M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 241 Press ~~Mis.~~ [illegible]

Dated 19-3- 1884.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

40

19TH MARCH 1884, NO. 241, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the Collector of Madura, dated 1st February 1884.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Fort St. George, 28th February 1884, No. A.D.J.-455.

Certified that, should Government be pleased to regard the applicant's transfer on 22nd September 1865 from an appointment on a superior grade to one on an inferior grade as a degradation not due to his misconduct, qualifying service in superior grades will be duly proved for 14 years 10 months and 13 days, and that an invalid gratuity not exceeding Rs. 180, equal to 12 months' pay, is admissible under sections 102 and 109 (*a*) of the Civil Pension Code (5th edition).

2. The calculations have been duly verified.

3. It is observed from the remark opposite to No. 1 in the third page of the application that the character and past conduct of the applicant have been recorded as "bad." It rests, therefore, with Government to make such reduction in the amount of gratuity that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to rule 1, section 65, of the code.

4. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Collector in his remarks opposite to No. 5 in the third page of the application.

ORDER—dated 19th March 1884, No. 241, Pension.

Under sections 102 and 109 (*a*) of the Civil Pension Code (5th edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Nakkalapalli Datha Venkatarama Ayyar, late gumastah, deputy tahsildar's office, Usilampati, Madura district, an invalid gratuity of Rs. (180) one hundred and eighty, payable at Madura, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 14 years 10 months and 13 days.

2. The delay in the submission of this application is unsatisfactory.

(True Extract.)

E. S. LAFFAN,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
" Collector of Madura.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Encl.

Recd.

G.O., 19th March 1884, No. 241.

MARCH.

Gratuity.

Granting an invalid — of Rs. 180 to N. D. Venkatarama Ayyar, late gumastah, deputy tahsildar's office, Usilampati, Madura district.

No. 221.

No. 288

2a

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION.~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Nakkalapulli Datha Venkatarama Ayyar.

2. Father's name. Nakkalapulli Datha Subbayyar

3. Sect or Caste. Brahmin.

4. Residence. Madura.

5. Present or last employment. Gumastah, Dy. Tahsildar's office, Usilampati.

6. Date of beginning service. 19th March 1849.

7. Date of end of service. 6th March 1883.

8. Length of service including breaks ... ... Y. M. D. 33-11-16.

of which superior 14-10-11

inferior ... ... "

non-qualifying and breaks 19-1-5

9. Class of {~~pension~~ gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* ... Invalid gratuity under Section 109 (a) of the Civil Pension Code.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 15 Rs

11. Proposed pension. "

12. Proposed gratuity. 12 months' pay 180 Rs

13. Date from which to commence. 7th March 1883.

14. Place of payment. Madura.

15. Age of Applicant on this date. 53 years 4 months and days. (5/5/30)

16. Height. 5 feet 5 inches.

17. Marks. A scar below the elbow of the right arm and another on the right side of the back.

18. Date. 1st February 1884.

Signature of the Head of Office.

