Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 320

DATED 30 April

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an Invalid gratuity of Rs. 35-0-0 to K. Govindappa Naicker, late process-Server, District Munsif's Court, Karur, Coimbatore Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

167

668

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Kanagi Govindappa Vadeiken.
2. Father's name. Kanagi Vankatarangaiah Vadeiken.
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Teluguan Vadiga, Kshatriya.
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Kanagi, Cuddapah District.
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Peon to Tahsildar 2/15 Office Cuddapah District Kanagi
6. Date of beginning of service. 12-6-76.
7. Date of ending of service. 31-1-96

	Y.	M.	D.
8 Length of service, including interruptions	19	7	19
of which superior	"	—	—
inferior	19	7	19
non-qualifying and interruptions	"	—	—

9. Class of ~~pension or~~ gratuity applied for, and cause of application. ~~Compensation~~ Invalid gratuity. Article 524. The applicant being 60 years old is incapable for further service
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees 7 — —
11. Proposed pension. " — —
12. Proposed gratuity. 35 — —
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1st February 1896. †
14. Place of payment. Kanagi Kanagi Taluq Cuddapah District
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† January 1836.
16. Height. 5 feet 6 inches.
17. Marks. Has a scar back below the right eye. Nose is a long one. Has below the right eye three small black moles also one such below the left eye.
18. Date 29th February 1896

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

V. Swaminatha Sastry
Deputy Tahsildar
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

Rs. 35.
District Judge

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
	Process Server	6-0-0	0-0-0	12-6-76	21-1-78	1	7	10	0	0	0			Verified from the records of the District Court of Karwar & the verification confirmed by reference to the Annual Returns on record in this office.
	Leave	"	"	22-1-78	21-3-78	"	2	10	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	1-4-78	18-6-78	"	2	18	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	19-6-78	7-8-78	"	1	19	"	"	"			
	Leave	"	"	8-8-78	4-12-78	"	3	27	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	5-12-78	21-8-79	"	8	17	"	"	"			
	Leave	"	"	22-8-79	6-9-79	"	"	15	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	6-9-79	28-9-80	1	"	23	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	29-9-80	15-10-80	"	"	17	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	16-10-80	1-3-81	"	4	16	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	2-3-81	30-6-81	"	3	29	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	1-7-81	20-2-83	1	7	20	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	21-2-83	23-2-83	"	"	3	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	24-2-83	31-8-83	"	6	7	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	1-9-83	31-3-84	"	7	"	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	1-4-84	14-2-88	3	10	14	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	16-2-88	3-6-88	"	3	19	"	"	"			
	Leave	"	"	4-6-88	25-6-88	"	"	22	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	26-6-88	21-3-89	"	8	26	"	"	"			
	Process Server	6-0-0	"	1-4-89	1-4-89	"	"	"	"	"	"			
	Process Server	7-0-0	"	1-4-89	31-3-91	2	"	"	"	"	"			
	Process Server	7-0-0	"	1-4-91	4-10-91	"	6	4	"	"	"			
	Reg. Process Server	7-0-0	"	5-10-91	3-2-92	"	3	29	"	"	"			
	Process Server	7-0-0	"	4-2-92	31-5-93	1	3	27	"	"	"			
	Process Server	7-0-0	"	1-6-93	25-7-93	"	1	25	"	"	"			
	Process Server	7-0-0	"	26-7-93	17-12-93	"	4	22	"	"	"			
	Process Server	7-0-0	"	18-12-93	30-8-94	"	9	13	"	"	"			
	Leave	"	"	1-10-94	30-11-94	"	2	"	"	"	"			
	Process Server	7-0-0	"	1-12-94	22-6-95	"	6	22	"	"	"			
	Leave	"	"	23-6-95	30-9-95	"	3	8	"	"	"			
	Process Server	7-0-0	"	1-10-95	31-1-96	"	4	"	"	"	"			
	Total period of qualifying Service					19	7	19	0	"	"			

Certified that the entries in this Service Book have been attested by the Head of the Office.

Certified that the entries in this Service Book have been attested by the Head of the Office.

All the entries in this Service Book have been attested by the Head of the Office.

S. Shanbhag
District Judge

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Nil.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI). Nil.

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil

5. Any other remarks. Insufficient for duty on account of old age (60 years)

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. I consider the service claimed is acceptable & should be admitted.

T. Bramley Moore
Officiating Accountant General
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in ~~inferior or superior~~ grades has been duly proved for 19 years 7 months 19 days; and that a ~~(pension or~~ invalid gratuity) not exceeding Rs. 35/- Rs Thirty five only ~~per mensem~~ equal to 5 months pay is admissible under Article ~~461 & 524(a)~~ of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).~~

In accordance with Rule 3 under Art 994(b), the attention of Govt is respectfully solicited to art 514 of the Regulations.

No Audl
14

Fort St George
Accountants Office
15 April 96.

