M.R.O. 1—77,036—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 58

(2) Date of repair 27.6.42

(3) Initials of the Reference

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1169 Press / Mis.

Dated 22 X 1884

Not to be folded or roughly handled

22ND OCTOBER 1884, No. 1169, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the District Judge, Kurnool, dated 15th August 1884, No. 12.

Certificate and report from W. T. PIERCY, Esq., Assistant Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Fort St. George, 3rd October 1884, No. A.D.J.-400.

Certified that should Government be pleased to admit the period of service from 1st October 1873 to 31st March 1875 on the strength of the explanation furnished by the District Munsif in his letter, No. 120, dated 13th September 1884, and of the evidence of the applicant's contemporary employés, qualifying service in inferior grades will be duly proved for 10 years 6 months and 11 days, and that an invalid gratuity not exceeding Rs. 8, equal to four months' pay multiplied by the fraction $\frac{1}{4}$, will be admissible under sections 106, 121 (*a*), and rule 4 to section 121 of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition).

2. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Judge in his reply to this office letter, No. A.D.K.-110, dated 1st September 1884.

3. In accordance with rule 4, under section 134, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to section 69 of the code.

ORDER—dated 22nd October 1884, No. 1169, Pension.

Under sections 106, 121 (*a*), and rule 4 to section 121 of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Hidayat Alí, late process peon, Nandyál Munsif's Court, an invalid gratuity of Rs. (8) eight, equal to four months' pay multiplied by the fraction $\frac{1}{4}$, payable at Nandyál, Kurnool district, in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 10 years 6 months and 11 days.

(True Extract.)

E. S. LAFFAN,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
" District Judge, Kurnool.
" Collector of Kurnool.

Exd. C. Howarth.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 22nd October 1884, No. 1169.

OCTOBER.

Gratuity.

Granting an invalid — of Rs. 8 to Hidayat Ali, late process peon, Nandyál Munsif's Court, Kurnool.

To be returned with Pet.

R. R I C E. 30 SEP 84

A. D. K.

No. 1374 of 84

Ag. 4 months
pay at Rs 6 = 24 - - -

10
30) 240 (8 Rs. 4 as
200

Rs

To be returned with reply

PRICE.

PENSION DEPARTMENT,
VERIFICATION BRANCH.

No. A. D. K. 110

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
dated the 1st August 1884
1st September

From W. F. Blagrave Esqr: Acting

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE A.G. District Judge,
Chingleput.

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for ~~pension~~ gratuity on behalf of late Mrs. Hannah Thomas the late Sweeper attached to the Police Office Tuticorin dated 15th July 1884.

1 M. Lazarus Abby
2 R. Johnson Pillai
3 A. Gullaiah
4 C. Nicholas Smith
5 R. Simon Smith

I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for want of Nos. 2, 7, 9, 16, 21, 25, 34, 35 and 36.

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

Assistant to the Accountant-General,

for Accountant-General.

---

1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.

2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records (such as Pay Bills, Acquittance Rolls and Leave Statements) from which the applicant's services have been verified. Verification by the Accountant General is not sufficient

3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the 3rd page of the application herewith returned.

4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence including privilege leave enjoyed by the applicant.

5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on and for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.

6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.

7. Signature of the ~~Applicant~~ Head of the Office in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book. Mr. Blagrave

8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's suspension / dismissal in and of a Last Pay Certificate / Service Book.

9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly 4 months / years in the submission of the application.

10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the

11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.

12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of days / months, the applicant having ceased to do duty on

13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his pension / gratuity during his lifetime; if so, when he applied and when he died.

14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points:—

(a) Whether the applicant was in *permanent* employ of Government.

(b) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

16. Information as to whether the appointment of in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from to was *fully* earned, and whether any person, save the applicant, counted service in it during that period.

17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.

18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.

19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.

20. Certificate to the effect that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, *vide* Rule 3, Section 103 of the Civil Pension Code.

✕ 21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.

22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from to was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.

23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the Annual Return / Audit Register for on record in this Office.

24. Exhibition in detail of the dates, months and years of applicant's various appointments, promotions, &c., on the 2nd page of the application. The number of days should be reckoned at 30 days in a month.

