	30. 4. 85	Do.	20	.	-				
. 85	5. 6. 86	Do.	20	.	-				
. 86	31. 10. 88	Do.	20	.	-				
. 89	30. 11. 92	District Post mail Overseer Madugole Paderu line	15	.	.				
1. 93	12. 1. 93	Do.	15	.	.				
4. 93	30. 6. 93	Do.	15	.	.				
7. 93	31. 12. 94	Do.	15	.	-				
9. 78	30. 9. 78	Not traceable.							
1. 84	29. 2. 84	On privilege leave.							

~~No.~~ ~~dated Calcutta, the~~ ~~189~~

~~with reference to his~~

~~rwarded to the~~ ~~No.~~ , ~~dated the~~

~~Comptroller, Post Office.~~

ated C G P—No. 745 P O (s)—9-8-94—1000

Memo. of Service of Sunkara Venkataswamy **verified from the Records of the Comptroller, Post Office.**

From	To	Appointments.	Pay. Rs.	a.	p.	Acting or other allowances. Rs.	a.	p.	Remar
1. 5. 85	21. 5. 85	Not traceable							
1.11. 88	12. 2. 89	Out of employ							should be as prescri article 909 Civil Serv lations.
1. 12. 92	31. 12. 92	On privilege leave							
13. 1. 93	13. 4. 93	On leave on medical certificate without allowance							
1. 7. 93	28. 7. 93	On leave without allowance							

Forwarded to the Superintendent of Post Offices, Vizagapatam Division with refer letter No. 5990, dated the 26th August 1895

No. CM 2504 / 50394 dated Calcutta, the 12 September 1895

N Roy
Assistant Comptroller, P

C G P—No. 745 P O (s)—9-8-94—1000

EG

ENT OF SERVICES of Sunkara Venkataswamy late Overseer 4th range Tuni Patrapur line as verified by the records of the Berhampore Post Office.

Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.
rseer Tuni r line 4th range	20		6-6-86	31-10-88	2	4	26 25	
			1-11-88	12-2-89	-	3	12 11	He was out of employment Vide Vol IX of Sanction Register under Serial No 19.

hampore Post Office,
Dated 12. 4. 1895

Postmaster.

Form No. 78.

Name of Officer	S. Venkatoswamy
Caste or Race.	Talaga
Residence.	Vizagapatam
Name.	Soonkary Balakistnamah
Residence.	Vizagapatam
Date of birth.	1st April 1835
Years	41
Ms.	3
Days.	6
Height. Feet.	5
Height. In.	6
Personal marks for identification.	[illegible]
Date of Entry.	6-9-76
Signature of Officer.	Postmaster
Signature and designation of the Head of the Office.	Inspector of Post Offices ~~Vizagapatam Div~~ [illegible]

× Stated lee/13 days

2 months privilege leave from 1st January 84 to 28th February 1884 vide [illegible] 1034 [illegible] 21-12-83

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Letter Dy Peon	Permanent	"	5	"	1/8/55		Has worked very well 3-3-83 Geo Edw[illegible]	No Record			
Do	"		5½		"						
Do	"		6		4/3/63				3/4/67	promoted	
Sub overseer	Acting	"	15		4-4/67				27/6/67	Reverted	
Letter Delivery Peon	Permanent				~~27/6/67~~				5/3/68	Promoted	
~~Peon~~ Overseer 3rd Range	Acting		9		20/7/67				4/7/68	promoted	
Overseer	Acting		15		5/3/68				24/12/68	Reverted	
Letter delivery Peon	Permanent		20		15/7/68				10/4/70	promoted	
Overseer	Acting		9		25/12/68				20/7/70	Reverted	
× Letter Delivery Peon	Permanent	× Stated lee/13 days	20		11/4/70				3/10/72	promoted	
Overseer at Arcu Anakapully Beach	Do		9		21/7/70				16-4-76 ~~28/2/77~~	Transfer	
Overseer 3rd Range Juni Chitterpore line	Do		20 ✓		4/10/72		From the 9th Septr 78 to 30th Septr 78 not found in the acquittance roll		20-9-78	Transfer	
Overseer 1st Range Juni Chitterpore line	Do		20		17-4-77				4-9-79	Transfer	
Overseer 2nd Range Juni Chitterpore line	Do		20		2-9-78		~~On leave from 1st January 84 to the end of February 84.~~		31-1-83	Transfer	
Overseer 1st Range Juni Chitterpore line	Do		20		5-9-79				21-5-85		
Overseer 2nd Range Juni Chitterpore line	Do		20		1-2-83		1st to 21st May 1885 ~~28/5/85~~ to 31st May not in the acquittance roll.		5/6/86		
Overseer, 4th Range Juni Chatrapur line	Do		20		~~1-6-85~~ 22-5-85		Was removed from service on the 31-10-88 owing to reduction of establishment G. [illegible] 4-2-89		31-10-88	abolished	
		On privilege leave from 8th to 22nd August 188[illegible]	20.		6-6-86						