1/2

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF N. Dithe Venkatarama Ayyar, Gumastah, Dy Tahsildar's office, Usilampatti.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as Service.			Period not reckoned as Service			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Head Police Office Ramagiri Taluk	Koyestam Assistant	4	6	-	-	19 March 1849	7 October 1853	-	-	-	4	6	18	Transfer	By means of Pay Abstracts	Service from 19-3-49 to 7-10-53 does not count for pension being composed partly of service rendered in inferior grades and partly of break. Verified by the records of the Colltr Office Madura and the verification of the service has been confirmed by reference partly to the annual returns and partly to the Audit Register on account of the Office
Mädukulam Taluk	Police Roya Sew Asst	4	-	-	-	8 October 1853	15 May 1859	-	-	-	5	7	7			
Valayapatti	Jeledar	10	-	-	-	16 May 1859	30 August 1860	-	-	-	1	3	15	Reduction of Establishment		
Unemployed	—				—	31st August 1860	4 December 1863	-	-	-	3	3	4			
Madura Taluk	Tapal Gumastah	4	—			5th December 1863	26 July 1864	-	-	-	-	7	21	On promotion		
Tirumangalam Taluk	Gumastah	12	-	-	-	27 July 1864	21st September 1865	1	1	~~26~~ 25	-	-	-	Transferred at the request of the officer -		
Madura Taluk	Tapal Gumastah	10	—		-	22nd September 1865	26 January 1866	—			-	4	5			
Madura Taluk	Revenue Inspector	20	-	-	-	27 January 1866	7 June 1868	2	4	11	—					
Leave on half pay						8-6-68	7-7-68	-	-	-	-	1	-			
Do on forfeiture of full pay						8-7-68	31-7-68	-	-	-	-	-	24			
Do on 5 Rs						1-8-68	25-8-68	-	-	-	-	-	25			
Madura Taluk	Revenue Inspector	20	-		-	26-8-68	16-7-69	-	10	21	—					
Leave on half pay						17-7-69	24-?-69	-	-	-	-	2	8 9			
Madura Taluk	Revenue Inspector	20	-		-	25-9-69	26-6-70	-	9	2	—					
Madura Taluk	Revenue Inspector 2nd Class Acting	25	-		-	27-6-70	5-8-70	-	1	~~10~~ 9	—					
Do	3rd Class	20	-		-	6-8-70	9-9-70	-	1	~~3~~ 4	—					
Ramnad Branch Treasy	Head Shroff	35	-		-	10-9-70	4-2-71	-	4	25	—					
Privilege leave						5-2-71	4-3-71	-	1	-	—					
Ramnad Branch Treasy	Head Shroff	35	-			5-3-71	5-5-71	-	2	1	—			Transferred at the request of the officer.		
Sick leave						6-5-71	16-8-71	-	-	-	-	3	11			
Tirumangalam Taluk	Revenue Inspector 2nd Class	25	-			17-8-71	3-9-71	-	-	~~18~~ 17	—			Do		
Leave on Private affairs						4-9-71	6-10-71	-	-	-	-	1	3			
Madura Taluk	Revenue Inspector 3rd class	20	-	-	-	7-10-71	22-5-72	-	7	16	—					
Leave on forfeiture of full pay						23-5-72	6-6-72	-	-	-	-	-	~~15~~ 14			

Madura Taluk	Revenue Inspector	20	〃	-	7-6-72	15-9-73	1	3	9	〃	–	–	✓
Sick leave on half pay					16-9-73	15-11-73	〃	–		〃	2	〃	
Madura Taluk	Revenue Inspector	20	–	-	16-11-73	20-5-74	〃	6	5	〃	–	–	
Private affairs leave on forfeiture of full pay					21-5-74	10-6-74	–	–		〃	·	20 21	✓
Madura Taluk	Revenue Inspector	20	–	〃	11-6-74	10-11-74	〃	5	–	〃	–	–	
Leave on private affairs					11-11-74	10-1-75	–	–		〃	2	〃	✓ × without pay
Do Taluk	Revenue Inspector 3rd Class	20	〃	〃	11-1-75	25-11-76	1	10	15	–	–		
Do Do	Do Do 2nd Class Acting	25	〃	-	26-11-76	25-2-78	1	3	〃	–	–		
Do Do	Do 3rd Class	20	–	〃	26-2-78	26-8-79	1	6 ~~5~~	1	〃	–		
Suspension		-			27-8-79	26-2-80	–	–		〃	6	〃	Reduced
Usilampati Dy. Tahsildar's office.	Gumastah and Acting Do of Madura Taluk	15	〃	〃	27-2-80	16-5-80	〃	2	~~18~~ 21	–	–		
Leave on forfeiture of full pay					17-5-80	14-7-80	–	–		–	1	29	
Madura	Gumastah	15			15-[illegible]-80	[illegible]							
Deputy Tahsildar's office	Gumastah	15	–	-	21-10-80	4-1-81							
Sick leave					5-1-81	30-9-81	〃	〃	〃	〃	8	26	✓
Private affairs leave					6-10-81	15-12-81	–	–		〃	2	14	✓ without allce
Do Do	Gumastah	15	–	-	16-12-81	24-4-82	〃	4	9 ~~10~~	–	–		
Private affairs leave					25-4-82	21-5-82	–	–		〃	–	27	
Do	Gumastah	15	–	-	22-5-82	4-9-82	〃	3	13	–	–		
Suspension					5-9-82	6-3-83	–	–		〃	6	7	
							14 ~~11~~	10 ~~10~~	13 ~~11~~	19	1	5	
										33	11	16	

