

(1) Number of pages

1428

(2) Date of repair

13 5 62

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 78

~~Press~~
Mis.

Dated 26 1

1884.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 2039. South Canara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, 19th November 1883.

From

J. Sturrock Esquire
Collector of
South Canara,

To

C. G. Master Esquire,
Chief Secretary to Government
Petition Department,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward here
with a Petition found in my Petition
box and addressed to you by one Shaukh
Madair, whose claim for pension was
formerly rejected by Government in their
Memo: dated 20th September 1881, N^o 942, Pen-
sion Department.

2. With reference to the statement
contained in this Petition that Peti-
tioner had rendered meritorious ser-
vices during the Boorg Insurrection of
1837, I caused the records of my office

To

to be searched as cases of such servants have on former occasions been specially treated by Government. But no definite information on the subject is to be found and no mention of the Petitioner occurs in any Official Reports written at the time.

3. There is however a Vernacular Order of the then Principal Collector Mr. Malcolm Lewin, addressed to the *Attut. saddy* (Cash-keeper) of the Treasury, directing him to pay out of his private funds Rupees 10 to each of the three individuals who accompanied him from Mangalore to Pittur and back, and among whom is included the name of one Sheikh Madar. A translation of this order (which is dated the 20th April 1837 or within a fortnight after the occurrence of the Disturbance) is enclosed. It is not improbable that the money was disbursed

3.
bursed as a reward to the persons who were his personal guard or attendants during the journey, and may have been paid out of private cash for the sake of promptitude, but it does not appear that the money was afterwards refunded from the Treasury, and it is not possible at this remote date to identify the name of the individual mentioned in the order with that of the Petitioner, who was at the time a *Kan-Lachar* peon, and would probably have been mentioned as such and not by his bare name in the order if he were

* Extra Establishment of Armed Peons at the Hazur Kachari, discontinued on the introduction of the new Police.

the individual referred to. Petitioner however who is now about seventy years old states that he recollects receiving the reward, and that he was one of the three persons who attended on Mr. Lewin during the critical time of the disturbances.

4. It also appears from the records that Mr. Lewin had at the beginning of the insurrection proceeded from Mangalore to Puttur on the 30th March 1837, but was obliged to retreat into the Head Quarters on the 3^d of the following month after sustaining a severe loss, as the first 16 miles of the route were under a galling fire and as the detachment of Sepoys had lost more than a third of its numbers.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedt. Servant,

Sheweth

Ag: Collector.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras
Fort St George

Humble petition of Shairk Madar
late peon of the Canara Collector's
Establishment and also late Maistry
of the D. P. W. South Canara
Mangalore 27 November 1883.

Most respectfully Sheweth:

That petitioner made an application to your Honor on the 13th October 1883 through the Collector of South Canara praying that he may be kindly granted a superannuation pension of Rupees 4 per mensem in consideration of his long and faithful services to Government. It is a fact that Petitioner suffered much for the sake of the British Government in the suppression of the dreadful Coorg insurrection which broke out in this District in 1837. That in support of this allegation he is ready to cite witnesses many of whom are pensioners if Government be kindly pleased to order the Collector to examine them.

That before submitting the abovementioned

petition to Government the Collector seems to have kindly
desired a search to be made in the records of his office as to
whether any document could be found thereon bearing testimony
to petitioner's meritorious discharge of duty at the time of the
rebellion alluded to above and that he found one which
shows that one Shaik Madar was given a reward of P. 10
by the then Principal Collector Mr. Legue for serving
under him at the critical time.

That petitioner begs respectfully to state that
the person who received the reward is none but the petitioner
though the Collector entertains doubts on the point in his
letter giving cover to the petitioner's application. That
petitioner remembers to have received such trifling re-
wards on 2 or 3 occasions during the rebellion.

That in conclusion petitioner most humbly
prays that Government may be kindly pleased to
give a favorable consideration to his case and grant
him pension. For which act of generosity he shall
ever pray.

عبدجبار
شاہ

[5]

(1883)
 Petition PETITION DEPARTMENT.

No 1367

From

Shankh Inathar

Occupation late peon
 Village Canara Coll
 Taluk office
 District

Dated 27 } Nov/83
 Received 30 }
 Enclosures - nil.

SUBJECT.

Prays for the grant
 of a pension in considera-
 tion of the service
 he had rendered Govt.
 in the Coorg Insurrection.
 7/11/83

For

Pension No. 1349 + 1367

111

From The Collector of S. Canara

Dated 19th Nov^r 1883.

FOR ORDERS.

It is submitted that the
 Collector does not make out
 a case for ~~reconsidering~~
 an extraordinary pension.

Petitioner was granted a
S.O. 128 of 1.5.63 gratuity
 in 1863
 for his services up to that time,
 when he was discharged on
 reduction of establishment.

Subsequently he was em-
 ployed from 1867 to 1872 but
 was refused retiring allowance
 because he was paid from
 estimates of works on which
 he was employed in the Dept.
S.O. no 942/20th Sep 1881 of public
 works.

He now asks for the
 pension of Rs 4 for his services in the
 Coorg insurrection or - apparently - that
 his S.O.W. service may be allowed to count
 towards under the rules, R 30/1/3

This wants a little more
 inquiry. The Examiner
 says he was paid from
 estimates but there is
 nothing in the enclosure
 which letter shows that
 this is the case and
 Exhibit D signed by the
 Sub Engineer says distinctly
 that he was employed
 as Muzim on a monthly
 salary from Dec 1862 to Nov
 1872 & that he left the Dept.
went at his own request
 8/12 8/12

Pension no: 1349 + 1367

FOR ORDERS.

