M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 58

(2) Date of repair 6-6-42

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 305

Press

~~Mis.~~

Dated 19 4 1884.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

19th April 1884, No. 305, Pension.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

From the District Judge, South Tanjore, dated 21st December 1883, No. 925.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, to the Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Fort St. George, 25th March 1884, No. A.D.J.-510.

Certified that qualifying service in inferior grades has been duly proved for 27 years 1 month and 11 days, and that an invalid gratuity, not exceeding Rs. 36, equal to six months' pay, is admissible under sections 106 and 121 (*a*) of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition). The calculations have been duly verified. It is observed from the remark opposite to No. 1 in the third page of the application that the character and past conduct of the applicant are reported to have been "bad." It rests, therefore, with Government to make such reduction in the amount of gratuity that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to rule 1, section 69, of the code.

2. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by District Judge in his letter to this office, No. 925, dated 21st December 1883.

Order—dated 19th April 1884, No. 305, Pension.

Under sections 106 and 121 (*a*) of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kurunatha Naik, late Process Service peon, District Munsif's Court, Tiruvádi, an invalid gratuity of Rs. 28, payable at Tiruvádi, Tanjore taluk, in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 27 years 1 month and 11 days.

2. The great delay which has occurred in the submission of this application is very unsatisfactory. It was the District Judge's duty when ordering the applicant's retirement to see that the necessary steps were taken for the submission of his gratuity application.

(True Extract.)

E. S. LAFFAN,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
" District Judge, South Tanjore.
" Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 19th April 1884, No. 305.

APRIL.

Gratuity.

Granting an invalid — of Rs. 28 to Kurunatha Naik, late Process Service peon, Tiruvádi District Munsif's Court.

No. 106

TANJORE, 27th July 1882

Certified that I have carefully examined Gurunatha Naik – son of Leakshumana Pillay, a Batta Peon in the Tiruvadi District Munsif's Court, Tanjore District. His age is by his own statement 56 years, by appearance about 60. I consider Gurunatha Naik to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in consequence of Age & Debility & defective Vision. His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Brigade Surgeon
Zillah Surgeon.

Penny No. 217 of 74

No. 157

Order to Muthusami Naik, Head Police of Trivady Taluq.

Received your 2 urzis Nos 198 and 199 of the 12th Instant regarding the enquiry you made about the complaint of Kistnien of Kuspa Trivady who said that on the night of the 28th ultimo at 18 naligays when he was lying in his house, the peon Gurunatha Naik who came on the round and the Visaripugar Veerappen Vencatajalam, Maruday and Veeru dragged him out, abused and beat him, that the said Gurunatha Naik asked to pay 1/2 a Rupee and that he (Gurunatha Naik) received 3 annas and 3 pies and let him go and about the complaint of Saverimuthu of Tripanduruthy who said the said peon Gurunatha Naik and the Visaripugar Venkatasalam beat and abused and troubled him and his son Saverimoothoo and let them go on receiving 4 annas. As written by you in the said matter I have suspended the said peon Gurunatha Naik for 3 months and the Visaripu Venkatasalam for 2 months. Their names should be removed from the Esmawaris from the 16th Instant and you should appoint able men in their stead. You should collect as fine 1 month's pay from the other Visaripu Veerapan and 1/2 month's pay from

Maruthay and Veeroo. The said peon and Visaripu who are suspended should be strictly ordered that they should carefully do duty till the time of suspension and that if they don't do so they would not be restored but dismissed on the expiring of the suspension. You should accordingly report on the expiry of the terms whether or not they had carefully done their duties.

Camp Tanjore }
16th November 1847 }

(Signed) P. Grant
Special Assistant Magistrate
(True copy)

(Signed) S. Chinnasamee
3rd Class Magistrate
(True translation)

District Judge

Para 6 & 387 [illegible]

No. 20

Order sent to Sethu Royer Trivady Head Police, Trivady Taluk.

