

(1) Number of pages 34(2) Date of repair 1-6-42

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.G.O. No. 207~~Press~~
Mis.

Dated

8-3-18 84.Not to be folded or roughly handled

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Endorsement of the Secretary to
Government Public Works Department
dated 25 January 1884 N^o 192 C.
transferring petition from V. Sashan
Iyengar late Maramut Supt.
Pudukottah Estate and Sub. Engineer
P. W. D. dated 21st January 1884.

Order thereon 8 March 1884 N^o 207.

The Government decline to
reconsider the orders passed in
the petitioners case.

/ True Extract /

Adm. Secy.

Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To The P. W. Department, with papers
— Petitioner.

CHEPAUK,

No. 435

22nd Feb 1884

MEMORANDUM.

THE undermentioned documents are forwarded to the Pension Department,
with reference to ^{its memo} ~~his letter~~ No. 14 A, dated
dated 20th February 1884 -

P.W.D. Nos 279 of 18.7.81 and 1769
of 1.8.81, with original enclosures.
The return of these papers is requested.

(By Order.)

C. Unwin. Major

Lieut. Colonel, R.E.,

Acting Under Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To

The

Pension Department
with papers -

From 1884 ^{Accepted}
No. 184

From
The Under Secy to Govt
P.W.D.

Dated 22
25 } July 1884
No. 435.

Forwarding original
papers connected with
The case of Aloha Syngas
as called by memo 4-14 A 4.
20 July 1884.

For Secretary:
Papers showing cir-
cumstances asked for
were returned to
P.W.D. as requested.
v. their memo 204 C.
in G.O. 19. Aug. 1883
40424.

Shall they be
sent for again?

Yes
Draft memo
He

NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY.

Papers submitted
Facts summarized
in letter marked
with red tape - No
inquiry was made on
the accusations brought
against the applicant
of the strike, but the
D.D. was "discharged with
his services" i.e. dis-
missed him. - He is
not entitled therefore
to any pension. In
the Code, not even
a compensation pension
as his position was
abolished in a reorganization
of establishment see
also sec 51 + footnote.
Grant of pension would
require Secy of State's
sanction. ²¹

It was recently
ordered in Feb that

Dismissal from 1st
or 2nd service
should not affect
a man's pension
for State service
previously rendered
but it is not easy
to see what sort
of pension a man
so placed would be
entitled to be entitled
to.

Sill

No. 14 A.



Pension DEPARTMENT.

Official Memorandum.

Dated the 20 February 1884.
Fort St. George

The undersigned
is directed to request
that the Under Secretary
to Government Public
Works Department will
be good enough to forward
again for reference the
papers connected with
the case of Sub. Quereur
V. Slesha Senghar returned
to that Department with
Pensⁿ G.O. d/19 May 1883:424

/By order
of S. Loffan
Ag. Under Secy.

To The Under Secy to Govt. P.W. Dept.

Received 14th
Aug 2/84

No. 691 T.C.

Petition from Sesha Iyengar,
late Sub-Collector dated 31st July 1881.

Government having since settled
Sesha Iyengar's Case further Corres-
pondence is useless.

Signed/ J. Beatty.
Lieut. Col. R. G.

Lichampoly }
10th August 1881 }
Depd. 12th }

Superintending Engineer
3rd Circle

(True Copy)

No. 690. T.C.

Memo.

The Government having decided to grant V. Sasha Sygar late Sub. Quartermaster 3rd grade pension according to the rules, he is desired to send to this office his service Book and any other documents that he may have to enable this office to prepare his pension application.

Signed/ J. Beatty
Lieut. Col. A. &
Supt of Engineers 3rd Com.

Richmond
10th August 1888
Recd 12th.

/ True Copy /

SW 6
Too/14

in No 2

N^o 1769.

P. W. D. 50

Memorandum

V. Sasha Syengar is informed in
Continuation of Chief Engineer's Memo
No. 297 dated 18th July 1881, that his Claim
to pension will be disposed of under
ordinary rules.

(By Order)

Signed, John Penniquick
Major. R. E.

Chepauke
1st August 1884.

Under Secretary to Govt
A. P. W.

To

V. Sasha Syengar

(True Copy)

Public Works Department.

Memorandum

No 297.

V. Sashu Iyengar is informed
in reply to his petition of the 1st Janr 1881,
that on Consideration of the Circumstances
under which he left the Pudu Kottah Estate
the Chief Engineer declines to re-employ
him in the Public Works Department

(Signed) Laukey Colonel R.E.
Chief Engineer. P.W.D.

