

Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 326

DATED 4<sup>th</sup> May

[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining to reconsider the orders already  
passed in the case of A. Venkataramanayya, late  
Head Clerk, D. C. District Court, Puttur.

# BACK PAPERS.

[ 7 ]  
504-11-6-84.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

|                     |                           |
|---------------------|---------------------------|
| PENSION DEPARTMENT. |                           |
| G.O., DATE          | 4 <sup>th</sup> May 1896. |
| No.                 | 326                       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

*When given for copying.*

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Declining to consider the orders  
already passed in the case of  
A. Venkataramanayya, late H.  
clerk, L.M.C. Pattur.*

18/4/96

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

587 OF 1896

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED  
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

CERTIFICATES, EXTRACTS FROM THE SERVICE BOOK, &C.,  
OF

A. VENKATARAMANAYYA.

VENKATARAMANAYYA, 6th Gumastha of this Court, is active and experienced in the Court business. He is also acquainted with English. I therefore recommend him for the vacant post of 5th Gumastha.

REPORT No 77, }  
11th September 1862. } (Signed) F. SALDANHA,  
Munsiff.

I entirely concur with my predecessor Mr. F. Saldanha, in considering A. VENKATARAMANAYYA zealous and assiduous in the discharge of his duties. He has a good knowledge of English, and has given me highest satisfaction. In considering of his conduct and ability I got him promoted from 5th to 3rd Gumasthaship two years ago, and I shall be very glad if he were promoted in the higher courts where, I hope, he will exhibit the same ability and give entire satisfaction to his superiors.

(Signed) T. SUBBANNA CHARY,  
District Munsiff.  
28th January 1878.

At the request of VENKATARAMANAYYA, the 3rd Gumastha of this Court, I beg to submit herewith his application for promotion for your honour's favourable consideration. He is a long standing servant, and well spoken of by my predecessor. He is an active and painstaking young man.

I beg to remain,  
Honoured Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,  
(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

5th January 1872.

"As Govindayya, the Head Gumastha of this Court is suspended by the order No. 25, I have appointed VENKATARAMANAYYA, 3rd Gumastha who appears to be careful and clever in keeping the accounts in the prescribed form, and who appears to have acted for Head Gumastha."

REPORT No. 54, }  
27th June 1872. } (Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

Munsiff's

For several years VENKATARAMANAYYA had no promotion; the establishment of the District Court being very limited, I regret to state that vacancies are very scarce, and when occurred his claims were overlooked. He was in Government service since before 1859. The late Munsiff has expressed that this Gumastha is qualified and quite competent to perform the duties of Head Gumastha, and since I took charge of the Court I have formed from personal observation that by his unexceptional conduct, he fully bears out what the late Munsiff has recorded. He is also an intelligent and very quick writer in Canarese and is

able to copy English judgments correctly, I therefore request that the claims and qualifications of this meritorious servant may be taken into consideration when the post of Head Gumastha is permanently filled up.

REPORT No. 74, }  
12th August 1872. }

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

Has given me entire satisfaction in the discharge of his duties, is a faithful and useful officer. I shall be glad to hear of his rise in the public service.

29th December 1888.

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

A. VENKATARAMANAYYA, Head Clerk of this Court, has worked under me for three years and a half, and proved himself a deserving public servant, possessing honesty, industry and experience. I shall be glad to hear of his advancement.

DISTRICT MUNSIF'S COURT, }  
PUTTUR, 12th July 1892. }

(Signed) K. KRISHNA RAO,  
District Munsiff.

(True Copies)

16<sup>th</sup> April 1896.

*A. Venkatarayan*

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE,  
SIR ARTHUR ELIBANK HAVELOCK, G. C. I. E.,  
GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.

The humble petition of A. VENKATA-  
RAMANAYYA, late Head Clerk, Dis-  
trict Munsiff's Court, Puttur, (S. Canara).

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

That your Excellency's Petitioner is a retired public servant of 36 years' approved service and was at the date of his compulsory retirement on 29th October, 1894, Head Clerk at the District Munsiff's Court, Puttur, S. Canara. On the 30th June, 1894, Petitioner took four months' leave, on the expiration of which the Munsiff received a resignation from Petitioner and sent up to the District Judge a pension application in his behalf. Petitioner having protested to the District Judge that he had been compelled to resign, his application was sent to the Munsiff for report. Thereupon the Munsiff examined on oath some of his subordinates, who stated that Petitioner had been paid certain sums of money by four clerks of the Court as an inducement for him to retire. On the strength of these allegations the District Judge found Petitioner guilty, but recommended him for his pension, and Petitioner regrets that Government in its order No. 1220, Pension, dated 19th October, 1895, has declined to sanction the pension.

