[D. D. 42]
1,000—18-8-96.

Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1202.

DATED 19-12-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a Superannuation pension of Rs. 243-12-0 p.m. to M.R.Ry. M.B. Sundara Row Pantulu Garu late Sub-Judge, Bellary & Salem &c.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[A./1]
500—7-8-96.

Sec^y

C. No. 1344.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again G.O. No. 1685 Jud^l. d/26-10-96.
READ also the following papers:—

From the Dt. Judge of Salem —— dated 3^d Novr. 1896, No. 979.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 11^th Decr. 1896, No. A.D.J./512.

Character - - - Good.

Please see G.O. No. 1685 Jud^l. d/26-10-96. + recorded in Pension G.O. 1064/3-11-96. with the remarks of the Hon'ble Mr. Grose & H.E. the Governor in original. It has been decided that the deficiency of 9 ms. + 24 dys required to bring the applicant's service up to 25 yrs. should be condoned in order that he may be granted the full amount of pension admissible viz Rs. 243-12-0. Hence draft order for approval.

[initials] 16-12-96

+ Please flag it

Flag D [initials] 18.12.96

ORDER THEREON, 19^th Decr. 1896 No. 1202.

Under articles 502, 518(b) & 521(a) — of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to M.RR^y. M.B. Sundara Row Pantulu Garu, late Sub Judge, Bellary & Salem, a Superannuation pension of Rupees (243-12-0) Two hundred and forty three and annas twelve only p.m. payable at Vizagapatam from 1^st Novr. 1896 in consideration of his qualifying Sup^r service of 24 years, 2 months and 6 days.

4/44 × 254 = 200

[initials] 19/12/96 [initials] 17.xii.96

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
,, Dt. Judge, Salem.

[initials] 19/12

History of the Service (showing interruption) of M. R. Ry M. B. Sundara Row Pantalu Garu
Subordinate judge of Bellary and Salem.

Establishment	Appointment	Pay	Acting allowance	Date of beginning	Date of ending	Period reckoned as Service	Period not reckoned as Service.	Remarks	Hons verified	Remarks by Accountant General.
District Munsiff 3rd Grade —	Vizagapatam	200		5-3-1872	28-11-1880	8 8 24				
Do	Peddapuram	200		29-11-1880	14-12-1880	. 16				
	Sick leave for 4 months and 11 days			15-12-1880	25-4-1881		4 11			
Do	Peddapuram	200		26-4-1881	29-6-1881	2 4				
	Leave without allowances			30-6-1881	24-7-1881		25			
Do	Peddapuram	200		25-7-1881	18-9-1883	2 1 25				
District Munsiff 2nd Grade	Do	250		19-9-1883	31-3-1884	6 13				
District Munsiff 1st Grade. Reorganization	Peddapuram	400		1-4-1884	28-11-1884	7 27				
Do	Bapatla	400		29-11-1884	21-5-1885	5 23				
	Sick Leave for 15 days			22-5-85	5-6-85		14 15			
Do	Bapatla	400		6-6-85	14-10-86	1 4 9				
Do	Masulipatnam	400		15-10-86	17-8-88	1 10 2				
Acting Sub-judge	Ellore	500		18-8-88	29-5-89	. 9 12				
Sub-judge	Do	500		30-5-89	8-1-94	4 7 10				
Do	Bellary and Salem	500		9-1-94	9-11-94	. 10 .				
Do	North Arcot	500		10-11-94	30-6-95	. 7 20				
Do	Coimbatore	500		1-7-95	8-4-96	. 9 8				
Do	Bellary and Salem at Salem	500		9-4-96	31-10-96	. 6 23				
						24 2 6	. 5 21			

Subcourt
Bellary & Salem
& Salem
5 " August 98

M B Sundara Row
Subordinate Judge

Revised

Pension C No 1344

30 NOV 1896

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION. ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. M R R M R B Sundara Row Pantulu Garu

2. Father's Name. Pedda Sundara Row Pantulu Garu

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Brahmin, Hindu Bhagavat Sampradagi of Karna Kamma Viapari Caste

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Vizagapatam, in Vizagapatam District

5. Present or last employment including name of establishment. Subordinate Judge, Bellary and Salem, at Salem

6. Date of beginning of service. 25' September 1860

7. Date of ending of service. 31' October 1896

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	31	‹	9
of which superior	30	4	5
inferior	‹	‹	‹
non-qualifying and interruptions	‹	8	4

9. Class of { pension / ~~gratuity~~ } applied for, and cause of application * .. Superannuation pension under article 502 C S R Vide G O Nos. 423 & 424 published in Fort St. George Gazette Part I dated 27-10-96.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 500.

11. Proposed pension. Rs 250 Deficiency of Service having been condoned (vide G.O. 1685 d 26.10.96.)

12. Proposed gratuity.

13. Date from which pension to commence. 1' November 1896

14. Place of payment. Vizagapatam

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 31' October 1841

16. Height. 5 feet and 5 inches

17. Marks. A small mole on the upper eyelid. Two pock marks one on the fore head & another on the right cheek. Drooped eyelids. A small tattoo mark between the eyebrows.

18. Date. 26 November 1896

250 - 0 - 0
$\frac{1}{40}$ of 250 = 6 - 4 - 0
243 - 12 - 0

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

District Judge.

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF M R R M B Sundara Row Pantulu Garu

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting Allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Godavery	Acting writer															Service from 25 9 60 to 5 6 68 does not count for pension being vitiated by the applicant's resignation of the public service.
Collector's office	on half pay					25-9-60					.	1	6			
Do	Do					15-11-60					.	1	7			
Office Establishment of the Dist. Engineer Godavery Dist.	2nd Gumasta	14	.	.		11-8 ~~23-8~~-61	15-8-62	.	10	17	"	11	5			
Vizianagaram or 4th class Range Office Establt. Vizagapatam Dist.	Accountant & Estimate Maker	28	.			16.8.62	8 ~~7~~.7.63	.	10	16	"	10	23			Verified from the History of the Services of Gazetted Officers
Office Establt. of No 2 or Vizagapatam Range	Writer & Accountant	30 ~~35~~	.	.		9.7 ~~10~~.12.63	31-12-63	1	8	7	2	2	7	(a) Includes sick leave of 3 months & 26 days		
	Do	35				1-1-64	15-9-65									
Vizagapatam or 1st C. Range	Accountant	60	.	.		16.9.65	5 ~~4~~.6.68	2	8	19 (a)	2	8	20	S.L.		
											6	8	25			
											"	3	26			
											6	4	24			
Office Estt. Vizagapatam	Dist. Munsiff 3rd grade	200	.	.		5-3-72	28-11-80	8	8	24				Delivered charge on the afternoon of 31.10.96 (vide copy of H. Court telegram herewith)	Services in the Judicial Dept. alone verified from the History [illegible] officers' [illegible] and the Audit [illegible] not from pay bills or [illegible] of the applicant, the same not being available in this office.	
Peddapuram	Do	200	.	.		29.11.80	14.12.80	.	.	16						
	Sick leave for 4 months & 11 days					15.12.80	25.4.81					4	11			
		200	.	.		26.4.81	29.6.81	.	2	4						
	Leave without allowances					30.6.81	24.7.81						25			
		200	.	.		25.7.81	18.9.83	2	1	24 ~~25~~						
	Do 2nd grade	250	.	.		19.9.83	31-3-84	.	6	12 ~~13~~						
	Do 1st grade Reorganization	400	.	.		1-4-84	28-11-84	.	7	28 ~~27~~						
Bapatla		400	.	.		29.11.84	21.5.85	.	5	23						
	Sick leave for 15 days					22.5.85	5.6.85						14 ~~15~~			
		400	.	.		6.6.85	14.10.86	1	4	9						
Masulipatam		400	.	.		15.10.86	17.8.88	1	10	3 ~~2~~						
Ellore	Sub Judge	500	.	.		18.8.88	29.5.89	.	9	12						
	Sub Judge	500	.	.		30.5.89	8.1.94	4	7	9 ~~10~~						
Bellary & Salem		500	.	.		9.1.94	9.11.94	.	10	1						
North Arcot		500	.	.		10.11.94	30.6.95	.	7	21 ~~20~~						
Coimbatore		500	.	.		1.7.95	8.4.96	.	~~9~~	8						
Bellary & Salem at Salem		500	.	.		9.4.96	31.10.96	.	6	22 ~~23~~						
Add deficiency condoned in G.O. No. 1685 of 26-10-96 Judl.								24 ~~30~~	2 ~~4~~	6 ~~5~~	2	4 ~~8~~	28 ~~4~~			
								"	9	24						
								25	"	"						