Copy 3
18th July 1881

To

V. Sashu Iyengar.

(True Copy)

To

C. W. W. MARTIN Esquire.
Political Agent

PUDUCOTTAH.

SIR,

With reference to Memo No. 808 T. C. of the superintending Engineer dated 6th January 1880 dispensing with my services on the charges brought against me in the Report of the Sirkele of Poodocottah I beg to submit the following explanation thereon. These charges are as follows:

- 1st. That my Estimates were always excessive.
- 2nd. That works were never properly executed by the Contractors though passed by me, that some of them began to tumble down or leak extensively within the 2nd year of their completion.
- 3rd. That the unusual scandal about the peculations practised in the Department seem too well founded and.
- 4th. That my behaviour was to my brother officials offensive, and treatment of Subordinates arbitrary.

1st. With regard to the first charge I beg to state that I can prove satisfactorily, that not a single Estimate exceeded in quantity and local rates which were invariably adhered to, by me. As a reference to the Estimates themselves will bear out my assertion, it is hardly necessary to allude to individual cases.

2nd. As to the 2nd charge I beg to submit that the object of each Estimate and the nature and extent of work intended by it was always borne in mind in the course of its execution, and only such work as was provided for in the Estimate has been accepted by me. This is also open to such check or scrutiny as may be considered necessary. As to the tumbling down and leakage of any work as stated above, I am not aware of any building constructed by me standing in the discreditable state described. In fact the work executed by me in connection with buildings were all petty repairs and slight improvements to Old Buildings, and these repairs were carried out to the extent and in the manner provided for in the Estimates. The only works constructed by me were the School House in the Town, and the Sub-Magistrate's Office at Veralimalay.

3rd. With regard to the 3rd point I beg to point out that the scandal in regard to Public Works is too general every where, and excessive especially in the opinion of a non-professional Department. The malpractices described could not have escaped enquiry and due notice, if a single instance were capable of being substantiated.

4th. In reference to the 4th point, I beg to state that the illfeeling entertained by certain officials in the state against me as an outsider gave rise to numerous Petitions and correspondence, which were finally settled by the then Political Agent Mr. Sewell. A reference to the correspondence will tend to show what amount of illfeeling against me, I had to contend with in the performance of my duties. Apart from affording my explanation against the accusations brought against me, I respectfully beg to state that the former Sirkele who continued in office till August 1878, had been passing my rates in Estimates with regard to the local standing rates in the State. If a single deviation was found, it would not have stood any length of time, and the wilful and ungrounded Complaints made against me from time to time were proved on enquiry to be false and vexatious. To give complete effect to the illfeeling against me, a false Report was made by the Deputy Carbar in 1877, based on the information received from his Gomastah that a certain building on which expenditure was incurred by me, came to the ground no sooner it was reported complete. This was sent to me by the Sirkele for immediate explanation. Alarmed at this Report I hastened to the spot to see what had happened to the Building and whether any malefactors were working at its destruction. On inspection seeing that the building stood intact I immediately took with me the Police Head Quarter Inspector and pointed out the building out to him with a request to cause a watch to be placed over it for the purpose of checking any attempts at its ruin.

5th. Having made a detailed Report of the above circumstances to the Political Agent, that Gentleman accompanied by the Police Superintendent came and inspected the building and finding that some evil minded persons were at the bottom of these charges, strictly warned the Sirkele against noticing such ungrounded Complaints and allowing such irregularities to be carried on in the state. Had there been a grain of truth in the complaints, I would have been sacked altogether and my escape would have been very narrow.

6th. When my term of 2 years service had expired, I intimated my wish to revert to my post in the Trichinopoly District D. P. W. or in case of remaining at Poodocottah that my services should be taken into account for Pension. The Sirkele backed my request with the recommendation that my pay may be raised to Rupees 80 or 85 and that my service may be counted towards Pension. The Political Agent afterwards ordered that a contribution should be paid according to the Pension Code, which I have done up to date so as to entitle me to Pension according to the Order of Government No. 279 dated 27th May 1878.

