[D. D. / 62]
1,000—10-8-96.

Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1156

DATED 2-12-96.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a superannuation pension of Rs. 1-7-6-p. m. to R. Madurai-nayagam Pillai, late Dt. Post Village postman Tiruvannamalai Post office.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[A/1]
500-7-8-96.

List

C. No. 1274
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers:—

From the P. M. G., Madras dated 21st May 1896, No. PG1-565/5471

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 18th Nov 1896, No. A.D.J./464

ORDER THEREON, 2d Decr. 1896 No. 1156.

Under articles 502, 518(b), 521(a) & 588 of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Rottikara Madurainaigam Pillai, late District Post Village Postman, Tiruvannamalai Post Office, a superannuation pension of Rupees (1-7-6) one annas seven & pies six p.m. payable at Tiruvannamalai from 1st Apl '96 in consideration of his qualifying Supr. service of 20 years, 2 months and 21 days.

DD
2.12.96

Character - good

Reduced to 2.C.C in '71 for shirking ~~beat duty~~ night meeting Marayes

I don't see why this man's pension shd be reduced by 8/40; his qualifying service began before he was 35 & the fact that he obtained a gratuity for his prior service is no reason for making a reduction.
See note (1) to Art. 521(a)

DD
1-12-96

Sir.

Note 1 to art. 521(a) applies only to the case of an officer who is reemployed after discharge on compensation pension or gratuity. The applicant, in this case, having

KS
30.11.96

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" " P.M.G., Madras

received an Invalid gratuity & not having refunded it on his reemployment, his earlier service for which he received the gratuity is disregarded & his qualifying service is reckoned from 25-1-75, the date of his reentertainment. As he was then over 30 years of age. art. 521 (a) C.S.R applies to his case.

J.K.S.
1.12.96

Passed

Pen. C No 1274

APPLICATION FOR PENSION ~~OR GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Kottikara Madurainaigam Pillai

2. Father's name. Kottikara Palaniya Pillai

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu, vellala, Sudra.

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Tiruvannamalai Casbah, South Arcot District.

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Late District Post-village Postman, Tiruvannamalai Post office.

6. Date of beginning of service. 11th January 1860.

7. Date of ending of service. 31st March 1896

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	36	2	21
of which superior	20	2	19
inferior	"	"	"
non-qualifying and interruptions	16	"	2

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Superannuation pension; inefficiency owing to old age.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 5-8-1 (vide memo A with A1 attached)

11. Proposed pension. Rs 1-7-6 (under article 521, Civil Service Regulations, vide memo Z3 attached)

12. Proposed gratuity. nil

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1st April 1896.

14. Place of payment. Tiruvannamalai

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 1837 (according to the entry in the Service book)

16. Height. 5 feet, 3½ inches.

17. Marks. a large circular scar on the left Knee; another large scar on the right Knee; a small white scar on the right hand; face pitted with small pox marks.

18. Date 15.8.96

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

Postmaster General

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Rotti Kara Madurainaigham Pillai late District Post village Postman, Tiruvannamalai Post Office.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
South Arcot District	3rd Class Constable	5/4	-	11-1-60	31-8-61	-	-	-	1	7	21	Rejected, applicant having received a gratuity 21 of Rs 84/- for this portion of service		Service from 11-1-60 to 25-9-72 does not count for pension as the gratuity received by the applicant for the period was not refunded as required by Articles 565 and 574.
	2nd Class Constable	6	-	1-9-61	28-2-67	-	-	-	5	6	-			
	1st Do Do	7	-	1-3-67	30-6-71	-	-	-	4	4	-			
	Do Do Do	8	-	1-7-71	24-9-71	-	-	-	-	2	24			
	2nd Class Constable	7	-	25-9-71	25-9-72	-	-	-	1	-	1			
	Out of employ	-	-	26-9-72	24-1-75	-	-	-	2	3	30	1		
Tittagudi Post Office	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	25-1-75	15-9-75	-	7	~~22~~ 21	-	-	-	1+2		Verified partly by the records of the Postal Department and partly by reference to the affidavit of the applicant and the verification except for 1876, 1878 and 1879 confirmed by reference to the annual returns on record in this office.
Srimushnam Post Office	Dt. Post Rural messenger	5	-	16-9-75	15-10-75	-	1	-	-	-	-	1+3		
	Leave without allowance		-	16-10-75	15-11-75	-	-	-	-	1	-			
	Do (In transit)		-	16-11-75	30-11-75	-	-	-	-	-	15			
Chidambaram Post Office	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	1-12-75	21-5-76	-	5	21	-	-	-	1,4+5		
	In transit	-	-	22-5-76	24-5-76	-	-	-	-	-	3	1,4,5+6		
Tiruvanamalloor Post Office	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	25-5-76	13-7-76	-	1	19	-	-	-	1,7+8		
Tirukoilur Post Office	-Do-	5	-	14-7-76	31-12-76	-	5	~~18~~ 17	-	-	-	1,9,10+11		
	Do	5	-	1-1-77	18-11-77	-	10	18	-	-	-	1,10,11+12		
	Do	5	-	19-11-77	30-9-80	2	10	12	-	-	-	1+10&13		
	Do	5	-	1-10-80	15-5-81	-	7	15	-	-	-	19		
	In transit	-	-	16-5-81	22-5-81	-	-	-	-	-	7			
Tiruvannamalai Post Office	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	23-5-81	31-5-81	-	-	~~8~~ 9	-	-	-			
	-Do-	5	-	1-6-81	30-6-81	-	1	-	-	-	-	1,14,15+16		
	-Do-	5	-	1-7-81	31-7-81	-	1	-	-	-	-	19		
	-Do-	5		1-8-81	31-8-81	-	1	-	-	-	-	1,15+16		
	-Do-	5	-	1-9-81	3-4-82	-	7	3	-	-	-	19		
	Leave without allowance		-	4-4-82	3-5-82	-	-	-	-	1	-			
	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	4-5-82	18-7-83	1	2	15	-	-	-			
	In transit	-	-	19-7-83	19-7-83	-	-	-	-	-	1			
Peramalthur Post Office	ag: Imperial village Postman	6/8	-	20-7-83	31-8-83	-	1	~~12~~ 11	-	-	-			
	In transit	-	-	1-9-83	1-9-83	-	-	-	-	-	1			
Tiruvannamalai Post Office	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	2-9-83	30-6-84	-	9	29	-	-	-	1,13,17+18	Vide separate sheet attached	
	Do.	5	-	1-7-84	31-7-84	-	1	-	-	-	-			
	Do.	5	-	1-8-84	16-9-85	1	1	16	-	-	-	19		
	Leave without allowance		-	17-9-85	11-10-85	-	-	-	-	-	25			
	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	12-10-85	3-1-86	-	2	~~23~~ 22	-	-	-			
	Imperial ag: Postman	8	-	4-1-86	3-4-86	-	3	-	-	-	-			
	Leave without allowance		-	4-4-86	30-4-86	-	-	-	-	-	27			
	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	1-5-86	31-12-87	1	8	-	-	-	-			
	village Postman	5	-	1-1-88	23-6-90	2	5	23	-	-	-	20		
	Imperial ag: Postman	8	-	24-6-90	25-6-90	-	-	2	-	-	-			
	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	26-6-90	31-5-91	-	11	~~6~~ 5	-	-	-			
	Imperial ag: Postman	6/8	-	1-6-91	22-7-91	-	1	22	-	-	-			
	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	23-7-91	5-3-92	-	7	~~12~~ 13	-	-	-			
	Imperial ag: Postman	8	-	6-3-92	4-5-92	-	1	29	-	-	-			
	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	5-5-92	6-8-93	1	3	2	-	-	-			
	Imperial ag: Postman	8	-	7-8-93	15-11-93	-	3	9	-	-	-			
	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	16-11-93	15-5-94	-	6	-	-	-	-			
	Leave without allowance		-	16-5-94	15-9-94	-	-	-	-	4	-			
	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	16-9-94	13-3-95	-	5	~~26~~ 28	-	-	-			
	Leave without allowance		-	14-3-95	9-6-95	-	-	-	-	2	~~27~~ 28			
	Dt. Post village Postman	5	-	10-6-95	31-7-95	-	1	~~22~~ 21	-	11	15			
	Do	6/8	-	1-8-95	31-3-96	-	8	-	-	-	-			
					Total	20	2	19	16	-	2			

381

...following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

...Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714

...Regulations.

Pat village

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. The applicant was reduced to 2nd class Constable from 25-9-71 "for shirking night [meeting"

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI). The applicant served as Constable in the Police force South Arcot District and was invalided on 25-9-72 with a gratuity of Rs 84/- which he has not refunded, vide Documents V and W

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil

5. Any other remarks. The applicant has served as Postman for 5 years with approval; vide certificate, Doc: X. He was ordered to retire in this Office No. 25654 dated 23-11-95.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. The service claimed by the applicant has been established and should be admitted, vide Summary of evidence, Doc. Y

SECY. GOVT MADRAS
PENL. DEPT.

Postmaster General

SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

25-1-75 to 30-9-80
1-6-81 to 30-6-81
~~1-8-8~~ 1-8-81 to 31-8-81
1-7-84 to 31-7-84

Should Govt: be pleased to admit the period of service noted in the margin claimed in the affidavit of the applicant on the evidence of contemporary employed (part of which is also verified from the records of this office)

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in ~~inferior or~~ superior grades will ~~has~~ been duly proved for 20 years 2 months +21 days; and that a superannuation (pension ~~or gratuity~~) not exceeding Rs. 1-7-6 per mensem equal to 20/60 of average emoluments reduced by 8/40 will be, ~~is~~ admissible under Articles 502, 518(b), 521(a) and 588 of the Civil Service Regulations, 2 Edn. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund)~~.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the ~~Post~~ Post Master General in his letter No P.G. 1-565/5471 dated 21 May 1896.

In accordance with Rule 3 under Article 994(b), the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Article 514 of the Regulations.

Fort Saint George
Accountant Genl: office
18th November 1896
Despd 19.11.96

To
The Under Secretary to Govt:,
Pension Department.

A.D.G
464

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
20 NOV 1896
PENSION DEPARTMENT

J. Manison
~~Audit Officer~~.
Offg: Accountant Genl.

E.D.
McN.