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. — Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. — Nil

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. *See* Chapter XX. — Nil

4. Explanation under Section 59, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. — Nil

5. Any other remarks. — Retired by order of Govt. on the applicant completing his 55th year

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. (See Rule 6 under Section 84.) — Service claimed is established and should be admitted.

vd

Distgudge
SIGNATURE.

SECY. GOVT MADRAS 19 DEC 96 REV. DEPT.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 24 years 2 months and 6 days; and that a Superannuation {pension / ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 195 per mensem equal to 24/60 of average emoluments reduced by 1/40, is admissible under ~~Section~~ Article 502, 503 and 518(a) service Regulations ^ Bt [illegible] of the Civil Pension ~~Code~~. The calculations have been duly verified. The {pension / gratuity} is chargeable to

It will be observed from the history of the applicant's service that only 9 months and 24 days are required to make up qualifying service of 25 years. The Government in their order No. 1185 dated 26th October 1896 (Judicial) condoned the above deficiency. Should Government in the Pension Department accept the above condonation, a pension not exceeding Rs. 243-12 - equal to 30/60 of the average emoluments reduced by 1/40 will be admissible in lieu of the amount recommended in the previous paragraph.

In accordance with Rule 3 under Article 994(b) the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Article 514 of the Regulations.

Fort St. George
Acctt.-Genl's Office
11th December /96

To The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

A.D.J.
512

J. Harrison
for Accountant-General.

Exd
M.N.

Application for { Pension or Gratuity—	
Date of Application.	
Name of Applicant.	
Last Appointment.	
Class of { pension or gratuity.	
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	
Date of commencement.	
Date of sanction.	

A

PAMPHLET CONTAINING

THE COPIES OF TESTIMONIALS

OF

M. B. SUNDARA ROW,

SUB-JUDGE

of

BELLARY AND SALEM.

[*N. B.—Born on 31st October 1841.*]

Salem:

PRINTED AT THE PATRIOT PRESS, SALEM.

1896.

A

PAMPHLET CONTAINING

THE COPIES OF TESTIMONIALS

OF

M. B. SUNDARA ROW,

SUB-JUDGE

of

BELLARY AND SALEM.

[*N. B.—Bornon 31st October 1847.*]

Salem:

PRINTED AT THE PATRIOT PRESS, SALEM.

1896.

Copies of Testimonials.

———:o:———

I.

M. B. Sundara Row has conducted his duties to my satisfaction. He is a good Accountant and writes a good hand. He is regular in his attendance.

(Signed) J. STODDARD, MAJOR,
Ag. Dt. Engineer, Godavery.

———

II.

A very good Accountant. His books and accounts when inspected by me have always been in good order.

(Signed) J. N. HUNTER CAPTAIN R. E.,
Ag. Dt. Engineer, Vizagapatam.

———

III.

This is to certify that M. B. Sundara Row served under me as an Estimate-maker and Accountant for the period of one year, and that he is a good Accountant, and writes well and with great rapidity; he is also a very intelligent and trust-worthy man and a very fair English scholar having passed Uncovenanted Civil Service Examination.

(Signed) F. HUDSON,
Executive Agent, Vizagapatam.

———

IV.

My Accountant Sundara Row has always performed his duties to my entire satisfaction. I consider him a most efficient Accountant, very trust-worthy and pains-taking. He is also a good writer and has a particularly good knowledge of English.

(Signed) J. BEATTY, CAPTAIN R. E.,
Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam.

———

Extract from a Nomination list of Range Establishment.

* * * * *

M. Sundara Row has continued to perform his duties to my satisfaction, and I consider him well fitted for the Range Accountant-ship under the new System. Vide my letter No.71, of 13th June 1865.

* * * * *

(Signed) J. BEATTY, CAPTAIN R. E.,
Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
Waltair, 13th June 1865.

No.71.

From

CAPTAIN J. BEATTY R. E.,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, VIZAGAPATAM.

To

CAPTAIN J. G. RYVES R. E.,
Superintending Engineer, 1st Division.

SIR,

As requested in your Official Memo No.213 of 6th Instant, I have the honor to nominate my present Accountant M. B. Sundara Row for the duty of Range Accountant under the new System.

2. He was, as you are doubtless aware, appointed to his present post on 1st January 1864, with the sanction of the Superintending Engineer. He has since then given me every satisfaction, and I am confident of his ability to carry on the work of the Range office under the new System.

3. I forward copies of the testimonials he received from the Officers under whom he served previously. Viz. Major Stoddard, Captain Hunter, and Supervisor Hudson.

4. He has also passed the following Examinations:—

I. Uncovenanted Civil Service Test, English Department vide item No.31 of the list of passed condidates for 1862.

II. Examination for the appointment of Sheristadars of the High Court and Session Court, vide *Fort St. George Gazette* of 22nd September 1864.

I have &c.

(Signed) J. BEATTY, CAPTAIN R. E.,
Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam.

Extract from a Quarterly Return dated 3rd March 1866. M. B. Sundara Row Pantulu, Head Accountant, Executive Engineer's office:—

Is a first rate Accountant, and very eligible for promotion when an opportunity offers. In the event of the Managership of the Superintending Engineer's office falling vacant, I consider him a fit candidate for that appointment.

(Signed) J. BEATTY, CAPTAIN R. E.,
Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam.

Accountant M. B. Sundara Row left this office on one year's leave, from the 5th June 1868, with a view to taking up Pleader's work in the Civil and Sessions Judge's Court. He has always given me every satisfaction as regards his conduct, and the discharge of his duties as Accountant; I believe him to be very truthful and honest; and I wish him success in the career on which he is now entering.

VIZAGAPATAM, EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
Waltair, 5th October 1868.

(Sd.) BEATTY, CAPTAIN R.E.,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
Vizagapatam.

BERHAMPORE, 1ST JULY 1869.

To

M. R. Ry. M. SUNDARA ROW PANTULU GARU,
Pleader, Vizagapatam.

SIR,

It is likely that the District Munsif-ship of Tekkali will soon be vacant. If you wish for it, send me without delay, an application in the prescribed form. In any case, telegraph your answer at once.

Your's faithfully,

(Signed) J. G. THOMPSON,
Vizagapatam Civil Court.

Extract from a Nomination Roll submitted to the High Court by the Civil Judge of Berhampore dated 31st July 1869.

Certificate that the nominee is not disqualified by any regulation or Circular Order and general remarks especially whether he is preferred to any of longer service and why.

I am not aware that he is disqualified by any regulation or Circular Order.

He is intelligent and pains-taking: and I think reliable.

His late employer, Capt. Beatty, Royal Engineer, considers him "a most efficient accountant, very intelligent, trustworthy and painstaking. He is also a good writer and has a particularly good knowledge of English. In a later certificate given when he was going to become Pleader, Capt. Beatty said I believe him to be very truthful and honest, &c....."