Verified by means of Pay Abstracts.

Pension 1884 Septr

No 202.

Cur

APPLICATION FOR { ~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY—

Date of application. 1st February 1884

Name of Applicant. N. D. Venkatarama Ayyar.

Last Appointment. Gumastah Madura District.

Class of {pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Bad.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. In 1879, applicant, who was then 3rd Class Revenue Inspector of Madura Taluk, was suspended for 6 months and reduced to a Gumastah's place for not residing within limits of his Division, and for writing a false diary. Again in 1882 he was suspended for a year for fraudulent misconduct in connection with a Revenue Sale which had been entrusted to him. Translations of the correspondence relating to the suspension &c accompany.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. Nil

4. Explanation under Section ~~47~~ 100, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil

5. Any other remarks. The full name of the applicant and that of his father are as shown in the 1st page. The service claimed has been established and must be admitted. The 2nd of the applicant's suspensions above referred to, was for one year commencing from 5th September 1882. In the middle of his suspension, applicant requested permission to retire and produced a medical certificate dated 6th March 1883 incapacitating him for further service. His request was granted in April 1883. The General Deputy Collector, after verification of the applicant's services with reference to the Taluk records, submitted the application for pension in September 1883. Further information, as regards the mode of verification of applicant's service was called for from the Deputy Collector as also records of correspondence relating to his suspension and reduction. [illegible] also called for from the medical officer as to the cause of his declaring the applicant unfit though under 55 years. The last paper of information on the subject was received from Madura Taluk only on the 21st January 1884. Hence the delay between the date of the medical certificate and that of his application. Applicant's Service Register and his Last Pay and medical certificates with the District Medical Officer's explanation, are enclosed.

C.S. Crole

Collector 1/2

6a

Translation of an Arzi No 461 10·8·79· from C. Parathasardy Ayyangar Tahsildar of Madura Taluk to M.R.Ry Mr. Suriyamurthi Pillai Avergal Dy Collector Madura.

I received your order dated 9th April last, No. 352. stating that Thathavencataraama Aiyar, Revenue Inspector, has deposed that he was at Madura on Sunday the 9th March and that he was at Maduvarputti on Monday, while he has stated in his Diary of the said date that he azmoished the Shavi in Thandalai village, and directing to take deposition from the Inspector and to make a report, after necessary enquiries, regarding the said discrepancy. I have sent herewith as per pherist the depositions &c connected with my enquiry, as also the records that accompanied your order.

In his explanation of the inconsistency between the statements made in his Diary and his depositions, the Inspector says that the entry in his Diary that on the 9th March he went from Palamedu to Thandalai and azmoished the Shavi is the correct account, and that the statement in his deposition was made out through failure of memory. The Karnam of Thandalai village supports him by saying that he was come to his village on the said date and that he azmoished the Shavi. This point seems suspicious. He

has

has stated that on the 1st of the said month he fair copied his Diary of the previous month & despatched it with a covering Arzi. But he now says that in addition to the above work he has also signed and despatched the cultivation accounts. He further says that, as stated in his Diary, he did not collect any kist in Athalai Village on the 5th of the month but that he only facilitated the collection by stirring up the Nattamgars. This work is too little. The reasons urged by him for his going to Madura on the 6th of the said month are useless. His explanation that he came and halted at Madura on the 13th & 25th as he had to go to certain villages in Sirumalai Firka is not believable. For, he might more easily have gone from his Firka direct to the Villages in Sirumalai Firka than through Madura. There is no doubt that he came to Madura only as his wife & children are residing here. He did not properly attend to his work on the 19th 21st 22nd 27th 28th 29th & 30th but he had done only nominal work. It appears that he came to the Office only on the 6th and it cannot be ascertained whether he came to the Office on other days. He does not reside either in Alanganallur Village, his Head Quarters, or in any other village within his Division.