To The Under Secretary to Govt
Pension department.

Offg. Accountant General.
~~Audit Officer.~~

E.D.
M.N.

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Office of the Dt. Mdl. & Sanitary Officer, Coimbatore,

No. 151 27th March 1895.

With reference to letter No. 404, dated 25th March 95.

from the District Munsif of Karur

I certify that I have this day examined the undermentioned person and find him fit for one years further service.

Govindappa Naick Process Server of Munsif's court Karur

Surgeon Lt. Col,
District Medical & Sanitary Officer.

C. No 318

Application for Pension or Gratuity—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned. Rs. 32-0-0

Date of Commencement.

Date of sanction. Cir. 318/96

5681
1895
607

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE ATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact height. Feet.	Exact height. Ins.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Pramukha Karoka	Canara Lascar	Cochin Canara	Veerakanna Karoka	Cochin Canara	January 1866	42	5	29	5	6	Has a scar just below the right ear.	29th June 78	[illegible]	[illegible] Dist. Magt.
														Dist. Surgeon

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment	11 Reason of termination. *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
35th Peon Process service Estabt of 1st Class Court of Cancon	Substantive permanent	— " —	5 12 0 6 — —	"	12th June 1876				18th June 1878	Promotion	Recommn Dr Thomson
34th Peon 20 20	20	— " —	6 — —	"	19th June 1878				28th September 1880	Promotion	Recommn Dr Thomson
Leave without pay from 8th August to 4th December 1878											
Leave without pay from 22nd August 1879 to 5th September 1879											
33rd Peon 20 20	20	— " —	6 — —	"	25th September 1880				15th October 1880	Promotion	Dmf
Peon No 32 20 20	20	— " —	6 — —	"	18th October 1880				1-3-81	20	Dmf
Peon No 31 20 20	20	— " —	6 — —	"	2-3-81				30-6-81	20	Dmf
Peon No 30 20	20	— " —	6 — —	"	1-7-81				20-2-83	20	Dmf
Peon No 29 20	20	"	6 — —	"	21-2-83				23-7-83	20	Dmf
Peon No 28 20	20	"	6 — —	"	24-2-83				31-8-83	20	Dmf
Peon No 27 20	20	"	6 — —	"	1-9-83				31/3/84	20	Dmf
Peon No 26 20	20	"	6 — —	"	1-4-84				14-2-85	20	Dmf
Peon No 25 20	20	"	6 — —	"	15-2-85				31-3-89	20	Dmf

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination. *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Leave and Allowance Payments to 25th January 1891.											
Head Quarters											
Process Server No. 23 D. C. Dunedin Police	Substantive permanent		"	6—	"	1.4.89			1-4-89	Payment and Duty	
Rule											
Process Server No. 19 on No. 7 Wharf Hunt Karaa	D°	with retrospective effect from	1-4-89	7-0-	and to	1-4-89					
Discharged to 31st December 1892.											
Head Quarters											
Process Server No. 17	D°		"	10/-	"	1-4-91					
D° — No. 16	D°	Process Server No. 17	(10/-)	"	5-10-91						
Process Server No. 16	Substantive permanent		"	10/-	"	4-2-92					
Discharged to 31st Dec. 1892.											

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Forest Guard, 15	Substantive Permanent	"	Rs 9--	"	1-6-93.				25-9-93	Transfer	
Do Do Do 14	Do	"	Rs 9--	"	26-7-93.				17-12-93	Do	
Do Do Do 13	Do	"	Rs 9--	"	18-12-93				22.4.95	Retired	
Forest Guard, Sirsi Range	Substantive Permanent	"	Rs 9--	"	1-10-95				31.1.96	Left Range	

Confirmed upto 31st December 1894 vide Conservator of Forests Memo No 3 dated 28th January 1895.

S. Subbanna Hebbar
Deputy Ranger

Confirmed upto 28th April 1895 vide reference 6 page

Abstracted and respective Rules.

S. Subbanna Hebbar
Deputy Ranger

Confirmed upto 31st January 1896 vide reference 6 page

Abstracted and respective Rules.

S. Subbanna Hebbar
Deputy Ranger

Statement of officers of the District Munsif Court of Karur who have attained the age of 55 years

Name	Office	Age	Former reference	Opinion of Medical Officer	Opinion of immediate Superior	Opinion of the District Judge	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
* Venkatachalla Naick	Peons Servt	59	Retention in Service for one year Sanctioned by the District Court vide order dated 31st March 1894	Certified to be fit for further Service for 1 year.	Fit for Service	* May be retained for six months. At end of six months a report as to his further retention should be made	
Govindappa Naick *	D. 4	59		Do 4	Unfit for Service	* Must be retired under the recent orders of High Court requiring reductions to be given effect to immediately	

(Signed) T. Weir
District Judge.
22-4-95

* Medical certificates are endorsed

Karur District Munsif's Court
30th March 1895

(Signed) S. Swaminatha Sastry
District Munsif

True Copy

S. Swaminatha Sastry
District Munsif

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Ayyambalapalla Pavalam

Caste or Race. Pavan Ayyanar

Residence. Erode Centre

FATHER'S Name. Ponnambalam Ayyar

Residence. Erode Centre

Date of birth. January 1838

Circular No. I.