You have not reported to me that the peon No. 77 Kurunatha Naik who was entrusted by you with a Warrant for Sakaram Kistnajy the accused mentioned in the Complaint of Aval Subbien of Kaliana puram Thottam &c. did not execute but neglected the said Warrant, the said Gurunatha Naik was examined in person:

1st On the 5th Instant you entrusted a warrant to the said peon for producing the said accused. The peon said that with the said Warrant he went to the place where the said accused used to hold Cutchery and asked one of his peons who was on the Cutchery steps that he was told that the accused had not come to the Cutchery and that therefore he had come away. A peon entrusted with a warrant should make proper enquiries about the place of the person to be arrested and arrest him without informing him before hand about the Warrant, whereas this peon asked the servant of the accused himself if the accused was in the Cutchery made no attempts to arrest him and came away without looking into the Cutchery. This is wrong.

2d Subsequently the peon says that when he came and enquired the Policedar of Karunthittangudy told him that the accused was in the Cutchery. Had not the accused been in the Cutchery the Police Amin would not have said that he was. Thus it can be strongly presumed that the peon himself allowed sufficient time to the accused who was in the Cutchery to leave it.

3d He said that subsequently he took a Yadust from the Karunthittangudi Policedar to the Policedar within the Fort and asked the peon if the said Policedar was in the house, that as he was told that the Policedar had taken his meals and gone to bed he should not be disturbed he himself fell asleep and that it was then only 7 P.M. It cannot be believed that the Policedar could have gone to bed so early. Allowing he had gone to bed the peon should have sent word to the Police Amin that he had come on some important business and executed the Warrant with his assistance. But he has not done so and says that he too went to bed, which fact goes to support the suspicion entertained against him in para 2.

4th The next morning also the peon did not search for the accused and arrest him and having delayed till 10 A.M. he has returned after the accused had gone to the Taluk which is at the distance of 7 miles. From this the said peon who was entrusted with the Warrant has not discharged his duties but has simply neglected them. I have therefore suspended him for 6 months. His name should be removed from the "Barawarti" from the 11 Instant, and in his stead he should appoint Anna Row Vijarippu No. 36 of Sengipatti Thukkudi as stated in your Arzi No. 21 and in place of that Vijarippu one Chidumbaram of Trivady as acting incumbents and enter their names in the "Barawarti" from the said date.

As I have determined to appoint the said Kurunatha Naik as Vijarippu at Pattucottah Taluk on the expiry of his suspension you should report about the matter at the end of the said term.

(Signed) H. D. Philips
of Magistrate

Camp Tanjore }
16 April 1857. }

(True Translation) (True Copy)

(Signed) S. Chinnum
3d Class Inspr.

Dis St George

N° 50

Order sent to Viasa Row Head Police of Trivady Taluq.

Received your urzi N° 48 of the 24th instant.

It appears that the Duffadar &c who went to Trichinopoly District entrusted with the warrant given by you, did not properly try to arrest the accused with the help of the Police or Village Officials of the Said District, that they wandered as they liked for 2 weeks in the several Villages of that District troubled the people and arrested men mentioned and not mentioned in the warrant and that they refused to show the warrant when asked by the Head Policedar of Konadu Taluq. I am aware that the said Duffadar and the peons Gurunathen and Sarangapani had before this conducted irregular proceeding in such circumstances. Of them, the Duffadar says that he was attacked by dysentry. If so why did he not deliver over the warrant to the peons to be executed by them and go away to the Taluq? His failure to do so and his being the cause of such a complaint is a fault on his behalf. I have therefore fined the Duffadar Palaniandi Naik one month's pay which should be collected from the pay of the present month and sent to the Taluq. Should he be accused of any complaint any more, he will be dismissed. I have suspended for a term of 3 months the peon No 77 Gurunatha Naik and the peon No 30 Sarangapani Naik. Their names should be removed from the Baravarti from this date. On the expiry of the said suspension the said 2 peons will be transferred to some other Taluq.

Henceforth you should make proper arrangement to prevent such irregularities in the execution of Warrants.

(Signed) J. B. Bishop
(Signed) G. L. Morris
Ag. Special Magistrate

Camp Kodikarai }
29th June 1857 }

(True translation)

District Judge

Pen's No 317 of R

No. 148.