I offered him the post, if vacated, before I received the applications for the Munsiffship to which I referred on the 9th Instant: those of Pleader J. Kamesam B.L. and of P.L.Narasimham, Sub-Magistrate of Aska.

He is far better qualified than J. Kamesam and though P. L. N. has passed all the Tests, and has excellent testimonials, still I personally know nothing about him: and if I considered myself at liberty to reconsider my offer,—which I do not;—I should still prefer to nominate M. B. Sundara Row.

(Signed) J. G. THOMPSON,
District Judge.

(True Extract)

No.4.

To

THE REGISTRAR, HIGH COURT,
Appellate Side, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to request you will lay before the Honorable the Chief Justice and the Judges of the High Court my recommendation that the Rayavaram Munsif at present on 6 months leave, be transferred fron that inland District where he has done good service for 7 years, to Vizagapatam according to his request made to me; that Mr. Wiltshire

Acting Translator of this Court a man of respectable character and capable of writing his Judgments in English be appointed to act for him; and that M. B. Sundara Row a Pleader who has attracted my attention by the way in which he has conducted suits in my Court be appointed in place of the District Munsif of Vizagapatam recently transferred to Rajahmundry, and be District Munsif of Rayaveram; he also is capable of writing his Judgments in English. I append the usual Nomination Roll in each case.

I have &c. &c.
(Signed). E. C. G. THOMAS,
Civil Judge.

No.53.

To

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT,
Appellate Side, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter No.95 of 20th Instant.

2. In obedience to the directions conveyed to me I beg to name B. Naraina Row, Sub-Magistrate and Agency Munsif of Parvatipur and W.Jaganada Row, Sub-Magistrate of Vizagapatam as persons fit, in my opinion to perform the duties of the office in the permanent and acting posts respectively.

3. I regret however that the High Court should consider the persons first recommended by me unfit for the post. I was most careful in making the selection. I beg now to remedy my omission fully to detail my reasons, and I trust the Judges will not object to give the matter a reconsideration.

4. The only ways in which I believe persons may become eligible for the post of Munsif through Special Judicial experience are by practising as pleader before the Courts, or by seeing service in those Courts Civil or Magisterial as employees.

5. I conclude it has always been the intention as well as the practice of the High Court to hold out to the bar of this Country, as is the case in England, as the highest reward to ability and character, the prospect of obtaining the Honora-

position of a native Judge without this incentive, I am of opinion, that the fast improving native bar would lose much of its dignity and integrity.

6. M. B. Sundara Row whom I had the honor to recommend for the Munsiffship permanently vacant, has had official training in a Government office for 7 years, during that period successfully passed in English all the requisite tests for a Civil Court Sheristadar, Principal Sudder Amin and District Munsif under the new rules, has had Judicial experience as a pleader of this Court for $3\frac{1}{2}$ years, for nearly 2 years of which, he has been almost daily engaged before me, is unapproachably the best Native Pleader here, and one of the best I have ever seen has, in his pleadings shewn himself possessed of qualifications far higher than those required to pass the tests, is of respectable family and unexceptionable character, and 30 years old and older men do not care to enter the Public service so late in life. Younger it may not always be wise to appoint. His private earnings are known to be such that I hardly expected him to desire the appointment. The dignity of the office must be one of its attractions to him as I should be glad to consider it was to every aspirant after it.

7. If he is appointed, and maintained in the public service the character he has had while a private individual, I cannot hesitate in considering it the best appointment that could be made.

8. With reference to the other 9 applicants for the same post I would observe that 2 have hardly any knowledge of English, 2 have not hitherto served in any of the Judicial Departments, 2 in the Civil Courts though they at present hold the offices of Sub-Magistrate and Tahsildar. B. Narayana Row is of inferior qualifications to M. B. Sundara Row, though deserving in other respects, and the last has been practising in the Civil Courts for only 2 years and in preference to him M. B. Sundara Row had been once selected for a similar post at Takkaly in the Ganjam District by Mr, J. G. Thompson giving him preference to a B. L. and another a Sub-Magistrate (Vide copy of Testimonial appended).

9. If the Judges still decline to approve my recommendation of this Pleader, I shall be at a loss how to

recommend again from the ranks of the bar and not to d[o] cocasionally would be, I consider, to lower the Status of the profession to the injury of Judicial service and the public.

* * * * *

17. Although it is at times advantageous to introduce new blood from other Districts, I do not consider that any dearth of good local applicants calls for this course as the present occasion, nor that it would be for the advantage of the Judicial service in this District for such importation to be direct to the highest posts. The hope of raising to these appointments is as I have pointed out almost the only means the Court has of retaining any efficient men in its service.

18. On these grounds without by any means intending to debar myself from the magistracy as a field to choose from on future occasions I hope the High Court will be pleased to deem fit to confirm the nominations proposed in my first letter, being I believe the best that could be made individually, and tending to encourage good men to enter and continue in the department for the sake of promotion.

19. Personally I look forward to considerable inconvenience for a time from the absence of the 2 persons named, they being respectively the best native Pleader and best Translator in Court.

I have &c.,
(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,
Civil Judge.

(True Extrct)

No.173.

VIZAGAPATAM,
26th March 1872.

To

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT,
Appellate Side, Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.413 of 5th instant, I have the honor to state that I originally proposed to move the Rayaveram Munsiff to Vizagapatam at his request out of consideration for his long service, but as he has since then failed satisfactorily to account to me for the fact of judgments having been greatly

...ayed in many suits partially heard in his Court, I am of opinion that he does not merit the consideration I had intended to show him, and also that the present recently appointed Munsiff will, from the promptness and ability I except from him, be a better occupant of the post in this more important town.

I have &c. &c.
(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,
Civil Judge.

Mr. M. B. Sundara Row Pantulu having expressed his desire to possess a testimonial from me I have the pleasure to record that I have seen his work which came before me on appeal, and it is not a flattery to say that his knowledge of English is excellent, and of Law very sound. He is an estimable public servant, and in every way fit for the responsible office he fils. I shall be exceedingly glad to hear of his advancement on the bench.

(Signed) T. V. PONNUSWAMY,
23rd December 1872. *P. S. Amin.*

No.257.

VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT COURT,
From, 3rd April 1874.

E. C. G. THOMAS ESQUIRE,
To DISTRICT JUDGE, VIZAGAPATAM.

H. WIGRAM ESQUIRE,
REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
Sir, *Appellate Side, Madras.*

With reference to the proceedings of the High Court No.2033 dated 21st November last calling my attention to the 316 suits pending for more than a year, on the file of the District Munsif of Rayaveram, up to the close of the 2nd quarter of 1873, and directing me to adopt some measures for the disposal of the arrears, I have the honor to report for the information of the Honorable the Judges of the High

Court, that such arrears had long attracted my attention, and that in my Annual Civil Statement No.IV of 1872 of original and appeal suits pending in the District, classified annually and dated 2nd April last, I observed that I would shortly apply to the High Court for sanction to transfer some of the old suits from the Rayaveram District Munsif's Court to that of Vizagapatam together with a portion of the tract within such jurisdiction.

The number of suits filed and disposed of in the Rayaveram District Munsiff's Court during the last 4 years as per margin* and that of Decrees on merits passed by the District Munsiff since 1st January 1870 invariably exceeded the perscribed number. The Small Cause Side of that Court had also been very heavy, as the extent of its Jurisdiction is very large. (Vide Sketch map). The number of Villages of that Court is 460, and of those in that of Vizagapatam 354. I therefore considered the propriety of transfering some of Rayaveram Villages to the jurisdiction of Vizagapatam, together with the pending suits appertaining to such Villages, but as the number of Suits filed in his Court in the last 2 quarters was on the increase, owing apparently to the speedy and just manner in which he disposed of them, the question of throwing additional work and jurisdiction on him had been a matter of doubt. But as he has successfully cleared them off and reduced the file of his Court and has also came forward and volunteered willingly to undertake the jurisdiction also, of the 53, Villages as per list, which are nearer to this Court than that of Rayaveram (as will be observed by the enclosed map), I request sanction for the transfer of 53 Villages to the District Munsiff's Court of Vizagapatam from that of Rayaveram, together with the pending Suits of those Villages as stated above. This arrangement will equalize the number of

*Pending.	Filed.	Decree on merits calculating 2 ex-parte Decrees a...ne an merits,	Otherwise disposed of.
In 1870	496 622	283	138
1871	654 502	289	121
1872	676 360	297	172
1873	511 603	284	243
on 1st January 1874	539		

of a... Villages within the jurisdiction of both the Courts and also the number of pending suits.