Proceedings of the I District Court Coimbatore
Dated 20th April 1895.

Read High Court Circular No. 546
dated 22nd February 1894 proposing to raise
the minimum number of peons on processes
from 250 to 300 per annum to be executed by
each peon in the District Munsif's Courts
(except the Subordinate Judge's Court on
the Nilgiris and the District Munsif's
Court Gudalur and Kollegal) and stating
that a minimum number of 120 processes
per annum should be fixed for all armed
engaged on execution duty.

Read also the proposition statement
submitted to the High Court on the 30th March
1894 showing the distribution of the number
of armed and peons among the Courts
in the District and certain reduction in
some of the District Munsif's Courts as
mentioned in the statement sent herewith.

As the District Judge is directed by the
High Court by letter No. 1016 dated 6th April
1895 to give effect to the said circular —
regarding the increase in the number of
processes to be executed by each armed
peon and the reduction are to be —
effected in the process establishment from
1st April 1895, the Sub Judge of Nilgiris
and the District Munsifs are requested

to carry the High Court order into effect from 1st April 1895 and to see that the reduction mentioned in the Statement are carried out gradually by retiring persons who are unfit for duty and refraining from filling up vacancies until the reduced scale is attained.

(Signed) J. Weir
District Judge.

To The District Munsif of Karur.
for information and guidance.

(True Copy)

S. Swaminatha Sastry
District Munsif.

167

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION~~ OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Karur Govinthappa Naicken
2. Father's name. Karur Venkatasawmy Naicken
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Cavarai Vaduga Vellamvide
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Karur, Coimbatore District
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Process Server No 18 District Munsif's Court, Karur
6. Date of beginning of service. 12-6-76
7. Date of ending of service. 22-4-1895
8. Length of service, including interruptions (Y. M. D.) 18-10-11
   of which superior — — —
   inferior 18-10-11
   non-qualifying and interruptions — — —
9. Class of ~~pension or~~ gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* Compensation Invalid gratuity Article 524
10. [Average] ~~emoluments~~ or pay. Copy of the District Court's order is herewith sent Rs 7-0-0
11. Proposed pension. — — —
12. Proposed gratuity. Rs 35-0-0
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 23-4-1895
14. Place of payment. Karur, Karur Taluk, Coimbatore District
15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era.† January 1836
16. Height. 5 feet 6 inches
17. Marks. Has a scar just below the right ear. There is a long scar just below the right ear in the chin. There is a small black mole about an inch below the left eye.
18. Date. 5th May 1895

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate).

S. Swaminatha Sastry
District Munsif
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

The District Judge

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Govinthappa Naicken

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
Peons Chavadies Tappals & Process Servers attached to the Head Office Cuddapah		Rs.	Rs.											
	Process Server 35	6	"	12-6-76	21-1-78	1	7	10						
	Leave	"	"	22-1-78	31-3-78	"	2	10						
	Process Server 35	6-0-0	"	1-4-78	18-6-78	"	2	18						
	Process Server 34	6-0-0	"	19-6-78	17-8-78	"	1	29						
	Leave	"	"	8-8-78	4-12-78	"	3	27						
	Process Server 34	6-0-0	"	5-12-78	21-8-79	"	8	17						
	Leave	"	"	22-8-79	5-9-79	"	"	15						
	Process Server 34	6-0-0	"	6-9-79	28-9-80	1	"	23						
	Process Server 33	6-0-0	"	29-9-80	15-10-80	"	"	17						
	Process Server 32	6-0-0	"	16-10-80	1-3-81	"	4	15						
	Process Server 31	6-0-0	"	21-3-81	30-6-81	"	3	29						
	Process Server 30	6-0-0	"	1-7-81	20-2-83	1	7	20						
	Process Server 29	6-0-0	"	21-2-83	23-2-83	"	"	3						
	Process Server 28	6-0-0	"	24-2-83	31-8-83	"	6	8						
	Process Server 27	6-0-0	"	1-9-83	31-3-84	"	7	"						
	Process Server 25	6-0-0	"	1-4-84	14-2-88	3	10	14						
	Process Server 24	6-0-0	"	15-2-88	3,.0.88	"	3	20						
	Leave	"	"	4-6-88	25-6-88	"	"	22						
	Process Server 24	6-0-0	"	26-6-88	31-3-89	"	9	6						
	Process Server 23	6-0-0	"	1-4-89	1-4-89	"	"	"						
	Do 2217	7-0-0	"	1-4-89	31-3-91	2	"	"						
	Do 2217	7-0-0	"	1-4-91	4-10-91	"	6	4						
	Do Ag. 2216	7-0-0	"	5-10-91	3-2-92	"	3	30						
	Process Server 16	7-0-0	"	4-2-92	31-5-93	1	3	28						
	Do 2215	7-0-0	"	1-6-93	25-7-93	"	1	25						
	Do 2214	7-0-0	"	26-7-93	17-12-93	"	4	22						
	Do 2213	7-0-0	"	18-12-93	30-9-94	"	9	13						
	Leave			1-10-94	30-11-94	"	2	"						
	Process Server 13	7-0-0	"	1-12-94	22-4-95	"	4	22						
				Total Period of Service		18	10	11						