The Hon. Mr. Sullivan

No. 70.



Pens. DEPARTMENT.

Official Memorandum.

Dated the 14th Dec^r 1883.

With reference to
the accompanying petition
from Shaikh Madar
(to be returned ^{with reply}) the Exam-
of P. W. Accounts is
requested to report
on what authority he
stated in his letter
No 185 P recorded in Pro.
of Govt. of 20th September
1881 No 942 that the
pay of the applicant
(Shaikh Madar) during
the period of his employ-
ment in the Public
Works Department from
September 67 to November 72

was

Am 1/12/83
R. 10/12/83

as paid from Estimates
of Works. It will be
seen from Exhibit D. attached
to the Pro. of Govt. of 20
September 81 that the
Sub. Engineer reports
that Shaikh Madar
was employed as a
Maistry on a monthly
salary from December
1862 to November 1872 and
that he left the Dept.
at his own consent.

/By order/

W. E. Laffan
M. Under Secy.

To

The Examr. of P. W. Accts. with petition
& connected papers (blue return?)

Q/W. 11-70

Q/W. 14-12-83

No. 730X

From

THE EXAMINER,

PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Pension Department.

Madras, 22 December

1883.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 70
dated the 14th. Instant, I have the honor to invite your
attention to letter from the Executive Engineer West
Coast Division No. 48 dated 6th. June 1881, copy of which was
forwarded to you with this Office letter No. 185 P. dated
32nd. July 1881, in which it is clearly stated that Shaikh
Madar was employed as a Maistry on Work Establishment.

2. There is nothing in the certificate alluded to, which
apparently was granted on the 20th. November 1878, to show

that Shaikh Madar had been employed on other than Work Establish-

Letter from Mr. Reardon to Sub Engineer
Mr. Fernandez No. 190 dated 2nd. December
1872. ment, while on

Memo from Mr. Fernandez No. 338 dated 6th.
December 1872. the other hand

from copies of
documents as per margin, it can be safely inferred, if not
concluded that he was employed on Establishment the pay of
which was met from Estimates of Works.

2. The enclosures received with your memorandum under
reply are returned as requested.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

W. E. Laffan

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

22/12

Pension 1883 ~~1884~~

N^o 1488

From,

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

Pension Department.

For orders.

Submitted with
reference to the Hail
Mr. Sullivan's remark
on the original note No. 730X.
for orders.

SL

14.1.88

The punny marked in the
first letter shows clearly that
he was paid otherwise than
by salary.

Dated 22 December 1883.

Recd. 28

M

Encls. 23.

Shah Madar. Relative to
the services of-----

(Enclosure No. 1 of letter No. 2839)

N^o 785.

Order of Mr. Lewin, Principal Col-
lector of Canara.

To Puttappa,

Mutsaddy of Nagar Treasury.

Pay to three persons, viz: Pakkali
Hammad Sahib, Shaikh Madar and Co-
vinda who accompanied me from Man-
galore to Puttur and back at the rate of
10 Rupees each out of my private funds,
and enter the payments in the account.

Dated Mangalore, 20th April Tasli

1246
A.D. 1837

(True translation)

Minish
Ag: Collector.

1886/13
27/1/13



Enclosure 5

Enclosed for the
Collector of S. Asia
to the Chief Secretary
to Govt, Petition Dept
Fort St George dated
19th November 1803,
No 2839

Translation of the
Vernacular Order of
Mr Lewis Principal
Collector of Canara.
dated 20th April 1837.

Endorsement on Petition No 1371.
dated 29th September 1879 presented
by Shaitt Madar, late a Maistry
in the Public Works Department,
praying that he may be recom-
mended for a pension.

Petitioner is informed that
his case having been reported for
the orders of Government it has
been held in Proceedings of Govt
in the Pension Department dated
20th September 1881, No 942 that
Petitioner is not entitled to any
fresh retiring allowance, and that
the Honorable the Governor in Council
sees no reason to treat his case
in an exceptional manner.

Canara Collr's
Office, Mangalore,
8th October 1881.

J. T. Cunniff
For Ag Collector





Enclosure II

*In a letter from the
Collector of S. Canara
to the Chief Secretary
to Govt Petition Dept.,
Fort St George, dated
19th November 1883.*

No 2039.

*Petition of Shaikh
Madan.*

Pea 40 20.9.81.942

182288

Transferred to the
Indian Dept. with
reference to their
order of the 20th
Sept^r, 1881, No. 942.

Quintus
26/11/83 Chief Secy.

23/11

Read this & C. No. 1367
and 1488.

Order 20th Aug 1884 - Vigs.

The petitioner's
request cannot be
complied with under
the rules of the
Pension Code.

(From orders)

H.L.

21.1.84

To the Collr. of D. Canara
--- Examinr. app. W. S. S. S.
--- Petitioner



Liberal

Pension (1883) Sept
From No. 1349.

The ag. Collector of
South Canara,

To


The Chief Secretary to
Govt., (Petition Dept.),
Fort St. George.

Dated 19th } November 1883.
Received 92

Re. 10/11/83 No. 1050
No. 2039.

Enclosures: Two 3

Shaiikh Madar - For-
warding the petition of
one - applying for a pen-
sion of Rs. 4 per mensem.



Walt milled
Endorsement