

(1) Number of pages

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1478	~~Press~~ Mis.
Dated	20.12.	1884

Not to be folded or roughly handled

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL
MADRAS.

May it please Your Excellency:—

The humble Memorial submitted by Anantiengar,
late Record Keeper of the District Court, Madura.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:—

1. That Your Excellency's humble Memorialist was in service in the Judicial Department from July 1847 to 19th July 1884, for a period extending over 37 years, of which about 13 years count as inferior service and 23 years, 5 months and 4 days count as superior service.

2. That the Memorialist on completing his sixtyth year represented the matter to E. Turner Esquire, the then Acting District Judge who recommended for a further retention of 10 months which was sanctioned by Your Gracious Excellency.

3. That the said period having expired away on the 19th July 1884, the Memorialist put in an application to the same Judge, either to recommend to the Government for $\frac{1}{2}$ pension as a special case in consideration of a long period of inferior service, or for sanction for further retention till the full term of 25 years could be completed.

4. That the Memorialist on being assured of such a recommendation by the District Judge put in an application with an alternative prayer that, if as a special case, $\frac{1}{2}$ pension could not be granted, the Memorialist may be allowed a special concession of further retention.

5. That the Memorialist now to his utter disappointment, learns by Your Excellency's Order of 5th November 1884, No. 1219, Pension, that, irrespective of the gracious concession of Your Excellency to condone a period of one year, out of the inferior service as per clause (a), Section 124, 6 months and 25 days is wanting to complete the full period of 25 years that the Memorialist may be entitled to $\frac{1}{2}$ Pension.

6. That the Memorialist begs to submit that the ever gracious Rule of the Government that the Heads of Department cannot compel their Ministerial Officers to retire, should their retention in service for some months more, entitle them to a substantial Pension may be graciously applied in the sympathetic case of this Memorialist, who after a care worn service of 37 years is apprized that 5 months' and 25 days' deficiency, retards him from claiming $\frac{1}{2}$ Pension.

7. That the retirement of this Memorialist was not brought about by any physical or mental incapacity can be fully seen, should a reference be made either to the present District Judge or to a professional Medical Officer to ascertain if the Memorialist's retention in service for a further period of 6 months and 25 days, that the Memorialist may be entitled to $\frac{1}{2}$ Pension, cannot be a matter of inconvenience to the public service.

It is therefore most humbly and respectfully prayed that Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to direct the further retention in service of the Memorialist for a period of six months and twenty five days that the humble Memorialist may be entitled to $\frac{1}{2}$ Pension, as a gracious and benevolent consideration for his 37 years' long service with no censure or reprimand from any of his superiors, which his Testimonials herewith submitted manifest.

For which act of charity and benevolence,
Your Excellency's Most humble Memorialist
shall ever pray.

6th December 1884.

Anantiengar

F. Pandey
Handwritten

By 10-12-87



F. Pandey
Handwritten

By 10-12-86

I
Ananta Iyengar the 5th Goomastah in the District Moonsiff's Court of Shevagunga, is an able and laborious public Servant and deserving of promotion and what is of yet more consequence his probity and ingratiation with his brother servants and the District Moonsiff is very glad to recommend him to any officer who shall require a confidential public servant.
Shevagungah Moonsiff's Court.
April 5th 1859. (Signed) STREENIVASA ROW,
District Moonsiff.

II
I have great pleasure in bearing testimony to the diligence, activity and zeal displayed by Ananta Iyengar 5th Rayasam during the 9 months he served under me. His well known honesty and close application to his duty will not fail to raise him to higher offices in the service of Government.
21st March 1860.
(Signed) G. MUTHUSAWMY CHETTY,
District Moonsiff of Shevagungah.
Now Sub Judge of Tranquebar

III
Translation
I have much pleasure in certifying that Ananta Iyengar and Rayasam of the Dindigal moonsiff's Court is a servant of long experience in public business, possesses a good apprehension of the merits of

Ep. No 1219 d/5-11-84.
— — 984 d/19-9-84.

1891
Prison 1884 Wapiti
N. 1764.

From

Inam Tengur

Late Head Keeper Western
Court Madras.

No.

Dated 6th Dec 1884

Received 10 —

Enclosures

Encl

SUBJECT.

Praying to remit him in
service for 6 months and necessary
to entitle him to a 1/2 pension
which he would have been
granted had it not been for
the further mentioned circumstances
that attended his case.

For

With Endorsement

Ep. No 20th Dec 1884 N. 1478

The petitioner's request
cannot be complied
with. 984

Wt.

He was over 65 years
of age when he re-
turned. 984

Ep. No 20-12-84

I

Ananta Iyengar the 5th Goomastah in the District Moonsiff's Court of Shevagunga, is an able and laborious public Servant and deserving of promotion and what is of yet more consequence his probity and ingratiating with his brother servants and the District Moonsiff is very glad to recommend him to any officer who shall require a confidential public servant.

Shevagungah Moonsiff's Court.