All the entries of service have been verified by me from the Records in this office

Dist Supdt of Police, Cuddapah

S. Seshachalapathy

District Munsif

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant — Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. — Nil

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI). — Nil

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. — Nil

5. Any other remarks. — Nil

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. — I consider the service claimed is established, and should be admitted. I have seen the last pay certificate.

District Munsif

SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for years months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

6
21
316

36

No. 275

District Court Coimbatore
12 May 1895.

From,

S. Wei Esq.
District Judge,
Coimbatore

To,

The Accountant General
to the Government,
Madras.

1st MAY 95
MADRAS

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an application for gratuity received from the District Munsiff Karur, on behalf of one Karur Govindappa Naikan, late Process Server No 13 of his Court, and to request that you will be good enough to verify his services and submit the application for the orders of Government.

2. The following are the enclosures.

1. Service Register
2. Medical certificate
3. Statement containing the order of the district Court retiring the applicant from service.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed Servant,

S. Wei

District Judge.

16
15-2-61

DISTRICT & SESSIONS COURT
JUN. 95
COIMBATORE

Jud. 1895 Dafarth.

From

The District Judge
Combatore

To

The Asst Secl.
Madras.

Dated 12
Despd 16
Recd } May 1895

No. 275

Enclosures — 4.

Subject

Forwards for verification and submission of an application for gratuity on behalf of Karur Govindappa Naikan late Process Server D. Munsiff's Court, Karur.

16-6-95

2

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

Report No 614

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

DISTRICT & SESSIONS COURT
JUN. 95
COIMBATORE

No. A.D.K. 114

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
14 June 1895.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE District Judge,
Coimbatore.

1. ~~Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for~~ _____

1. In the annual Return for 1881 the date of applicant's appointment is shewn as 23? march 81 while the date 2? march 81 appears in the 2? page of the ~~2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from~~ _____ to _____, ~~whereas in the history of services~~ application. The discrepancy should be explained.

2. The applicant being an inferior servant cannot be retired on an invalid pension without the production of a medical certificate of unfitness for further service while under 60 years of age. Vide Article 486 Civil Service Regulations. It should therefore be explained under what circumstances the applicant was retired in the face of the medical certificate which declares him to be fit for 2 years service more.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for ~~pension~~ gratuity of Karur Govindappa Naiker, late process server D. Munsiff's court Karur, received with your letter No 275 of 12-5-95. is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Chief Superintendent.

Cor.—1,000—5-4-96.

3. Attention is drawn to no. 9 of the Printed memo of Instructions from this office, and also to no. 14, the applicants name being shewn in the annual Returns as Govinda Naik and Govinda Naiken.

14/6

No 316

Forwarded to the District Munsiff, Karur for retransmission with the required information with as little delay as possible

By order

Head Assistant

District Court
Coimbatore
17th June 1895

Despd. 18th do
Rect 1822. 6-95

No 349 Returned with the Munsiff's letter No 1160, dated 26th June 1895 furnishing the information required.

District Court
Coimbatore
4th July 1895

Head Assistant

Despd - 6-7-95
Exc. 6

6
19
No 316

Application for gratuity
Service Register
Medical certificate
Statement of attestation
District Court's letter
Test. Journal letter

6

Karur District Munsiff's Court
26th June 1895

No. 1160

From

S. Swaminatha Sastri
District Munsiff
Karur

To.

T. Weir Esquire
District Judge
Coimbatore.

Sir,

With reference to the memo of the District Court, No: 316, dated 17th instant appended to Accountant General's letter No: A.D.K 1114 dated 14th idem, I have the honor to submit the following explanation:

1. The date of applicants appointment is 2nd March 1881 as per Service Register, and 23rd March 1881 entered in the annual return is not correct.

2. The applicant is now more than 59 years old and inefficient for duty. The District Court ordered him to retire in their order dated 22nd April last, and a copy of the order has been submitted along with the application.

3. Though the applicants name has been spelt in different ways in the returns, Govinthappa Naiken is the correct one. The personal marks of identification are given in the application.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

S. Swaminatha Sastri
District Munsiff

B 6/7

Register No 602
1-7-95.

From.

The District Munsif,
Karur

To.