I take this opportunity of showing the number of suits filed during the last 2 years, and the work done by M. B. Sundera Row, the District Munsiff, Vizagapatam, since he took charge of the office on the 5th March 1872, which has been as per margin* and bear out my recommendations of him to the High Court in my letter No.53 of 1st January 1872 I have confidence that he can satisfactorily dispose off the additional work it is now proposed to throw on him.

*No. of Suits filed.		No. of Suits disposed of.
1st qr. of 1882	75	58
2nd Do.	56	64 (adjournment)
3rd Do.	141	216
4th Do.	131	144
	403	425
1st qr. of 1873	168	191
2nd Do.	70	105
3rd Do,	153	109
4th Do.	146	168
	531	573

Pending suits on 1st January 1874-76.

As he came forward to undertake such additional work and thus prevented the necessity and costs of an Additional District Munsiff's Court at Rayaveram for at least 2 or 3 years, especially at a time when the Government of India had ordered in G. O. No.2,997 of 14th November 1873 the restriction of expenditure to the utmost in consequence of scarcity throughout a large part of Bengal, I proposed bringing his claims forward in a separate letter with a view to his being at once promoted to the 1st class at his present station, as I would not be doing justice to him if I fail to do so.

I have &c. &c.

(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,
District Judge.

No.262...

VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT COURT,
12th May 1875.

FROM,

E. C. G. THOMAS ESQUIRE,
District Judge, Vizagapatam.

To

H. WIGRAM ESQUIRE,
AG. REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
Appellate Side, Madras.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Judges of the High Court two of the Munsiffs of this District, whom I think deserving of being promoted to the 1st class, when an opportunity occurs.

* * * * *

4. The other officer is M. B. Sundara Row, 3rd Class District Munsiff of Vizagapatam.

5. In my letter No.257 of the 30th April last, I reported to the High Court that I intended to recommend him for promotion at once to the 1st Class at the same station.

6. When addressing to the High Court about his appointment to the present post in my letter No.53 of 31st January 1872, I submitted that he has had official training in a Government office for 7 years, that during that period, he had successfully passed in English all the requisite Tests for a Civil Court Sheristadar, District Munsiff and Principal Sudder Amin (now Subordinate Judge) under the new Rules, that he has had judicial experience as a Pleader of this Court for 3½ years, for nearly two years of which he had been almost daily engaged before me, that he was unapproachably the best native pleader here, and one of the best I had ever seen, that he has in his pleadings shown himself possessed of qualifications far higher than those required to pass the tests, that he was of unexceptionable character, that his private earnings were then known to be such that I hardly expected him to desire the appointment, and that the dignity of office must have been one of its chief attractions to him, as I should be glad to consider, it was to every aspirant after it.

posi[illegible]
of [illegible]

7. As I anticipated in para 7 of my letter dated 31st January 1872, he fully maintained also in the public service the character he had held, while a private individual as Vakil.

8. He succeeded in disposing of his heavy work most satisfactorily, as although his file steadily increased, since his appointment nearly 3 years ago (owing apparently to the speedy and satisfactory manner in which he disposed of his suits), and had also come forward as reported in my letter No.257 dated 30th April last (and as subsequently sanctioned by the High Court) to undertake the additional jurisdiction of 53 Villages, and to take up 130 pending suits, appertaining to these Villages, thus doing away with the cost to the State of an additional District Munsiff's Court at Rayaveram for at least 2 years or so.

Filed in	1869	246
do.	1870	348
do.	1871	394
do.	1872	403
do.	1873	537
Exclusive of 130 suits transferred to him from Rayaveram.		571

9. The transfer took place at the commencement of the 3rd quarter of 1874, during which he having promptly disposed of, as many as 277 suits, there were only 130 suits pending on the 1st October last, of which only two were pending more than a year and 3 more than 6 months.

10. He disposed of more original suits than his predecessors and other District Munsiffs in the District, except in the 1st year of his appointment* as he had not then sufficient number of suits available for disposal. I have great pleasure in recording that irrespective of the quantity of his work, its quality was superior to that of almost all his brother District Munsiffs in the Presidency, as observed below.

Disposed of in	1869	347
Do.	1870	507
Do.	1871	380
Do.	1872	*452
Do.	1873	573
Do.	1874	666

11. The average percentage of contested suits appealed against, during the time of his predecessors in 1869, 1870 and 1871 was $20\frac{1}{5}$ per cent at Vizagapatam, the most important station in this District, whereas during his tenure of office in 1872, 1873 and 1874 such percentage was reduced to $13\frac{4}{5}$ths. The average percentage of appeals from him confirmed in 1872, 1873 and 1874 was greater than any of the other

District Munsiffs in this District for the past 5 years. percentage of appeals preferred from the number of suits disposed of by all the District Munsiffs in the Presidency was 11. 1, and 10. 2 in 1872 and 1873 respectively (vide Administration Reports for those years), where as such per centage in his case during those two years amounted only to about Ta[illegible] or 5·7 and 5·4 respectively.

12. His Judgments always writtten in English bear the mark of Judicious consideration of both law and fact, and he is in my opinion possessed of far higher attainments than the requisite qualifications of a first Class District Munsiff in Proceedings of the High Court No.1616 dated 25th March 1870.

13. He has held the post for nearly 4 years, during which he lost his pecuniary emoluments as a Vakil, which were far more than that of a 3rd Class District Munsiff, in anticipation of a speedy promotion, as stated in the concluding part of para 3 of this letter.

14. Under these circumstances, I feel, I would not be justified if I did not recommend his promotion at an early opportunity to the 1st grade, which he so well merits, by his ability and the services voluntarily rendered by him.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,
District Judge.

(True Copy)

Remark in the conduct Register of District Munsiffs of Vizagapatam District.

Well educated in English and law. A very quick worker and thoroughly efficient. Fit for any promotion.

12TH MAY 1875. } (Signed) E. C. G. THOMES,
Vizagapatam. }

(True Copy)

---

posit[illegible]
of [illegible].127.

VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT COURT,
16th February 1878.

FROM

E. C. G. THOMAS ESQUIRE,
District Judge, Vizagapatam.

To

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
Appellate Side, Madras.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report the large amount of work done by M. B. Sundara Row Pantulu, 3rd Class District Munsiff of Vizagapatam during the past 4 years.

2. As he voluntarily took up the additional jurisdiction of 53 Villages with their hamlets and a large number of suits appertaining to them from the District Munsiff's Court of Rayaveram in addition to his own, which was then already a heavy one, the file of his Court greatly increased; he however succeeded in keeping it down as will be observed by the marginal* statement.

	* Original filed.	Original disposed of.
In	1874 701	666
	1875 686	703
	1876 646	639
	1877 786	783
Pending on 1st January 1878		114

3. Before he took up his present post, his emoluments as Pleader of this Court were much greater than his present pay.

4. Since his appointment he has disposed of more suits than any other District Munsiff in the District, and had to work very hard, and I request his claims to promotion to the 1st grade may be considered as recommended in my letter No. 262 dated 12th May 1875.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,
District Judge.