Your Excellency's Petitioner, with that hope which is inspired by real innocence, craves permission to make one more appeal to your Excellency's mercy and readiness to do justice and to call attention to certain aspects of the case which Petitioner thinks he did not in his former petition bring to the prominent notice of Government:—

- (a) The statements on which Petitioner has been condemned were made behind his back and Petitioner was allowed no opportunity to cross-examine the deponents. The Munsiff in his Report says, "The inquiry about Venkataramanayya's having taken a remuneration from the other clerks was held in a legal way and in order to complete the case against Venkataramanayya it will be necessary only to examine himself regarding his false allegation and to allow him to cross-examine the witnesses if he desires." Yet the case against Petitioner was never completed in the manner referred to by the Munsiff and in the only way in which it should have been completed

in the interests of justice. Petitioner had no objection to an examination of himself from which he had nothing to fear, and he most humbly submits that he should have been allowed to cross-examine the witnesses against him, who expected to profit by his retirement and who have ruined him behind his back. It is very hard that a man after spending 36 years of the best part of his life in the honest and approved service of Government should in his old age be turned out without a pension on the strength of allegations made by interested persons behind his back and after an inquiry which was admittedly incomplete and was wanting in the most essential requirement of justice and fairness.

- (b) The inquiry was held by—and Petitioner says this with the utmost respect—an officer against whom he had complained and it was his most painful and unprecedented lot to complain. Petitioner prays your Excellency to consider whether the truth was likely to come out in such circumstances.
- (c) As an example of the way in which cross-examination of the deponents would have contributed to bring out the truth, Petitioner begs to mention one important circumstance. One of the deponents in effect says that on the day when the Munsiff forwarded the pension application in behalf of Petitioner he had apprised the Munsiff of the alleged payment made by the clerks to Petitioner. Yet the Munsiff held no inquiry into the alleged misdemeanour of Petitioner while forwarding his pension application, although the Munsiff added a remark that Petitioner was insincere and untrustworthy—a remark which was made after the Munsiff had called upon Petitioner to sign the pension application, but had in reply been informed that he had complained to the District Judge that he had been compelled to resign. This shows that the deponent's statement is improbable and untrue. Petitioner is sure that the case against him would have totally broken down if he had been allowed to put the deponent's statements to the test.

2. With reference to the remark in the Government Order that Petitioner's "initial offence was further aggravated by his making false and malicious accusations against the head of the office in which he was employed," Petitioner most respectfully begs to submit that a person in his position was incapable of doing anything malicious against a superior on whose good will his future practically depended. Petitioner's conduct was perhaps unwise and none can regret more than he that it should have been his lot to be driven to it, but he respectfully begs to assure your Excellency that his conduct was dictated by a keen sense of distress and dismay at the prospect of being summarily and in an unusual manner

deprived of the eagerly expected, and in his poor circumstances, very necessary fruits of 36 years of hard and approved service under Government.

3. For the honest and satisfactory manner in which he had always discharged his duties, Petitioner humbly begs to refer your Excellency to the certificates given to him by his various superiors. (*Vide* copies appended.)

4. In conclusion, your Excellency's Petitioner most respectfully prays that the attitude which he had to take up towards his superior may be forgiven in the circumstances of the case, and that in consideration of his long and faithful service he may be granted his hard-earned pension in his old age.

For which act of mercy and justice, your Excellency's Petitioner, as in duty bound, shall EVER PRAY.

16<sup>th</sup> April 1896.

*A. J. Cunniff*

CERTIFICATES, EXTRACTS FROM THE SERVICE BOOK, &C.,  
OF

A. VENKATARAMANAYYA.

VENKATARAMANAYYA, 6th Gumastha of this Court, is active and experienced in the Court business. He is also acquainted with English. I therefore recommend him for the vacant post of 5th Gumastha.

REPORT No. 77, }  
11th September 1862. }

(Signed) F. SALDANHA,  
Munsiff.

I entirely concur with my predecessor Mr. F. Saldanha, in considering A. VENKATARAMANAYYA zealous and assiduous in the discharge of his duties. He has a good knowledge of English, and has given me highest satisfaction. In considering of his conduct and ability I got him promoted from 5th to 3rd Gumasthaship two years ago, and I shall be very glad if he were promoted in the higher courts where, I hope, he will exhibit the same ability and give entire satisfaction to his superiors.