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i. e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Overseer - Parcel Line - District Pal.	Permanent	-	15	-	13-2-89		was thrown out of employment on reduction of Estabt & reemployed in this post. Verified up to 31.8.92. Vizag PO 14 Sept 92 Privilege leave from 1-12-92 to 31-12-92 Sick leave without allowance from 13-1-93 to 13-4-93. Leave Without pay from 1-7-93 to 28-7-93 Verified from 1-9-92 to 31-12-94 Postmaster Vizagapatam P.O. dated 28/9/95 Ag. Superintendent 4/10/95	Clerk	31-12-94	Retired ~~31-12-94~~	Supdt PO Vizag Dn

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i. e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Sankary Venkataswamy

Caste or Race. Telaga

Residence. Vizagapatam

FATHER'S Name. S Balakrishnamah

FATHER'S Residence. Vizagapatam

Date of birth. 1st April 1835

H

5
2
1749

Service Book
of
Sunkary Vencataswamy
3rd Range Overseer
Vizagapatam Division
11th June 1875

4943

Post Office Department.

Acctt Genl's Office
18.OCT.1895

From

THE POSTMASTER GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

The Accountant General,
Madras.

No. P.G.1 No 462 dated Madras, the 16 October 1895.
21377

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith for favorable consideration and sanction a Register of application for a Superannuation pension of Rs (7-8-0) Seven and annas eight only per month in behalf of Sunkara Ven-katasawmy, late D[illegible] Mail Overseer, Madugula-Paderu line.

2. His last pay Certificate Doc. G and Service Book Doc. H are enclosed.

3. The delay in the submission of the case is due partly to the fact that before the expiry of the extension of service last granted to him, the applicant was, on account of severe illness, permitted to retire from the service suddenly and it was not therefore possible to verify his services before-hand in accordance with the provisions of Art: 988 of the Civil Service Regulations: and partly to the fact that a considerable length of time elapsed before his services were verified from the records of the Vizagapatam office, where

where a large number of applications had to be dealt with simultaneously owing to the number of men who were thrown out of employ on the extension of the East Coast Railway.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
H Turner
Postmaster General.

1895
1
1264

---

29 OC 95
MADRAS

Cor. 453 200–4–8–94.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Fort St. George.
No. A.D.K. 305
Accountant-General's Office,
28th October 1895.

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Postmaster General,
Madras.

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for the years up to 1888

The appointments of Letter Delivery peon on Rs 9 and overseer on Rs 20 per mensem are also not traceable.

2. ~~Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from ______ to ______, whereas in the history of services~~

+ late District Post mail Overseer, Madugula Paderu line, received with your letter P.G. 1 No. 462 / 2/377 dated 16th October 95.

2. Signatures of the Head of the office and the applicant in the appropriate columns of the accompanying Service Book.

3. Explanation why the applicant was not recommended for

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of Sunkara Venkataswamy+ is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
Pritchard
Assistant Accountant-General.

for a larger pension under Article 483 of the Civil Service Regulations, in respect of his service rendered up to 31st October '88.

5
4
1749

5822

Post Office Department.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
16 DEC 95
MADRAS

From
The POSTMASTER GENERAL, MADRAS,

To
The Accountant General
Madras.

Regd

No. Pl/1/462 dated Madras, the 14 Decr. 1895.

27839

SIR,

In returning herewith in original your letter No. $\frac{\text{Sd.K}}{305}$ dated 28th October 1895 together with the enclosures, I have the honor to state in reply as follows:—

(1) It appears from the Verification Statement Of the Vizagapatam Post office (Doc: D) as well as from the Service book that the applicant's Service prior to 13th Feby 1889 was rendered in the Imperial Postal Establishment. This no doubt is the reason why his name does not appear in your office records for the years up to 1888.