(He neither owns a house nor has he a permanently rented one in his Division.) He resides permanently at Madura with his wife & Children and goes on circuit to the Villages in his Division only on duty. The Inspector has said in his deposition that he has rented a house for Rs „ 4 „ „ in Alanganallur village, his Head quarters, & has been residing there for a period of one year except on those days when he was on Circuit on duty. In order to ascertain the correctness of his Statement, I went on circuit to the said Village and from enquiries made in the Village I learn that he has not rented any house as he said, that he would go to that village 2 or 3 times a month and stay there a day or two each time, that he would obtain Vessels &c from the Villagers for his cooking, and that he would go away after his meals like a traveller. Therefore it is clearly proved that he has intentionally given a false deposition. As he never does any important work and for reasons stated in para 1 of my Arzi No 660 dated 10th Instant I sent to the said Inspector an order on the 4th Ultimo deputing him for the Special duty of collecting the whole of the arrears in the marginally noted Villages attached to his Firka, with the aid of the Karnams & Nattamgars of those Villages and directing him to remit the

1 Valyaputti.
2 Sendamangalam
3 Mettupatti
4 Chattram Vellalapatti
5 & Perumal Kovilur.

the amount collected into the Treasury before the 20th ultimo. From the list enclosed, it is clearly proved that he has neither taken any interest in the duty of collection nor has he caused the Nattamgars to obtain demand Notices from the Taluk Cutcherry. If he should be thus reckless and indifferent in this simple business in spite of a special order, you can clearly imagine to yourself how the other duties will be performed. Further as the Inspector slights my orders instead of obeying them and gives false depositions besides writing false Diaries, how can I trust him and exact Government work from him.

Therefore I am of opinion that he is quite unfit for Government Service and I wait your orders.

10th August 1879. (Signed) V. Parathasarathy Aiyangar
Tahsildar

(True Translation)

for Collector

Order thereon

General No 2118

The Inspector Shatha Venkatarama Aiyar was suspended for 6 months for not residing in his Division. & for having made

Despd. [illegible]

false entry in his Diary as if he was at Thandalai Village when he was actually at Madura where he had no any particular business to do. The enclosures are returned.

(Signed) M. Suryamurthy Pillai
Dy. Collector

13-8-79
Madura

(True Copy)

for Collector

Compd. M.S.

Translation of a Takeed from the Dy Collector, Madura to MRRy. V. Parthasarathy Aiyangar Tahsildar of Madura —

Read your Arzies Nos 118 & 119 dated 25th Ultimo. I have granted 1 months leave on Medical Certificate to Sadamuthu Mudali 1st Class Revenue Inspector of your Taluk and, I have made the following arrangements:— Tammaji Rao, 2d Class Revenue Inspector to act for the above, Thatha Vencatarama Aiyar, 3d Class Inspector of Tirumangalam Taluk, to act for Tammaji Rao —

Further, as Thatha Vencatarama Aiyar, 3d Class Revenue Inspector of your Taluk, referred to in your Arzi No 118, has to be reduced to the post of Gumastah with reference to the Collector's Appeal judgement No 53 dated 18th October last, I have made the following appointments:—

Viraraghava Aiyar, a passed Candidate, Gumastah of Usilampatti Dy. Tahsildar's Office, & acting Gumastah of Madura Taluk on Rupees 20 vice Subba Aiyar, to be 3d Class Revenue Inspector vice Thatha Vencatarama Aiyar —

Thatha Vencatarama Aiyar to be Gumastah Usilampatti Dy. Tahsildar's Office on Rs 16 vice Viraraghava Aiyar. Viraraghava Aiyar to do duty in the Taluk as Gumastah on Rupees 20 during the absence of Subba Aiyar on other duty. Sundaravarajalu Nayak, Gumastah on Rs 15 to act as 3d Class Revenue Inspector, during the absence of

Viraraghava Aiyar

In No 202

Aiyar on other duty.