(Signed) T. SUBBANNA CHARY,  
District Munsiff.  
28th January 1870.

At the request of VENKATARAMANAYYA, the 3rd Gumastha of this Court, I beg to submit herewith his application for promotion for your honour's favourable consideration. He is a long standing servant, and well spoken of by my predecessor. He is an active and painstaking young man.

I beg to remain,  
Honoured Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,  
(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

5th January 1872.

"As Govindayya, the Head Gumastha of this Court is suspended by the order "No. 25, I have appointed VENKATARAMANAYYA, 3rd Gumastha who appears to be careful and "clever in keeping the accounts in the prescribed form, and who appears to have acted for "Head Gumastha."

REPORT No. 54, }  
27th June 1872. }

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

Munsiff  
For several years VENKATARAMANAYYA had no promotion; the establishment of the District Court being very limited, I regret to state that vacancies are very scarce, and when occurred his claims were overlooked. He was in Government service since before 1859. The late Munsiff has expressed that this Gumastha is qualified and quite competent to perform the duties of Head Gumastha, and since I took charge of the Court I have formed from personal observation that by his unexceptional conduct, he fully bears out what the late Munsiff has recorded. He is also an intelligent and very quick writer in Canarese and is

Duplicate Copy  
is.c. B. C. No. 1822.  
with its enclosure.

able to copy English judgments correctly, I therefore request that the claims and qualifications of this meritorious servant may be taken into consideration when the post of Head Gumastha is permanently filled up.

REPORT No. 74, }  
12th August 1872. }

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

Has given me entire satisfaction in the discharge of his duties, is a faithful and useful officer. I shall be glad to hear of his rise in the public service.

29th December 1888.

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

A. VENKATARAMANAYYA, Head Clerk of this Court, has worked under me for three years and a half, and proved himself a deserving public servant, possessing honesty, industry and experience. I shall be glad to hear of his advancement.

DISTRICT MUNSIF'S COURT, }  
PUTTUR, 12th July 1892. }

(Signed) K. KRISHNA RAO,  
District Munsiff.

(True Copies)

16th April 1896.

*K. Krishna Rao*

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE,

SIR ARTHUR ELIBANK HAVELOCK, G. C. I. E.,

GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.

The humble petition of A. VENKATARAMANAYYA, late Head Clerk, District Munsiff's Court, Puttur, (S. Canara).

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

That your Excellency's Petitioner is a retired public servant of 36 years' approved service and was at the date of his compulsory retirement on 29th October, 1894, Head Clerk at the District Munsiff's Court, Puttur, S. Canara. On the 30th June, 1894, Petitioner took four months' leave, on the expiration of which the Munsiff received a resignation from Petitioner and sent up to the District Judge a pension application in his behalf. Petitioner having protested to the District Judge that he had been compelled to resign, his application was sent to the Munsiff for report. Thereupon the Munsiff examined on oath some of his subordinates, who stated that Petitioner had been paid certain sums of money by four clerks of the Court as an inducement for him to retire. On the strength of these allegations the District Judge found Petitioner guilty, but recommended him for his pension, and Petitioner regrets that Government in its order No. 1220, Pension, dated 19th October, 1895, has declined to sanction the pension.

Your Excellency's Petitioner, with that hope which is inspired by real innocence, craves permission to make one more appeal to your Excellency's mercy and readiness to do justice and to call attention to certain aspects of the case which Petitioner thinks he did not in his former petition bring to the prominent notice of Government:—

- (a) The statements on which Petitioner has been condemned were made behind his back and Petitioner was allowed no opportunity to cross-examine the deponents. The Munsiff in his Report says, "The inquiry about Venkataramanayya's having taken a remuneration from the other clerks was held in a legal way and in order to complete the case against Venkataramanayya it will be necessary only to examine himself regarding his false allegation and to allow him to cross-examine the witnesses if he desires." Yet the case against Petitioner was never completed in the manner referred to by the Munsiff and in the only way in which it should have been completed

in the interests of justice. Petitioner had no objection to an examination of himself from which he had nothing to fear, and he most humbly submits that he should have been allowed to cross-examine the witnesses against him, who expected to profit by his retirement and who have ruined him behind his back. It is very hard that a man after spending 36 years of the best part of his life in the honest and approved service of Government should in his old age be turned out without a pension on the strength of allegations made by interested persons behind his back and after an inquiry which was admittedly incomplete and was wanting in the most essential requirement of justice and fairness.