(2). The omissions pointed out have been supplied in the Service book (Doc: H)

24-8-95.—1500.

(Doc: H) herewith returned.

(3) I beg that under article 483 of the Civil Service Regulations pointed out by you the applicant may be granted a pension of Rs (10) ten instead of Rs 7-8-" as originally recommended and that the necessary corrections be made in the pension roll accordingly.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Graf Schöneman
for Postmaster General.

Pension Department. 5 3 1749

---

(1)

C. No. 1749.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Postmaster-General, Madras. } dated 16th October 1895, No. P.G.1 No. 462 / 21377

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 21st Dec. 1895, No. A.D.J. / 579.

ORDER THEREON, 14th Jany 1896 No. 35

Character - Good

Please see para 2 of the A.G.: report.

The delay of 10½ months in the P.M.G's Office in the submission of this application is ascribed to the sudden retirement of the applicant before the expiry of the extension of service granted to him, and to the time taken in verifying his services from the records of the Vizagapatam Office, where, the P.M.G. says, a large number of applications had to be dealt with simultaneously owing to the number of men who were thrown out of employ on the extension of the East Coast Railway. This explanation seems unsatisfactory. Hence para 2 for approval.

9.1.96.

Under articles 439, 483, 502 & 518 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Sunkara Venkatasawmy, late Dt. Post mail overseer, Madugula-Paderu line, a superannuation pension of Rupees (10-"-") Ten p.m. payable at Vizagapatam from 1st January 1895 in consideration of his qualifying Supr. service of 38 years, 4 months and 22 days

2. The explanation of the delay in the submission of this application is unsatisfactory.

10/1. D 10.1.96

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" Postmaster-General Madras.

7/1 9/1

C. No. 1749.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Secy

Dated

Despd.

G.O., 14th January 1896 No. 35.

GRANTING. a superannuation pension of Rs 10/- p.m. to S. Venkataswamy, late Dt. Post Mail Overseer, Madugula-Paderu line.

When given for copying } 189 .
When copied
When examined
When signed

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

[7]
504—11-6-94.

18·10·06 ✓
5-6-08 ✓
18·11-08 ✓

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 16 Jany 1896.

No. 36 -

F. No 41/96

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grants a superannuation pension of Rs. 66-10-8 to Mr. Nowell in supersession of G.O. 1347 Pension 5-10-94 -

BACK PAPER, NO.   OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, NO. 41   OF 189

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , NO.   , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT NO.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. A A

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A — Copy in full.
B — Not to be copied.
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

1

R. 66-10-8

1

I think this order
has been seen [illegible]

No.

Government of India

Finance and Commerce Department

Calcutta the 16th December 1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
21 DEC 95
DEPARTMENT

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras.

CHIEF SECRETARY
20 DEC 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Sir,

E

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 429, dated 1st May 1895, forwarding a memorial from Mr. C. Powell, late an Inspector in the Salt and Abkari Department, Madras, in which he prays for the grant of a higher pension than that admissible under rule, I am directed to forward a copy of a despatch from the Secretary of State, No. 187 Financial, dated 7th November 1895, and to say that the Government of India have no objection to Mr Powell being allowed the pension for which his length of qualifying

service

ice would render him eligible without deduction under Article 521 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Copy, with copy of the despatch referred to, forwarded to the Accountant General, Madras, for information.

Dup

Financial,
No. 187.

India Office,
London, 7th November 1895.

To

His Excellency the Right Honourable,
The Governor General of India in Council.

My Lord,

I have considered in Council the letter of your Excellency's Government, No. 229, dated the 13th August 1895, and the memorial therewith enclosed, in which Mr. C. Powell, late an Inspector in the Madras Salt and Abkari Department, prays for the grant of a higher pension than that admissible to him under the rules.

2. The only ground on which I am of opinion that there is any reason for exempting Mr. Powell from the operation of the Regulations is that, in the case of a man being re-employed for a second time at the age of 42, there appears to be some hardship in enforcing the deduction from his superannuation pension which is rightly prescribed when the first engagement is made at such an age. If, on reconsideration, you agree in this view, I have no objection to Mr. Powell being allowed the pension for which his length of qualifying service would render him eligible, without deduction.

I have, &c.

(Signed.) Henry H. Fowler,

George Hamilton

(True Copy.)

O. C. Mukerji

Registrar, Finance and Commerce Deptt.

5822
Dup

RRENT No. 4

[9] PENSION DEPARTMENT.