Shatha Vencatarama Aiyar, who has been reduced to the post of Gumastah in the Uttampalle Dy. Tahsildars Office to act as Gumastah in Madura Taluk vice Sundararaju Nayak.

You will accordingly enter the appointments in the pay bill + arrange for the work being done. The enclosures to the Arzi are herewith returned.

6.3-80.
Madura

(Signed) L. Rajaram Rao
Ay. Dy. Collector

(True Translation)

for Collector.

L No 20

8 Character of Officer. y need be made in this Column except when there is g either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions e noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent*.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
othing against his character.		7. October 1858	[illegible] for	
Do.		16th May 1859	Transfer upon the request of the officer.	[illegible]
Do.		30th August 1860	Stoppage by the new arrangement	
Do.		26th July 1864	New appointment	
Do.		21st September 1865	Promotion.	
Do.		17th January 1866.	Transfer upon the request of the officer	

Ramaswam

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Revenue Inspector of Madura Talug	Substantive	"	20-0-0		20th January 1866	
Do 2d Cl - Do	Actg.	3d Cl Rev Insp.	25		27-6-70	✓
Do 3d Cl	Substantive	Do.	20		6-8-70	
Head Sheraph of the Division Treasury of Ramnad.	Do	"	35-0-0		17 August 1870 10-7-70	N. D. Vencatramien
Second Class Revenue Inspector of Tirumangalam Talug	Do.	"	25-0-0		15th August 1871 17-8-71	
3d class Revenue Inspector of Madura Talug	Do.	"	20-0-0		29 September 1871 7-10-71	✓
2d Class Revenue Inspector of the said Talug	Acting 2d Clas	Substantive 3rd Clas	25	-	~~21-11-76~~ 26-11-76	✓

Comp. M.S.

11a

petitioner to one Muthia Nayak, that they came on for sale as the mortgagee had not paid the Kist due on them, that petitioner purchased them at the sale for Rs 11. 8 a., the amount of the arrears and mortgaged them to Muthia Nayak as before, and that the said Muthia Nayak mortgaged them to Nattamai Swami Nayak for Rs 25. who has paid the sale amount Rs 11. 8 a. & some portion in ready money and has still to pay Rs 6. In the receipt produced by petitioner, it is written that Rs 11. 8. a was paid by Muthia Nayak on behalf of Thirumalai Nayak, the purchaser of the lands belonging to Pattah No 7 and the receipt has been given by the Nattamgar. The Nattamgar admits that the receipt was the one given by him. But he says that, while he is himself the purchaser, he instead of entering that the amount was paid by Muthia Nayak on behalf of himself, has entered by mistake that the amount was paid on behalf of Tirumalai Nayak. (1) Maistry Ayyaswami Pillai & (2) Sundaram Pillai, witness cited by the Gumastah, who conducted the sale say that they dont know by whom the lands in question were taken at the sale. The Witnesses cited by the Nattamgar, namely, (1)

Ramaswami

Ramaswami Nayak (2) Maruthappa Nayak, (3) Tiruvengada Nayak & (4) Perumal Nayak. State that the lands were sold to the Nattamgar for Rs 14. Of these Ramaswami Pillai is the elder brother of the Karnam Gumastah and the others are relations of the Nattamgar. Before the present petition was presented to me, petitioner & Tiruvenkada Nayak came and represented to me at about half past 8 P.M. on the 19 Ultimo that they gave 3 receipts to ~~J~~yemperumal Pillai, Private Vakil, for the purpose of drafting certain petition and that he has not returned them. Thereupon I sent for him, got the receipts from him and gave them to petitioner. On my enquiry about it after this petition was presented to me, I learn that petitioner came to me to put in a complaint about the said matter, that Subbramania Pillai Karnam Gumastah called away the petitioner while he was about to present the complaint, for the purpose of settling the matter amicably that the Stamp affixed to the petition which was prepared by Vakil Iyemperumal Pillai was alone torn off from

from the petition and given to the petitioner. The petition which was originally prepared was produced before me by the said Vakil.