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY— Pension

Date of Application. 15th August 1896

Name of Applicant. Rottikara Madurunaigam Pillai

Last Appointment. Late District Post village postman, Tiruvannamalai Post Office

Class of pension ~~or gratuity~~. Superannuation pension

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned. Nil

Date of Commencement. 1st April '96.

Date of sanction.

So 1156/96

A

Memo showing the calculation of the average Emoluments in the pension case of Madurunaigam Pillai late District Post village postman Tiruvannamalai Post Office.

Yrs. M. D. ~~Rs.~~ Rs-as. ps.

For: 0 - 8 - 0 from 1-8-95 to 31-3-96 @ 6-8-0 = 52-"-"

0 - 1 - 22 from 10-6-95 to 31-7-95 @ 5 = 8-10-8

0 - 5 - 26 from 16-9-94 to 13-3-95 @ 5 = 29-5-4

0 - 6 - 0 from 16-11-93 to 15-5-94 @ 5 = ~~30-0-0~~ 29-14-8

0 - 3 - 9 from 7-8-93 to 15-11-93 @ 8 = 26-6-5

1 - 3 - 2 from 5-5-92 to 6-8-93 @ 5 = 75-5-4

0 - 1 - 29 from 6-3-92 to 4-5-92 @ 8 = 15-11-9

0 - 7 - 12 from 23-7-91 to 5-3-92 @ 5 = 37-4-2

0 - 1 - 22 from 1-6-91 to 22-7-91 @ 6 1/8 = 11-4-3

0 - 8 - 28 from 4-9-90 to 31-5-91 @ 5 = 44-10-8

330-6-5

330-6-4

4-9-7
25

6-7-2

4-5-8
"-15-6
5-5-2

7-11-10

2-4-2
4-4-1
5-8
5-9-9

Total for 5 - " - "

∴ The average for 1 month = $\frac{330-6-5}{60}$

= Rs 5 - as. 8 - ps. 1.

× Vide Copy of the Divisional Superintendent's letter No $\frac{230}{C}$ dated 4th May 1896 (Doc. K) attached hereto.

2-12

1-13-4
"-5-10
1-7-6

6
PENSION DEPARTMENT
14
1274

Ind
7/5/96.

Calculation of Average Emoluments.

	Rs	A	P
From 5-9-90 to 31-5-91 at Rs 5 =	44	5	4
" 1-6-91 to 22-7-91 at Rs 6½ =	11	1	9
" 23-7-91 to 5-3-92 at 5 =	37	4	2
" 6-3-92 to 4-5-92 at 8 =	15	11	10
" 5-5-92 to 6-8-93 at 5 =	75	5	2
" 7-8-93 to 15-11-93 at 8 =	26	7	2
" 16-11-93 to 15-5-94 at 5 =	~~8~~ 29	14	8
" 16-9-94 to 13-3-95 at 5 =	29	9	7
" 10-6-95 to 31-7-95 at do =	8	8	–
" 1-8-95 to 31-3-96 at 6½ =	52	–	–

10) 330-3-8

6) 33-–-4

5-8-–

A1

Copy of a communication No 230/6 **dated** 4-5-96

from the Superintendent of P.Os Cuddalore Dn

to the Postmaster General Madras

With reference to your order No. P41-565/3501 dated 1-5-96 I beg to state that–

(1) the appointment in which the applicant acted during the period from 1-6-91 to 22-7-91 was not permanently vacant. One Bapu Row, Imperial Village Postman Tiruvannamalai, was on leave without pay and the applicant acted for him. Bapu Row cannot count service during this period, and he drew no allowance.

(2) From 6th March 92 to 4th May 92, the applicant was acting in place of one S. Natesa Pillai Postman of Tiruvannamalai who had died. This was a permanent vacancy and it was subsequently filled up by one Srinivasalu Naidu permanently. This period also can be counted by no other as service;

(3) During the last period from 7-8-93 to 15-11-93 the applicant was acting for Postman Krishnasami Pillai who was under suspension and this period would not count towards service for Krishnasami Pillai who drew no allowance of any kind during suspension

5-6-96

6
PENSION DEPARTMENT,
Enc. No. 17
C. No. 1274

11.2.96.—1000.

3/5 - 8 -
5/1 - 13 - 4
~~- 8 - 4~~
5 - 10
1 - 7 - 6

B

Statement of Maduranayagam Pillay,
Village Postman Tiruvannamalai Post Office.

—

I was born in the year Sadharana Purattasi month, sixth date. In the year 1854 I was road maistry a nonpensionable appointment & continued as such for about 3 years. I was then taken on reduction of establishment. Afterwards I secured acting appointments in the Collector's Cutchery & District Court. In 1860. I was appointed as a Police Constable, in which department I served for about 12 years & 9 months & obtained gratuity of Rs 84. I had to retire from Police Department owing to my ill health. In 1875, when I was better in health I went and saw Mr Beneficio, the then Superintendent of Post Offices, Cuddalore Div for appointment

The Certificate of the then Supdt of Police South Arcot of 25th Sept 72 speaks to this service. It is enclosed in original.

9/4/86 [illegible]

No. 6
PENSION DEPARTMENT
Encl. 28
C. No. 1274

and I received orders from the Inspector, No 116 of 22-1-75, appointing me as Village Postman Tittakudi on Rs 5½ pay. Three days after, I joined the appointment at Tittakudi, where I remained for about 10 months. For this period, Kadirmeera Rawther, Village ~~Postman~~ Munsiff of Tittakudi & ~~Munsiff~~ & Chockalingam Pillai late ~~[illegible]~~ Village Postman, Tittakudi, now at Tittakudi will certify. I was then transferred to Srimushnam in the same capacity on the same pay at my own request. As per order No 37 of 16-10-75 of the Deputy Postmaster Srimushnam, I was on leave for one month from 16-10-75 to 15-11-75. For this my service at Srimushnam, Srinivasa Chettiar & Ramasamy Chettiar Bangle merchants Srimushnam will certify. At my own request I was then transferred to Chidambaram as Village Postman as per order No 385 of 20-11-75. On the 1st December 75

I took charge of the appointment there. Munsamy Naidoo, Postman Chidambaram and Namasivayam Pillai Village Postman Chidambaram will certify to this period. In order No. 622 of 19-5-76 the Inspector ordered my transfer to Tirumananallur on public grounds. Within 3 days, I went & joined my duty at Tirumananallur; Babu Naidoo, Postman Cuddalore & Venkataraja ~~on charge there Villupuram will certify to this period.~~ an employe of the Parry & Co's Mills will certify. By order No [illegible] of 13-7-76, I was transferred to Tirukoilur on public grounds. Mr Venkataraghava Chariar clerk Villupuram, & Shanmugam Postman Chidambaram will certify to this period. From Tirukoilur I was transferred to to Tiruvannamalai as Village Postman on public grounds as per order No 66 of 13-5-81. in which appointment I still remain.

For the unverifiable period 1-6-81 to 30-6-81 1-8-81 to 31-8-81. & 1-7-84 to 31-7-84. Mr Vijayaraghava Chetty late Dy Postmaster Tiruvannamalai, Krishnasamy & Sivarama late Village Postmen Tiruvannamalai will certify. I was neither suspended nor degraded.

[illegible]

(Sd) Maduranaigam Pillai

(Interpreted by me)

Village Postman Tiruvannamalai.

Dated Tiruvannamalai
16th December/95

[illegible]

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES.
CUDDALORE-DN.

18/4/96.

B1

Supplemental Statement of Maduranayagam Pillai
late Village Postman of Tiruvannamalai

In my previous statement dated 14th Nov 95. I have mentioned that I saw the ~~[illegible]~~ Supdt Mr. Bonifacio for employment. I am doubtful if Mr Bonifacio was the Supdt at that time or Mr Hensley. Probably it was Mr ~~Bonifacio~~ Hensley whom I saw. Mr Bonifacio succeeded Mr Hensley a short time after my appointment and remained in the division for a long time. I remembered his name well and mistook him for Mr Hensley.

Khader Mohideen Rowther the late Village Munsif of Tittagudi being a person in dotage might have lost remembrance of me. Several who knew me serving at Tittagudi are dead and I am not able to recollect the names of any who are living and who can testify to my service. Mr Sathiya Chary who was the Dy Postmaster Tittagudi when I was serving there has gone somewhere & I am not aware of his whereabouts.

The order of transfer from Tittagudi to Srimushnam was lost somewhere during my journies from place to place and I have not been able to find it out.

While serving at Srimushnam I lived with bangle merchant Srinivasa Chetty; and Ramasamy Chetty was my neighbour. I know

6
19
1274

No other there who could speak to my service at that station - Kristnasawmy Iyengar was the Dy Postmaster at Srimushnam then but his present whereabouts are not known - I had a month's leave while at Srimushnam - It was in Oct & Nov 75. After the expiry of this leave I went to join my appointment but was told by the Dy Postmaster that some other was appointed to my place and that I had been transferred to another office. I had received no orders - I therefore went to Kullakurichi where the Inspector had encamped then and got an order transferring me to Chidambaram where I joined on the first of the month following that of the order. I remember this well because after going to Chidambaram I had to spend 2 or 3 days in looking out for a house. Then it was about the end of the month & I thought I might enter upon my duties from the first of the next month. Nagojirao was then the Dy Postmaster Chidambaram - I have heard that he is no more -

The order transferring me from Chidambaram to Sriwenmanallur was received by me 2 or 3 days after the date noted in it - and within 3 days I joined my new appointment -

Venkata Raja an employee in Messrs Parry & Co's Mills at Sriwenmanallur knew my services. I do not know where he lives now.

Purushottam Mudelliar a merasidar of Sriwenmanallur lived in the same street in which I lived & can certify to my service.

My service at Srikoilur can be certified to by Kandasawmy Pillai Taluq Duffadar & Subbaroya Pillai rice merchant of that place.

And my service at Sriwenmanallur can be certified to by pensioned ~~Head~~ Police ex Constable Pavada Naicker and merchant Kristnasawmy Pillai -

I am not able to find the gratuity I received Rs 35 ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] for my service in the Police Force.