7th. My opponents finding their hopes thus frustrated, were seeking an opportunity to make further attempts and on the appointment of a new Sirkele resumed their complaints and misrepresentations and when these were countenanced by one or two principal officials in the State, it is not surprising that they should produce the desired results. Charges of excessive measurements were made and certain men were ordered to pick holes in my proceedings. With the view of obtaining favors several of my subordinates volunteered to undervalue my works. To enter into details would only entail much labor in writing, which I avoid because it could be stated personally, when I am called upon to account for my measurements and the nature of my works. To refer to a single instance I would beg to point out the School Building 19728 Cubic feet of Brick in Chunam was passed by me, while the undervaluation amounted to 19518 Cubic feet being a net difference of 210 against 19728 Cubic feet or 1 per cent how such a percentage of difference could possibly have been calculated is more than one could conceive and it is next to useless to explain against such glaring attempts at my ruin. On the contrary I beg to submit for your consideration that I am quite prepared to offer satisfactory explanation, should the accuracy of my measurements be called in question.

8th. Moreover the opinion of a professional Department should have been resorted to or my explanation should have been consulted in settling the results of a Departmental matter; instead of this an order was sent to me dispensing with my services in the State and reminding me to my former appointment for the causes stated therein. If this were intended as a punishment, I should have been allowed a fair opportunity of explaining matters, for which if it were not in a Native State. I should have been allowed every advantage. This order from a superior being peremptory I had no alternative but to obey and give over charge.

9th. The Political Agent Mr. Pennington having recommended in 1874 that the execution of Public Works in the State should be entrusted to a responsible official by the creation of the appointment of Maramut Superintendent at the Head of a Separate Department owing to frauds committed by Maistry Vencatachala Iyer, under the Supervision of the Revenue Department for which, he was dismissed the service and declared ineligible for re-employment, as well as Maistry Govindasawmy Naidoo, I was selected for the appointment by the Political Agent on the recommendation of the District Engineer.

10th. The administration Reports sent in by the Political Agent for Fuslies 1285, 86, 87 verify the manner in which I have been discharging my duties in the state, and it is now a matter beyond the reach of comprehension, how this state of things could have turned into a total change, so suddenly, in contrast with past results and that in so short a time how these charges and complaints could have gained the confidence of the Sirkele. I leave to your worthy consideration to determine.

11th. I also beg respectfully to state that the individuals whose re-employment was prohibited by the former Political Agent and who concocted the complaints against me, have been reentertained on higher salaries by the present Sirkele. From the foregoing I trust I have sufficiently explained to show that I am free of the accusations against me, and that I have been hardly dealt with, so that my claim to pension has been affected.

12th. Some time after having joined the D. P. W. in the Trichinopoly District I received an order from the Superintending Engineer dispensing with my services as Sub-Overseer, referring to the Sirkele's letter and refusing to admit my claim to pension.

Even under the G. O. ordering reductions in the D. P. W. which points out the class of men to be dispensed with, men reported in competent and for misconduct, are to be removed with such pension, or gratuity as they may be entitled to.

13th. I beg to point out to your honor that my employment in the Poodocottah state was not of my own seeking, as I was very unwilling to go there, and was recommended by the late Colonel Vertue to the then Political Agent Mr. H. Swell. When I applied for 2 years leave and one year was granted on the recommendation of Mr. Sewell, another year's leave was afterwards granted to me, when Mr. Sewell recommended my continued employment to the Government, and that the prescribed contributions should be taken from my salary to entitle me to pension. During the whole period of 41 months I was employed, several complaints were set up against me by evil minded persons, none of which were proved, nor was I called upon for explanation in respect of any one of them, and have brought the same from time to time to the notice of Mr. Sewell officially and demi officially, which are in the Records of your Honor's Office:

Under the above circumstances I most humbly pray your Honor will strongly recommend my restoration to the Superintending Engineer, or should that not be possible owing to the recent reductions that I may be recommended for Such Pension as I may be entitled to.

TRICHINOPOLY, }
12th March 1880. }

I beg to remain,
Your most Obedient and humble Servant.

(Signed)

V. SASHA IYENGAR,

LATE SUPERINTENDANT D. P. W.

Poodocottah.

/ True Copy /

Trichinopoly June 1831.

To

The Chief Engineer and
Secretary to Government P. W. D.