- (b) The inquiry was held by—and Petitioner says this with the utmost respect—an officer against whom he had complained and it was his most painful and unprecedented lot to complain. Petitioner prays your Excellency to consider whether the truth was likely to come out in such circumstances.
- (c) As an example of the way in which cross-examination of the deponents would have contributed to bring out the truth, Petitioner begs to mention one important circumstance. One of the deponents in effect says that on the day when the Munsiff forwarded the pension application in behalf of Petitioner he had apprised the Munsiff of the alleged payment made by the clerks to Petitioner. Yet the Munsiff held no inquiry into the alleged misdemeanour of Petitioner while forwarding his pension application, although the Munsiff added a remark that Petitioner was insincere and untrustworthy—a remark which was made after the Munsiff had called upon Petitioner to sign the pension application, but had in reply been informed that he had complained to the District Judge that he had been compelled to resign. This shows that the deponent's statement is improbable and untrue. Petitioner is sure that the case against him would have totally broken down if he had been allowed to put the deponent's statements to the test.

2. With reference to the remark in the Government Order that Petitioner's "initial offence was further aggravated by his making false and malicious accusations against the head of the office in which he was employed," Petitioner most respectfully begs to submit that a person in his position was incapable of doing anything malicious against a superior on whose good will his future practically depended. Petitioner's conduct was perhaps unwise and none can regret more than he that it should have been his lot to be driven to it, but he respectfully begs to assure your Excellency that his conduct was dictated by a keen sense of distress and dismay at the prospect of being summarily and in an unusual manner

deprived of the eagerly expected, and in his poor circumstances, very necessary fruits of 36 years of hard and approved service under Government.

3. For the honest and satisfactory manner in which he had always discharged his duties, Petitioner humbly begs to refer your Excellency to the certificates given to him by his various superiors. (*Vide* copies appended.)

4. In conclusion, your Excellency's Petitioner most respectfully prays that the attitude which he had to take up towards his superior may be forgiven in the circumstances of the case, and that in consideration of his long and faithful service he may be granted his hard-earned pension in his old age.

For which act of mercy and justice, your Excellency's Petitioner, as in duty bound, shall EVER PRAY.

16<sup>th</sup> April 1896.


*A. M. Srinivasan*

CURRENT NO. 22

Judicial

From

A. Venkataramanaya  
Late Head Clerk,  
District Munsiff's Court  
Puttur,  
South Canara.



Dated 16<sup>th</sup> April 1896.

Recd 20/4

Regd 21/4

Enclor. one

Subject.

Praying that, under the cir-  
cumstances stated, his  
claims for pension may be  
favorably reconsidered.

For the Hon. Secy.

Transferred to the Pension

Department in Calcutta

for consideration on 22/9/96

22/9/96

Ag. Secy. to Govt.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE,  
SIR ARTHUR ELIBANK HAVELOCK, G. C. I. E.,  
GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.

The humble petition of A. VENKATA-  
RAMANAYYA, late Head Clerk, Dis-  
trict Munsiff's Court, Puttur, (S. Canara).

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

That your Excellency's Petitioner is a retired public servant of 36 years' approved service and was at the date of his compulsory retirement on 29th October, 1894, Head Clerk at the District Munsiff's Court, Puttur, S. Canara. On the 30th June, 1894, Petitioner took four months' leave, on the expiration of which the Munsiff received a resignation from Petitioner and sent up to the District Judge a pension application in his behalf. Petitioner having protested to the District Judge that he had been compelled to resign, his application was sent to the Munsiff for report. Thereupon the Munsiff examined on oath some of his subordinates, who stated that Petitioner had been paid certain sums of money by four clerks of the Court as an inducement for him to retire. On the strength of these allegations the District Judge found Petitioner guilty, but recommended him for his pension, and Petitioner regrets that Government in its order No. 1220, Pension, dated 19th October, 1895, has declined to sanction the pension.

Your Excellency's Petitioner, with that hope which is inspired by real innocence, craves permission to make one more appeal to your Excellency's mercy and readiness to do justice and to call attention to certain aspects of the case which Petitioner thinks he did not in his former petition bring to the prominent notice of Government:—

- (a) The statements on which Petitioner has been condemned were made behind his back and Petitioner was allowed no opportunity to cross-examine the deponents. The Munsiff in his Report says, "The inquiry about Venkataramanayya's having taken a remuneration from the other clerks was held in a legal way and in order to complete the case against Venkataramanayya it will be necessary only to examine himself regarding his false allegation and to allow him to cross-examine the witnesses if he desires." Yet the case against Petitioner was never completed in the manner referred to by the Munsiff and in the only way in which it should have been completed

in the interests of justice. Petitioner had no objection to an examination of himself from which he had nothing to fear, and he most humbly submits that he should have been allowed to cross-examine the witnesses against him, who expected to profit by his retirement and who have ruined him behind his back. It is very hard that a man after spending 36 years of the best part of his life in the honest and approved service of Government should in his old age be turned out without a pension on the strength of allegations made by interested persons behind his back and after an inquiry which was admittedly incomplete and was wanting in the most essential requirement of justice and fairness.