(Sd) Madurai nayagam Pillai
Late Village Postman of Sriwenmanallur

Cuddalore }
9th April 96 }

(Taken down by me)

Supdt of Post Offices
Cuddalore Div

9/4/96.

C

1

Statement of Chockalinga Pillay late ~~Acting~~ Village Postman of Dittagudi now at Dittagudi

My name is Chockalingam Pillay my father's name is Valayutham Pillay I am now at Dittagudi. My age is 50 years. I was first entertained as Village Postman of Dittagudi on a salary of Rs 5 per month. I served in that capacity for about 7 years and then resigned my appointment for which I hold no orders. I dont remember the date on which I joined and resigned my appointment but I remember very well that I was appointed as Village Postman of Dittagudi by Kothandapany Naidu the late Inspector of Post offices of the South Arcot District and my resignation was accepted by Ramasawmy Chettiar the late Inspector of Post offices of this District. I know Maduranayagam Pillay the applicant for pension. He came to relieve me at Dittagudi when I was transferred to Markanam. I made over charge of my office to him. He was employed at Dittagudi as Village Postman for about 7 or 8 months and transferred to some place which I dont know. As Maduranayagam Pillay had served

6
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
No. 21
To
G. No. 1274

as Village Postman at Dittagudi for about 6 or 8 months after I made over charge to him, I now say that I know him but I cannot say the exact date on which he relieved me at Dittagudi and the exact date of his transfer from Dittagudi to some other place, having lost all the appointment & the resignation orders. I remember very well that the services of Maduranayagam Pillay were continuous, as he was then residing in the street in which I now live. During the period of Maduranayagam Pillay's stay at Dittagudi, I had had frequent opportunities of meeting him hence, I say that his services were continuous at Dittagudi.

[illegible]
(Sd) signature of Chockalingam Pillai, Dittagudi.

Deposed before me

Inspector of Post Offices
Chidambaram Sub Dn

Camp Dittagudi
20th March 96

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
CUDDALORE-DN
18/4/96

D
No 3
Sreemushnam
16th October 1875

[illegible]

13 - 3212

[illegible]

T. Kistnasawmy Iyengar
22
D
1274

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
CUDDALORE-DN
18/4/96

D (Orig attached) ✓

No 3.

Srimushnam
16th October 1875.

To

Maduranayagam Pillai
District Post Office letter peon
Srimushnam

As, with reference to South Arcot Sub-
pectors No 3212 of the 13th, you have been
ted leave for 1 month from the 16th
, by appointing a substitute for you.

You are hereby directed to bring all the Government records now in your possession to me.

(Sd) T. M. Krishnasawmy Iyengar
Dy. P. M.

(True Translation)

Dated Cuddalore
the 18th April 96

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
CUDDALORE-DN

6
PENSION DEPARTMENT
23
1274

Office of the Sub Inspector
of Post offices. South Arcot
[illegible]
18th October 1875

[illegible]

hereby directed to proceed

[illegible]

(Signed) B. Kothandapani
Sub Inspector

(True Translation)

(True Translation)

Dated Cuddalore
The [illegible]
the 18th April 96

No. 1464 B²
Camp of 22-1-75
To

Madura Nayagam
Tirukoilore.

He was appointed Dt. Post Peon of Tittagudy Dt. Post office and will proceed to join at once and report himself to the Dt. post Master for orders.

S.d/. B. Kothandapany
Sub Inspector of P.O.
South arcot

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
18/4/96 CUDDALORE-DN

8
PENSION DEPARTMENT
28
1274

No. 3854 D¹

Office of the Sub-Inspector of Post Offices.
SOUTH ARCOT DISTRICT.

Dated 20 November 1875.

To Mathura Nayagam
~~The~~ ~~Postmaster~~ Rural Messenger
Srimushnam

His No — Dated — 187 .

[illegible]

True Copy
(Signed) B Kothandapany
Sub Inspr of P.O.s

B Kothandapany
~~Sub Inspr of P.O. S.A.~~

6

24
SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
18/4/96 CUDDALORE-DN 1274

D¹ (orig. attached)

3854

Office of the Sub Inspector
of Post offices. South Arcot
Dt. Dated 20th November 75

To,

Maduranayagam
Rural Messenger
Srimushnam

You are hereby directed to proceed
ce to Chidambaram and discharge
perly the duty of Jumbulingam
ai there.

(True copy)

(Signed) B Kothandapani
Sub Inspector.

(Signed) B Kothandapani
Sub Inspector of P.Os

(True Translation)

Dated Cuddalore
The 18th April '96

6
PENSION DEPARTMENT
25
1274

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
CUDDALORE-DN

E

Statement of Munisamy Naidu, Post-man Tinduvanam.

—

Copy of order enclosed
[illegible] Supdt.
9/12/86

I was Postman Chidambaram from 1-5-73 as per order No. 87 of 27-4-73. ~~[illegible]~~ From 15th February to 26 March 1875 I was acting rural messenger Portonovo & then reverted as Postman Chidambaram as per orders Nos 262 & 338 of 12-2-75 & 25-3-75. I continued as Postman Chidambaram up to 5-6-76 without interruption & then transferred as mail overseer as per order No 93+6 of 3-6-1876. Just before my transfer as a mail overseer I remember that there was one village Postman at Chidambaram by name Maduranayagam Pillai he served there for about six months I do not know the exact date he was thence transferred to Tirunamanallur. He was neither suspended nor degraded during the period. I can't say whether he had any leave then.

Copies of these orders are enclosed
[illegible] Supdt.
9/12/86.

+ ought to be 956 – Copy is enclosed.
[illegible]
9/12/86.

A Munisawmy

(Taken down by me)

Dated Tinduvanam
26th November 86

[illegible]
Supdt of Pos
18/11/86
R. Chinnasawmy Iyengar
Cuddalore
[illegible]

6
26
1274

F

Statement of Namasevayam Pillay village Postman of Devanegudi recorded by the Inspecting Post offices Chedambaram Sub Dn

I am a native of Chedambaram. I dont remember the exact date of my birth. My age is about 49 years. I was first entertained in the Revenue Department as Dalay tappal peon on Rs 5/- until 1st March 1873, whence I was transferred to the imperial Postal Department as Rural Messenger on Rs 5/- until 1st March 1874. I remain in the Postal Department from 1st November 1874 to date. I have neither seen nor worked with Madanayagam Pillay village Postman of Devaramamalai and so I cannot testify to any period of his service in this department. I dont know why Madanayagam Pillay should name me as one who could testify to his past services.

Chedambaram
11th August 1895

(Sd) Su. Namasivayam Pillai

Taken by me

Inspecting Post offices
Chedambaram Sub Dn

PENSION DEPARTMENT
6
No. 27
1274

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES.
CUDDALORE-DN
18/4/96

F1

Statement of Namasivayam Pillai
Village Postman, Tiruvengod B.O.

—

I was employed as Village Postman Chidambaram from 1874 to 1878. About one and a half or two years after my appointment as Village Postman Chidambaram in 1874, one Maduranayagam Pillai came on transfer from Srimushnam as Village Postman Chidambaram. He was employed in Tillaivadangam beat and myself in Kumaratchi beat and he was Village Postman Chidambaram for about six months & thence transferred to Tiruvennanallur. This was in the time of Mr Kothandapany Naidoo, then Inspector of Post offices, South Arcot District. I am not aware if he had enjoyed any leave during the period. He was neither suspended nor degraded. My age is about 57 & he will be about 60 at present. I have now seen Maduranayagam Pillai and fully recognize him as one who had served together with

6
Department
No. 26
1274

with me at Chidambaram for some time.

(Sd) Su. Namasivayam Pillai

(Taken down by me)

Dated Cuddalore
the 15 April 1896 }

R. Srinivasa Ayyangar
Inspector of Post Offices
Villupuram Sub Dn

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
18-4-96 CUDDALORE-DN.

No. 622

Office of the Sub Inspector of Post Offices,
South Arcot District.

Dated 19 May 1876

To Maduranayagam
~~The~~ District Post Peon
Chellumbrum

His No Dated 187

He is transferred from Chellumbrum to Trinomalai now to join at once and report himself to the Dy Postmaster presently for orders.

Sub I P O

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
18-4-96 CUDDALORE-DN.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.
6
No. 29
1274

H

…tement of Babor Naidoo Postman No 1

…ddalore Post Office

…as Postman Tiruvannamallai

…3-3-75 to 21-12-77, During which

… probably in 1876 month & date

…own. One Maduranayagam Pillay

…village Tiruvannamallai for about 2 months

… transferred to Tirukoilur. He

…ther suspended nor degraded

…period. I dont think he was [illegible]

… my age is at present 46 &

…e about 60.

T. Babod
Postman 1st
Cuddalore P.O.

(Taken down by me)

Dated Cuddalore
the 5 June 95 }

R. Srinivasa Ayyangar
IPO Villupuram Sub Dn

PENSION DEPARTMENT.
6
30
1274

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
18-4-96 CUDDALORE-DN.

I

Statement of Purushothama Mudaliar landlord of Tirumenmanallur.

I am the resident of Tirumenmanallur. I used to go to the Post office often. I remember that one Maduranayagam Pillai came from Chidambaram on transfer ~~some~~ about 20 years ago. & was employed as letter peon for villages for about 2 months. The exact date & year I can't say. He is a black tall man & I used to see him then often whenever I used to go to the Post office. I am now 56 years of age. He would be of my age or a ~~little~~ few years more

[illegible]

(Sd). T. R. Purushothaman.
(Taken down by me)

Dated Tirumenmanallur the 25 December 95 }

Ratnasabhapathy Iyer
Inspector of Post Offices
Villupuram S.D.

6
31
1274

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
18/4/96 CUDDALORE-DN.

1

J (Origl. attached)

49. Triruvan
13/7/76

TRIVENNELLORE LETTER MAIL

திருவண்ணாமலை
போஸ்டாபீசு லெட்டர்-
டிஸ்டிரிக்டு பியூன்-
மதுரநாயகத்துக்கு
தாக்கீது

உன்னை - 1876 ளு
ஜூலைமீ 6 ந் தேதி
இன்ஸ்பெக்டர் அவர்களு
டைய - 1439 நெ.
ஆர்டரின் - படிக்கு
திருக்கோவலூர் -
போஸ்டாபீசுக்கு
மாற்றியிருக்கிறபடி
யால் - உன்னுடைய
[illegible] வேலை
களை சாக்கிரதையாய்
பார்த்துவரவேண்டியது -
[illegible]

M. Veerasawmy Naidu
Dy. Post Master

Cuddalore
18/4/96

No. 49

Tiruvannamalai Tiruvannanallore
13. 7. 76.