MADRAS.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF V. SASHA IYENGAR LATK
SUPERINTENDANT OF MARAMUTH WORKS
OF PUDUCOTTAH TERRITORY.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

That your humble Petitioner served Government in different capacities viz: those of apprentice, Maistry, Clerk and Sub Overseer in the Department Public Works for a period of nearly 20 years, till June 1876, when owing to the want of an efficient Agency for the Supervision of the Maramuth-works in the Pudukottah State, Petitioner's services were transferred over to that State and he had been appointed Maramuth Superintendent from 1st July 1876 on the selection of the then Political Agent Mr. Sewell, on the recommendation of Colonel Verture District Engineer.

2. Petitioner during the 2nd year of his service in the State was recommended by the late Sirkele for increased pay and allowances and his services were recommended to count towards Pension with a view to secure his employment as a permanent measure. Government in their order No. 279 dated 27th May 1878 sanctioned under the Civil Pension Rules payment of a contribution of $\frac{1}{4}$ of his salary which your humble Petitioner continued paying to the Political Agent's Office till October 1879.

3. Petitioner's appointment to a responsible position in the Pudukottah State, having kindled feelings of envy and aversion towards him in the minds of several malicious Natives of the place including officials, they spared no exertions in bringing false complaints against him in bitter terms which after minute enquiries by the Political Agent Mr. Sewell were found to be false.

4. That during the absence on Furlough of Mr. Sewell, Petitioner's opponents at Pudukottah taking advantage of the arrival of a new Sirkele renewed their misrepresentations as usual in bitterest terms. These after a time gaining the confidence of the Sirkele, he was chiefly influenced by them as reliable sources of information.

5. The present Sirkele sent in a report No 337 dated 27th October 1879 to the Political Agent, informing him that Petitioner's services being no longer required, he will be remanded to his appointment in the Trichinopoly District Department Public Works. Petitioner likewise received an order from the Sirkele in October 1879 wherein it was stated that his services were transferred to the Trichinopoly Department Public Works with the addition of the remark that his services in the State have been unsatisfactory.

6. Your humble Petitioner, who had been long kept annoyed with complaints against him being unwilling to remain in the State, did not wait there to explain himself, but immediately made over charge of his duties and reported himself to the Superintending Engineer Trichinopoly for orders.

7. Your poor Petitioner who, in the first instance was allowed to resume his duties in the Department Public Works was, within a week of his resuming charge, dispensed the service by the Superintending Engineer Trichinopoly on account of a reference made to the Sirkele. This, it has to be observed, happened when the Scheme of Department Public Work's reductions was in its fullest height and since then, each reference made to the Sirkele brought in fresh matter accusing your poor Petitioner on each occasion who, it is to be deeply regretted, was not, even on a single opportunity, called upon to explain.

8. Petitioner's appeal to the Political Agent dated 12th March 1880, in which he applied for recommendation for Pension, appealing against the charges imputed to him in the Sirkele's letter No. 449 dated 3rd December 1879 (copy enclosed), is so fully explanatory in itself and supported by sufficient documentary evidence that a due and just consideration thereof will doubtless lead any body to judge that your humble petitioner is entirely free and far from blame, whilst the charges, imputed to him in the Sirkele's letter, were nothing but the result of strong conspired malicious Natives at his ruin keenly implanted in the Sirkele's confidence by his informants; for if a single instance were worth a fact or capable of being

substantiated, it is highly improbable that your humble petitioner would have been quietly and smoothly remanded to his appointment in the Department Public Works as stated above, in the face of such untiring complaints contrived at by his opponents.

9. Petitioner's memorial of appeal passed between the Office of the Political Agent and that of the Superintending Engineer for some time and lastly the latter Officer, independently of any explanations from petitioner, held as his opinion trusting to the Sirkele's position that the accusations contained in the Sirkele's letter were in themselves sufficient to constitute a refusal of reinstatement and pension, without going a step into the case to see whether petitioner may have been in blame and consider the weight of the charges against him. Your poor petitioner, owing to want of due enquiry and proper consideration, is thus kept out of justice and he is in consequence destined to contend against hardships which have been nothing but the result of unwillingness on the part of the authorities to enter into the case. The Superintending Engineer in January 1881 gave petitioner an endorsement to the effect that his claims for re-employment or pension would be considered, should the Political Agent exonerate him from blame, whilst the latter officer states that he sees no reason to interfere, thus leaving the poor petitioner at a loss to understand how he is to meet his object in these confusing state of opinions, and he sees no alternative but to address Government for a redress of his grievances.

10. It must be admitted that petitioner's appointment to the State was not one of his voluntary seeking, that during the period of his service in the State he secured a claim on Government for pension by the payment of a contribution and that he also held a lien, on his Department Public Works appointment during the period of his probation in Pudukottah.