(b) The inquiry was held by—and Petitioner says this with the utmost respect—an officer against whom he had complained and it was his most painful and unprecedented lot to complain. Petitioner prays your Excellency to consider whether the truth was likely to come out in such circumstances.

(c) As an example of the way in which cross-examination of the deponents would have contributed to bring out the truth, Petitioner begs to mention one important circumstance. One of the deponents in effect says that on the day when the Munsiff forwarded the pension application in behalf of Petitioner he had apprised the Munsiff of the alleged payment made by the clerks to Petitioner. Yet the Munsiff held no inquiry into the alleged misdemeanour of Petitioner while forwarding his pension application, although the Munsiff added a remark that Petitioner was insincere and untrustworthy—a remark which was made after the Munsiff had called upon Petitioner to sign the pension application, but had in reply been informed that he had complained to the District Judge that he had been compelled to resign. This shows that the deponent's statement is improbable and untrue. Petitioner is sure that the case against him would have totally broken down if he had been allowed to put the deponent's statements to the test.

2. With reference to the remark in the Government Order that Petitioner's "initial offence was further aggravated by his making false and malicious accusations against the head of the office in which he was employed," Petitioner most respectfully begs to submit that a person in his position was incapable of doing anything malicious against a superior on whose good will his future practically depended. Petitioner's conduct was perhaps unwise and none can regret more than he that it should have been his lot to be driven to it, but he respectfully begs to assure your Excellency that his conduct was dictated by a keen sense of distress and dismay at the prospect of being summarily and in an unusual manner

deprived of the eagerly expected, and in his poor circumstances, very necessary fruits of 36 years of hard and approved service under Government.

3. For the honest and satisfactory manner in which he had always discharged his duties, Petitioner humbly begs to refer your Excellency to the certificates given to him by his various superiors. (*Vide* copies appended.)

4. In conclusion, your Excellency's Petitioner most respectfully prays that the attitude which he had to take up towards his superior may be forgiven in the circumstances of the case, and that in consideration of his long and faithful service he may be granted his hard-earned pension in his old age.

For which act of mercy and justice, your Excellency's Petitioner, as in duty bound, shall EVER PRAY.

*Subramanyam*

16th April 1896.

CERTIFICATES, EXTRACTS FROM THE SERVICE BOOK, &C.,  
OF

A. VENKATARAMANAYYA.

VENKATARAMANAYYA, 6th Gumastha of this Court, is active and experienced in the Court business. He is also acquainted with English. I therefore recommend him for the vacant post of 5th Gumastha.

REPORT No. 77, }  
11th September 1862. }

(Signed) F. SALDANHA,  
Munsiff.

I entirely concur with my predecessor Mr. F. Saldanha, in considering A. VENKATARAMANAYYA zealous and assiduous in the discharge of his duties. He has a good knowledge of English, and has given me highest satisfaction. In considering of his conduct and ability I got him promoted from 5th to 3rd Gumasthaship two years ago, and I shall be very glad if he were promoted in the higher courts where, I hope, he will exhibit the same ability and give entire satisfaction to his superiors.

(Signed) T. SUBBANNA CHARY,  
District Munsiff.  
28th January 187<sup>0</sup>.

At the request of VENKATARAMANAYYA, the 3rd Gumastha of this Court, I beg to submit herewith his application for promotion for your honour's favourable consideration. He is a long standing servant, and well spoken of by my predecessor. He is an active and painstaking young man.

I beg to remain,  
Honoured Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,  
(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

5th January 1872.


"As Govindayya, the Head Gumastha of this Court is suspended by the order "No. 25, I have appointed VENKATARAMANAYYA, 3rd Gumastha who appears to be careful and "clever in keeping the accounts in the prescribed form, and who appears to have acted for "Head Gumastha."