The particulars of the sale in question are entered in pages 2 & 3 of the sale list. The purchasers, entered in the said sheet, have simply marked their names. If the sale list which was originally prepared had been destroyed in the absence of the petitioner and another had been prepared, then the signature of the purchaser Tiruvenkata Nayak, who has signed in the 1st page of Sheet No 2. should have been obtained a second time. When I enquired him about it, he says that he does not remember whether or not he signed it a second time. He is the husband of the Nattamgars' younger sister. He says that it was the Nattamgar who purchased the lands in question in the sale —

It appears from the sale list that Varathapa Nayak, who gave evidence in favor of the Nattamgar had himself bid the lands in question for Rs 11 — The said Varathapa Nayak says that he did not bid and that he does not know how his name was entered in the sale list.

On the whole the receipt given by the Nattamgar is a strong proof that the sale

of —

of the lands in question was concluded in the name of the petitioner for Rs 11. 8. 0 I also believe the facts urged in petitioner's behalf regarding the sale are true and that an attempt was made to reconcile the dispute. The explanation given by the Nattamgar for his granting the receipt cannot at all be accepted. The mistake cannot occur as he says.

Sami Nayak is not the Nattamai Shirasdar. He is simply a Gumastah. Subbramania Pillai is the Karnam Gumastah of the two Villages of Kanjayanatham and Erramalaputti. I recommend that the sale of the lands in question which was concluded in the name of Swami Nayak, Nattamai Gumastah may be cancelled, that a resale may be ordered in order to clear off all doubts, that Swami Nayak, the Nattamai Gumastah, & Subbramania Pillai, the Karnam Gumastah, may be dismissed, and that the Gumastah Venkatarama Aiyar may, in consideration of his long Service, be suspended for 1 year. There is only one Nattamgar for Kanjayanatham Village, Manipam is Rs 21. 8. 0

The Manipam of Karnam Gumastah for

for the said Village is Rs 20. 1. 9.

Do — Do — for Erramallaputti is Rs 25. 2. 9.

Beriz of Kanjayanatham Village for Fasli 1291 is Rs 1425. 5. 3.

Do of Erramalaputti for Fasli 1291 is Rs 701. 0. 1.

I submit herewith the enclosures for perusal & orders.

The arrear of Kanjayanatham Village is Rs 762. 15. 6. and that of Erramalaputti is Rs 1133. 15. 9.

List^x The Cadjan Deed numbered 25 in the ^x^list of this case has been produced. It should be stamped with 8 annas but it is written in plain Cadjan.

2. 9. 82
Tirumangalam

(Signed) K. Anantharama Aiyar
Tahsildar

(True Translation)

Gl No 1945.

For Collector

Order thereon.

I quite concur, all the punishments as recommended are accorded, and the sale so shamefully tampered with is cancelled, and resale will be made if the balance is yet left unpaid.

5-9-82
Madura

(Sigd) V. Verasawmi Ayyar
Dy Collector

(True Copy)

for Collector

Coltd by C.B.L.

Comp. W.S.

No 492 . Gulies — 1 . 13 .
" 473 . Gulies — 1 . 3 .
" 476 — " — " 4 . 3 .

Total 3 . 4 . 3 Gulies Tirumalai Nayak Rs 5 . 8 .

No 565 — " 1 — 2 . Gulies Sami Nayak . Rs 12 ..