The District Judge
Coimbatore

Dated 26 }
Despatched 27 } June 95
Received

Enclosures 6.

Subject

Recommitting Govindappa
Naicken's application for gratuity
with necessary corrections
& explanations.

1-7-95

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Regd No 763.

DISTRICT & SESSIONS COURT
1 JUL 95
COIMBATORE.

No. A.D.K. 167

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
18th July 1895.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE District Judge,
Coimbatore.

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for _____

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from _____ to _____, whereas in the history of services

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for ~~pension~~ Gratuity of K. Govindappa Naicken, Process Server, District Munsif's Court, Karur.
is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Chief Superintendent.

It is not clear from the papers furnished whether the application should be considered as one for a Compensation Gratuity or for an Invalid Gratuity. In the former case, the requisition in No 7 (c) of the printed memorandum of Instructions from this office, should be complied with; and a copy of the Government order,

of

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

if any, Sanctioning the abolition of the applicants' appoint-
-ment, should be furnished, and the word "Invalid" should
be scored out from the entries opposite to No. 9 on the 1st
page of the application. If the application is for an Invalid
gratuity, an explanation should be afforded why he has
been recommended for it in the face of the opinion ex-
-pressed by a professional officer that the applicant is
fit for further service for one year more. If, however,
in spite of this certificate, the Head of office consider
-ed that he was inefficient and therefore removed him
from the service, then the case would fall under article
460(a) of the Civil Service Regulations, in which case
no gratuity of any kind would be admissible to him.

Dn
17/7.

6
17
316

Karur District Munsif's Court
24 July 1895

No: 820

From

S. Swaminatha sastriar Avergal B.A.B.L.,
District Munsif,
Karur.

To

J. Weir esquire,
District Judge,
Coimbatore.

Sir, With reference to the Proceedings of the District Court, No: 395 dated 20th Instant, I have the honor to state that as the High Court in their letter No: 1016 dated 6th April 1895, directed the reduction of the Process Service Establishment to be given effect to immediately, the District Court ordered the Petitioner to picture as he is now more than 59 years old and the copy of the District Court Proceedings is herewith sent.

The word "Invalid" has been scored out from the entries opposite to No: 9 on the 1st page of the application as per Accountant General's letter No: ADK/767 dated 18th Idem.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
S. Swaminatha sastri
District Munsif.

Th
B&P

Reg. No 821 Encl 9

DISTRICT & SESSIONS COURT
24 JUL 95
COIMBATORE

From

The District Munsif
Karur

To

The District Judge
Coimbatore

Dated 24th
Despatched 26th } July 1895
Received

No: 820

Enclosures: 9

Subject

Stating that the District Court ordered the petitioner to return & sending herewith a copy of the D.C. Court Proceedings rea-

6
16
318

25-7-95
9

2-8-95
2

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
14 AUG 95
16/8/95

No 462

Dated Court Coimbatore.
12th August 1895.

From,

J. Weir, Esq.
District Judge,
Coimbatore

To,

The Accountant General
to the Government
Madras.

"Process Server
D. Munsiff Court
Karur

Sir,

I have the honor to retransmit herewith the papers connected with the application for gratuity on behalf of Karur Govindappa Nailan together with the District Munsiff's letter and Peto enclosure containing the information required in your No 4DK/167, dated the 18th July 1895.

2. The papers connected with the pension application of C. Kuppier, late 5th Clerk, District Munsiff Court, Erode, have been already retransmitted.

3. The submission of the papers connected with the pension application of Pandia Nailan, late Guard & Bell Munsiff Court Erode, is delayed owing to the absence of the applicant whose initials and personal marks of identification have to be entered in the Service Book as required in your No 4DK/131, dated 25th June 1895. Steps have been taken to secure the attendance of the applicant and the papers will be retransmitted soon after the necessary entries are made in her Service Register.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

District Judge.

Pension 1895 Despatch

From,

The District Judge,
Coimbatore.

To. The Accountant General,
& the Government,
Madras.

Dated 12th } August 1895.
Recd. }

No 462

Pension

Letter of

6
15
316

Re Kaver Govindappa Naicken late Process Server District Munsiff's Court Karur

Submitted that the papers connected with the pension application of Kaver Govindappa Naicken late Process Server District Munsiff's Court Karur forwarded by the District Judge Coimbatore

Submitted that the papers connected with the pension application of K. Govindappa Naicken late Process Server District Munsiff's Court Karur forwarded by the District Judge Coimbatore

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

Pension Department.

No. A.D.K. 229

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
29th August 1895.

From

The Accountant-General to the
Government of Madras,

To

The District Judge
Coimbatore

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for _____

1. Attention is invited to this office objection memo No A.D.K. 167 dated 18th July 95 regarding the information required to be furnished under No 7(C) of the printed memo of instructions from this office. Vide also Rules 469 and 471 of the Civil Service Regulations.