REPORT No. 54, }  
27th June 1872. }

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

Munsiff's

For several years VENKATARAMANAYYA had no promotion; the establishment of the District Court being very limited, I regret to state that vacancies are very scarce, and when occurred his claims were overlooked. He was in Government service since before 1859. The late Munsiff has expressed that this Gumastha is qualified and quite competent to perform the duties of Head Gumastha, and since I took charge of the Court I have formed from personal observation that by his unexceptional conduct, he fully bears out what the late Munsiff has recorded. He is also an intelligent and very quick writer in Canarese and is



able to copy English judgments correctly, I therefore request that the claims and qualifications of this meritorious servant may be taken into consideration when the post of Head Gumastha is permanently filled up.

REPORT No. 74, }  
12th August 1872. }

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

Has given me entire satisfaction in the discharge of his duties, is a faithful and useful officer. I shall be glad to hear of his rise in the public service.

29th December 1888. (Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.


A. VENKATARAMANAYYA, Head Clerk of this Court, has worked under me for three years and a half, and proved himself a deserving public servant, possessing honesty, industry and experience. I shall be glad to hear of his advancement.

DISTRICT MUNSIFF'S COURT, } (Signed) K. KRISHNA RAO,  
PUTTUR, 12th July 1892. } District Munsiff.

(True Copies)

16<sup>th</sup> April 1896.

*A. Venkataramanayya*



Petition from *A. Venkataramanayya*  
late Head Clerk, District  
Munsiff's Court, Puttur  
S. Canara.

DATED 16<sup>th</sup> April 1896.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to  
Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

*H. Altmann*  
Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,  
*Potacamanayya*  
18 April 1896.

CURRENT No. 1850



(1896) DEPARTMENT.

Judicial.

From

A. Stuart Esq. J.C.

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE

RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 18222 1050

2

Endorsement No. 890

Transferred to the Pension

Dept.

Ranar

Ap. Under Secretary

Dated

18

1896

Received

Enclos. 2.

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from A. Venkata-  
kamaraiayya late Head Clerk  
District Munsiff's Court  
Puttur (S. Canara) praying  
that he may be granted  
a pension.

MS

23/4/96.

Cotacamund

27<sup>th</sup> April 1896

He. E

Circ. with pension

C. 357. no. 1000

to Sect.

28/4/96

To The Pension Dept.

S FROM THE SERVICE BOOK, &C.,  
OF

ATARAMANAYYA.

astha of this Court, is active and experienced in the  
with English. I therefore recommend him for the

(Signed) F. SALDANHA,  
Munsiff.

decessor Mr. F. Saldanha, in considering A. VENKATA-  
discharge of his duties. He has a good knowledge  
satisfaction. In considering of his conduct and abi-  
rd Gumasthaship two years ago, and I shall be very  
courts where, I hope, he will exhibit the same ability  
ors.

(Signed) T. SUBBANNA CHARY,  
District Munsiff.

MANAYYA, the 3rd Gumastha of this Court, I beg to  
motion for your honour's favourable consideration.  
spoken of by my predecessor. He is an active and

I beg to remain,  
Honoured Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,  
(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

umastha of this Court is suspended by the order  
NAYYA, 3rd Gumastha who appears to be careful and

"clever in keeping the accounts in the prescribed form, and who appears to have acted for  
"Head Gumastha."

REPORT No. 54,  
27th June 1872.

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

Munsiff's For several years VENKATARAMANAYYA had no promotion; the establishment of the  
District Court being very limited, I regret to state that vacancies are very scarce, and when  
occurred his claims were overlooked. He was in Government service since before 1859. The  
late Munsiff has expressed that this Gumastha is qualified and quite competent to perform  
the duties of Head Gumastha, and since I took charge of the Court I have formed from  
personal observation that by his unexceptional conduct, he fully bears out what the late  
Munsiff has recorded. He is also an intelligent and very quick writer in Canarese and is

CURRENT No. 1850  
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS  
25 APR 96  
(1896) DEPARTMENT.  
Judicial.

From *A. Sultant Esq. J.C.*  
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE  
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR.

To  
THE CHIEF SECRETARY  
TO GOVERNMENT,  
DEPARTMENT.

*Note*  
*This is another copy of C. No. 18224*

Dated *18* April 1896  
Received

Enclos. 2.  
ENCLOSURE. *MADE*

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from *A. Venkata-*  
*kamaniyya* late Head Clerk  
District Munsiff's Court  
*Puthur (S. Canara)* praying  
that he may be granted  
a pension.