To
The District Court of
South Tanjore

Urzi humbly submitted by
K. Kristiiengar District Munsif
of Trivady

Received the Courts endorse order directing me to report about the petition presented to the District Court by Gurunatha Naik dismissed peon No 8 of this Court, (applying for gratuity.

Under Urzies No 110, 112 and 118 of the 21, 22 & 26 July 1882 I requested that the said peon Gurunatha Naik should be dismissed as he had been accused of having allowed a person from being arrested under a Warrant, as he had left the station without permission and as he behaved dishonestly towards the Court.

The peon who appeared before the District Court, without my permission was sent by the District Court to the Zillah Surgeon who certified that he was unfit for further Service. Thereupon I received the order No 16 of the 28 July 1882 that the said peon has been dismissed from the 27 July 1882. It does not appear that the said peon ever appeared subsequent to the said order and conducted proceedings regarding gratuity.

I therefore doubt whether or not gratuity can be granted to the said peon. Regarding the said fact, I shall abide by the orders of the Court.

The said petition is herewith returned.

19th July 1883. (Signed) K. Kristnengar
Distt. Munsif
(True translation)

Distt. Judge

Proc. No. 317

Proceedings of the District Court of South Tanjo
The 23d July 1883.

Read Urzi No. 148 dated the 19th Instant submitted by the District Munsif of Trivady

Order

The gratuity roll should be sent in and the substance of the faults found against the peon should be entered in the proper place.

(Signed) C. W. W. Martin
Ag District Judge

(True Copy)

District Judge

Pend 6317 DB

17

APPLICATION FOR { ~~PENSION~~. GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Kurunatha Naik

2. Father's Name. Lekshmana Pillai

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Sudra

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Trivady Tanjore Taluk

5. Present or last employment including name of establishment. Process Service Peon of the Dist. Munsif's Court of Trivady

6. Date of beginning of service. 1st February 1841.

7. Date of ending of service. 26 July 1882.

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	40	5	26
of which superior	"	"	"
inferior	27	1	11
non-qualifying and interruptions	13	4	15

9. Class of { pension / gratuity } applied for, and cause of application* .. Invalid gratuity

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees 6.

11. Proposed pension. "

12. Proposed gratuity. Rupees 36.

13. Date from which pension to commence. "

14. Place of payment. Trivady, Tanjore Taluk.

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 10th February 1823.

16. Height. 5 feet and 4 Inches.

17. Marks. A Scar above the left knee.

18. Date. 21st December 1883.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

Distt. Judge.

Signature of Head of Office.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Kurunutha Naik late Process Service Peon of the District Munsiff's Court of Trivady, South Tanjore District

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting Allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Sengipatty Lukbandy, Trivady Taluk	Sibbandi No 38	3	8	"	"	1st February 1841.	30 April 1842.	1	3	"	"	"	"		The from March the Se of San Treas Tanjo Augus are ve from acqui his Co	Verified partly from the records of the Collector's Office Tanjore and partly from those of the District Munsiff's Court Trivady. The verification of the Service from 1875 has has been confirmed by reference to the annual returns on record in this office.
Trivady Taluk	Sibbandi No 77.	3	8	"	"	1st May 1842	15 November 1847.	5	6	15	"	"	"			
	Suspension	—			—	16 November 1847	15 February 1848.	"	"	"	"	3	"			
	Sibbandi No 77.	3	8	"	"	16 Feby 1848	30 June 1852	4	4	15	"	"	"			
	Suspension				"	1 July 1852	30 Sept 1852	"	"	"	"	3	"			
	Sibbandi No 77.	3	8	"	"	1 October 1852	10 April 1857.	4	6	10	"	"	"			
	Suspension				"	11 April 1857.	10 October 1857	"	"	"	"	6	"			
	Sibbandi No 77	3	8	"	"	11 October 1857.	30 April 1858.	"	6	20	"	"	"			
	Tappal Sibbandi	4	"	"	"	1 May 1858.	15 Novr 1858.	"	6	15	"	"	"			
	Do No 77 Police Peon	4	"	"	"	16 Novr 1858	31 March 1860.	1	4	15	"	"	"			
	Unemployed owing to the abolition of Taluk peons.					1 April 1860.	31 December 1861	"	"	"	1	9	"			
Trivady Munsiff's Court	Batta peon	"	"	"	"	1 January 1862.	15 Augt 1873.	"	"	"	10	7	15			
Do Do	Process Service Peon	6	"	"	"	16 Augt 1873	26 July 1882.	8	11	11	"	"	"			
	Total period of { ~~superior~~ inferior } service ..						....	27	1	11	13	4	15			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. The Munsif States that the Applicant's Conduct is bad.
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. The applicant was suspended for one year. Vide translation of the orders attached.
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. *See* Chapter XX. "
4. Explanation under Section 59, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. The applicant has been invalided by Medical Certificate.
5. Any other remarks.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. (See Rule 6 under Section 84.) The service claimed is established and should be admitted.