No 23 ~~Nanjah~~ — " . 4 . 3 Cawny taken on behalf of Government for one Annas

Petitioner says that, of these, the 4 10 . 1 . Gulies shown as having been sold to Nattamai Swami Nayak, were purchased by him in the sale for Rs 11 - 8 . . and that they have fraudulently make it appear as if they were taken by Nattamai Swami Nayak . The Gumastah that conducted the sale, the Nattamgar & Subbiramania Pillai, the Kurnam Gumastah, say that the 4 - 10 1 . Gulies, in dispute, were purchased at the sale by Swami Nayak, the Nattamai Gumastah - Karuppana Pillai, the other Kurnam, says that he had gone to take some accounts at the time when the lands under dispute were sold and that he does not know who was the real purchaser of the lands.

The peon Irulandy who was ordered to attend on the Gumastah says that at the direction of the said Gumastah he had been to the Taluk on the day when the disputed lands were sold and that he does not know any thing.

Petitioner and Muthappa Nayak, his man, say that the disputed lands belonged to petitioner, that they had been mortgaged by petitioner

---

8

Character of Officer. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	& designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	mination e.g. transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	nation of immediate head of the Office.
Nothing bad character		[illegible] August 1870	Promotion	
		9-9-70		
Mr [illegible] Watts the Head Assistant Collector of Madura has spoken strongly in his letter to the Collector of my ability good character and trustworthiness 6 to 13 Aug 71 leave		14th August 1871	Transferred upon the request of the officer	
Nothing bad character		28th September 1874 5-10-7	Transferred upon the request of the officer	
Do		31st December 1874	Transferred 25-11-76	
Do		25-2-78		
Suspended for one from 5th September 1882		5-3-83		
Leave on half pay from 8 June 1868 to 7 July 68 Do on forfeiture of full pay 8 July 68 to 31 July 68 Do on Rs 5 from 1 August 68 to 25 August 1868 Leave on half pay from 17 July 69 to 24 September 1869 Sick leave from 6 May 1871 to 13 August 1871 Leave on forfeiture of full pay from 23 May 1872 to 6 June 1872 Leave on half pay from 16 September 1873 to 15 November 1873 Leave on forfeiture of full pay from 21 May 1874 to 10 June 1874 Leave on private affairs from 11 November 1874 to 10 January 1875		17		
		Collector 31/1		

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
2nd Class Revenue Inspector of Madura Taluq.	Acting 2nd Class	Substantive 3rd Class	25 " "	"	17th January 1877.	
2nd Class Revenue Inspector of Madura Taluq	Do	Do	Do	"	17th March 1877.	
2nd Class Revenue Inspector of Madura Taluq.	Do	Do	Do	"	2nd April 1877.	
2nd Class Revenue Inspector of Madura Taluq.	Substantive 2nd Class.	2nd Class Revenue Inspector	Do		3rd June 1877.	
Revenue Inspector of Madura Taluq.	Substantive 3rd Class.	3rd Class Revenue Inspector.	20 " "	"	26th February 1878.	✓
Gumastah Kailampatty Dy. Tahsildar's Office [illegible] Madura Dt. Madura [illegible]	Permanent	-	15	-	27th Febry 1880	✓
Gumastah Madura Taluq	Acting	Gumastah Kailampatty Dy. Tahsildar's office	15	Duty pay	Do	
Gumastah, Kailampatty Dy. Tahsildar's Office	Permanent		15 vice [illegible]		27-2-80	

petitioner

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Nothing bad character	- -	"	[illegible]	
Do	- -	"	- -	
Do	- -	- -	[illegible] Class Revenue Inspector; the present Class [illegible] promoted [illegible] from the 3rd to 2nd Class.	
Do	- -	- -		[illegible]

22-4-80

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. S. Thatha Venkatavaramier

Caste or Race. Brahmin

Residence. Madura.

Father's, Name. S. D. Subbier.

Residence. Madura.

Date of birth. Tuesday 5th May/1830

1697

No. A.D.M.