✕ 25. Translation into English of the Vernacular entries in the service book. [illegible] accompanying

26. Information as to the substantive appointment held by the applicant at the time he was entering as

27. Information as to whether on re-employment the applicant refunded the gratuity received by him; if so, please mention the date of such refund.

28. Pension application with erasures cannot be accepted. Forward fresh application.

29. Transmission of an abstract statement duly certified showing the nature of records, which are relied upon for verification of the service claimed from

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant voluntarily accepted the post of in his own interest, or his services were transferred in the interests of the public service by competent authority. In the latter case please forward a copy of such authority.

31. Explanation as to whether the applicant was transferred to Foreign Service in in the manner laid down in the C. P. Code, if so, please furnish a copy of the order of Government transferring his services under as and state the amount of contribution he has paid during this period.

32. The service of the applicant from to does not appear in the records of this Office. State whether he held any other appointment and from what fund he was paid, or explain the cause of the omission of his name.

33. Reconciliation or explanation of the discrepancy between the dates of termination of the applicant's service as shown in the application and in the Last Pay Certificate.

— 34. The age of the applicant is under 55 years. Ask the Examining Medical Officer to give detailed reasons for invaliding him and furnish your own special explanation of the grounds on which it is proposed to invalid him. See Rule 2 under Sec 19 of the Civil Pension Code 5th Edition.

✕ 35. Explanation as to which of the following statements is correct in this case. Service Book of the 5 Applicant Imam Sahib is stated to have been absent without leave from 9th December 1880 to 6th January 1881 while in the 2nd page of the application he is shown to have been on leave without allowances from that period.

✕ 36. Information as to why the several periods of authorized leave enjoyed by the 1, 3 & 4th Applicants were rejected as non-qualifying while they all consist from vacation under Section 9 of the Civil Pension Code 5th Edition.

L. F. 1374

District Court of Kurnool

No. 6 ... 20th September 1884

From

The Ag. District Judge,

Kurnool

To

The Assistant Secretary,

Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith (with all its enclosures) your letter No. 110 dated 14th September 1884 calling for some information regarding the applications for gratuity on behalf of 5 Ahlahi Proceedings of the District Munsiffs' Courts of Nandigal named in the margin and to state that the Munsiff's letter No. 120 dated 13th instant forwarded in original, contains all the information required by you.

With reference however to Govt Lr No 27 I have to say that the District Judge is not the Head of the office in which the applicants for gratuity were employed. The District Munsiff is the

1 Hidayat Ally.
2 Yoosoffan
3. Pallayan
4 Buddensaib
5. Imam saib.

Head of the office and his signature appears in all the Service Books in the appropriate columns.

3. As regards the explanation called for in Fool-kaling, some of the applicants were thrown out of employment on the 14th April and the others were invalided on the 11th & 14th idem. The Courts in the district were closed for the Summer vacation on the 12th and the District Court re-opened after the recess on the 16th June. The Service Books of the applicants were forwarded to you for verification of their Services on the 19th June and since then some correspondence has been going on between this and the Munsifs Courts and your office, and thus you will see that there has been no unnecessary delay in the matter.

4. I beg also to forward for submission to Government another application for gratuity on behalf of another Process Server of the same Court, named Fakhr-mian, as some information relating to this application is to be found in the Munsifs letter and the contemporary evidence were submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Asg. District Judge.

M. Jr
Asst C

DISTRICT JUDGE'S OFFICE
SYLHET

To be returned with final application

PENSION DEPARTMENT. PORT SAINT GEORGE,
ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE,
8TH JULY 1904.

NO. 116/158

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
HAVANA.

TO

THE ACTING DISTRICT JUDGE,
KUSKOOL.