Dist. Judge
SIGNATURE.

No $\frac{\text{ADV}}{510}$ 2P

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in { inferior ~~superior~~ } grades has been duly proved for 27 years 1 months and 11 days; and that an Invalid { ~~pension~~ gratuity } not exceeding Rs. 36 equal to 6 month's pay is admissible under Sections 106 & 121(a) of the Civil Pension Code. 6th Edition. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~The~~ { ~~pension~~ ~~gratuity~~ } ~~is chargeable to~~ It is observed from the remark opposite to No 1 in the 3rd page of the application, that the Character and past conduct of the applicant are reported to have been "bad". It rests therefore with Government to make such reduction in the amount of Gratuity that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to Rule 1. Section 69 of the Code.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by District Judge in his letter to this office No 926 dated 21st December 1883.

Fort Saint George
Acctt Genl's Office
25th March 1884

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

Asst. Accountant-General.
for Accountt General

R/ 28/3 -

Pens (1884) Dept
No 317

Application for { Pension or Gratuity }

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of { pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With order 305 of 84

No.
110

To The District Court of South Tanjore.

Urzi submitted by K. Kistniengar District Munsif of Trivady.

On the 18 Inst; Pltffs vakil Rajagopalier put in a petition stating that Gurunatha Naick peon No. 8 of this Court intending to prevent the execution of the process of warrant issued to the Defendant in suit No. 363 of 1881 on the file of this Court went beforehand to the village of Veerasingampettah where the Defendant lives and informed the said Defendant of the issue of the warrant. Further he presented a list a witnesses and paying batta requested that men who knew the wrongs done by the said peon may be examined. Immediately the said peon Gurunada Naick, Muthusami Naick peon No. 30 who took the warrant for execution and the Pltffs uncle

Ramasami Iyengar who went to point out the individual to be arrested under the warrant, were examined as they were present in Court

When the said Gurunatha Naik was examined he answered a few questions and when he was questioned further, he cried aloud & refused to answer, spoke in a haughty tone, abused the Plffs uncle, who came to complain to the Court, in obscene language calling him "rogue: you who have fornication with you mother", spoke slightly about the Court and the Plffs Vakil and tried to run away saying he will not be present for the examination. When the Regular peons told him he should not go away and should answer the questions put to him. He threatened pushed away & abused them in vulgar language and in the presence of other peons, Vakils and parties in public Court. he without respect with disdain and abusively stated "Is it all that I will lose my post: It is the same as if I lose my hair." When the petition presented agt. him by Pltffs.' vakil was read over to him he said he did not do so and had no witnesses.

Summonses were ordered to be sent to the witnesses cited by the Pltffs.' vakil to appear on the 19th. Gurumoorti Cetti one of the witnesses who appeared on that day was examined and it was learnt that on the morning of the said date, the said Gurunatha-naik tried through Narayanasami Cetty one of the big merchants in this place, to tamper with the said witness. Then again, the said Gurunatha naik made a loud noise in the Court, said that the Court was doing injustice, and again behaving in a disrespectful manner ~~and~~ ran outside. When the said Gurumoorti Cetty in his deposition charged the said Gurunatha naik with the offence of tampering with a witness, the said Narayana Cetty was immediately sent for and examined and the fact that the witness was tampered with was found to be true and it was learnt that the said Gurunatha Naik often used to go to the house of Somasunda Gurukal who has much dealings in ready money in this place, he was, therefore, his friend, that the said witness Narayanasami Cetty has dealings with the said Gurukal, and that in the said matter, the said Gurunatha Naik would often come to him to collect money on behalf of the said Gurukal.