From

The Government of India,

Finance and Commerce Dept.

No. $\frac{P}{5822}$

Dated 16th } December 1895
Received 21st }
Enclosures 1

SUBJECT.

Stating that Mr. C. Powell is allowed the pension for which his length of qualifying service would render him eligible, without the deduction prescribed in Art. 521 (a), C. S. R.

C. No 1740

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ again the following papers.

G.O. No. 1347 Pension d/25: Octr. 1894. — (a)
Letter to the Govt. of India No. 429 Pension d/1st May 1895. (a)
Read also the following letter
From the Govt. of India, F.C. Dept. dated 16: Decr. 1895, No. $\frac{P}{5822}$ (a)

JG
13/1/96

[illegible]
14/1/96

Please see the A.G.'s letter marked with copy in G.O. $\frac{1347}{25/10/94}$ Pension

Order 16: January 1896 No. 36.

Under the sanction conveyed in the foregoing letter from the Govt. of India, and in supersession of G.O. $\frac{1347}{25\text{-}10\text{-}94}$ Pension, H.E. the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Mr C. Powell, a superannuation pension of Rs (66-10-8) p.m — the amount to which his length of qualifying service entitles him without the deduction under art. 521 (a) C.S.R. — payable at London with effect from 1st May 1894.

D
10.1.96 L.G. 10/1.

[illegible]
8.1.96

This is precisely what we tried to get from India - but India refused. (G.O. $\frac{337}{28.3.95}$) — [illegible]

L.G. 10/1.

To
The Acctt. Genl.
& Bd. of Rev. (S.R.)
Copy to Mr. Powell.

---

on th
their 55th year.

C No 1740.
Pension Dept

Mr. C. Powell's case

F. No. 41/96 | Draft order for approval

DISPOSED OF BY GOVT. ORDER
PENSION DEPT.
No. 36
Date 16-1-96

E

DESPATCH
D.D.

The Hon: Mr Grose, C.I.E
The Hon: Mr Bliss. C.I.E

4/1.

No 3257 High Court of Judicat

[G. / 53 A.]
300—13-11-95

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again G.Os 2183 / 28.10.95 & 2351 / 26.10.95, Read the following paper :—

From the Registrar of the High Court Appellate Side dated 14th November 1895 No 3254, submitting observations regarding the retention in service of the Gazetted officers in the Judicial Department who attain the age of 55years and above during 1896-97.

From the same officer, dated 14th November 1895 No 3255, stating that no recommendation ~~can~~ be made regarding the retention in service of Mr.T.C.Clarke after 31st March 1896 until the decision of the Secretary of State for India is known on the proposed changes in the High Court establishment.

Order dated 18th December 1895 No 2608.

The retention in service until 31st March 1897 of the following officers is sanctioned-

M.R.Ry.P.Srinivasa Rao Avargal Diwan Bahadur, Judge, City Civil Court,

Mr.V.P.DeRozario, Judge, Small Cause Court.

2. The recommendations of the High Court as regards the other officers are accepted viz that M.R.Ry.S.Krishnaswami Aiyar Avargal and M.R.Ry.E.Subbarayudu Nayudu Garu District Munsifs of Poonamallee (Chingleput) and Narsapur (Godavari) respectively should be retired with effect from the 1st April 1896 and that the marginally noted officers should be retired on their attaining their 55th year.

.R.Ry.E.K.Krishnan Al. Sub-Judge Palghat.
.. M.B.Sundara Rao Pantulu Garu Sub-Judge Coimbatore.
.. S.Anantarama Krishna Rao Al.Dt.Munsif, Kulitalai.
.. S.Subramaniya Aiyar Al. Dt.Munsif Payoli
ernandez Dt.Munsi

Copy of letter from the Registrar of the High Court, Appellate Side, dated 5th November 1895 No 3137:-

With reference to paragraph 1 of G.O. No 2183 Judicial dated the 28th ultimo, I am directed to invite the attention of Government to the concluding portion of my letter dated 1st March 1895 No 564 wherein it was recommended that M.R.Ry.V.Narayana Rao ~~Averag~~ Avargal, District Munsif of Krishnagiri, should be retired from the date~~d~~ of his completing his 55th year. Referring to paragraph 2 of the same G.O. I am to state that a reply will be sent at an early date regarding the retention in service of the other officers specified in the list received from the Accountant General.

