

Pension (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 1192

Recd C. No. 1192

From The Lt. Col. Dept.

Order 13th Novr. 1896 No. 1089

Recorded, pending receipt of the report
promised in para 2 of the President's letter of
16-10-96 No 178.

2. A copy of G.O. 724
11-6-83 Res. asked
by the President
for will be furnished. ~~The President~~

12.11.96

13.11.96

Dated 29-10-96

Received 30th do —

Registered — do —

Enclosures

Spare copies

No. 1244

To The Presd. D. B. Chingaput with 1 enc

SUBJECT
Transferring to the Pension
Dept. letter from the Presd. D. B.
Chingaput reporting that our
Amarapoti undr. was dismis-
ed from local fund service, &
requesting that he may be sup-
plied with a copy of G.O. P.D.
No 724 of 11-6-83

tatuk

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
F.C.N.	269/97		

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2881 L



From

The President of the
District Board
Chingleput.

Ref. no. No. 173
2 of 1896

Order No 1244

Transferred to the Pension
Department.

A. L. Hanuman

29/10/96

Ag. Assistant Secretary

P.R.
28/10/96
For sh George
29th October 1896

Dated

Received

Registered 20/10

Enclosures /

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Reporting that Amaraswamy
Mudaliar was dismissed from
Local fund service for his
neglect to keep the roads under
his charge in good order
and requesting to be supplied
with a copy of G. O. R. Dho
724 Dated 11th June 1888

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


Chingleput District
 Report No 188 District Board Engineer's Office
 22nd May 1880

Two local men that have been sent
 from W. G. Donaghue Esquire,
 District Board Engineer,
 Chingleput District

To
 The President of the
 Local Fund Board,
 Chingleput District

Sir,
 Having completed my inspection
 of the roads in the principal division
 of the Chingleput District, I have now
 no hesitation in stating that their condition
 almost everywhere is bad and I can
 only attribute the same to neglect and
 want of proper supervision over the
 sub-overscers who were employed in
 carrying out the annual repairs

2 The roads are particularly bad in
 the Ponnuri and Tiruvallur taluks, also
 in the western portion of the Saidapet
 taluk



1
 2881/496

taluk and this I think is due to systematic neglect for a period extending over several years. With the exception of those roads that were leased out to a contractor for three years by the said Local Fund Board, the D. P. W. Officers are I believe responsible for their present condition.

3. When I was engaged in taking over temporary charge of the old Seroolur range from Captain Taylor in November 1875, I inspected the Northern trunk road upto Sada in company with that officer, it was then in ~~of~~ splendid order throughout, at present as you are aware nearly two thirds of its length is so bad that the greater part of the road must be recoated and for which an estimate amounting to a large sum of Rs 26,250 was sent to you not very long ago.

4. With the liberal allowance of Rs 450 per mile this road could have been maintained and kept in good order

that is if the money granted year after year were judiciously spent on it.

5. I am of opinion, and I am not singular in this opinion, that Messrs Amarapattiy Mudaly and Narainachary should be held responsible for the alarm-
-ing condition of the roads under their supervision. The former states that he was in charge only for a short period and endeavours to shift the blame on to others, vide his written statement enclosed in this letter. I am not in a position to state who are responsible besides these two men. There is no doubt that the roads have been in general hands during the past 5 years and it would be difficult at this stage to ascertain precisely what degree of responsibility is attached to each overseer. There has been, I am certain, systematic swindling and neglect extending over a period of 5 years, but from the fact of Amarapattiy Mudaly being in charge of the Northern trunk road

for the past eleven months and considering the large amount of money that has been squandered during the past year on this road exceeding the liberal grant by Rs 2770, and since from his own admission and my check measurement it has been shown that no account

Expenditure	12730
Liabilities	2640
	15370
Grant	12640
	2770

of the materials at site has been kept by him. I have no hesitation therefore in recommending his summary dismissal. The man has no special knowledge for the work, he holds no certificates of qualifications and in my opinion should never have been pitched into a responsible position for which he is in no way fitted.

6 In regard to Karamachari's roads, they are a little better but still neglect and waste are apparent everywhere, he is an old servant and since Sergeant Taylor his sub-divisional officer has given him a good testimonial I feel reluctant to recommend his dismissal. I would therefore suggest that he may be kept on

Madras 5th December 1895.

on probation and if, after a definite period say 12 months, he fails to render satisfaction then his services may be dispensed with or he may be called upon to retire, his service I believed extends over a quarter century.