The other witnesses Narayana Iyengar and Sami Iyengar cited by the Plffs were also examined.

The deposition taken from the peon Muthusami Naik who took the warrant in this case and the deposition of the said Gurunatha Naik clearly show that they both have colluded together and prevented the execution of the said warrant. Further on the 20 Inst., the said peon Muthusami Naik was examined regarding the return of the said process. He admits that the note entered on the back of the said warrant. is wrong. The warrant is returned to the effect that the Deft is not present in the village. Pltffs vakil says he will prove that the Defendant was present. I shall, therefore, take evidence and conduct necessary proceedings.

It appears from the depositions of the other witnesses that the said peon Gurunnatha naik went to the Deft's village Veerasingampattale to inform the Deft's friend Gurumoorta Cetty of the issue of warrant & obtain some remuneration and that as the said Gurumoorti Cetty was not present in the village, he informed the matter to Deft through somebody else and made him run away.

Therefore as the said peon Gurunatha-Naik has not only prevented the execution of the warrant but also has tampered with the witnesses thinking that truth will come out at the enquiry made immediately and behaved disgracefully in the Court and as he has set on taking bribes etc as he lived on the impudence that he is to continue in service only a few days more as he is more than 55 yr. old, I think that if he be allowed to continue in service the discipline of the other peons and minor officials will become spoiled and that precepts will not be properly executed. He cannot therefore be allowed to remain any more in service & I pray that he may be dismissed and dealt with properly.

The petition & other papers are herewith submitted as per perusal.

Signed) K. Kitsniengar
Dt. Mg

21 July 1882.

(True translation)

G. C. Parker
District Judge

No. 112

To

The District Court of South Tanjore

Urzi submitted by H. Kistnaiengar District Munsif of Trivadi

This day, summons and notice to the Defendant in Small Cause No. 344 of 1882 were entrusted to Gurunatha Naik peon No. 8 of this Court. The said peon who came after 5 P.M. to get those processes orally stated he would not receive those processes, that he was ill and that leave should be granted to him and then went away. He did not subsequently put in a report and take leave, nor did he appear and get the processes. Further, I have submitted Urzi No. 110 of the 21st Instant regarding his having prevented the execution of warrant in suit No. 363 of 1881 on the file of this Court.

In this matter I shall abide by the order of the Court. Further, on the 21st Instant the said peon did not come to Court till 5. P.M. without taking leave.

(Signed) H. Kistnaiengar
District Munsif

22d July 1883

(True Translation)

District Judge

No 118

To

The District Court of South Tanjore

Urzi submitted by K. Kishnienga
District Munsif of Trivady.

Gurunatha Naik, peon of this Court referred to in my Urzi No 110 did not come to Court on the 21st instant and he who came to Court on the 22d after 5 P.M. did not get the process given to him but went away to his house without permission saying that he was ill. This fact I have already brought to your honor's notice through my urzi No 112. On the 24th the said peon appeared at 12 O'clock and put in a report that he wanted to go to Combaconam on private affairs. As he did not get the process on the 22d and said he was then ill and on the 24th as he wanted leave on private affairs, I ordered him to report what his private affairs were, in order to find out the truth. The peon did not give any reply and immediately went out of the Court and did not come to Court till this day. I herewith submit the said report.

26th July 1882

(Signed) K. Kishnienga
District Munsiff

(True Translation)

District Judge

Read No 317 7R

38 plain sheet

879

No 290

Tanjore
17th March 1884

From

The Offg District Judge
South Tanjore

To

The Accountant General
Madras

Sir,

× Returned

With reference to your letter No ×$\frac{A.d.K.}{172}$ of the 29th January last, I have the honor to forward the following information as regards objections Nos 1, 27 & 29 therein mentioned.