[G./15] Pension Department

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

Current Number 1738

F.C. No 19/97

To

The Pension Department

DISPOSED OF BY GOVT. ORDER
Pension Dept.
No. 37
Date 16-1-96

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
21 DEC 95
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Proceedings, dated 18th December 1895.
No. 2608.

Subject.

Sanctioning the retention in the public service until the 31st March 1897 of M.R.Ry P. Srinivasa Rao & Mr. V.P. De Rozario Judges of the Madras City Civil Court & Small Cause Court & accepting the recommendation of the High Court as regards the retirement of certain Dt Munsifs—

1,000—20-2-93.

24-2-05

Order 16th Jany 1896 No. 37.

Recorded.
16.1.96

15.1.96
27/12

List

No. 3257

High Court of Judicat
Madras, 14th November

From

D. W. G. Cowie Esquire,
Ag. Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

To

The Acting Assistant Secretary
to Government,
Pension Department,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 81, Pension, dated the 3rd ultimo, addressed to the District Judge, Godavari, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to state whether G.O. No. 1508, Pension, dated 26th November 1894, was sent to him by Government.

2. One copy of the G.O. in question was sent to him from this office, on which he duly reported and the substance of his report was embodied in the High Court's letter to Government, No. 2895, dated 15th October 1895.

3. Unless a separate reference on the subject was made to the District Judge by Government, the official memorandum in question does not appear to have been called for.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
D W G Cowie
Ag. Registrar.

F.C. No 19/

SECY. GOVT. MADRAS
15 NOV 96
REV. DEPT.

1,000—20-2-93.

P.T.O.

[9]

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

From

The Ag. Registrar of the High Court
Appellate Side,
Madras.

No. 3257

Dated 14th } November 1895
Received 15th }
Enclosures nil

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed whether G.O. $\frac{1508}{26.11.94}$ was sent to the Dt. Judge, Godavari, by Govt.

P.T.O

× G.O. $\frac{950}{13.12.94}$ Pen in Pen

Order

The Pension G.O. $\frac{1508}{26.11.94}$ was ~~The Public Dept~~ communicated × with G.O. $\frac{950}{13.12.94}$ Public ~~Pension~~, to all Heads of Depts. under the Chief Secy. with the request that the reports called for may be sent direct to Pension Dept. Reports from some Dt. Judges having been received direct, a reminder was sent to the other Dt. Judges to expedite the submission of their reports; and this reminder was sent before receipt of the High Court's letter referred to.

KS
14/12

Was a copy actually sent by the Public Dept. to the Dt. Judges.
D

Ask also if Dist. Judges are head of Depts under CS
D

To

The Registrar,
High Court.
(A.S.)

List

× C.Nos. 193, 194 & 247.

List of Heads of Depts under Public Dept + Chief Secy
D

Judges are under Judl. not Public

14/12

Sir, The list is herewith submd. & the draft is amended accdy.
KS
14/12

C. No. 1573.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. 105

OFFICE NOTE.

Station Madras date 21st Decr. 1895.

From
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PENSION DEPARTMENT,

To
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Public Dept.

The Undersigned has the honor to request ~~that he may be informed whether~~ copies of G.O. $\frac{1508}{26.11.94}$ Pension, which were furnished to the Public Dept. for communication to Heads of departments under the Chief Secretary were sent by the Public Dept. to all the Dt. Judges separately or whether they were forwarded to the High Court for communication to them, and also whether Dt. Judges are treated as heads of departments under the Chief Secretary.

D
21.12.95

KS
20/12

[9]

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

From

The Ag. Registrar of the High Co
Appellate Side,
Madras.

No. 3257

Dated 14th } November 1895
Received 15th }
Enclosures nil

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed whether G.O. $\frac{1508}{26.11.94}$ was sent
the Dt. Judge, Godavari, by Govt.

P.T.O

P.T.O

C. No. 1573

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. 105

OFFICE NOTE.

Station Madras date 21st December 1895.

P 950 / 13-12-94

From

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 23 DEC 1895 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The Undersigned has the honor to request that he may be informed whether copies of G.O. No. 1508 Pension, dated 26th November 1894, which were furnished to the Public department for communication to Heads of departments under the Chief Secretary, were sent by the Public department to all the District Judges separately or whether they were forwarded to the High Court for communication to them, and also whether District Judges are treated as Heads of departments under the Chief Secretary.