11. The points to be considered and determined are:

Firstly.—Whether petitioner has been really liable to blame in Pudukottah and

Secondly.—Whether blame, should any happen to be established would affect his resuming his appointment in the Department Public Works and debar him from claiming pension.

12. With regard to the First point petitioner has nothing else to refer to than a full investigation into the merits of the case.

13. As to the second it is entirely beyond all Law to refuse both appointment and pension as will be seen from the following.

14. Government in 18-4-75 in their proceedings in the Department Public Works have passed orders allowing re-employment of dismissed servants by officers vested with the power of appointments.

15. Government also in their proceedings of September 1879 authorising Department Public Works reductions have included, in the Class of men to be pensioned, men reported incompetent and for misconduct.

16. These with other liberal provisions made by a just and kind Government encourage your poor petitioner in his dependance on Government that his claims for pension will not be overlooked.

17. Petitioner's claim to his appointment in the Department Public Works is no way effected even after the Sirkele's policy for if it should the Sirkele would not have been justified when appointing one Ponniah Moodelyar to be petitioner's successor. This individual who was Taluq Overseer of Munnargoody in Tanjore District was dismissed with a Criminal prosecution on grave charges but latterly got into the Sirkele's favor on account of which the vacancy was kept open to suit his interests as a special case. Petitioner here begs to submit that his case, even as it stands at present taking all blame to him, is no way inferior to that of the Sirkele's selection and it becomes a matter for consideration why petitioner should have been hardly dealt with by the authorities both in and out of Pudukottah.

It must be observed that petitioner was neither dismissed by the Sirkele nor by the Superintending Engineer. A report, the details of which have not been gone into with petitioner and decided upon, is, in strict policy insufficient and barely unjust to deprive petitioner of the many advantages he had by a long and faithful service secured under Government and the smooth manner in which his connection with the Public Service has been cut off is a point for the special consideration of Government.

Petitioner during the whole period of his service in the Department Public Works had secured the full approval and satisfaction of all the officers he had served for a considerable length of time and

the experts opinion with the one sided view taken of petitioner by the Sirkele during his short experience and official relation with petitioner as concurred with by the Political Agent and Superintending Engineer contrasts materially with petitioner's interests and past results and petitioner's claim, to pension therefore however strong the presumptions to the contrary may be in the light of the Sirkele's complaints which have yet to be decided upon by a competent authority, is as valid as it could be expected in as much as even a single charge is incapable of being substantiated and the more so for the special reason that his length of service in the Pudukottah State has been qualified for pension under G. O. 279.

Petitioner's short comings in Pudukottah if any should find a place in him should be considered to have lapsed with the deprivation of his services in that State and the double punishment imposed on him viz. that of cutting off his services from the State as well as forbidding him from resuming his duties in the Department Public Works under the British Government and refusing him Pension for service rendered is against all equity and justice.

Petitioner in conclusion respectfully begs to submit his humble opinion that it is for the Government to protect his interests for having lent over his services to a Native state which he has rendered there to the best of his ability and integrity as borne out by the administration reports of the state for Fuslies 1285, 1286, 1287.

Under these circumstances your aggrieved petitioner respectfully claims the due patronage and just consideration of Government and humbly solicits that he may be either granted the pension he is entitled to or allowed to resume his appointment in the Trichinopoly Department Public Works which is not yet permanently filled up Petitioner for the above justice and mercy shall as induty bound.

EVER PRAY.

ENCLOSURES.

Superintending Engineers Endorsement No. 1,332

Political Agents Do. do. 32

Sirkeles letter No. 449

Copy of appeal dated

12th March 1880 to the

Political Agent

Petitioner respectfully begs to remain

Your most Obedient and humble Servant

P. Sacha Sanyal
LATE SUPERINTENDENT.
Pudukottah State

/ True Copy /

8/1
10/10/12

In N-2

21st January 1884. 20

The Chief Engineer and
Secretary to Government P.W.D.
Madras

Most honored Sir.

With due respect and sub-
mission I (V. Saka Sengar late Maramu
Superintendent, Pudukottah Estate and Sub-
Overseer D. P. W. Trichinopoly) beg to submit
the few following lines for your kind consideration
in the hope that justice may be rendered me.