7 If Amarapattay Mudali's services are dispensed with and the sooner they are the better, I beg to propose with reference to my letter No 36, dated 13th April 1880, that either Mr. Scoulon or Krishna. Sami Mudali may be appointed on a salary of Rs 40 and posted to Chingleput, the present incumbent at Chingleput being sent to take Amarapattay Mudali's place at Ponnery on a salary of Rs 40 per month. This arrangement will complete the staff of overseers according to the scale lately proposed and approved by you.

I am, Sir, the honourable
 Sir,
 Frederick Lewis

Madras 5th December 1895.



To

The Secretary to Government
Pension Department
Through the Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

Most Honoured Sir,

The humble petition of Y. Amarapathy
Mudaliar late Sub-Overseer most respectfully
Sheweth-

That the petitioner was in P. W. D.
as Tank Monegar and Sub-overseer from 1873
to 1880 and that his total services amounted to
9 years including the period of one year granted
as bonus by Government as per G. O. R. D.
No. 724 dated 11.6.83. in consideration of the service
rendered during the famine years of 1876/7.
That when he was thrown out of
employ owing to decennial reduction your humble
petitioner applied for pension or gratuity for
the active service rendered.

That in Endorsement No. 434 dated
31.3.85. Pension department granted to the
petitioner it is said that his services will count
if he is re-entertained in Government employ.

That your petitioner since then was
applying for appointments both to the Superin-
tending Engineer and the Chief Engineer also
Local Fund Board's Engineers for overseers
appointment and was unable to succeed in
procuring.

de (pencil)

not recd -

1
15
839

procuring one

That the Endorsement No 434 dated 31.3.85. of the Pension department referred to in para 3 above was presumably intended to preclude persons of sound Constitution of young age from enjoying the indulgence of pension or gratuity when they are fit for further Service

That your humble petitioner now prays that either a gratuity or pension be granted to him in consideration of his past 9 years active Service in P. W. D.

That your petitioner prays for your long life and prosperity for this act of charity.

I beg to remain

Most Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient and humble Servant

G. Amarapathy

Late sub-Museum.
S. P. W. 1st grade

Address

G. Amarapathy Mudaliar
Venkies Street
Madras.

CHINGLAPUR PA

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S
THOMAS' MOUNT
SEP 1897

1896

Forwarded.

Chas E Burton
Under Secy to Govt. Wash.

[Faint handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side.]

2-000

Pension 1896. *departs*
Public Works Department.
Current Number 5

No. 82 C

Dated 8-1-1896.

Despatched

Received



SUBJECT.

Forwarding petition
from G. Amarasapathy
Mudaliar, late sub-overseer,
praying that he may be
granted either a gratuity
or pension.

To be over pending receipt of
India's decision in Shan-
muga Mudaliar's case -

G.O. d/19. 7. 95, No. 805 - Pension -

Yes; when that decision is received

if in the affirmative - I will be

necessary to call for a report

to the circumstances of the

Endt. 434 Pen

8th 724 Rsv.
11-6-83

Endt. 25th Feb 1896 No 23.

Referred to the President, Dr. B^d, Chingleput,
for report as to the circumstances under which the
petitioner was dismissed from the Local Fund Service.
If his dismissal be considered as unmerited, the
President is requested to submit thro' the Acctt. Genl
a pension application on behalf of the petitioner
for the retiring allowance due to him for his service
ending with the 29th Feb 1880.

Acctt.
25/2/96

del
25/2/96

To


The Presdt., Dr. B^d, Chingleput
with papers

List

Ref No. 173
2 of 1896

CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,

SAIDAPET, 16th October 1896.



From

D. D. Murdoch Esquire,
President of the District Board,

Chingleput.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,

Madras.

SIR,

In reply to the endorsement of
Government No 23, dated the 25th February
last, requesting me to report the
circumstances under which G. Amarasapathy
Mudaliar, late sub-overseer in this district,
was dismissed from local fund service,
I have the honour to state that he was
dismissed for neglect to keep the roads
that were under his charge in good
order. The proceedings ordering his dismissal
are not forthcoming in the records.
A copy of the Engineer's letter No 188, dated
the 22nd May 1880, on which the order was

passed is herewith submitted.

2 The petitioner in his letter, dated 21st September 1896, to the District Board Engineer, states that his dismissal was set aside by the Chief Engineer P. W. Department on appeal. No records are forthcoming here to verify his statement, nor have any been produced by him. I am addressing the Chief Engineer in the matter and shall report finally on receipt of his reply.

3 In the meantime, I request that you will be good enough to supply me with a copy of G. O. R. D. No 724, dated 11th June 1883, as the petitioner says that in that G. O. he was granted one year's service as bonus in consideration of the service rendered by him during the famine of 1876-77.

I have the honour to be

Sir
Your most obedient servant

S. S. do ch
President, District Board