To.

Maduranayagam
District Post letter Peon
~~Tiruvannamalai~~ Tiruvannanallur

You have been transferred to Tirukoilur Post office with reference to order No. 1439 dated 6. 7. 1876 of the Inspector. You must do your duty carefully.

(Signed) M. Veerasamy Naidu
Dy. P. M.

(True Translation)

Dated Cuddalore
The 18th April '96

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
CUDDALORE DN.
18/4/96

6
33
1274

K

Statement of Kanthasamy Pillai
Talug Duffadar Tirukoilur.

I and Maduranayagam Pillai were in the police department - We were entertained at an interval of a few days in 1860. I remained in that department for about 4 or 5 years. From that time forward I had acquaintance with him About 20 years ago. Maduranayagam Pillai came to Tirukoilur on transfer as village letter peon from Tiruvennainallur and he remained here for about 5 or 6 years & then transferred to Tirukkannamalai. He was neither suspended or degraded - The leave he might have enjoyed I am not aware of. As he was my previous acquaintance & as we lived in one & the same street. I used to see him often & often. I am now about 58 & he will be of my age.

6
34
12.74

(Taken down by me)
R. Sivaramakrishna Iyer
[illegible]

Dated Tirukoilur the 13 April /96

(Sd.) M. Kandasami Pillai Duffadar

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
18-4-96 CUDDALORE-DN

L

Statement of Mr. Subbaraya Pillai
Rice merchant & broker. Tirukoilur.

I am a resident of Tirukoilur. I know Maduranayagam Pillai late Village Postman of Tiruvannamalai. He lived in the same street where I am living. About 20 years ago he came to Tirukoilur as Village postpeon on transfer from Tiruvennanallur. He remained at Tirukoilur in that capacity for about 5 or 6 years and then he was transferred to Tiruvennamalai. I don't know the period he was on leave during his stay at Tirukoila my age is at present 42 & he would be about 58. He was neither suspended nor degraded. As he was living in my street, I had the opportunity of seeing him every now & then

6 DEPARTMENT 35 1274

duringhios sayat Tirukoilur
[illegible]
(S) M. Subbaraya Pillai.

(Taken down by me)

(Dated Tirukoilur
the 13 April/96}

R. Srinivasa Iyengar

INSPECTOR OF POST OFFICES
VILLUPURAM SUB DN

Supdt. of Post Offices
Cuddalore Dn
18-4-96

M

Statement of T Venkataraghavachary
clerk, Villupuram

I was entertained in the Department in the year 1875, as Receiving House clerk, Tiruvatthi, on Rs10/. I held acting appointments at Kiranjipadi, Villupur and Vallimi ~~[illegible]~~ for about 2 years and then made permanent as Deputy Postmaster Tirukoilur on Rs15/ pay which office I joined on the 1st January/77. At that time, I remember that one Madurnayagam Pillay was serving as Village Postman of Tirukoilur on Rs 6/ pay and in that Capacity, he remained for about 4 1/2 years. During which period he was neither degraded nor suspended. He was not in the habit of taking leave often. I think perhaps he might have been on leave for about one or two months the most on different intervals. I can't say the exact period he was on leave. When I first saw him at Tirukoilur in 1877, he would be between 40 to 5 years old. Myself was about 24 then. He would be more than 0 & I am about 42.

His service book!! shows that he was at Tirukoilur from 1.77 to 27.4.85
9/4/96

(Taken down by me,
Villupuram
the 19 April/95}

T Venkataraghavachary
Clerk.

R. Srinivasa Iyengar

Statement of Shunmugam Pillay Postman No 1 of the Chidambaram ~~Pettah~~ Post office recorded by the Inspector of Post Offices Chidambaram Sub Divn.

I am a native of Kodur village attached to Madurantakam Taluq Chingleput District. I was born on the 8th July 1848. My age is 46 years. I was first entertained in the Postal department as Letter peon of the Kallakurchi Post office on the 27th June 1874 on Rs 6/. Where I remained till the 2d March 1877. On the 3d March 1877 I was transferred to Cuddalore as Village Postman on Rs 6/8. On the 19th November 1877, I was transferred from Cuddalore to Tirukoilur when Madurunayagam Pillay was working in that office as Village Postman on Rs 5/. On the 1st January 1883 I was again transferred from Tirukoilur to Tiruvannamalai as Postman on Rs 6/. About 6 months prior to my transfer to Tiruvannamalai, Madurunayagam Pillay was transferred from Tirukoilur to Tiruvannamalai as Village Postman on Rs 5/. We both worked in that office till the 30th December 1885, when I was transferred to Chidambaram as Postman No 1 on Rs 8/. On the 31st December 85 from that date forward I am employed here at Chidambaram. I can testify to the services of Madurunayagam Pillay for the period he was working with me both at

6
37
1274

Tirukoilur and Tiruvannamalai only from 19th ~~November~~ 1877 to 30th December 1885 but not prior to that date. I cannot say where Maduranayagam Pillay was prior to his being employed at Tirukoilur.

Chidambaram
11th August 1895

Sig/d in Tamil [illegible]
(Sd) Shanmugam
Postman No 1.
Taken by me

Inspecting Post Offices
Chidambaram Sub Dy

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES,
CUDDALORE-DN.
18/4/96

No 66
Dy 13th May 1881

[illegible]

[illegible] 384 [illegible]

[illegible]

S Venk. Ragava Chari
Sub Master

6
38
1274
SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
CUDDALORE-DN
18/4/96

PENSION DEPARTMENT
No 6
39
To 1274

(Orig! attached)

66 dated 13. 5. 1881.

To

Maduranayagam Pillai
Village Postman
Tirukoilur

With reference to order No. 384 dated 81 of the South Arcot Sub Inspector Post Offices, you are transferred as age Postman of the Tiruvannamalai fice and directed to relieve Ramakrish a Pillai of that office. You must therefore hand over to me the Government records that are now with you and proceed to join your new appointment

(Signed) T. Venkata Raghavachary
Sub Postmaster

(True Translation)

Dated Cuddalore
The 18th April '96

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
CUDDALORE-DN

P

Statement of Pavadai Naiker pensioned police constable of Tiruvannamalai

I know Maduranayagam Pillai Village Postman Tiruvannamalai. He is my neighbour. I am a pensioner for the past about 15 years. Madura-nayagam Pillai came on transfer from Tiru-koilur as Village letter peon about 15 or 16 years ago. As he was once in the police department, & myself a Constable, I know him for the past 30 years. As I used to see him often & speak to him every now & then. As he ~~was~~ is my neighbour, I know that he was employed at Tiruvannamalai for the past 15 or 16 years. I am not aware of the leave he enjoyed. He was neither suspended or degraded. I will be about 65 & Maduranayagam Pillai about 58. Before my retirement I was a Constable at Tiruvannamalai for some years.

பாவாடை

(Sd) Pavadai.

(Taken down by me)

Dated Tiruvannamalai
the 14th April /96 } R. Srinivasa Ayyangar

INSPECTOR OF POST OFFICES
VILLUPU

6
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
40
1276

1896
1881

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
18/4/96 CUDDALORE-DN.

Q

Statement of Krishnasamy Pillai Merchant Tiruvannamalai.

I know Madurnayagam Pillai, Village Postman Tiruvannamalai. He lives opposite to my house from the time he came to Tiruvannamalai. He came on transfer from Tirukoilur as Village Letter peon about 15 or 16 years ago. As he lived & lives opposite to my house, I used to see him every now & then. So I can say that he was employed in the Tiruvannamalai Post office for the past 15 or 16 years. I do not know anything of his having been on leave or He was neither suspended or degraded. I am about 35 & Madurnayagam will be about 58.

(Sd) Krishnasami Pillai
(Taken down by me)

Dated Tiruvannamalai
the 14 April /86
R. Srinivasa Ayengar

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES.
CUDDALORE-DN.
18/4/86

1886
3
61

6
41
12/4

1 R

I, P. Vegiovagavalu Naidu, do hereby certify that I knew Madura Nayagam Pillai as Village Postman of Tiruvannamalai South Arcot District, when I took charge of that Sub Office on the 13th Jany 1883, and he was serving under me till I left that place on the 2d May 1886, and I never knew him before.

As he was not serving under me at Tirukoilur or any other place where I was transferred I am not able to testify to his services more than what I knew of him at Tiruvannamalai.

Arni }
1 May }
1895 }

P. Vegiovagavalu Naidu
late clerk Negapatam
P. Office now Pensioner
living at Arni, N. A.

189 6
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
Ent. No 42
To
C. No. 1274

Taken by me on 1st May 1895.
[illegible]
As. Inspr. of P. Os. [illegible]

[illegible]
Sub Dy Inspr of P. Offices
Cuddalore div
18/5/96

S

Statement of Krishna Sing Village Patnam Tiruvannamalai.

I was first appointed as Village Patnam Tiruvannamalai on 20 April 1882. After about 6 years I was transferred to Chengam where I worked as Village Patnam for about 3 years. Then I was retransferred to Tiruvannamalai in 1892. I know Maduranayagam Pillai Village Patnam Tiruvannamalai. He continued in service from the date of my entertainment without interruption. I do not know the period of leave he enjoyed. He was neither suspended nor degraded during the period. In July 1884, I think Maduranayagam Pillay might have been on duty or on leave.

(Sd) Krishna Singh.
(Taken down by me)

Dated Tirukoilur
the 23 June 95

P. Arunachala Aiyangar
Sub Village Munsiff

6
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
No. 43
1774

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES.
1874/86 CUDDALORE-DN.

T

ATEMENT OF THE SERVICES of Maduranayagum Pellai late Dt Post Village Postman nnamalai as verified by the records of the Cuddalore Post Office.

Record prior to 1-10-80 are not available in the Office.