SIR,

IN RETURNING THE SERVICE BOOKS OF THE 5 INDIVIDUALS

1. HIDAYET ALLY, NOTED IN THE MARGIN, LATE PROCESS SERVERS IN
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible] THE DISTRICT MUNSIFF'S COURT, MANDVAL, RECEIVED
4. [illegible]
5. [illegible] FOR VERIFICATION WITH YOUR LETTER NO.6 DATED

10TH JUNE 1904. I HAVE THE HONOR TO STATE THAT THE SERVICES FROM 1895 AS DETAILED THEREIN HAVE BEEN VERIFIED FROM THE ANNUAL RETURNS ON RECORD IN THIS OFFICE AND FOUND TO BE CORRECT.-

2. WHEN SUBMITTING THE FINAL APPLICATIONS FOR GRATUITY ON BEHALF OF THESE INDIVIDUALS, THE APPROXIMATE DATE OF BIRTH OF EACH APPLICANT, IF THE EXACT DATE IS NOT KNOWN, SHOULD BE GIVEN IN THE 1ST PAGE OF THE APPLICATION AND ATTENTION PAID TO SECTION 107 OF THE CIVIL PENSION CODE, 5TH EDITION.-

3. IN ALL THE ACCOMPANYING SERVICE BOOKS THE SIGNATURES OF THE HEAD OF THE OFFICE (THE DISTRICT JUDGE) AND OF THE APPLICANTS ARE OMITTED IN THE 1ST AND 2ND PAGES THEREOF RESPECTIVELY AND IN SOME OF THEM THE DATE AND REASON OF TERMINATION OF APPOINTMENTS ARE NOT SHEWN. PLEASE SUPPLY THE OMISSION WHEN RETURNING THEM ALONG WITH THE FINAL APPLICATIONS.-

I HAVE ETC.

[illegible], ACCOUNTANT GENL.

In the district munsif's court of Nandial

dated 12th September 1902

Statement made on oath by Ramalaw, the head clerk in the district munsif's court of Nandial, (is as follows).

On 27th May 1897 I came as the head clerk of this court and from that date to April 1898 (1) Bhagalmarry Emam Saib, (2) Bhagalmarry Pandida Saib (3) Ektossum Goorappa (4) Stidayatally (5) Pullojee and (6) Takrumian were employed as permanent process peons. I say this on oath. The late Munsif Subbayya garu initialled in the margins on the left side of the 1st pages of Service Registers, that is, the service registers of Bhagalmarry Emam Saib Pulloji and Takrumian. The late Munsif did not sign in the last columns of the first pages and in column 9 and 12 of the 2nd pages of the three books above referred to. I examined the records thoroughly and made full and

correct entries in these books and in the books of other Process Registers. Identical entries in these books and in other Service registers the leaves, if there were any taken during the periods I was the Head Clerk. From June 1937 until the last transfer to the District Court and again from the time of my transfer to this Court as Head Clerk up to date, I properly made entries in these and in other Service books.

I have learnt it correct.

(Sgnd) S. Rama Rao

Asst Clerk

This statement has been taken on oath before me

(Sgnd) P. Nagarajan

District Munsif

(True translation)

Ag. District Judge. 4th Nov 1974.

Ag. District Judge

In the District Munsif's Court of Kaviripatnam
dated 12th September 1882

Statement on oath made by B Moneri
Rau, Pleader of this Court

Bhagalmarry Buddasaib, (2)
Bhagalmarry Imam Saib, (3) Chellam
Goorappa, (4) Sidayatallij and (5) Pullojee
were employed as permanent process
peons of this Court since October 1873.
Jakkumiah was thrown out of
employment in the reorganisation of January
1874 and reemployed as a permanent
process peon on the 26th January 1874. I was
the Head Clerk of this Court from August
1872 to August 1874. I then became a
Pleader of this Court and continues to
hold that office and so know this. I therefore
state this on oath.

I have heard & it is correct

(Sgnd) B. Moneri Rau
Pleader

Taken down on oath before the Court
(True translation) (Sgnd) P. Nagamaiyar
Dt Munsif

Sd/- Patrick Grant

In the District Munsif's Court of Jaffna

Affidavit made by Muttiya Saith,
Reader in the Standing District Munsif's Court.

I Bhagalmany Buddasaith, 2 Bhagalman
Iman Saith, 3 Crossom Goorappa, 4
Hidayah ally and 5 Pulloju were employed
as permanent process peons in this
Court from October 1873 to April 1882.
Gnanam misses (No 6) was employed as a
process peon from October 1873 to 16th
January 1874. I remember he was
then thrown out of employment owing to re-
duction but was reemployed as a permanent
process Server on 26th January 1874. This man
also was employed as a permanent process
from until April 1882. These persons had been
employed as process servers even before the
year 1873. I have been a Reader of this
Court since 1859. I say this on oath.