1. The Applicant has no house name or Country name.

27. The Applicant does not appear to have drawn any gratuity as he was subsequently reemployed.

29. His services from 1st February 1841 to 31st March 1860 are verified from the Copy of the Service Register and Copy of Salary list sent by the Treasury Deputy Collector from the Collector's Records.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
W. T. Graham
Offg District Judge

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
20 MAR 84
MADRAS

Pensn Lr 317 28/4

43

No. A. D. K. 172

PENSION DEPARTMENT,
VERIFICATION BRANCH.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
29th January 1884

From H. J. Clogstoun Esq.
~~THE~~ ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS,

To

THE District Judge,
South Tanjore.

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for ~~pension~~ gratuity on behalf of Karunatha Naik late a Batta peon of the District Munsif's Court of Triwady, received with your letter No. 925 d/ 21st December 1883 I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for want of Nos. 1, 27 and 29

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,
Wm Cline
Assistant ~~to the~~ Accountant General,
for *Accountant General.*

AB

✗ 1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.

2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records from which the applicant's services have been verified.

3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the 3rd page of the application herewith returned.

4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence other than privilege or casual leave enjoyed by the applicant.

5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on and for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.

6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.

7. Signature of the Applicant/Head of the Office in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book.

8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's suspension/dismissal in and of a Last Pay Certificate./Service Book.

9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly months/years in the submission of the application.

10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the

11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.

12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of days/months, the applicant having ceased to do duty on

13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his pension/gratuity during his lifetime; if so, when he applied and when he died.

14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points :—

(*a.*) Whether the applicant was in *permanent* employ of Government.

(*b.*) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

16. Information as to whether the appointment of in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from to was *fully vacant*, and whether any person, save the applicant, counted service in it during that period.

17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.

18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.

19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.

20. Certificate to the effect that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, *vide* Rule 3, Section 99 of the Civil Pension Code.

21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.

22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from to was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.

23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the Annual Return / Audit Register for on record in this Office.

24. Exhibition in detail of the dates, months and years of applicant's various appointments, promotions, &c., on the 2nd page of the application.

25. Translation into English of the Vernacular entries in the service book.

26. Information as to the substantive application held by the applicant at the time he was entering as

× 27. Information as to whether on re-employment in 1873 the applicant refunded ~~the~~ any gratuity that may have been received by him; if so, please mention the date of such refund. If he had received no gratuity for his services ended *

28. Pension application with erasures cannot be accepted. Forward fresh application.

× 29. Transmission of an abstract statement duly certified showing the nature of records, which are relied upon for verification of the service claimed from 1st February 1841 to 31st March 1860.

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant voluntarily accepted the post of in his own interest, or his services were transferred in the interests of the public service by competent authority? In the latter case please forward a copy of such authority.

31. Explanation as to whether the applicant was transferred to Foreign Service in in the manner laid down in the C. P. Code, if so, please furnish a copy of the order of Government transferring his services under as and state the amount of contribution he has paid during this period.

32. The service of the applicant from to does not appear in the records of this Office. State whether he held any other appointment and from what fund he was paid, or explain the cause of the ommission of his name.

33. Reconciliation or explanation of the discrepancy between the dates of termination of the applicant's service as shown in the application and in the Last Pay Certificate.

34. The age of the applicant is under 55 years. Ask the Examining Medical Officer to give detailed reasons for invaliding him and furnish your own special explanation of the grounds on which it is proposed to invalid him.

*: 31st March 1860, please state why no claim for gratuity on behalf of the applicant was preferred at that time.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's		The entries in these columns are to be reattested every five years; each attestation should be carefully ruled off that the date may be clearly shown									
			Name.	Residence.	Date of birth.	Age.			Exact height.		Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
						Years	Ms.	Days.	Feet.	Ins.				
Gnanamuthu Naik	Sudra	Trivadi	Lakshmana pillai	Trivadi	10th February 1823	54	1	5	5	4	A scar above the left knee	15th March 1877	ஞானமுத்து	P. [illegible] District Munsif