Establishment	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	REMARKS.
itur	Dt post Village Postman	5		1-10-80	Correct 15-5-81	-	7	15	-	.	.	✓
	do.	5		16-5-81	22-5-81	-	-	-	.	-	7	In transit
vannamalai	Do	5		Correct 23-5-81	31-5-81	-	-	9	-	.	.	✓
do	do	5		1-6-81	30-6-81	.	.	.	.	1	.	Acg Roll not on file
do	do.	5		1-7-81	31-7-81	-	1	.	.	.	.	✓
do	do.	5		1-8-81	31-8-81	.	.	.	.	1	.	Acg Roll not on file
do	do.	5		1-9-81	3-4-82	-	7	3	-	.	.	✓
do	do	5		4-4-82	3-5-82	.	.	.	.	1	.	Leave without pay
do	do	5		4-5-82	Correct 18-7-83	1	2	15	.	.	.	✓
do	do	5		19-7-83	19-7-83	.	.	.	.	-	1	In transit
Permathur	Acting Imperial Village Postman	6/8		20-7-83	31-8-83	.	1	12	-	.	.	✓
–	–	6/8		1-9-83	1-9-83	.	.	.	.	.	1	In transit
Teruvannamalai	Dt post Village Postman	5		2-9-83	30-6-84	-	9	29	-	.	.	✓
do	do.	5		1-7-84	31-7-84	.	.	.	.	1	.	Acg Roll not on file
do	do	5		1-8-84	16-9-85	1	1	16	.	.	.	✓
do	do.	5		17-9-85	11-10-85	.	.	.	.	.	25	Leave without pay
do	do.	5		12-10-85	3-1-86	.	2	23	-	.	.	✓
do	Imperial acg Postman	8		4-1-86	3-4-86	.	3	.	.	.	.	✓
do	District Post Village Postman			4-4-86	30-4-86	.	.	.	.	-	27	Leave without pay
do	— do	5	.	1-5-86	31-12-87	1	8	.	.	.	.	✓

189 6
DEPARTMENT.
No. 44
To
C. No. 1274

Cuddalore Post Office,
Dated 12th April 1896.

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
CUDDALORE-DN
1804/96

Form No. 79.
9-9-95.—500.

Postmaster.

17

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
RECEIVED

1

A. 30

S I

1. Name (in full) of [illegible] postman or village postman— Madu
2. Father's name (in full)— Palaniya Orlla
3. Race, sect and caste— Vekala Semike
4. Residence (district, thana, mouza, or pergana, and village— Sur
5. Date of birth (Christian era)— 1837 asher Tir
6. Height in feet and inches— 5 feet 3½ inche
7. Marks of identification— Marks of Sm
8. Name and designation of officer by whom, and the date on which, the a

[Signature of verifying officer to be

DETAILS OF SERVICE.

...ntment held (designation, *i. e.*, ...tman or Village Postman and Post Office.)	From (date).	To (date).	Salary.	Signat... Verifying
1	2	3	4	5
Posta nanhmulai	1-1-88	23-6-90	5	s/- W.
mar - 50	24-6-90	25-6-90	8	s/. W.
50	26-6-90	31-5-91	5.	s/. J
10 - 50	1-6-91	22-7-91	6/8	s/- J
V. 10 50	23-7-91	5-3-92	5/-	s/- R S
ag 1.50	6-3-92	4-5-92	8/-	s/ R S
L. V. 100	5-5-92	6-8-93	5/-	(Sd) Gula
l V. Po 150	7-8-93	15-11-93	8/-	Sd. Gula
50	16-11-93	13-3-95 31-7-95	5/-	(Sd) Gula
	1.8.95	31-3-96	6/8.	(Sd) Gula

PENSION DEPARTMENT.
6
48
12/4

V

Case
to
24/4

FORM No. 163.

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES, RECEIVED. ~~Cuddalore~~ 98 CUDDALORE-DN.

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICE,

22 dated, April 1896

No. 328

FROM H. E. Sullivan Esq.
THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
South Arcot

To

THE Superintendent
of Post Offices
Cuddalore Division

ENCLOSURE.

REFERS to his Letter No. 335/C &
dated, 1102 – 16-4-1896
18-4-96

HAS the honor to inform that the statement of Maduranayagam is Correct. He received Rs 87 as gratuity.

6
46
1274

H. E. Sullivan
Superintendent of Police

Form No. 16.

MADRAS POLICE.

South Arcot ...... District.

Cuddalore, 25th September 1872.

Age 24 years.
Height 5 feet 4 inches
Eyes black
Hair Do
Complexion Do
Country Manjacooppum
Caste Vellala.

I hereby certify that 2d Class Constable No 90 Mathooranayagam (description as per margin) joined the Police Force of the South Arcot District on the 11th January 1860 & is discharged the ~~and has this day~~ force from 25th 9-72 for being unfit for further effective Service.

Rank on Enlistment 3rd Cl Cons.
Rank on leaving the Force 2d Do
General character Good

Captain
Superintendent of Police.
S.A.

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES, CUDDALORE-DN.
18/4/96

6
47
1274

This is to certify that Maduranayagam Pillai, late District Post Village Postman of the Tiruvannamalai office has had an approved Service of 5 years.

Dated Cuddalore
The 18th April '96

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES, CUDDALORE-DN.

6
48
1274

1. By the statements of the applicant, Docs. B & B' and the Copy of the appointment order produced by the applicant, Doc: B².

2. By the statement of Chockalingham Pillai, late village Postman, Tittagudi Post Office, Doc: C.

3. By orders No. 3 & 3854 dated 16th October and 26th November 1875 respectively, Docs: D & D'.

4. By the statement of Munisawmy Naidu, Postman, Tindivanam, Doc: E.

5. By the statements of Namasivayam Pillai, village Postman Tiruvengadu, Docs. F & F1.

6. By appointment order No. 622 dated 19-5-76 .. Doc: G.

7. By the statement of Babu Naidu, Postman, Cuddalore Post Office, Doc: H.

8. By ——— of Purushottama Mudaliar, landlord of Tiruvennanallur, Doc: I.

9. By appointment order No. 49 of 13-7-76, Doc: J.

10. By the statement of Kandasawmy Pillai, Taluk Duffadar, Tirukoilur, Doc: K.

11. By ——— of M. Subbaraya Pillai, Rice Merchant, Tirukoilur, Doc: L.

12. By ——— of T. Venkata Raghavachary, Clerk, Villupuram, Doc: M.

13. By ——— of Shunmugam Pillai, Postman Chidambaram Post Office .. Doc: N

6
16
1274

14. By appointment order No. 66 of 13-5-81, Doc: O

15.

statement of Pavadanaicker, pensioned police Constable —— Doc: P.

16 —— of Krishnaswamy Pillai, merchant, Tiruvannamalai, Doc: Q

17 —— of J. P. Vijiaraghavalu Naidu, late clerk, Negapatam Post office - - Doc: R.

18 —— of Krishna Sing, village Postman, Tiruvannamalai, Doc: S.

19. By the verification statement of the Cuddalore Post Office, Doc: T.

20. By copy of the applicants' Service Roll, Doc: U

21. By the copy of the history of the applicants' service as admitted in his pension case, furnished by the Superintendent of Police, South Arcot District, Doc: Z²

APPLICATION FOR PENSION ~~OR GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Madurainaigam Pillai
2. Father's name. Palaniya Pillai
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu - Sudra - Vellala
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Tiruvannamalai casbah South Arcot Dt
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Late district Post — Village Postman Tiruvannamalai PO
6. Date of beginning of service. 25-1-75
7. Date of ending of service. 31-3-96

	Y.	M.	D.
8 Length of service, including interruptions	21	2	7
of which superior	20	2	22
inferior	.	—	. —
non-qualifying and interruptions	. —	11	15

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Superannuation pension; inefficiency owing to old age.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 5-8-1 (Vide memo A with A1 attached)
11. Proposed pension. Rs 1-7-6 (under article 521 of the Civil Service Regulations)
12. Proposed gratuity. Nil
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1-4-1896
14. Place of payment. Tiruvannamalai
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 1837 (according to the entry in the service book)
16. Height. 5 feet 3½ inches
17. Marks. A large circular scar on the left knee; another large scar on the right knee; a small white scar on the right hand; face pitted with small pox marks.
18. Date 21 May 1896.

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

6
15
1274

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.
Postmaster General

In the annual Returns for 1875, the applicant's date of appointment given as 22-1-75 The date of apptt for 1880 to 1894 is given as 22-2-75; the applicant's date of birth for 1880 to 1894 is given as 1838

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Madurainaigam Pillai late district-Post-Village Postman Tiruvannamalai PO