*C. No. 18224 1850*

*Endorsement No. 890*

*Transferred to the Pension*  
*Dept.*  
*Kanai*

*23/4/96.*

*Cotacamund*  
*27th April 1896*

*He E*  
*Circ. with pension*  
*C. 351. now going*  
*to Secy.*

*28/4/96*

*To The Pension Dept.*

CERTIFICATES, EXTRACTS FROM THE SERVICE BOOK, &c.,  
OF  
A. VENKATARAMANAYYA.

VENKATARAMANAYYA, 6th Gumastha of this Court, is active and experienced in the Court business. He is also acquainted with English. I therefore recommend him for the vacant post of 5th Gumastha.

REPORT No. 77, }  
11th September 1862. }  
(Signed) F. SALDANHA,  
Munsiff.

I entirely concur with my predecessor Mr. F. Saldanha, in considering A. VENKATARAMANAYYA zealous and assiduous in the discharge of his duties. He has a good knowledge of English, and has given me highest satisfaction. In considering of his conduct and ability I got him promoted from 5th to 3rd Gumasthaship two years ago, and I shall be very glad if he were promoted in the higher courts where, I hope, he will exhibit the same ability and give entire satisfaction to his superiors.

(Signed) T. SUBBANNA CHARY,  
District Munsiff.  
28th January 1874.

At the request of VENKATARAMANAYYA, the 3rd Gumastha of this Court, I beg to submit herewith his application for promotion for your honour's favourable consideration. He is a long standing servant, and well spoken of by my predecessor. He is an active and painstaking young man.

I beg to remain,  
Honoured Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,  
(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

5th January 1872.

"As Govindayya, the Head Gumastha of this Court is suspended by the order "No. 25, I have appointed VENKATARAMANAYYA, 3rd Gumastha who appears to be careful and "clever in keeping the accounts in the prescribed form, and who appears to have acted for "Head Gumastha."

REPORT No. 54, }  
27th June 1872. }  
(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

For several years VENKATARAMANAYYA had no promotion; the establishment of the District Court being very limited, I regret to state that vacancies are very scarce, and when occurred his claims were overlooked. He was in Government service since before 1859. The late Munsiff has expressed that this Gumastha is qualified and quite competent to perform the duties of Head Gumastha, and since I took charge of the Court I have formed from personal observation that by his unexceptional conduct, he fully bears out what the late Munsiff has recorded. He is also an intelligent and very quick writer in Canarese and is

*Munsiff's*

able to copy English judgments correctly, I therefore request that the claims and qualifications of this meritorious servant may be taken into consideration when the post of Head Gumastha is permanently filled up.

REPORT No. 74, }  
12th August 1872. }

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

Has given me entire satisfaction in the discharge of his duties, is a faithful and useful officer. I shall be glad to hear of his rise in the public service.

29th December 1888.

(Signed) J. LOBO,  
Acting District Munsiff.

A. VENKATARAMANAYYA, Head Clerk of this Court, has worked under me for three years and a half, and proved himself a deserving public servant, possessing honesty, industry and experience. I shall be glad to hear of his advancement.

DISTRICT MUNSIFF'S COURT, }  
PUTTUR, 12th July 1892. }

(Signed) K. KRISHNA RAO,  
District Munsiff.

16<sup>th</sup> April 1896.

/ True Copies /

*A. Venkatarayan*

60,357/96



To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE,

SIR ARTHUR ELIBANK HAVELOCK, G. C. I. E.,

GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.

The humble petition of A. VENKATARAMANAYYA, late Head Clerk, District Munsiff's Court, Puttur, (S. Canara).

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

That your Excellency's Petitioner is a retired public servant of 36 years' approved service and was at the date of his compulsory retirement on 29th October, 1894, Head Clerk at the District Munsiff's Court, Puttur, S. Canara. On the 30th June, 1894, Petitioner took four months' leave, on the expiration of which the Munsiff received a resignation from Petitioner and sent up to the District Judge a pension application in his behalf. Petitioner having protested to the District Judge that he had been compelled to resign, his application was sent to the Munsiff for report. Thereupon the Munsiff examined on oath some of his subordinates, who stated that Petitioner had been paid certain sums of money by four clerks of the Court as an inducement for him to retire. On the strength of these allegations the District Judge found Petitioner guilty, but recommended him for his pension, and Petitioner regrets that Government in its order No. 1220, Pension, dated 19th October, 1895, has declined to sanction the pension.