(True copy)

No.692.

VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT COURT,
5th November 1878.

FROM

B. HORSBRUGH ESQUIRE,
District Judge, Vizagapatam.

To

THE AG. REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
Appellate Side, Madras.

SIR,

1. It appears probable that a vacancy will occur in the grade of 1st Class Munsiffs owing to the appointment of the Sub-Judge of Calicut to act as Small Cause Court Judge at Cuddalore. I beg to bring to the notice of the Honorable the Judges of the High Court the case of M. B. Sundara Row Pantulu, the District Munsiff of Vizagapatam.

*3rd April 1874 No. 257
12th May 1875 No. 262
16th February 1878 No. 127.

2. Mr. Thomas recommended him for early promotion to the 1st grade as a special case under the circumstances reported in his letters marginally* noted.

3. During the past 9 months, I have been in charge of this Court, I am glad to be able to report that he has fully maintained the high character he had previously earned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) B. HORSBRUGH,
Ag. District Judge.

(True Copy)

VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT COURT,
3rd November 1879.

FROM

E. C. G. THOMAS ESQUIRE,
District Judge, Vizagapatam.

To

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT,
Appellate Side, Madras.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to report the death of M. R. Ry. Konduparty Jagannadhadoss Pantulu Garu, 2nd Class

of ... post-
District Munsiff of Razam in the afternoon of the 20th ultimo after an illness of 2 days.

* * * * *

5. I beg to forward at the same time for the favourable consideration of the Honorable the Judges of the High Court an application from M.R.Ry. Maddiralah Butchi Sundara Row Pantulu Garu, 3rd Class District Munsiff of Vizagapatam for promotion to the vacant 2nd grade, as although he was specially recommended for speedy promotion to the 1st Grade in the letters marginally* noted, he has not as yet succeeded in obtaining the same.

*No. 257 d. 30th April 1874
,, 262 d. 12th May 1875
,, 127 d. 16th February 1878
,, 692 d. 5th November 1878

6. In my letter No.53 dated 3rd February 1872 nominating him to the present post, I reported that his private earnings as Vakil of the District Court were known to be such that I hardly expected him to desire the appointment and as he has had no promotion for the last 8 years, he has unfortunately been subjected to a heavy pecuniary loss, I need not recapitulate here what I have already reported about his high attainments, meritorious Extra services voluntarily rendered and special claims for the 1st Grade; but will only add that Mr. Horsbrugh in addition to recommending him for the 1st Grade in his letter of the 5th November 1878 certified on 3rd August 1879 that he was one of the hardest working men he has met and at the same time careful and accurate and that he trusts he will soon get the promotion so often recommended.

7. I am of opinion that it will be a hard case for him if his previous practice as a Vakil of the District Court for nearly 4 years is not taken into some consideration when promotions are made to higher grades.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,
District Judge.

My Dear Sundara Row,

I am very glad the High Court have given you the promotion, and I doubt not it will presently be confirmed.

I trust it will encourage you, and that you will always walk uprightly in the fear of God and *not of man;* you are a light on a hill,—a beacon—to guide many of your countrymen. I hope you may be honored to assist some of them to walk in that way. Satan's suceessful curse on mankind is that he induces nearly all to forget God, to act on principles of cunning out of their own heads, and as if there really was no God! and in consequence, of course, the world is full of wickedness, and satan reigns. Walk (as is the case) in the presence of God, and let weaker, unthinking minds see in you a steady manifest *belief* that shall startle and benefit them. Thus may God's glory, and your own position grow—of course I wish for you also that you may some day be taught further, and see that we need the Redeemer to reconcile us and give us communion with our maker.

Congratulating you, and wishing you every happiness.

I am yours sincerely,
Vizagapatam, *22nd November 1879.* (Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS.

TELEGRAM.

From
Vizagapatam District Judge.

To
The Registrar High Court,
Appellate Side, Madras.

Sir,

Vizagapatam Munsiff Sundara Row respectfully requests that, if transferred, he may be sent to Vizianagaram, Bimilipatam, Cocanada, Rajahamundry or Peddapore for the sake of his health and his children's education, ignorant of Uriya the language of Ganjam.

His health having suffered from the unusual amount of work he has accomplished, I commend his request to the consideration of the High Court.

Vizagapatam, *7th February 1880.* (Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,
District Judge.

MY DEAR SUNDARA ROW,

I have received your letter with enclosure, and you will also have learnt the contents, I suppose, of a Telegram from the High Court in reply to the Telegram I sent up on your account, I do not know Peddapore. I hope it will not disappoint you. My advice to you is to bear in mind what you yourself see viz, that for future advancement some present discomfort must not be wondered at. It is without doubt advantageous for you to be known in more than one place. Now that so large a property has come under your sole management, it becomes a more handy tool with detractors—your having 3 relatives in District Court was not wise.

Without laying any sin to your change, I think, a change to another District will benefit you and is advisable on Public grounds. But not *at all* by way of *punishment*—so do not mind it, if you cannot get exactly your own wish. Shall you take leave or not?

Yours faithfully
(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS.

22nd February 1880.

No.173. VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT COURT,
13th March 1880.

FROM

THE DISTRICT JUDGE,
Vizagapatam.

To

THE REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT,
Appellate Side, Madras.

SIR,

With reference to the notification of the High Court published at page 218 of the *Fort St. George Gazette* dated 24th February last, I have the honor to report that M. R. Ry. M. B. Sundara Row Pantulu Garu Ag. 2nd class District Munsiff of Vizagapatam, will avail himself of the privilege leave for 1½ months from the 15th Instant, and that he will join his new Station at Peddapore after its expiration.

2. On the occasion of his leaving this District, I beg leave once more to bring to the Special Notice of the

Honorable the Judges of the High Court, for their consideration, the highly satisfactory manner, in which he has discharged his arduous duties when he first took charge, the work of the Court was largely in arrears. He cleared off those.

3. In consequence apparently of his prompt and satisfactory decisions, the number of suits filed greatly increased. By his unremitting zeal and industry, he succeeded in disposing of the large number of suits as per margin* more than double the number of suits in any other District Munsif's Court in this District, and in the Presidency, with a few exceptions, in which latter Districts the suits are of an ordinary nature and not so intricate or so much connected with lands, as is the case in the majority of suits in his Court.

* In Original Suits.		
1872	...	452
1873	...	573
1874	...	666
1875	...	703
1876	...	639
1877	...	768
1878	...	818
1879	...	843

4. I beg to state that the way he disposed of them has been very creditable, as almost all of his judgments were confirmed by this, and, as you will observe, by the High Court also in second appeals. I reported, in para 12 of my letter No.262 dated 12th May 1875 that he was possessed of far higher attainments than those required for 1st class District Munsiff and that his judgments bear the mark of a judicious consideration of both Law and fact; and I need not say any thing further than that he has subsequently also continued to be a very hard working public officer, with great credit to himself, and satisfaction to the public. By his behaviour, he also obtained approbation of other officers under whom he has served in my absence. Mr. Horsbrugh in his letter No.692 dated 5th November 1878 recommended him for promotion to the 1st grade, and recorded in his service Register as follows:—

"One of the hardest working men I have met, and at the same" "time careful and accurate. I trust he will soon get the promotoin so often recommended".

5. In conclusion, I trust the judges will deem it fit to promote him at an early opportunity to the 1st grade, as he has rendered Special Services and saved to the state the cost

...ional District Munsiff, as reported in my letters
MY DE...7 and 262 dated 30th April 1874 and 12th May 1875
...spectively. He was pecuniarily a great loser by giving up his post of pre-eminently the leading Native Pleader in this Court, as observed in my letter No.53 dated 3rd February 1872. His aim and hope, having, of course been to attain one of the higher judicial appointments open to natives.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,
District Judge.

No.39.

VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT COURT,
15th January 1881.

FROM

E. C. G. THOMAS ESQUIRE,
District Judge, Vizagapatam.

To

LEWIS M'cIVER ESQUIRE,
AG. REGISTRAR OF THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
Appellate Side, Madras.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward for the favorable consideration of the Judges of the High Court, a letter addressed to me during his visit here on leave by M. B. Sundera Row Pantulu recently Munsiff of Vizagapatam and at present Munsiff of Peddapore.

2. He states that in recent appointments, three juniors have superseded him.

3. On the eve of leaving the District, I feel it but my duty to the Government, and those employed under me to record that having known Sundara Row for 10 years, I believe him to be one of the ablest and most industrious Munsiffs I have ever had under me.

4. The numbers given in the accompanying memo, speak for themselves, and show that the amount of work performed was very large and that he, in fact, cleared of arrears from two Courts Vizagapatam and Yalamanchily.

5. As he had on more than one occasion the rare cou... to prosecute offenders against truth, I submit that he merits peculiar consideration even if quality and quantity of his work did not also claim it.

6. No one acquainted with native suitors and Courts can be unaware of the unscrupulous enemies such a course arouses, nor of the enormous public advantage of a native of India making so unusual a stand in favour of integrity.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,
District Judge.

To

Mr. B. SUNDARA ROW,
District Munsiff of Peddapore.

Dear Sir,

As I am about to leave the District I think it is right, I should place in your hands the distinct statement that your recent removal from this District to Peddapore, had no reference to a single word or thought regarding your character although you have been several times attacked by bold and clever, enemies they have never been able to give me any grounds for thinking lightly of that.

I trust you will always maintain the honorable reputation you now have and will at all times receive from the High Court and the Government that consideration to which your talents and your untiring industry in the Public service entitled you.

Believe me yours faithfully,
(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS,

P. S. I ought to add that I have known you as a Pleader and Munsiff for 10 years.

13th Junuary 1881.

EXAMINED
30 NOV 1896

6

To

His Excellency the Right Honorable,
Sir Arthur E. Havelock, G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,
Governor-in-Council of Ft. St. George,
MADRAS.

The humble Memorial of M. B. SUNDERA ROW, *Subordinate Judge of Bellary and Salem now at Salem submitted with reference to the Proceedings of the High Court No.505 dated 12th September 1896.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

Under Government Order No.2608 dated 18th December 1895 your Excellency's petitioner is directed to retire on attaining his 55 years of age which will be on the 31st October next. Your Excellency's petitioner submitted his application to the High Court on 14th August last for recommending to Government his retention in Government Service for a period required to make up 25 years' active service, he having already put in 24 years' active service and which will come up to 24 years, 2 months and 5 days by 31st October next. The High Court having thereupon permitted your Excellency's humble petitioner to submit a Memorial to Government on the subject, the petitioner most respectfully and submissively approaches your Execellency with the same request fully trusting that he will be pardoned for the trouble hereby given and that the last boon he seeks for at the hands of your Excellency's Government will be conferred on him for the following reasons :—

1. Your Excellency's petitioner was entertained in Government service permanently as 2nd Gumastah on the establishment of the District Engineer, Godavery District on the 23rd August 1861 and continued in that department up to 4th June 1868, having successively held the offices of Range Accountant of Vizianagaram and Waltair and Head Accountant at the latter place: In the meantime having passed the Special Tests required for the office of District Munsif and Subordinate Judge, the petitioner joined the bar of the District Court of Vizagapatam in June 1868, and while he had a lucrative practice there, having been encouraged by the District Judge, he applied for and accepted the appointment of the post of the District Munsif of Vizagapatam which office he joined on the 4th March 1872; and he has been a Sub-Judge for 8 years.

2. Your Excellency's petitioner will complete his active service of 24 years 2 months and 5 days by the 31st October next as above stated requiring but about 10 months to complete 25 years' active service to entitle him to a pension of a moiety of his present salary Rs.500, whereas, if he is to retire on the 31st October next he will not be entitled to a pension of more than Rs.200, the fraction in the case being $\frac{24}{60}$ instead of $\frac{30}{60}$ which will be allowed for servants who retire after 25 years' active service. In this connection the petitioner humbly begs also to state

EXAMINED 30 NOV 1869

Name M. B. Soondara Row. Age when first entertained 19 Nineteen years

Establishment	Date	Appointment	Salary	Period of service in each appointment			Remarks regarding punishment severe and formal reprimands and all special commendation or mark of approbation.
				years	months	Days	
1	2	3	4	5			6
Godavery Collector's Cutcherry	25th September 1860	Acting Writer on half pay	10	"	"	1 6	
Do Do	15th November 1860	Acting English Accountant on half pay	7	8	"	1 7	
Office Establishment of the District Engineer, Godavery District	23d August 1861	2d Gumastah	14	"	"	10 17	M. B. Soondara Row has conducted his duties to my satisfaction. He is a good Accountant, and writes a good hand. He is regular in his attendance. (signed) J. Stoddard major Ag. Dist. Engr. Godavery.
Vizianagram or 4th Class Range Office establishment Vizagapatam District	16th August 1862 up to 1st July 1863	Accountant and Estimate maker	28	"	"	10 16	A very good Accountant. His books and Accounts when inspected by me have always been in good order 12th January 1863. (signed) J. R. Hunter. Capt RE
		By J. R. Hunter Capt RE Ag. Dist. Engr. Vizagapatam					
Office Establishment of No 2 Vizagapatam range.	10th December 1863	Writer and Accountant	35	"	1	8 7	
Vizagapatam or 1st Class Range Office establishment	16th September 1865 to 4th June 1868.	Accountant	60	"	2	8 19	
District Engineer's Office Waltair 20th February 1878							

True Copy

A. Wood Major RE.
District Engineer, Vizagapat.

Recd 2 v 98 No. 2578

District Engineer's Office 4
Waltair 20th February 18

From
The District Engineer
Vizagapatam
To
The District Munsiff
Vizagapatam

Encl. 1

Sir,

As requested in your letter dated 14th instant, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of your Service Register kept in the late Superintending Engineer's Office first Division.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt. Servt.
[illegible] Major R.E.
District Engr. Vizagapatam

30 NOV 1898

APPLICA

EXAMINED 30 NOV 1898

6

To

His Excellency the Right Honorable,
Sir Arthur E. Havelock, G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,
Governor-in-Council of Ft. St. George,
MADRAS.

The humble Memorial of M. B. Sundera Row, *Subordinate Judge of Bellary and Salem now at Salem submitted with reference to the Proceedings of the High Court No.505 dated 12th September 1896.*

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

Under Government Order No.2608 dated 18th December 1895 your Excellency's petitioner is directed to retire on attaining his 55 years of age which will be on the 31st October next. Your Excellency's petitioner submitted his application to the High Court on 14th August last for recommending to Government his retention in Government Service for a period required to make up 25 years' active service, he having already put in 24 years' active service and which will come up to 24 years, 2 months and 5 days by 31st October next. The High Court having thereupon permitted your Excellency's humble petitioner to submit a Memorial to Government on the subject, the petitioner most respectfully and submissively approaches your Execellency with the same request fully trusting that he will be pardoned for the trouble hereby given and that the last boon he seeks for at the hands of your Excellency's Government will be conferred on him for the following reasons :—

1. Your Excellency's petitioner was entertained in Government service permanently as 2nd Gumastah on the establishment of the District Engineer, Godavery District on the 23rd August 1861 and continued in that department up to 4th June 1868, having successively held the offices of Range Accountant of Vizianagaram and Waltair and Head Accountant at the latter place: In the meantime having passed the Special Tests required for the office of District Munsif and Subordinate Judge, the petitioner joined the bar of the District Court of Vizagapatam in June 1868, and while he had a lucrative practice there, having been encouraged by the District Judge, he applied for and accepted the appointment of the post of the District Munsif of Vizagapatam which office he joined on the 4th March 1872; and he has been a Sub-Judge for 8 years.