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from _____ to _____ whereas in the history of services

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for ~~pension~~ gratuity of Kaver Govindappa Naicken late Process Server District Munsiff's Court Karur received with your letter No 275 dated 12th May 1895 is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Assistant to Accountant General
~~Chief Superintendent.~~

No 541

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

6

13

318

Karur District Munsif's Court

11th September 1895.

No. 1206

From,

S. Swaminatha Sastriel B.A, B.L

District Munsif

Karur.

To,

J. Weir Esquire,

District Judge,

Coimbatore.

Sir,

With reference to the memo of the District Court, No. 541 dated 3rd instant on the Accountant-General's letter No. A.D.K. 229 dated 29th August 1895, I have the honor to state that the Process servers Venkatachella Naikan and Covindappa Naikan were sent to the District medical officer to be certified as to their fitness for further retention in service. The District medical officer having found them fit for further service, sanction was applied for to the District Court for their further retention. But on the District Court asking me to report as to which of the two may be retained in service, I reported that Covindappa Naikan was unfit to continue in service. Thereupon the District Court ordered his retirement under the recent orders —

F.

of High Court requiring reductions to be given effect to immediately.

2. If the District Court now thinks it necessary that Eswindasse Naickan may be provided for with any post either in this Court or in any other Court as pointed out by the Accountant-General, I beg to state that such order may be passed on the matter as the District Court may deem fit. Here I have to state that the Process server in question completes his 60th year by January 1896 and that by the reduction of the man a sum of Rs. 6-8- per mensem will be the savings to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
S. Saravanamuttu Sastry
District Munsif.

From

The District Forest Officer
Kanara

To

The District Judge
Coimbatore

No 1206

Dated the 11th
Despatched 11th
Received
} September 1855

Enclosure 1

Subject

Furnishing information called for in the annexed letter from the District Court Nilgiris dated 8th ultimo

12-9-55

Inma.

Re - gratuity application of Govindappa Naicker Overseer District Munsiff's Court Kanna Thavon out of employ in the Cudat revision.

According to the order of the Hig h Court dated 5th April 1895 the service of this person was dispensed with from 23rd April 1895. Thereupon pension application was prepared and sent to this court for transmission to the Accountant General recommending for Compensation gratuity. On receipt of the papers the Accountant General returned the same for want of information required under Articles 469 and 471 of C. S. R. as to why the person should not be otherwise provided for as he is below 60 years of age and the doctor Certificate that he is fit for further service. If however in spite of this certificate if he should be considered as inefficient and therefore should be removed from the service, then his case would fall under Article 460(a) vide Accountant Generals letter No 167 dated 18th July 1895 herewith sent. To avoid further correspondence in the matter I suggest that the person may be retained in service till the end of January 1896 when he completes his 60th year, after that he may be ordered to retire on Invalid gratuity

The books sent.

Translation of the petition sent received from the Petitioner also put up. 18-9-95

Sheristadar

Memo

√

Very well Let him be returned noted end of January 1896 and then retired

9 Presume this can be done and he has served ten years been broken by

~~Secret~~ one pension with paper & application case Seal

in

Counsel Clerk

19-9-95

the Gobichetty Applt of Govindappa Raikar late process Server Dist Muns' Court, Karur.

6

10

18-9-95

For Order

7

No. 616

Proceedings of the ~~Court~~ District Court, Coimbatore
Dated 20th September 1895.

Read again the following papers:—

1. Application for compassionate gratuity and the papers connected therewith, submitted on behalf of Karur Govindappa Raikar late Process Server of the District Munsiff's Court, Karur.
2. Accountant General's letter No. 229 XVII dated the 29th August last returning the above papers and requiring certain information noted therein.

Read also the District Munsiff's letter in reply to the above letter of the Accountant General leaving for this Court's decision the question either to retain or dispense with the services of the applicant as he is below 60 years of age.

Order:— On perusing the foregoing papers the District Judge resolves to withdraw from the Accountant General the application for compassionate gratuity sent on behalf of Govindappa Raikar and to order that he be retained in service until the end of January 1896 and then retired.

E
DC. District Judge

To
The District Munsiff Karur
~~with~~ appln. etc enclosed

× follows.
Despd 30-9-95

No. 646

Proceedings of the District Court, Coimbatore
Dated 16th October 1895.

Read letter No 2AE/337, dated the 15th instant from the Accountant General Madras, inviting attention to his letter No 10K/229 dated 29th August 1895 regarding the Pension application of Sowndappa Naik, Process Server & Bailiff Court Karur.

Read also this Court's Proceedings No 616 dated 20th September 1895 permitting the applicant Sowndappa Naikan to continue in service until the 2nd of January 1896 and then to retire.

The District Munsiff, Karur is requested to report by return of post what arrangement has been made in regard to the retention in service of the Process Server Sowndappa Naikan until the date above named.

O. District Judge

To,
The District Munsiff,
Karur

6
9
3/8

Samuel Districk Munsiff's court.
17th October 1895

No 1401.