Your Excellency's Petitioner, with that hope which is inspired by real innocence, craves permission to make one more appeal to your Excellency's mercy and readiness to do justice and to call attention to certain aspects of the case which Petitioner thinks he did not in his former petition bring to the prominent notice of Government:—

- (a) The statements on which Petitioner has been condemned were made behind his back and Petitioner was allowed no opportunity to cross-examine the deponents. The Munsiff in his Report says, "The inquiry about Venkataramanayya's having taken a remuneration from the other clerks was held in a legal way and in order to complete the case against Venkataramanayya it will be necessary only to examine himself regarding his false allegation and to allow him to cross-examine the witnesses if he desires." Yet the case against Petitioner was never completed in the manner referred to by the Munsiff and in the only way in which it should have been completed

in the interests of justice. Petitioner had no objection to an examination of himself from which he had nothing to fear, and he most humbly submits that he should have been allowed to cross-examine the witnesses against him, who expected to profit by his retirement and who have ruined him behind his back. It is very hard that a man after spending 36 years of the best part of his life in the honest and approved service of Government should in his old age be turned out without a pension on the strength of allegations made by interested persons behind his back and after an inquiry which was admittedly incomplete and was wanting in the most essential requirement of justice and fairness.

(b) The inquiry was held by—and Petitioner says this with the utmost respect—an officer against whom he had complained and it was his most painful and unprecedented lot to complain. Petitioner prays your Excellency to consider whether the truth was likely to come out in such circumstances.

(c) As an example of the way in which cross-examination of the deponents would have contributed to bring out the truth, Petitioner begs to mention one important circumstance. One of the deponents in effect says that on the day when the Munsiff forwarded the pension application in behalf of Petitioner he had apprised the Munsiff of the alleged payment made by the clerks to Petitioner. Yet the Munsiff held no inquiry into the alleged misdemeanour of Petitioner while forwarding his pension application, although the Munsiff added a remark that Petitioner was insincere and untrustworthy—a remark which was made after the Munsiff had called upon Petitioner to sign the pension application, but had in reply been informed that he had complained to the District Judge that he had been compelled to resign. This shows that the deponent's statement is improbable and untrue. Petitioner is sure that the case against him would have totally broken down if he had been allowed to put the deponent's statements to the test.

2. With reference to the remark in the Government Order that Petitioner's "initial offence was further aggravated by his making false and malicious accusations against the head of the office in which he was employed," Petitioner most respectfully begs to submit that a person in his position was incapable of doing anything malicious against a superior on whose good will his future practically depended. Petitioner's conduct was perhaps unwise and none can regret more than he that it should have been his lot to be driven to it, but he respectfully begs to assure your Excellency that his conduct was dictated by a keen sense of distress and dismay at the prospect of being summarily and in an unusual manner

deprived of the eagerly expected, and in his poor circumstances, very necessary fruits of 36 years of hard and approved service under Government.

3. For the honest and satisfactory manner in which he had always discharged his duties, Petitioner humbly begs to refer your Excellency to the certificates given to him by his various superiors. (*Vide* copies appended.)

4. In conclusion, your Excellency's Petitioner most respectfully prays that the attitude which he had to take up towards his superior may be forgiven in the circumstances of the case, and that in consideration of his long and faithful service he may be granted his hard-earned pension in his old age.

For which act of mercy and justice, your Excellency's Petitioner, as in duty bound, shall EVER PRAY.

16<sup>th</sup> April 1896.

*A. M. M. M.*

L.

Pa



The Honorable A. W. Baker, Esq.,  
Member of the Council of  
the Governor of Fort St. George  
St. John'sville  
Cotacaimund.

80 1220 P  
19-10-95

29/4

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
When signed \_\_\_\_\_

189

[9]

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

AD AGAIN G.O., No. \_\_\_\_\_, DATED \_\_\_\_\_  
189

Hon<sup>ble</sup> W. Rose C.E.

Order 4: May 1896 No. 326.

29

30/4/96

From

The Govt. declines to reconsider the orders already

A. Venkata Ramanayya

passed.

28-7-86

29/4/96

Lake Head (Ct.),

Desistur Kimmseff

Cauet, Putter


J. Cauasa.

This review petition is substantially identical with the M.S. pet<sup>n</sup> in G.O. 1220 of 19 Oct<sup>r</sup>, 1895; Tifford's To the Pet<sup>r</sup>. No ground for reconsideration.

28-7-86

Para 1(c) contains a new statement but the point is not material and of the state is true & J.A.

OSURES TO G. No.



The Hon<sup>ble</sup> W. Rose C.E.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

Dated 16<sup>th</sup>

Received 22<sup>nd</sup>

April '96

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Praying that he may be granted a pension for his long & faithful service rendered to Govt.

OF GOVERNMENT .. .. A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

MENT WITH DITTO.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- F = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.