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination. *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Batta Peon in the Trivadi District Munsif's Court	~~Substantive~~ Permanent		Rs 6-		16th August 1873			P. [illegible] D. Munsif	26·7·82	Removed from Service as unfit for further service as certified by surgeon	
Sibbanthi No 38 Sengipatti Tukkudy, Trivady Taluk.	Permanent		Rs. as 3-8.		1-2-1841		Suspended for 3 months from 16-11-47 to 15-2-48. Do for do from 1-6-52 to 1-10-52. Do for 6 months from 11-4-57 to 9-10-57.	District Munsif	30-4-1842		District Munsif
Do no 77 Do.	Do.		3-8-	"	1-5-1842				30-4-1858		
Tahal Sibbanthi Do	Do		Rs 4	1-5-1858					15-11-1858		

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination. *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Police Sibbantti No 9. Sengipatty Tukkeady Vrinady Taluk	Permanent		Rs 4		16- 11- 1858			District Munsif	31- 3- 1860		
Batta Peon District Munsif's Court of Vrinady			Paid by fees		January 1862				15- 8- 1873	Removed from service as unfit for further service as certified by Surgeon.	District Munsif
Do	Do		Rs 6		16- 8- 1873			Dist. Judge	26- 7- 1882		

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Kurunatha Naik

Caste or Race. Sudra

Residence. Trivadi

FATHER'S Name. Lakshmana Pillai

FATHER'S Residence. Trivadi

Date of birth. 10th February 1823

Pen. 3.3.3

3877 No. 925. Tanjore 53

21st December 1883

From

The District Judge
of South Tanjore

To

The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir

I have the honor to forward an application for invalid gratuity on behalf of Kurunatha Naik late Battah peon of the District Munsif's Court of Trivady together with his Service Register, Medical Certificate and translation of 3 suspension orders.

Translation of Urzees and Copies of orders regarding the Character and past conduct of the Applicant are also forwarded.

As for the delay in submitting this application, the Munsif says that the applicant applied for the gratuity only on the 10th July 1883, and that the information from the Treasury Deputy Collector and Tahsildar of Tanjore regarding the Services of the Applicant in the Revenue Office was received on the 24th November last.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servt.

Distt. Judge

Accountant General's Office
27 DEC 83
Madras

Se A.D.K. 172 o/29/1/84

G.O. No 305 of 84

55 18 55

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

2

READ the following papers :—

From the District Judge, South Tanjore, d/ 21st December 1883 No 925

,, Accountant-General, dated 25th March 1884 No A.D.G./510.

Character reported bad, and with good reason if the reports of his immediate superior, the D! Munsiff of Trivadi may be relied on. Apparently they may. He says the above court warned a defendant for whose arrest a warrant was out & tampered with the witnesses. When accused of this in court he made a disgraceful disturbance, using abusive language, refusing to answer, and behaving in a most disrespectful way. (The papers show that he had been previously punished for similar malpractices in 1847, 57, & 59.) He repeated this behaviour next day and then absented himself without leave for 3 days, after which he went to Tanjore and presented himself before the D! Judge who ordered him to retire. Gratuity earned R! 36. Proposed R! 28/-

3

ORDER THEREON, 19th April 1884 No 305

UNDER Sections 106 & 121 (a) of the Civil Pension Code (~~5th~~ 6th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kurunatha Naik late Process Serving Peon District Munsiff Court Trivady an Invalid gratuity of Rupees (28) payable at Trivady, Tanjore Taluq in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of twenty seven years, one month~~s~~ and eleven days

The great delay which has occurred in the submission of this application is very unsatisfactory. It was the D! Judge's duty when ordering the applicant's retirement

To the Accouutant-Genera,
with Rgister.
,, District Judge, S. Tanjore
,, Collector of Tanjore
,, J.H.G. R40-21e 28/4

to him that the
necessary steps
were taken for
the submission
of his gratuity application.

1A/7

58

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Despd.

Recd.

G.O., 19 April/84 No. 305

Granting an Invalid gratuity of Rs - to Kurumatha Naik late Process Server Peon Trivady District Suff. Court -

D/.

M 12/4