Phrase to Consider this
dated 12th September 1882.

(Sgnd) Muttiyasaith

Sworn before me in open Court this 12th
day of September 1882.

(True translation) (Sgnd) P. Nagarajar
District Munsif

by Interpreter Samuel

[illegible]

Sworn before me in
Court this 12th day of September
1884.

P. Arjayavayer

Dist. Munsiff

District Munsiff's Court of Randial

Affidavit on oath filed by C. Channayya, 5th clerk of your Court.

I have since 11th October 1875 been employed ~~been employed~~ as a permanent Gumastah of this Court. 1 Chagalmarry Buddasaib, 2 Chagalmarry Emámsaib, 3. Rodden Goorappa, 4, Hidayat Ally, 5 Pulloji and Fakrunnisan were employed as permanent process peons of this Court from 11th October 1875 to April 1884. I know this. I do not known whether or not these people obtained leave. I say this on oath.

Please to consider this.

(Signed) C. Channaiah
5th Clerk.

12-9-84

Sworn before me in open Court this 12th day of September 1884.

(Signed) P. Arjayavayer
District Munsiff.

(True Translation)

Ag. District Judge.

Sworn before me in open Court
this 12th day of September 1884

D. Arjunarayan
District Munsiff

12th September 1884

District Munsiff's Court of Kandigal.

Statement made on oath by Jamal Saib,
process peon of the Kandigal Munsiff's Court.

I have been employed as a process peon of this Court since 1866. 1 Chagalmarry Budda Saib, Chagalmarry Emamsaib 3. Rodam Gooramma, 4 Hidayatally, 5 Pullaya and 6 Fakrumian were employed as permanent process peons of this Court from 1st October 1873 to April 1882. They had even before that been employed as process servers, but Fakrumian was thrown out of employment owing to reduction on 16th January 1874. He was again made permanent process peon on the 26th January 1874. I say this on oath.

Note I have heard and it is correct

(Signed) Jamal Saib.

12-9-84.

Taken down on oath before the Court

(Signed) D. Arjunarayan.
District Munsiff.

(True Translation)

Ag. District Judge.

12th September 1884.

33

District Munsiff's Court of Randial.

Statement on oath made by A. Siva Subramanya Sastriar, pleader of this Court.

I was employed as the 2nd Gumasta in this Court. I became a pleader in January 1872. I was a Gumastah from the year 1861 to the year 1872. In 1861, I was entertained as the 6th Gumastah of this Court. Since then I was getting promotion in due course. I was employed as the 2nd Gumastah for about 2 years. I know all the peons who were then employed. For sometime, I was supervising the peons - Chagalmarry Baddanaik, Chagalmarry - Emanaik, Rossum Goorappa: and Hilayatally were employed as process peons since 1861. -. Pallojee became a process peon of this Court after the year 1870. Pakkiriah became a process peon of this Court after the year 1870. I remember that all the above said peons, that is, these 6 peons were employed as process servers on 1st October 1873, when under the reorganisation, they were allowed fixed pay.

Sd: I know Tamil and it is correct.

(Signed) A. Siva Subramanya

Taken before the Court on oath.

(True translation) (Signed) P. Arjunayya

District Munsiff.

By District Judge.

District Munsiff's Court of Kandigai

Affidavit on oath filed by Bukka
patnam Ramáchárlu, pleader of your Court.

I know Sirnell Hidayatally. He was employed as a permanent process peon from 1873 until he was thrown out of employment on reduction. As I have since 1869 been employed as a pleader, I have known this. I therefore state this on oath.

Dated 12th September 1884.

(Signed) B. Ramachárlu

Sworn before me in open Court on the 12th September 1884.

(Signed) P. Sivaramayer.

District Munsiff.

(True Translation)

Ag. District Judge.