Lionel Davidson
21-XII
Ag. Under Secretary
for Secretary to Govt.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 9 JAN 96 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Reply No. 12

Copies were supplied to the High Court for distribution to District Judges. The latter are not treated as Heads of departments.

W. W. Phillips
Ag. Assistant Secretary

21/12

Fort St George
6th January 1896

6/1/96

6/1/6

[G/9]
2,000—15-8-95.

~~4383~~

Current No. ~~37~~ 6 Pens ~~Public~~ DEPARTMENT.

From Indl. Pension Department R.D.

DISPOSED OF
PENSION DEPT.
No. 38
Date 16-1-96
GOVT. ORDER

No. Office Note. ~~105~~ 12 C.No.1573

Dated ~~21.12.95~~ 6-1-96
Received ~~23.12.95~~ 9-1-96
Regd. 3-1-96
Enclosures nil

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
9. JAN 96
PENSION DEPARTMENT

SUBJECT.

~~Requesting to be informed whether~~ stating that copies of G.O. No. 1508 Pension dated 26.11.'94 were not communicated to the Dist. Judges separately ~~or whether~~ but that they were forwarded to the High Court for communication to them, and that Dt. Judges are not treated as Heads of depts.

H.C.
Reply
27/13
6/1/6

P.T.O

Pub G.O. No 950 d/13-12-94

Read C.Nos. 1573/95 & 6/96
Order of 16' Jany 1896 No. 38.

2. The official memdm issued to appears to have been issued under a misapprehension due to the fact that reports had been received direct from certain Dist. Judges not from others. The Regr's letter of 15 Oct. 1895 No 2855 was received subsequently to the despatch of the reminder.

~~Order~~

1. The Registrar's query will be answered in the negative.

10-1-96

2. Reports from three District Judges having been received direct, a reminder was sent to the other District Judges requesting them to expedite the submission of their reports; and this reminder was sent before receipt of the High Court's letter refd. to.

SKS
16.1.96

I want the letter put up
D
16.1

To
The Regr., H.C., Appellate side

Sir. Herewith the subord.
SKS
16.1.96

× C.Nos 193, 194 & ~~27~~ 247

List

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted; and the communication should be addressed to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, and not to any officer by name.

[E. No.93.]
1,000—18-6-95.

FROM ~~I. S. SHERLOCK-HUBBARD~~ D. C. Gordon, Esq.,
EXAMINER OF P. W. ACCOUNTS, MADRAS,

To

The Under Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.

No. 4564.B. Madras, 21 December 1895.

Sir,

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
23 DEC 95
PENSION DEPARTMENT

In continuation of my report No.152 dated 12th. December 1895 on the pension application of S.A.Perianayaga Mudaliar, late draftsman, Bellary, I have the honour to state that the applicant's retention after date of medical certificate i.e. for the period 17th. to 19th. October 1895 has been sanctioned by Government under C.S.R. article No.499, and to request therefore that the necessary alteration may be made in his qualifying superior service. This will in no way affect the pension reported to be admissible, but his pension will be payable from 20th. October 1895.

2. The last pay certificate of the applicant is also forwarded for transmission to the Accountant General, Madras when his pension is sanctioned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. C. Gordon

Examiner, P.W. Accounts, Madras.

CP
21/12

Pension 1895 Department
Current Number 1743

From

The Examiner, P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

To

The Under Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS, PENSION DEPARTMENT.
20 DEC. 95

39
16-1-96

No. 4564.D

Dated 21st December 1895.
Recd.

S. A. Perianayaga Mudaliar, late draftsman, Bellary Division. Requesting to make certain alteration in the pension application of---- and also forwards a last pay certificate.

Order 16th Jany 1896 No. 39.

Recorded with reference to G.O. No. 1508 Pension, d/21st Decr. 1895.

2. The applicant's service after the date of the medical certificate of unfitness for further service has not been counted for pension as the addition of this service does not entitle him to an increased pension.

16-1-96

To

~~[illegible]~~.
~~[illegible]~~.
Examr. P.W. accts.
~~Supt. Engr. [illegible] Circle~~.

Note

Under art: 499 C.S.R, ~~[illegible]~~ service after the date of the medical certificate of unfitness does not count for pension, without the further special sanction of Govt., & in the present case there seems no reason to sanction the applicant's service after the date of m.c. to count for pension, as the addition of this service does not entitle him to an increased pension. Sub draft order for approval.

16/1/96