From,

S. Swaminatha Sastriyar Avergal
District Munsif
of Kumbakonam.

To,

S. Nair Esquire,
District Judge
Coimbatore.

Sir,

With reference to the proceedings of the District court dated 16th instant No 646, I have the honor to state that in accordance with the order of the District court dated 20th September 1895 No 666 I restored Govindappa Naicken to his former rank as Second class Pleader and cancelled the promotion of Murugan from 1st October 1895 — the date on which the proceedings of the District court restoring Govindappa Naick was received in this court.

I have also to add that reduction of a 2nd class pleader being proposed to be effected by the reinstatement of Govindappa Naick. I appointed no one in the place of Murugan promoted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(signed) S. Swaminatha Sastriyar
District Munsiff

(True copy)

S. Swaminathan by

District Munsiff

From,

The District Munsif
of Karur.

To,

The District Judge
of Coimbatore.

Dated 17th
Despd 17th } October 1895
Recd

No 1401.

6
7
318

Subject

Informing that Govindappa
Naik, second class peon
serving the court, was
reckoned this former
work.

Despatched 12-11-95

19
15

No 651

District Court, Coimbatore
18th October, 1895.
Despd Do.

To,

The Acctt. General
to the Government,
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your no $\frac{I\,A\,E}{337}$, dated the 15th instant, I have the honor to inform you that the papers connected with the gratuity application of Govindappa Naiken have been referred to the District Munsiff Karur, with a view to retaining him in service until the end of January 1896 and then retiring him, he having been certified by the District Medical Officer to be fit for further service.

The reply to your no $\frac{I\,D\,U}{229}$ of 29 Augt 1895, will be submitted on receipt of report from the District Munsiff in the matter.

I have &c

C

D/ District Judge

Despatched 12-11-95

No 759

19

Proceedings of the District Court (Cumbatore) dated 11th November 1895.

The Accountant General reports having in his urgent 2nd Reminder No 248/360 dated the 8th Instant asked for an immediate reply, by return of post if possible, to his letter No 1DK/229 dated 29 August 1895, and to his subsequent reminder dated 15 October 1895, in the matter of Govindappa Naiks Pension application, the District Munsiff Karur is requested to report, at once, if any steps have been taken in regard to the retention in service of Govindappa Naik for some further period, for communication to the Accountant General. The final disposal of the pension application is delayed owing to non-receipt of the said report.

CR

A. District Judge.

To

The District Munsiff.

Karur

6
6
318

20 Despatched 12-11-95

No. 760

District Court Coimbatore
11th November 1895

From

J. Wren Esq.
District Judge
Coimbatore

To

The Accountant General
to the Government
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your urgent reminder dated the 8th instant No 348/3769 and in continuation of this Court's letter No 657 dated 18th Octr. last to your No 348/337 of the 15th idem. I have the honor to inform you that the District Munsiff, Karur, has again been requested to submit final report in the matter of Govindappa Naiks' Pension application and to retransmit the papers without further delay, and that the result will be communicated to you as soon as the papers are received back from the District Munsiff.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

D.C.

District Judge.

6
5
316

6
4
318

District Court Office
13th November 1895.

No 1573.

From, S. Swaminatha Sastrigal
District Munsif
of Kavali.

To,

The Revd Enquire
District Judge
Coimbatore,

Sir,

With reference to the proceedings of the District Court No 759, dated 11th November 1895, I have the honor to state that the reply to the District Court Proceedings No 646, dated 16th October 1895, asked for by return of post was forwarded by return of post, vide this courts letter No 1401, dated 17th October 1895. As it appears from the proceedings under reply that the same has not been received in the District Court, I have the honor to forward a copy of the same.

I have the honor &c,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Swaminatha Sastrigal
District Munsif.

Register No 1834
14-11-95.

From

The District Munsif
of Karur.

To

The District Judge
Coimbatore.

Dated 13th
Despd 13th } November 1895
Recd

No 1573
Enclosure (one).
Subject.

Forwarding copy of letter
No 640 dated 17th October
1895 as it appears that the
Case has not been received
in the District court.

14-11-95

Karur District Munsif's
Court
14th February 1896.

No 241.

From,

S. Swaminatha Sastriar,
District Munsif of
Karur

To,

T. Weir Esquire
District Judge of
Coimbatore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the pension application &c submitted on behalf of Govindappa Naick, a 2nd Class Process Server of this Court may be sent back to me as it may be of use in the preparation of a fresh application.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

S. Swaminatha Sastry

District Munsiff

Register No 198
96

DISTRICT & SESSIONS COURT
14 FEB 96
COIMBATORE

1 In the District Munsiff's
Court,

2 The District Police
Coimbatore

Dated 14th
Received 15th } Feb-96

No 241

Subject

Forwarding two petitions
applications submitted
on behalf of Gonnidappa Naick
praying for enlargement of
grass application 17 2 96

No 267

Proceedings of the District Court
Coimbatore

Dated 19th February 1896

Read letter No 241 dated
the 14th instant requesting transmission
of the Revision application submitted
on behalf of Gonnidappa Naick
a 2nd class Process Server of this
Court, some time back

A bundle of papers
being Serial No 1 to 21 including
the Revision application of
Gonnidappa Naick and the
whole of the correspondence
connected therewith are herewith
sent to the District Munsiff as
requested for reference and
return when done with

(Obj) District Judge

To
The District Munsiff
Karur

Kamis District Magistrate's Office
27th February 1876.