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Tittagadi PO	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	25-1-75	15-9-75	-	7	22				1+2		
Srimushnam PO	do Rural Messenger	5	-	16-9-75	15-10-75	-	1	-				1+3		
	Leave without pay	-	-	16-10-75	15-11-75	-	-	-	-	1	-			
	do (in transit)	-	-	16-11-75	30-11-75	-	-	-	-	-	15			
Chidambaram PO	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	1-12-75	21-5-76	-	5	21				1,4+5		
	In transit	-	-	22-5-76	24-5-76	-	-	-	-	-	3	1,4,5+6		
Tiruvennainallur PO	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	25-5-76	13-7-76	-	1	19				1.7+8		
Tirukoilur PO	do	5	-	14-7-76	31-12-76	-	5	18				1.7.10+11		
	do	5	-	1-1-77	18-11-77	-	10	18				1.10.11+12		
	do	5	-	19-11-77	30-9-80	2	10	12				1.10 to 13		
	do	5	-	1-10-80	15-5-81	-	7	15				19		
	In transit	-	-	16-5-81	22-5-81	-	-	-	-	-	7			
Tiruvannamalai PO	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	23-5-81	31-5-81	-	-	9				1.14.15+16		
	do	5	-	1-6-81	30-6-81	-	1	-				19		
	do	5	-	1-7-81	31-7-81	-	1	-				1.15+16		
	do	5	-	1-8-81	31-8-81	-	1	-						
	do	5	-	1-9-81	3-4-82	-	7	3				19	the applicant A+B	
	Leave without pay	-	-	4-4-82	3-5-82	-	-	-	-	1	-			
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	4-5-82	18-7-83	1	2	15						
	In transit	-	-	19-7-83	19-7-83	-	-	-	-	-	1			
Pennathur PO	Ag Imperial Village Postman	6/8	-	20-7-83	31-8-83	-	1	12						
	In transit	-	-	1-9-83	1-9-83	-	-	-	-	-	1			
Tiruvannamalai PO	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	2-9-83	30-6-84	-	9	[illegible]						
	do	5	-	1-7-84	31-7-84	-	1	-				1.13.17+18		
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	1-8-84	16-9-85	1	1	16				19		
	Leave without pay	-	-	17-9-85	11-10-85	-	-	-	-	-	25			
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	12-10-85	3-1-86	-	2	23						
	Imperial Ag Postman	8	-	4-1-86	3-4-86	-	3	-						
	Leave without pay	-	-	4-4-86	30-4-86	-	-	-	-	-	27			
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	1-5-86	31-12-87	1	8	-						
	Village Postman	5	-	1-1-88	23-6-90	2	5	23				20		
	Imperial Ag Postman	8	-	24-6-90	25-6-90	-	-	2						
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	26-6-90	31-5-91	-	11	6						
	Imperial Ag Postman	6/8	-	1-6-91	22-7-91	-	1	22						
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	23-7-91	5-3-92	-	7	12						
	Imperial Ag Postman	8	-	6-3-92	4-5-92	-	1	29						
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	5-5-92	6-8-93	1	3	2						
	Imperial Ag Postman	8	-	7-8-93	15-11-93	-	3	9						
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	16-11-93	15-5-94	-	6	-						
	Leave without pay	-	-	16-5-94	15-9-94	-	-	-	-	4	-			
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	16-9-94	13-3-95	-	5	26						
	Leave without pay	-	-	14-3-95	9-6-95	-	-	-	-	2	27 26			
	Dt Post-Village Postman	5	-	10-6-95	31-7-95	-	1	22						
	Dt Post-Village Postman	6/8	-	1-8-95	31-3-96	-	8	-						
25-1-75 to	31-3-96 =	21-2-6 11-15 20-2-21			Total	20	2	22	-	11	15			

1 By the statement of the applicant docs A+B, & the copy of appointment order produced by the applicant A+B,
2 ——— Chockalinga Pillai, late Village Postman Tittagadi PO, document - C.
3 By orders No 3 & 3854 dated 16-10 & 20-11-75 respectively documents D & D,
4 By the statement of Munusamy Naidu Postman Tindivanam doc E
5 ——— Namasivayam Pillay, Village Postman Tirumangadu, doc. F & F,
6 By Appointment order No 622 dated 19-5-76, document - G.
7 By the statement of Baba Naidu, Postman Cuddalore PO, document - H.
8 ——— Purushothama Naidu, landlord of Tiruvennainallur document - I.
9 By appointment order No 49 dated 13-7-76. document - J.
10 By the statement of Kanthasamy Pillai, Taluk duffadar Tirukoilur. document - K.
11 ——— M. Subraya Pillay rice merchant Tirukoilur doc - L
12 ——— T. Venkataraghava charry, clerk Villupuram doc M.
13 ——— Shunmugam Pillay Postman Chidambaram doc N.
14 By appointment order No 66 dated 13-5-81, document - O
15 By the statement of Savadainackar, pensioned Police constable, document P
16 ——— Kristnasamy Pillay, merchant Tiruvannamalai, document - Q
17 ——— S. P. Vijiaraghavalu Naidu late clerk Vizagapatam PO, document - R
18 ——— Kristna Singh Village Postman Tiruvannamalai, document - S
19 By the verification statement of the Cuddalore PO. document - T
20 By copy of the applicant's service roll, document - U

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article of the Civil Service Regulations.

In the Annual Returns for 1875, the applicant's date of apptt is given as 22-1-75 & the date of apptt for 1880 to 1894 is given as 22-2-75; the applicant's date of birth for 1880 to 1894 is given as 1838

In transit

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Nil

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI). The applicant served as constable in the Police Force, South Arcot district and was invalided on 25th September 1872 with a gratuity of Rs 84, which he has not refunded vide documents V & W.

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil

5. Any other remarks. The applicant has served as Postman for 5 years with approval vide certificate document X. He was ordered to retire in this office No 25654 dated 23rd November 1895.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. The service claimed by the applicant has been established and should be admitted vide summary of evidence, document Y.

SIGNATURE.

Postmaster General

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for years 40 months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION ~~OR GRATUITY~~—	Pension
Date of Application.	21 May 1896
Name of Applicant.	Madurainaigam Pillai
Last Appointment.	Late District Post Village Postman Tiruvannamalai S.O.
Class of pension or gratuity.	Superannuation
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	Nil
Date of Commencement.	1-4-96
Date of sanction.	

Summary of evidence

Y.

The applicant Madurainaigam Pillai claims a service of about 21 years from 25th January 1875 to 31st March 1896.

2. His service has been verified from records with the exception of the marginally noted periods for which no records are available.

From	To	Y. M. d
25-1-75	30-9-80	5—8—6
1-6-81	30-6-81	.—1—.
1-8-81	31-8-81	.—1—.
1-7-84	31-7-84	.—1—.

3. The applicant declares that after applying to Mr. Kinsley+ the then Superintendent of Post Offices for some employment, he received an order No 1464 dated 22-1-75 (a copy of which, document B2, he has ~~been~~ produced) appointing him District Post Village Postman of the Tittagudi Post Office which appointment he joined 3 days after the date borne on the order. As regards the original appointment order, the Divisional Superintendent of Post Offices reports as follows:-

" The applicant says that he sent the original order of his first appointment No 1464 dated 22-1-75 subsequent to the despatch of the copy ~~which is attached to the pension application~~ but

+ The Comptroller's Establishment lists dated 1-4-74 and 1-4-75 show that Mr. M. Kinsley was the Superintendent of the Cuddalore Dn.

6
PENSION DEPARTMENT
13
1274

[illegible] the applicant's date of apptt given as 22-1-75 Give date of apptt for 1880 to 1894

but it is not in the file in my office".

The 25th January 75 has accordingly been taken to be the date of commencement of his service.

4. The applicant gives the following account of the unverified portion of his service, in support of which evidence has been obtained from the witnesses named against the several periods testified to by them.

District Post Village Postman Tittagudi P.O	25-1-75 to 15-9-75	(1) Shivakalingam Pillai late Village Postman Tittagudi
District Post Rural messenger Srimushnam P.O	16-9-75 to 15-10-75	
do on leave	16-10-75 to 15-11-75	
do In transit	16-11-75 to 30-11-75	
District Post Village Postman Chidambaram	1-12-75 to 21-5-76	(2) Munusamy Naidu, postman Tindivanam (3) Namasivayam Pillai, Village Postman Tiruvengadu
In transit	22-5-76 to 24-5-76.	
District Post Village Postman Tiruvannamalai P.O	25-5-76 to 13-7-76	(4) Babu Naidu Postman Cuddalore P.O. (5) Purushothama Naidu landlord Tiruvannamalai
District Post Village Postman Tirukoilur P.O	14-7-76 to 15-5-81	(6) Kanthasamy Pillai Taluk duffadar, Tirukoilur (7) M. Subbaraya Pillai Merchant Tirukoilur (8) T. Venkataraghava chary, clerk Villupuram (9) Shanmugam Pillai postman Chidambaram

district-

District Post Village Postman Tiruvannamalai	1-6-81 to 30-6-81 1-8-81 to 31-8-81 1-7-84 to 31-7-84	(10) Savadai Naicker pensioned police constable Tiruvannamalai (11) Krishnasamy Pillai Merchant Tiruvannamalai (12) J. R. Vijiaraghavalu Naidu, late clerk Vijayapuram P.O (13) Krishna Singh Village Postman Tiruvannamalai

5 (a) Witness No (1) states that he was Village Postman Tittagudi P.O for about 7 years and afterwards resigned his appointment (probably on receipt of orders to proceed to Merkanam). He further states that he was relieved by the applicant and that the latter served there continuously for about 7 or 8 months. He adds that they both lived in the same street.

(b) Witness No (2) Munusamy Naidu deposes that the applicant served at Chidambaram as Village Postman for about 6 months until he was transferred to Tiruvannamalai a little before 5th June 76, he himself having worked as postman of Chidambaram from 27-3-75 until that date.

Witness No (3) Namasivayam Pillai first disclaimed any knowledge of the applicant, but

after

after seeing him states that he himself was Village Postman Chidambaram from 1874 to 1878, that about 2 years subsequent to 1874 the applicant joined the Chidambaram Post-office from Srimushnam and that he (applicant) served as Village Postman there for about 6 months until his transfer to Tiruvennanallur.

(c) Witness No (4) Babu Naidu deposes that the applicant served as Village Postman at Tiruvennanallur for about 2 months probably in 1876 and that he himself was postman there from 13-3-75 to 21-12-77.

Witness No. (5) Purushotham Mudr who is a permanent resident of Tiruvennanallur deposes to the fact that about 20 years ago the applicant was employed there as Village Postman for about 2 months.

(d) Witnesses Nos (6) and (7) Kanthasamy Pillay and Subbaraya Pillay residents of Tirukoilur state the applicant some 20 years ago came from

Tiruvennanallur,

Tiruvennanallur to Tirukoilur where he served continuously for about 5 or 6 years until he was transferred to Tiruvannamalai.

Witness No (8) T. Venkataraghava charry testifies that to his knowledge the applicant served continuously as Village Postman Tirukoilur for about 4½ years, he himself having been postmaster of that place from 1st January* 1877 to 27th April 1885.

*: Note. His service book shows he was deputy Postmaster Tirukoilur from 1-1-77 to 27-4-85.

Witness No (9) Shunmugam Pillay states that, when he was transferred from Cuddalore to Tirukoilur as Village Postman on 19-11-77, he found the applicant attached to the latter office as Village Postman, and that he himself and the applicant worked together until the latter was transferred to ⊗ Tiruvannamalai some six months prior to 1-1-83. He further states that they both served together again at the Tiruvannamalai PO from 1-1-83 to 30-12-85

⊗ Note. Not correct. The applicant was transferred to Tiruvannamalai on 23-5-81.