Form M.

19a

LAST PAY CERTIFICATE ~~of~~

of the Thathavencatavaramier late Gumasta Usilumpetty ~~proceeding to~~

~~to join the appointment of~~ Deputy Tahsildar's Office, retired

~~to officiate as~~

~~on duty~~

He has drawn pay as Gumasta Deputy Tahsildar's Office Usilumpetty

at the rate of Rs. (15 fifteen) per month, ~~and acting allowance as~~

~~at the rate of Rs.~~ ~~per month,~~

~~less the deductions shown below~~ up to the 4th September 1882.

~~He made over charge of the Office of~~

~~on the noon of the~~

~~No recoveries~~ / ~~The recoveries noted on the reverse~~ ~~are to be made from the pay of this Officer~~.

~~DEDUCTIONS~~

~~RS. A. P.~~

Madura Collector's ~~Fort Saint George,~~ Office 24 January 1884.

Treasury Deputy ~~Accountant General.~~ Collector

DETAILS OF RECOVERIES

Nature of recovery.

Amount, Rs.

How to be recovered. { In one sum.
{ ________ instalments.

Send to the
or to the
Dy Acct
together with the
papers Encl
9/3/83

20a

18.3

383

No. 60

Office of Zillah Surgeon,
Madura, 7th March 1883

Certified that I have carefully examined Datta Vencata Ramier (son of Subba Iyer) Gumastah Deputy Tahsildar's Office Nilamcottah in the Madura District.

His Age is according to his own statement fifty nine years and by appearance about fifty nine years.

I consider Datta Vencata Ramier to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs in consequence of old age & infirmities

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Hutchings M.D.
Surgeon Major
Zillah Surgeon.

In No 202

21a

Name. } Thatha Vencataramie
son of Subba Iyer

Rank. } Gumastah Depu
Tahsildar's offic
Nellempoottey.

Habit of body. Spare.

Habits — Sober.

Length of service. –

Residence in India. Since bi

This Gumastah compl
of pain in his loins, inabilit
to walk about freely and
expose himself long under
sun and heat; is subje
to trembling of hands an
head: Cannot write steadily
Sight is defective. ~~There is~~
~~incipient cataract in~~
~~left eye~~. He is also hard of
hearing. His general
appearance indicates debi
He had on several occasion
taken sick leave for general
debility result of fever.

Under these Circumstan
I consider him unfit for
further service.

Luis Anthony,
Assist Surgeon

Madras Surgn-Major
26.9.83 } Distt: Surgeon

18
22a

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

19th March/84 No 241

READ the following papers :—

1 From the Collector of Madura d/ 1st July 1883

" Accountant-General, dated 26th February 1884

I submit that in cases of this kind either the full gratuity should be granted or the allowance be heavily reduced. I would make Rs 125. H.G.

[illegible] 34 years [illegible]

Recommendation of the [illegible] the whole [illegible] be proposed [illegible] has already been granted. H.G.

Character Bad.
Reduced from 3rd cl. Rev. Insp. & suspended for 6 mo. in 1879 for writing a false diary and not residing in his range. Again suspended for 12 mo. in 1882 for fraudulent misconduct in a Revenue sale.
Gratuity Earned Rs 180
Proposed Rs 160.

ORDER THEREON.

UNDER Sections 102 and 69(a) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Vakkalapalli Vatha Venkatarama Ayyar late Gumastah Deputy Tahsildar's Office [illegible] Madura District an invalid gratuity of Rupees (180 —) payable at Madura in consideration of his qualifying superior service of fourteen years, ten months and thirteen days

The delay in the submission of this application is unsatisfactory.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

" Collector of Madura.

G.O. No 241 of 84

Ln No 202

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Despd.

Recd.

G.O., 19th March/84 No. 241

Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs to V. S. Venkata-Rama Iyyar late Gumastah of Dy. Tahsildar's Office Usilampati Madura District -

[illegible]

13(

Cr: 17-3-84