Enclosures Six
Copy of the
Memorandum
No. 1769 P.W.D.
Chief Engineer
Memorandum No. 297-1
Copy of the petition
to the Chief Engineer
1 Copy to Govt.
P.W.D. of 14 June 1881-1
to the Political
Agent Pudukottah
Superintending
Engineering Memo
No. 694 T.C. 1
No. 2069 T.C. 1
total 6

Having submitted my pension
papers in accordance with Memorandum P.W.D.
No. 1769 dated 1st August 1881 and Chief Engineer
Memo. No. 297 dated 18th July 1881 being disposed
on my petition dated 1st June 1881 (Copies enclosed)
I have at last on the 6th December 1883 been
furnished by the Executive Engineer Trichinopoly
with a copy of G.O. Pension Department No. 1224
dated 19th May 1883 refusing me the allowance I
am entitled to.

The refusal seems entirely based
on the opinions of Financial or Account Officers
of Government who could only confine themselves
to the strict interpretation of the rules in as
much as coming before them apart from any
administrative details or difficulties which
are not the points for them to go into.

The points urged upon in my
petition dated 1st June 1881 were:—
first:— to allow me to revert to my appointment
of

of Sub-Overseer D.P.W. Trichinopoly on which
I held my Lien; or

Secondly: — As an alternative measure looking
to the then pressing D.P.W. reductions that I
may be granted the pension or gratuity due
for my length of service.

To the first point, the Chief Engineer in his
Memo No. 297 declined to re-employ me; while
to the second point the Under Secretary to
Government D.P.W.D. in his Memorandum No. 1769
informed me that my claim to pension will be
disposed of and the pension papers were accordingly
sent and this to my great regret is now refused
on the Construction put on the Chief Engineer's
Memo No. 297 which serves but a reiteration
of the unsettled opinions of Mofussil Officers
against which I put in my petition to you
of 1st June 1881.

I now beg most respectfully to
bring to your notice that if the Chief Engineer's
Memo No. 297 meant my dismissal the
Memorandum No. 1769 calling for my pension
papers virtually cancels it. If it did not,
according to the Construction put upon by the
Accountant General on which the G.O. in the
Pension Department was based, I humbly
beg to state that the case was not at all refused
in its merits by any authorities either in the
Mofussil or in the Presidency.

Under these circumstances
I respectfully beg that you will be pleased
to re-open the case in its merits and hold
Such

Such inquiries as shall seem necessary in
consideration of the points and explanations
advanced by me in my former petitions (Copies
enclosed) with a view to establish any fault
on sufficient and solid grounds, or in case
my humble interpretation of the Chief Engineer's
Memo No. 297 (that it was not intended for
dismissal) is accepted, that you will be
graciously pleased to move the Government
in the Pension Department for a reconsid-
eration of my case so as to allow me the boon
I am entitled to, and to which I have
established a claim by virtue of my service
Conduct and Character.

For which act of Justice
I shall as in duty bound,
ever pray.

I beg to remain
Your most obedient & humble servant

J. Sathayengar
Late Marumut Superintendent
Pudukottah Estate
& Sub-Overseer D.P.W.
Trichinopoly.

Micro. 600

Nov 23/84
Ex 6

In No 12

312

No 192 C

P. W. Dept.

Petition from V. Sashu Syengar, late
Maramut Supt. Kudukottah Estate &
Sub overseer P. W. D. d/21st January/84.

Transferred to the Pension
Department, with remark that so
far as the P. W. Department are con-
-cerned there are, in the opinion
of this Department, no grounds for
reopening the Petitioner's case.

Munotumut
Col. R. B.

Chepath
25th January 1884

Secretary to Govt. P. W. D.

To
The Pension Dept.

L. S.

82. W-424/17-5-83

82. W-570d/30-6-83.

1884.

Public Works Department.

N^o 82

No. 192C

Dated 25-1-84

Despatched

Received

Order 8-*March 1884*
The Govt decline to *consider*
the order passed in
the petitioner's case.

To the P.W. Dept. with papers
Noti.

8-3-84

It was refused *because*
on account of his having
been virtually dismissed from
P.W.D. in transferring papers
the P.W.D. see no ground
to reopen the case.

Hd.

It was already dismissed by
the P.W.D. & appears
P. Chief Engineer confirmed
the order.

Hd.

M. M. S. S.

SUBJECT.
Transferring *letter*
for disposal petition
from V. Sesha Iyengar
late Sub-Overseer, P.W.D.
with remarks

Water order 207
904