(e) Witnesses Nos (10) + (11) depose that the applicant has been

serving

serving as Village Postman at Tiruvannamalai for the past 15 or 16 years and that they were his neighbours at Tiruvannamalai.

Witness Vijiaraghavalu (No 12) states that the applicant served under him as Village Postman while he himself was in charge of the Tiruvannamalai PO from 13-1-83 to 2-5-86.

Witness No (13) Krishna Singh deposes that to his knowledge the applicant served as Village Postman Tiruvannamalai for about 6 years from the 20th April 82 as he himself during the period was employed as Village Postman of the same office.

6. As regards the periods shown under para 4 to have been spent on leave and in transit, the applicant has produced documents D. D1 and F in support. The period from 16-9-75 to 15-10-75 during which the applicant was at Srimushnam PO remains to be established. He named 2 witnesses Ramasamy Chettiar

and

and Srinivasa Chettiar as being able to testify to his service during that period. These persons are reported to have died some 10 years ago, and the applicant is unable to name any others who could speak to his service at Srimushnam, but the documents D and D1 are sufficient to prove that the applicant was attached to the Srimushnam PO about the period in question.

7. From the foregoing it may be admitted that the applicant has established his claim to a qualifying service of a little over 20 years in this department. His service in the Police prior to his entertainment in the Postal department has not been included, as he has not refunded the gratuity of Rs 84 he received for his services in that department. He is now entitled to a pension of R1-7-6 per month the grant of which is hereby

recommended

recommended.

Postmaster General

Post Office Department.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS 23 MAY 96

65.lu

From
THE POSTMASTER GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

The Accountant General
Madras

Regd
2362

No. 141-565 dated Madras, the 21 May 1896.

SIR, 5471

I have the honor to forward herewith for favorable consideration and sanction a register of application for a superannuation pension of Rs(1-7-6) one, annas seven & pies six only per month in behalf of Maduranayagam Pillai late District Post Village Postman Tiruvannamalai Post-office.

2. His last pay certificate (document-Z) and service book (document-Z1) are enclosed.

3. The slight delay in the submission of the pension papers is owing to the fact that certain references had to be made to the divisional Superintendent of Post-offices before the case could be satisfactorily completed. It will be observed that the enquiry involved the examination of a number of witnesses

189 6
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
No. 12
D. No. 1274

witnesses -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Postmaster General

Wr

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

இந்தக்கலங்களில் செய்கிற பதிவுகளுக்கு ஐந்துவருஷத்துக்கொருதரம் அத்தாட்சிக் கையெழுத்துபண்ணவேணும்; தேதி தெளிவாய்த் தெரியும்படி அந்தந்த [illegible] அத்தாட்சி கையெழுத்துக்கு ஜாக்கிரதையாய் கோடுபோட்டுப் பிரிக்கவேண்டியது.

Name of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் பெயர்.	Caste or Race. ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம்.	Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	FATHER'S தகப்பனுருடைய Name. பெயர்	FATHER'S தகப்பனுருடைய Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	Date of birth. ஜனன தேதி.	Age. வயசு. Years. வருஷம்.	Age. வயசு. Months. மாசம்.	Age. வயசு. Days. நாள்.	Exact height. சரியான உயரம். Feet. அடி.	Exact height. சரியான உயரம். Inches. அங்குலம்.	Personal marks for identification. நபர் தெரிவதற்காக அங்கமச்சமடையாளம்.	Date of Entry. பதிவுதேதி.	Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office. ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
Gunnyakupum Maduraikoyagam Pillai	Sholia Vellalar Caste	Gunnyakupum near Cuddalore S-Arcot dist	Gunnyakupum Palaniar Pillai	Gunnyakupum near Cuddalore S-Arcot district	In the month of March 1837	42	3	1	(5) Five	(4) Four	Neither black or red complexioned - Marks of small on the face and cheek	1st July 1879		

South Arcot

1 ௧ Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 ௨ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தின்மையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டியதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧0 Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* திரான்ஸ்பரினலேயோ, பிரமோஷனலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினலேயோ, இன்னத்தினலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
District Post Peon Lettagoodi P.O. Vriddachalam Taluq	Permanent	"	Rs 5	"	22d Jan: 75		" " "	"	15-9-75 Not known	Transfer	
Rural Messenger Sreemushnam R.O. Chidambaram Taluq	Do	"	Rs 5		16-9-75				16-10-75 Unknown	Leave ~~Transfer~~	
Leave without pay	Do	"	Rs 5	-	17-10-75				19-11-75	Transfer	
Dist Post Peon Chidambaram	do	"	Rs 5	"	20/11/75				~~20~~1-5-76 Unknown	Transfer	
In transit					22-5-76				31-5-76		
Dist Post Peon Tiruvannamalai	do		Rs 5	"	~~2/5/76~~ 1/6/76				13-7-76 Unknown	Transfer	[illegible]
Dr Post V. Postman Tirukoilur	Permanent		5R	"	14/7/76				14-5-81 Kgm ~~unknown~~	Transfer	
In transit					15-5-81 Kgm				21-5-81 Kgm		
Dr Post V. Postman No 1 - Tiruvannamalai	Do		5R		22/5/81 Kgm				18/7/83 Kgm	Transfer on promotion	
Postman Pennathur	Acting		6½R	-	19/7/83 Kgm		~~Leave without pay from 31st august to 1st Septr 83~~ Kgm Leave without pay from 1st to 31st March 86 Kgm ~~Do Do for 31st august~~		30 august 83 ~~2/9/83~~ Kgm	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dr P. Village Postman No 1 - Tiruvannamalai	Permanent		5R	"	2/9/83 Kgm				25.6.90 Kgm	Temporary Promotion	
Daily Station Postman	Acting		8		26/6/90 Kgm				31.5.91 Kgm	Return of permanent incumbent	
Imperial V.P. Man	Do		6/8		1.6.91 Kgm				22.7.91 Kgm	Do	

1 ௧ Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 ௨ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧௦ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* திரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னத்தினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
Distt Post V Pman Teruwannamalai	Permanent		5	23.7.91					5-3-92	Temporary promotion	
Imperial Postman Teruwannamalai	Acting	Distt Post V Pman	8	6.3.92					4 5 92	Return of permanent incumbent	
Distt Post V Pman Teruwannamalai	Permanent		5	5.5.92							

1 ௧ Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 ௨ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧௦ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer*, *promotion*, *return of permanent incumbent.* திரான்ஸ்பரினுலேயோ, பிரமோஷனுலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினுலேயோ, இன்னத்தினுலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.

10.

Statement of the service of *Maduranay*
Postman Tiruvannamalai Post Office as verifi
on record in this office.

Year of the Register.	Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.
1864–65..			
1865–66..			
1866–67..			
1867–68..			
1868–69..			
1869–70..			
1870–71..			
1871–72..			
1872–73..			
1873–74..			
1874–75..			
1875–76..	*Tittagoody Post Office*	*Letter peon*	*Rs 5*
1876–77..	*a*		
1877–78..	*District Post S. Arcot*	*not given*	*Rs 5*
1878–79..	*a*		
1879–80..	*a*		
1880–81..	*Tirukoilur Post Office*	*village Postman*	*Rs 5*
1881–82..	*Do*	*do*	*do*
1882–83..	*Tiruvannamalai Post Office*	*do*	*do*
1883–84..	*do*	*do*	*do*
1884–85..	*do*	*do*	*do*
1885–86..			
1886–87..			
1887–88..			
1888–89..			
1889–90..			
1890–91..			
1891–92..			
1892–93..			
1893–94..			
1894–95..			
1895–96..			

Forwarded to the *Postmaster G*
with reference to his letter No.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
16th May 1896.

A 16/5

TRIKALOOR

SERVICE BOOK

சர்வீஸ் புஸ்தகம்.

OF

Name. *Madurai Nayagam Pillai*
பெயர். *மதுரை நாயகம் பிள்ளை*

Caste or Race. *Sholia Vellala*
ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம். *சோழிய வெள்ளாளர்*

Residence. *Munjakuppam near Cuddalore South Arcot Dist.*
இருப்பிடம்.

FATHER'S தகப்பனுடைய
Name. *Palania Pillai*
பெயர். *பழனியா பிள்ளை*
Residence. *Munjakuppam near Cuddalore South Arcot District*
இருப்பிடம்.

Date of birth. *In the month of March 1837 - Date not known*
ஜனனதேதி.

(Signed) T. H. D. Young
Superintendent of Police
South Arcot

Superintendent of Police

Office of the Superintendent of Police
South Arcot District
9th October 1872

1274
1
9

TRIKALOOR

SERVICE BOOK
சர்வீஸ் புஸ்தகம்.

OF

Name. பெயர். Madurai[illegible]yagam Pillai [illegible]

Caste or Race. ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம். Shola Vellala [illegible]

Residence. இருப்பிடம். Kunyaluppam Cuddalore South Arcot Dist

FATHER'S தகப்பனுடைய
Name. பெயர். Palaniya Pillai [illegible]
Residence. இருப்பிடம். Kunyaluppam Cuddalore South Arcot District

Date of birth. ஜனனதேதி. In the month of March 1837 - Date not known

Z1

101.

P./510 250-21-9-94.

Statement of the service of *Maduranayagam Pillai late District Post Village Postman Tiruvannamalai Post Office* as verified from the Annual Returns ~~and Audit Registers~~ on record in this office.

Year of the Register.	Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Date of appointment.	Page.	REMARKS.
1864-65..						
1865-66..						
1866-67..						
1867-68..						
1868-69..						
1869-70..						(a) His service for 1876-7, 1878-9 and for 1879-80, cannot be verified as the list of the establishment in which he was employed is torn.
1870-71..						
1871-72..						
1872-73..						
1873-74..						
1874-75..						
1875-76..	Tittagoody Post Office	Letter peon	Rs 5	22-1-75		
1876-77..	a					
1877-78..	District Post S. arcot	not given	Rs 5	not given		
1878-79..	a					
1879-80..	a					
1880-81..	Tirukoilur Post Office	village Postman	Rs 5	22-2-75		
1881-82..	do	do	do	do		His date of birth is given in the Return for 1880 as 1838. He is not shewn to have taken leave of any kind during the period. Under Government Order No. 874, dated the 19th December 1872 Pension Department, he received a gratuity of Rs 84/- under sections 104 and 105 of the Civil Pension Code. 1st Edition for his service of 12 years 8 months and 16 days, as 2nd class constable in the south Arcot Police Force.
1882-83..	Tiruvannamalai Post Office	do	do	do		
1883-84..	do	do	do	do		
1884-85..	do	do	do	do		
1885-86..						
1886-87..						
1887-88..						
1888-89..						
1889-90..						
1890-91..						
1891-92..						
1892-93..						
1893-94..						
1894-95..						
1895-96..						