2. Your Excellency's petitioner will complete his active service of 24 years 2 months and 5 days by the 31st October next as above stated requiring but about 10 months to complete 25 years' active service to entitle him to a pension of a moiety of his present salary Rs.500, whereas, if he is to retire on the 31st October next he will not be entitled to a pension of more than Rs.200, the fraction in the case being $\frac{24}{60}$ instead of $\frac{30}{60}$ which will be allowed for servants who retire after 25 years' active service. In this connection the petitioner humbly begs also to state

that if he had not lost his past services in the Public Works Department by joining the bar in 1868, he would be a public servant of more than 30 years' active service by this time.

3. When submitting the report of the High Court to Government which is referred to in the Government Order above mentioned, somehow or other, the petitioner was not asked as was done on former occasions by the Heads of Department, whether he could, and wished to, continue beyond his 55th year. It does not appear that even the District Judge of Coimbatore, under whom your petitioner was serving, was questined as to whether your Excellency's petitioner was physically and intellectually fit for continuing any longer in Government service after finishing his 55 years of age agreeably to the Government Order No.1120 J. D. dated 12 May 1880, which calls for a report of the kind in such cases. The petitioner had therefore no previous notice of what would be the nature of the report of the High Court to Government about his retirement.

4. The District Judge of Salem under whom the petitioner is now serving has submitted his application to the High Court certifying to his fitness both physically and inellectually to continue in Government service for some further period.

5. Your Excellency's petitioner is quite ready to retire soon after his 25 years' active service is completed and has no idea of continuing in service any longer, although from his physical strength he is confident that he can work for another 3 or 4 years with his usual energy and industry.

6. While, after completing 25 years' active service, your humble petitioner is to get a pension of Rs.250, the loss of Rs.50 for want of active service for a period of but 10 months is too disproportionate and too heavy, and his case therefore has a claim for a merciful consideration by Government. By perusal of the copies of letters and the testimonials herewith submitted your Excellency will be pleased to observe that the petitioner had always been forward in volunteering to undertake heavy work and that the work turned by him in every one of the Courts to which he was posted was not considered unsatisfactory either in point of quantity or quality. They also testify to the manner in which your petitioner conducted himself in discharge of the onerous and responsible duties which devolved on him.

7. Your Excellency's humble petitioner futher begs to add that, on learning from some of the Honorable Judges of the High Court that they might recommend for condonation of the required period to entitle him to a full pension, he submitted another application to the High Court on the 29th August last stating therein that if, in order to grant promotions for Juniors, the petitioner's retention in service for a year or 10 months after 31st October cannot be allowed, the sanction of Government for retaining him in service at least for 7 months from and after 31st October next might be obtained, since he will be deemed, after the expiry of those 7 months to have completed the required active service, save a short period of 3 months, to condone which period the Local Government has absolute power without the necessity of recommending to the Government of India for condonation of a period of 10 months which they may or may not be pleased to grant. In order to minimise the period still further, the petitioner submitted that out of those 7 months, for 15 days there will be no work on account of Christmas Holidays, that he would apply for 3 months' privilege leave from 15th January next, that the petitioner did

not take privilege leave even for a single day from February 1879 and never had any kind of leave since 6th June 1885 and there could therefore be no objection to the leave being granted, that during this period the petitioner gets only a moiety of his pay which will be equal to his full pension, that shortly after his return from leave, which will be in the middle of April, summer recess for Courts will be granted and the petitioner will retire during such vacation from 31st May next, which will be the end of the 7th month; and that by this arrangement, the petitioner has to work on the Bench only for a little more than 2½ months and get full pay for only 4 months.

8. Your Excellency's petitioner most humbly and respectfully solicits your gracious Excellency as a last boon at the hands of Government to sanction the petitioner's retention in Government service for a period of a year or 10 months after 31st October next which will give the petitioner sufficient amount of pension to live upon in a moderate and decent manner in the remaining portion of his life. If at least for 7 months your humble petitioner is permitted to remain in service and the other 3 months are condoned by your Excellency's Government, your humble petitioner will get his full pension and will retire with perfect contentment.

9. Numerous are the instances in which the benign Government in consideration of the industry and long services of their servants have been pleased to grant extensions of period for their retention in service after they attained their 55th year and on some occasions short periods of service were condoned.

10. The petitioner therefore earnestly trusts that the Government will be graciously pleased to bestow on him—a hard-worked servant, the last favor that he seeks at their benevolent hands by granting sanction for his retention in service for a period of a year, or of such less period as may secure to your humble petitioner the usual moiety of pension allowed after 25 years' active service and as it may appear just and proper to your Excellency.

For which act of justice and mercy,

Your Petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.

&c. &c. &c.

Subordinate Judge
of
Bellary and Salem, now at Salem.

SALEM,
September 1896.

FROM,

M. B. SUNDERA ROW,
Subordinate Judge,
NOW AT SALEM.

To,

HIS EXCELLENCY,
THE RIGHT HONORABLE,
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
Fort Saint George,
MADRAS.

Dated
Despatched
Received

Enclosure.

ONE.

Subject.

Submitting Memorial praying for his retention in service for one year, or 10 months which is the period required to complete 25 years' active service, or at least for 7 months condoning the remaining 3 months which is in the absolute power of Local Government, to enable the petitioner to get his full pension, he having already put in active service of 24 years, 2 months and 5 days by 31st October next.

Indian Telegraph

7

To Station Salem — From Station Madras

words	Day	Hour	minutes	From person
To person despatch	30	13	51	High Court

EXAMINED
30 NOV 1882

Govt letter 29 direct Sundara Rao Pantulu deliver charge tomorrow afternoon.

(True copy)

Distt Judge

442 8

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION. / ~~GRATUITY.~~}

1. Name of Applicant. M R Ry M. B. Sundara Row Pantulu Garu
2. Father's Name. Pedda Sundara Row Pantulu Garu
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Brahmin, Hindu, Bhagavat Sampradaying Karna Kamma Vaipari caste
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Vizagapatam, in Vizagapatam District
5. Present or last employment including name of establishment. Subordinate Judge Bellary & Salem at Salem
6. Date of beginning of service. 5th March 1872
7. Date of ending of service. 31st October 1896

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	24	7	26
of which superior	24	2	6
inferior	-	-	-
non-qualifying and interruptions	4	5	20

9. Class of { pension / ~~gratuity~~ } applied for, and cause of application * .. Superannuation pension under article 502 C.S.R. Vide G.O. Nos 423 & 424 published in Fort St. George Gazette Part I dated 27.10.96
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 500.
11. Proposed pension. Rs 250 (if the deficiency is not condoned) Rs 200 only
12. Proposed gratuity. -
13. Date from which pension to commence. 1st November 1896
14. Place of payment. Vizagapatam
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 31st October 1841
16. Height. 5 feet and 5 inches
17. Marks. A small mole on the upper eyelid. Two pock marks one on the forehead and another on the right cheek Droped eyelids. A small tattoo mark between the eyebrows
18. Date. 3rd November 1896

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

V.D

District Judge.
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF M R R M B Sundara Row Pantulu Garu