April 5th 1859. (Signed) STREENIVASA ROW,
District Munsiff.

II

I have great pleasure in bearing testimony to the diligence, activity and zeal displayed by Ananta Iyengar 5th Rayasam during the 9 months he served under me. His well known honesty and close application to his duty will not fail to raise him to higher offices in the service of Government.
21st March 1860.

(Signed) G. MUTHUSAWMY CHETTY,
District Munsiff of Shevagungah.
Now Sub Judge of Tranquebar)

III

Translation

I have much pleasure in certifying that Ananta Iyengar and Rayasam of the Dindigal moonsiff's Court is a servant of long experience in public business, possesses a good apprehension of the merits of

cases and, is trust worthy. I have been satisfied with his diligence and conduct during his employment in this Court. His honest, upright, and agreeable conduct will lead the officers, under whom he may serve, to testify, with pleasure, to his fitness for further promotion.

29th January 1861.

(Signed) RENGACHARY,
District Muasif.

IV

Ananta Iyengar, I consider a very superior public officer, very steady and intelligent I believe strictly upright. I promoted him to the Head Goomastah ship of the Civil Court, a post he is peculiarly fitted for. It is to be regretted that the salary is so small, for it would be unfair to keep him from promotion which he merits.

(Signed) R. R. COTTON,
Civil and Sessions Judge.

V

A very Zealous and efficient public servant.
28th January 1865.

(Signed) C. R. PELLY,
Acting Civil Judge.

VI

I have been quite satisfied with the manner Ananta Iyengar has performed his duty both on

this occasion and last year when I was in charge of Civil Court. He is very quick and intelligent and manifests an especial aptitude for Civil business.

27th April 1865.

(Signed) J. D. GOLDINGHAM,
Acting Civil Judge.

VII

Though Criminal Jevobnavis, I found him doing the duties of Civil Jevobnavis on my taking charge in April. He is quick, active and painstaking. I feel sure he would obtain promotion quicker, if he were only to apply himself to learning a little English.

21st October 1865.

(Signed) C. N. POCHIN,
Acting Civil Judge.

VIII

I called this officer from Dindigal [Moonsiffs] Court and found him so sharp and intelligent that I made him Head Goomastah and subsequently to the extra pay Head Jevobnavis. I consider him the most efficient Subordinate officer in the Civil and peculiarly for the Head Goomastah. He has a very retentive memory and thoroughly acquainted with Civil office work. I believe him to be honest I have never heard a word against him. He unfortunately does not know English and has not passed U. C. S. Examination. He is

however, a most efficient officer and I think he will get 50 Rs. at least in the new arrangement of the Judicial Salaries. I have put him down for the Nazirship when it might be next vacant.
6th April 1867.

(Signed) R. R. COTTON,
Civil and Session Judge.

IX

Ananta Iyengar seems to make himself useful in his post by care and assuidity.
3rd July 1868.

(Signed) E. C. G. THOMAS.
Civil and Session Judge.

X

Ananta Iyengar has shewn the greatest diligence and considerable intelligence in superintending the Miscellaneous Petition Department
23rd September 1868.

(Signed) G. R. SHARPE,
Civil and Session Judge.

XI

Ananta Iyengar is an old servant of many years standing, during which period he has always borne the best character for diligence and integrity. He is a valuable as an aid to the Sheristadar and the only reason why he failed in getting promotion to the post of Nazir vacant two years ago was his not having passed the prescribed

examination. Notwithstanding this, it was my firm intention to recommend him specially to Government on the next vacancy as irrespective of his not knowing English. I consider him well qualified for the post I generally select this servant when any Commission has to be sent out that requires care in its execution.

19th October 1872.

(Signed) J. D. GOLDINGHAM,
Civil and Session Judge.

Ananta aiyengar has since been made civil Record Keeper and continued to bear his previous high character

30-7-75

*[Signed] F. H. Woodhoff
Ag. S. Judge*

So far as I have seen Ananta aiyengar seems to have done his duty well

14-12-75

*[Signed] W. H. P. P. P.
Ag. S. Judge*

Ananta anyenger is
trust worthy, and
intelligent but writes
badly and knows
no English. He is a
very deserving old
servant but it is
nearly time for him
to retire.

And so on 82. Sig. P. P. Mitchell

Dist. of Jud.

Sp. No 1219 d/5-11-84.
— 984 a/19-9-84.

¹⁰¹
London 1884 Deptt
N. 1764.

From

Pranlungun

Late Road Keeper District
Court Madras.

Sub. 20- Decr 84 N. 1478
The petitioner's request
cannot be complied
with. 581

Wt.

He was over 65 years
of age when he re-
tired. 581

18 Dec 20-12-84

No.

Dated 6- Decr 1884

Received 10 —

Enclosures

1

SUBJECT.

Praying to reinstate him in
Service for 6 months & add necessary
to entitle him to a $\frac{1}{2}$ pension
which he would have been
granted had it not been for
the further mentioned circumstances
that attended his case.

For

With Endorsement