PENSION DEPARTMENT
6
3
1274

Forwarded to the *Postmaster General, madras.*
with reference to his letter No. 2783, dated 27th april 1896.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
16th May 1896.

No. 9 A E / 71

W. E. [illegible]
Asst. Accountant-General.

12

OFFICE OF THE POSTMASTER-GENERAL MADRAS RECEIVED 18 MY 96

OFFICE OF THE POSTMASTER-GENERAL MADRAS RECEIVED 1 AU 96

Police Service and Superannuation Fund.

Application for gratuity under Rule I of 2nd c.c No 90 Maduranaigam Pillai of South Arcot District.

1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Full name of the individual for whom the allowance is asked.	Present Rank	Present Age.	Grade	Pay	Date of entering each grade.	Date of leaving each grade.	Years	Months	Days.	Abstracts of the grounds of the application	Remarks by the Supt of Police, on the general Character & conduct of the Applicant throughout his Service.	Explanation of any Degradation	Present amount of Gratuity.
Maduranayagam Pillai	2nd class Const Table	42 years.	3rd c.c	5 4 "	From 11th January 1860	To 31.8.61 as 3rd c.c	1	7	21	Being unfit for further effective Service vide Medical certificate attached. Extract from his Register & also the Election certificate are attached.	"	Is reduced to 2nd c.c for striking Right meeting vide Extract of his Register attached.	84 " "
			2nd c.c	6 " "	From 1st September 1861.	To 28.2.67 as 2nd c.c.	5	6	"				
			1st c.c	7 " "	From 1.3.67.	To 30.6.71 as 1st c.c.	4	4	"				
			1st c.c	8 " "	From 1.7.71.	To 24.9.71 as 1st c.c.	"	2	24				
			2nd c.c	7 " "	From 25.9.71.	To 25.9.72 as 2nd c.c.	1	"	1.				
							12	8	16				

Office of the Superintendent of Police
South Arcot District.
9th October 1872

H. E. Sullivan
~~Inspector General~~ Superintendent of Police.

(Signed) T. H. B. Young.
Superintendent of Police.

Z^3

Memo showing how the proposed pension of Rs. 1-7-6 has been arrived at after applying article 588 of the Civil Service Regulations.

The applicant after receiving a gratuity of Rs. 84 in 1872 for his services of 12 years, 8 months and 16 days in the Police was re-employed on 25-1-75 in the Postal Department, without refunding the gratuity. He served in the Postal Department as District Post village Postman for 20 years, 2 months and 19 days and retired at the age of 59. For the Second period of his service, the pension ordinarily admissible would be Rs 1-7-6. But if the two periods were combined, the pension admissible would be Rs. 2-12-" according to article 588 of the Civil service Regulations.

Let x denote the pension admissible for the subsequent service.

y = amount of gratuity already received

z = pension for the Combined periods

n = present value of 1 Rupee at the age 59 according to Table A in appendix No. 9 of the Civil Service Regulations.

No. 6
PENSION DEPARTMENT
5
1274

Then

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY)

THE POST-MASTER-GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS
RECEIVED
18 JU.96

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K. / 141

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
16 June 1896.

URGENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Post-master General,
Madras.

1. Attention is drawn to No: 3 of the printed Memo of Instructions from this office.

~~1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for~~

2. In the annual Returns for 1875 and from 1880 to 1894 on record in this Office, the date of applicant's appointment is given as 22d January '75 and as 22d ~~July~~ Feby M. 75, ~~2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from to , whereas in the history of services~~ respectively instead of as 25 January 1875, as shewn in the 2d page of the application.

In the returns from 1880 to 1894 on record here, the date of applicant's birth is given as 1838 instead of as 1837, as given on the 1st page of the application.

The above discrepancies should be explained.

3. A revised application should be submitted shewing in detail in the 2d page thereof also the applicant's

earlier

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of Maduraināyagam Pillai, late Village Postman received with your letter No: P.G. 1-565 / 5471 date 21st May 1896 is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Chief Superintendent.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.
6
4
1274

earlier service rendered in the Police Department, for which he drew a gratuity of Rs 84. The period should be shewn in the column headed "Period not reckoned as Service."

4. A memo: of calculation should be furnished showing how the proposed pension of Rs 1-7-6 for the subsequent service, under Article 588 of the Civil Service Regulations has been arrived at.

VK 16/6

Post Office Department.

~~4184~~
4183

From

THE POSTMASTER GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

The Accountant General,

Madras,

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 19 AUG 96 MADRAS

Registered

No. P.G. 1-565 dated Madras, the 15 August 1896.

15052

SIR,

In returning your letter No. ADK/141, dated 16th June '96, together with its enclosures, I have the honor to reply as follows:–

Point 1.– The house name of the applicant and of his father is "Rottikara" and this has now been added in the application for pension.

Point 2.– No information is available as to how the date 22nd January '75 came to be changed into 22nd February '75 from 1880. As regards the former date, it is the date of issue of the applicant's appointment order as will be seen from Doc: B²: and it seems probable that the date of his appointment as shewn in the Annual Return for 1875 was based on the appointment order. But as the applicant says that he joined the appointment three days

PENSION DEPARTMENT
6
10
12274

8-8-96—2000.

after

after the date borne on the order, the date 25th January '75 has been shown in the pension application, vide para 3 of the Summary of evidence (Doc: Y)

In the Service roll (vide Doc: V) the year of applicant's birth is entered as 1837, and this has been copied in the pension roll. The Postmaster Cuddalore is unable to explain how 1838 came to be entered in the annual Returns.

Point 3. As directed, a revised application showing in detail the applicant's earlier service in the Police department for which he drew a gratuity of Rs 84/-, is herewith submitted.

Point 4. - A memo of calculation (Doc: Z³) is also attached as required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servant,

H Frank
Postmaster General

P. 600—9-5-96.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

OFFICE OF THE POSTMASTER-GENERAL MADRAS
RECEIVED
12 SE 96

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K. / 316

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
11 September 1896.

URGENT.

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Post Master General
Madras.

Attention is drawn to No 9 of the printed Memo. of Instructions from this office.

The details given in the 2nd page of the application do not coincide with those given in the Service Book. Necessary Corrections should therefore be made in the application.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension ~~gratuity~~ of Rottikara Maduranaigam Pillai, late District Post Village Postman Tiruvannamallai Post office is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

~~Assistant Accountant-General.~~
Chief Superintendent.

PENSION DEPARTMENT
6
8
1274

M

Supplemental

Statement of Maduranayagam Pillai, late Village Postman, Tiruvannamalai

About 16 or 17 years ago, while I was Village Postman Tirukoilur, the then Sub Postmaster Tirukoilur, Mr. Vencatragavachary got the appointment orders &c I had with me and filled up my service book. Subsequent entries appear to have been made partly by the Sub Postmaster Tiruvannamalai & partly by the Cuddalore Head Office. I don't know the reason for the difference between the dates in the service book & statement of services & attested copy of the service roll.

(Maduranayagam Pillai.)

(Taken down by me)

Dated Tiruvannamalai }
the 19 October/96 }

R. Srinivasa Iyengar
Inspector of Post Offices
Villupuram Division

Cuddalore
22/10/96

6
9
12/4

Copy of a communication No. 1426 dated 23" October 96
from The superintendent of Postoffices Cuddalore Dn
to The Postmaster General Madras.

In returning the documents received with your No $\frac{\text{P.G. 1-565}}{17974}$ dated 12" September 1896, I beg to state that the Postmaster Cuddalore, the Inspector Villupuram and Madurmanayagam Pillai, the applicant have not been able to reconcile the discrepancies between the entries in the service book and the copy of the service Roll and the Verification statement. The latter 2 documents had been prepared from the Acquittance rolls and the service Roll in the Cuddalore office, and are correct. The entries in the service book do not appear to have been made with reference to records but perhaps from the appointment orders etc which the official had, and are therefore not quite Correct.

For

1896
7
2274

For their inaccuracy, Mr Subramania Iyer, the late Postmaster Cuddalore, now Postmaster Palamcottah is responsible because the dates bear his initials in testimony of having been verified.

2. The entries in the Service book which relate to unverified periods seem to have been made by Mr Venkataragavachari, the then sub Postmaster Tirukoilur now clerk Villupuram Post office, from the ordersets which the applicant had with him, and also by the sub Postmaster, Tiruvannamalai and by the Cuddalore Head office, and the applicant is not able to explain the difference between dates of these entries and of those in the verification statement and the copy of the Service Roll vide his statement enclosed in original. (enclosed)

Urgent

Post Office Department.

5505

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
2 NOV 96
MADRAS

From

THE POSTMASTER GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

The Accountant General
Madras

Regd

No. G 1-555 dated Madras, the 29 October 1896.

23103

SIR,

In returning your letter No. ADk/316 dated 11th September 1896. with its enclosures, I have the honor to forward a copy of a report on the subject received from the Divisional Superintendent of Post offices and under the circumstances therein stated to request that the entries in the second page of the Pension application which were based on the evidence of witnesses and on the Verification statement

5/11

PENSION DEPARTMENT
6
6
1274

statement and attested Copy of the service Roll furnished by the Postmaster Cuddalore may be accepted as Correct.

2. The Service roll replaced the service Book in the Case of Postmen and Village Postmen (vide art 877 of the C. S. Regulations) with effect from the 1st January 1888 and it was irregular to have maintained the Service book after that date. This irregularity and the Carelessness in writing up the service book prior to the 1st January 1888 will be suitably noticed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

for Postmaster General.

189

DATED

TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

ATEMENT WITH DITTO.

APERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.