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. Rs. A. P.	Acting Allowance. Rs.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Estt of the Dt Engr Godavari &c (PWD)		Out of employ		23-8-61 5-6-68	4-6-68 4-3-72				6	9	12	Resigned		
Vizagapatam	Dt Munsif	200 - -		5. 3. 72	28. 11-80	8	8	24						
Peddapuram	3rd grade Do	200 - -		29. 11. 80	14. 12. 80	-	-	16						
Sick leave for 4 months & 11 days				15. 12. 80	25. 4. 81				-	4	11			
"		200 - -		26. 4. 81	29. 6. 81	-	2	4						
Leave without allowances				30. 6. 81	24. 7. 81				-	-	25			
"	"	200 - -		25-7-81	18-9-83	2	1	25						
"	Do 2d grade	250 - -		19. 9. 83	31. 3. 84	-	6	13						
"	Do 1st grade	400 - -		1- 4. 84	28. 11. 84	-	7	27						
	Reorganization													
Bapatla	"	400 - -		29. 11-84	21. 5. 85	-	5	23						
Sick leave for 15 days				22- 5. 85	5. 6. 85				-	-	14			
Bapatla	"	400 - -		6. 6. 85	14. 10. 86	1	4	9						
Masulipatam	"	400 - -		15-10-86	17-8-88	1	10	2						
Ellore	Ag Sub Judge	500 - -		18. 8. 88	29. 5. 89	-	9	12						
"	Sub Judge	500 - -		30. 5. 89	8- 1. 94	4	7	10						
Bellary & Salem	"	500 - -		9- 1. 94	9-11-94	-	10	-						
North Arcot	"	500 - -		10-11-94	30-6-95	-	7	20						
Coimbatore	"	500 - -		1-7-95	8. 4-96	-	9	8						
Bellary & Salem at Salem	"	500 - -		9-4-96*	31-10-96	-	6	23						
Total period of superior ~~inferior~~ service ..					....	24	2	6	[illegible] 10	5 4	20 12			

* Delivered charge on the afternoon of 31-10-96 (vide copy of High Court telegram herewith sent)

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. — Good
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. — Nil
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. *See* Chapter XX. — Nil
4. Explanation under Section 59, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. — Nil
5. Any other remarks. — Retired by order of Government on the applicant completing his 55th year.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. (See Rule 6 under Section 84.) — Service claimed is established and should be admitted

[illegible] Dist Judge
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in {*inferior* / *superior*} grades has been duly proved for years months days; and that a {*pension* / *gratuity*} not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Section of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The {*pension* / *gratuity*} is chargeable to

Accountant-General.

Application for { Pension or Gratuity—	
Date of Application.	
Name of Applicant.	
Last Appointment.	
Class of { pension or gratuity.	
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	
Date of commencement.	
Date of sanction.	

5

Pension Department.

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
26 August 1896.

EXAMINED
30 NOV 1896

No. 1AE / 228

From

H. C. Harrison Esquire,
Offg ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL to the
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Sub judge,
Bellary and Salem,
~~at~~ Salem.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 76 dated the 5th August 1896, I have the honour to state that under Articles 995 and 996 of the Civil Service Regulations, I am precluded from furnishing information about any questions connected with an officer's claim to pension until he absolutely retires.

2. If you mean to retire shortly, i.e., within six months or so, you can submit the application through the

the Head of your Department.

3. The enclosure to your letter quoted above is returned

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

Chief Superintendent

AH 25/8

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE

No.

Dated
Received

No.

Subject.

Cor.—5,000—4-6-96.

District Court, Salem,
3rd November 1896.

No. 979

From,

W. J. Tate Esquire,
District Judge,
Salem.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
6 NOV 96
MADRAS

To,

The Accountant-General
Fort Saint George.
Madras.

Sir,

In submitting herewith an application for pension on behalf of Mr. M. B. Sundara Rão Pantulu, late Sub-Judge of Bellary and Salem, I have the honor to strongly recommend for the favorable consideration of Government that the deficiency of 9 months and 24 days in the Applicant's qualifying service for a pension of Rs 250: a month, may be condoned in consideration of his past hard work and good conduct, and also of his service of about 6 years in the D. P. W. which is not counted in the application.

6/11

55/D

102

2. If this is not done, the applicant, who has now been for 8 years a Sub. Judge, will only draw pension on a pay of Rs 400 i.e. on that of a 1st. class District Munsif. This seems very hard.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed. Servant.

District Judge.

VD.

From,

THE *District* JUDGE,

SALEM.

To,

Accountant General
Fort Saint George
Madras

Dated *3rd November* 1896.

Despatched *Do* 1896

Received 189

No. *979*

Enclosures *8*

SUBJECT.

Submitting herewith application for pension of M.R.Ry. M. B. Sundara Rau Pantulu late Sub Judge of Bellary & Salem recommending him for condonation for his deficient service to the favourable consideration of Govt. on account of his hard & good service under Govt.

20-11-96

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

Corr.—500—1-10-96.

30 NOV 1896

PENSION DEPARTMENT. *Index No. 115-8 / 20-11-96*

Fort St. George,

No. A.D.K. / *414* Accountant-General's Office, *18th November* 1896.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE *District Judge, Salem*

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension ~~gratuity~~ of *M.R.Ry. M. B. Sundara Row Pantulu, late sub Judge of Bellary and Salem* is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Chief Superintendent.

Attention is drawn to No. *11(a)* of the printed Memo. of Instructions from this office.

2. A revised application showing the service rendered by the applicant in the Public Works Department prior to his resignation should be prepared and sent through the Examiner of Public Works Accounts Madras, so that the latter officer may verify and state if the service in question would count for pension had it not been for the applicant's resignation.

W.R.

(E. 176.)
2,000—2-4-95.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. , dated September 189 6.

Statement of the verified Services of M. B. Sundara Rao Pantulu Garu
Head Accountant. Vizagapatam.

Born on the 31st October 1841..

Establishment and Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as Service.			Period Not reckoned as Service.			Reason of termination.	Remarks by Examiner, P. W. Accounts.
	Rs.	Rs.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.		
Godavari District.												
Gumustah	14	-	11-9-61	15-8-62							Promotion	
Vizagapatam District.												
No. III. Vizianagaram.												
Accountant	28	-	16-8-62	8-7-63							- Do -	Stoppage of 1 Rupee in February 1863.
Godavari District.												
Vizianagaram Range												
Accountant -	30	-	9-7-63	31-12-63							Do.	
1st Division												
Vizagapatam												
Accountant.	35	-	1-1-64	15-9-65							Do -	
- Do -	60	-	16-9-65	11-5-66							Privilege Leave.	
Privilege Leave	60	-	12-5-66	10-7-66								
Accountant	60	-	11-7-66	25-7-66							Sick leave	
Sick Leave	30	-	26-7-66	25-9-66								
Accountant	60	-	26-9-66	9-12-66							Do -	

Statement of the verified Services of

(Continued.)

Establishment and Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Period Not reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Reason of termination.	Remarks by Examiner, P. W. Accounts.
	Rs.	Rs.										
Sick Leave	30	-	10-12-66	5-2-67								
Head Accountant	60	-	6-2-67	5-6-68							Unknown.	

D. C. Gordon

Examiner P. W. Accounts.
Madras.

Encls
3/12.

N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted, and the communication should be addressed to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, and not to any officer by name.

6066

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE ✦ MADRAS ✦ 4 DEC 96

[E. No. 93.]
1,000—19-8-96.

FROM D. C. GORDON, ESQ.,

EXAMINER OF P. W. ACCOUNTS, MADRAS,

To

The Accountant General,

Madras.

No. 4171 D/E *Madras*, 3d December 1896.

SIR,

With reference to the District Judges(Salem) letter No.1059 dated 26th.November 1896 to my address,I have the honour to state that the services of M.B.Sundara Rao Puntulu as verified from the records of this office prior to his resignation were rendered in grades qualifying for pension.

2. A copy of the statement of the verified services of M.B.Sundara Rao Puntulu which was forwarded to the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras with this office No.2742 D/E of 15th. September 1896 is herewith attached for your information together with the enclosures received from the District Judge Salem.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

D. C. Gordon

Examiner,P.W.Accounts,Madras.

Encls
3/12.

Encls.12

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.