No 324

From,

J. Laurence Esqre Superintendent Surveys Mysore &
Assistant Magistrate
J Kamis.

To,

J. Weir Esquire
District Judge
Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an application for invalid gratuity on behalf of Govindaswamy Naicker a second class Peons Servt of this court together with his service Register.

2. Forwd Duplicate application and other papers connected with the case to this court for reference and also herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
J. Brannon Asst Collector
District Magistrate

Register No 394
2-3-96.

From,

The District Registrar

Kerry,

To,

The Resident Magistrate

Cahirciveen

Dated 27th
Received 28th } February 96.

No 324.

Enclosure (2).

Subject

(Pension) Invalid practice
application & Process thereof
for widow of Patrick Reidy.

Forwarding the ——

2-3-96

6
24
314

From,

The Examiner of Pensions
Dublin

To,

The District Registrar
Kerry

Sir,

I am directed by the Commissioners of Pensions to forward herewith the Declaration of Patrick Reidy late of Ballinskelligs in the County of Kerry, Pensioner, in order that he may be examined by the Resident Magistrate of your District, and that you will cause the Declaration to be returned to this Office when duly executed.

I am, Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
J. Brennan
Secretary

Kerry District Registrar's Office
12th March 1896.

No 418

From,

The Examiner of Pensions
Dublin

To,

The Resident Magistrate
Cahirciveen

Sir,

With reference to the proceedings of the District Court held on the 9th instant, I have the honor to return herewith the Invalid Register after obtaining the signature of the applicant Patrick Reidy

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
J. Brennan
District Registrar

Register No 321
96

From,

The District Munsif

Karur,

To, The District Judge

Coimbatore.

Rcvd 12th
Despd 12th } March 96.
Recd

No 418

Enclo (one Counter Petn).

Subject

Retaining the
Service Register
Proceedings Govinda-
ppa Naicken.

14-3-96

C.
23
36

District Court, Coimbatore,
16th March, 1896.

No 448

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS 21 MAR 96 0716

C/1315

From

S. Weir, Esqr.

District Judge,
Coimbatore.

To

The Accountant-General,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith application for Invalid Gratuity received from the District Munsif, Karur, on behalf of Karur Govindappa Naicken, 2nd grade Process Server of his Court and to request that you will be good enough to verify his services and submit the application for orders of Government.

2. An application for Compensation Gratuity on behalf of this Govindappa Naicken was submitted for orders on the 12th May 1895; but objections having been taken as to why he could not be retained in service as he was below 60 years of age and as the Medical officer had certified that he was fit for further service for one year more, his retention in service until January 1896 when he completed 60 years, was sanctioned by this Court; the applicant was relieved of his appointment and the application for Compensation Gratuity

Gratuity was consequently withdrawn.

3. To facilitate reference all the correspondence in connection with the former application, dated 12th May 1895, is herewith forwarded together with the Service Register and application for Invalid Gratuity now received.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

District Judge.

1896.

Judicial Department

From
The District Judge,
Coimbatore

To
The Accountant-General,
Madras.

Dated 16 March 1893
Desp.d 19 Do
Rec.d

No 468

Encl.

Submits for sanction and orders the application for Invalid pension of Govindappa Naicken late Peon Karur Sub-Magistrate's Court Karur

6
1
313

C. No. 318.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Dt Judge Coimbatore dated 16th March 1896, No. 468.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 15th April 1896, No. A.D.I. 14.

ORDER THEREON, 30 April 1896 No 324

Character - Good

Under articles 486 & 524 (Ca.) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Karur Govindappa Naicken, late Peon Sub-Magistrate's Court, Karur, an invalid gratuity

of Rupees (35) Thirty five only, payable at Karur from

in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 19 years, 7 months and 19 days.

18/23-4/96

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

C. No. 318.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Despd.

G.O., 30 April 1896 No. 320.

GRANTING an invalid gratuity of Rs. 35 p.m. to R. Govindasseya Pandaram, late process server of Tuticorin Court, Karur.

List

Then given for copying ____
Then copied ____
Then examined ____
Then signed ____
} 189 .

D AGAIN C.O., No. , DATED
189

RENT No.

URES TO C. No

GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

STMENT.

DITTO.

ND UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
HEAD.

A = Copy in full.
= Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.
= Copy heading only.