No 82/84

Certified that I have carefully examined
Nilamani Mir of the District Hooghly
and find that
1. He signed his name Nilamani Mir and
he appeared about 67 years and
is epileptic and a fit person to be entrusted
Lilavati with to be supplied and permanently
incapacitated for further service of any kind
in consequence of mid age.
2. His incapacity does not appear to me
to have been caused by circumstances in the
discharge
of public
duty

Rishra
11th April 1884

Civil Surgeon

41

27th May 1884-

Deposition taken from Hijayet Alli late process peon -

I apply for gratuity. I request that your honor will recommend me for gratuity. This is my application for gratuity -

Note:- Admitted to be correct.

(marked) Hijayet Alli

Taken before me in open Court.

(Signed) P. Nityanandayar
District Munsiff

(True Translation)

P. Nityanandayar
District Munsiff

8

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Mo.	Age. Days.	Exact height. Feet.	Exact height. Ins.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Kidnapped Alley	Mohammedan	Cuttack	Syed Ameer Hoosein	Cuttack	Abt 1822	50			5	5 1/4	Colour dark brown has a small scar on the right eyebrow, a small mole with hair on the right forearm, an oblong scar above the front of the wrist	2nd February 1872		

Dismissed Servant

Dismissed Servant

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspension should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
District Munsiff's Court of Nundiah											
Ram	Substantive Permanent		6		1/10/80	1		Dist Munsiff			Dist Munsiff
							Absence of any kind up to 31st March 1881.				
							Leave on forfeiture of full pay from 7th to 30th June 1881.				
							Returned to duty on the 2nd July 1881.	Dist Munsiff			
							Leave on forfeiture of full pay from 13th to 30th June 1883.	Dist Munsiff			
							Returned to duty on the afternoon of the 30th June 1883.	Dist Munsiff	11-6-83 AN	Physically disqualified Memorial in medical certificate	Dist Munsiff

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

SERVICE BOOK
OF
Name. Kittayakutty
Caste or Race. Kudumban
Residence. Kunnyoor
Father's Name. Ganapathi
Residence. Kunnyoor
Date of birth.

No. 118.

District Court of Kurnool
No. 12. 15th August 1884.

From

The Ag. District Judge.
Kurnool.

To,

The Accountant General,
Fort St. George,
Madras.

Sir,

Under section 192 of the Civil Pension Code, (6th edition), I have the honor to enclose for submission to Government five applications for gratuity on behalf of the persons marginally noted, late Peons Sumers of the District Munsif's Court of Nandyál, and to state that the instructions contained in your letter No. D. A. E. / 158 of the 8th July 1884, which is herewith returned in original, have been attended to.

1. Hidayat Ally
2. Gowaspha
3. Pullayee
4 C. Budda Sahib
5 Emam Sahib

Two of these, namely, 3. Pullayee and 4 C. Budda Sahib, are more

than 60 years of age and were thrown out in the reorganization from 1st April last. The other three were subsequently invalided and the certificates of the Civil Surgeon of Tirunel declaring them unfit for service are enclosed, the dates of these certificates being shown in the applications as the date of termination of service, although they continued to be borne on the establishment and drew pay for a few days afterwards until they were actually thrown out on the receipt of the certificates from the Civil Surgeon.

The Service Books of the applicants are also enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

GENERAL OFFICE RECORDS
19 NOV 84

Sub Collr

Dy District Judge Tirunel No. 116 dtd.

1374

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers:—

From the District Judge Tirunel dy 15th Augt 84 — No 12

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 3rd Octr 84 — No 9161

ORDER THEREON, 22nd Octr 84 — No 1169.

UNDER Sections 116, 121, 4th Class & 5th Sec 121 of the Civil Pension Code (6th Edition,) the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to T. Vidyanat — Ali late Process Server — Trunchi Municipal Court — an annual gratuity —

— of Rupees (8 — —) Eight equal to four months pay — subject to the fraction 10/30 — payable at Trunchi Central District — in consideration of his qualifying — for pension service of Ten — years, Five — months and Seven — days.

To the Accountant-General, with Register
" District Judge Tirunel
" Collector of Tirunel
No 116

Rs 81-1-0

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated 22nd October 1884

Despd. 31 —

Recd.

G.O., 22nd Oct/84 No. 1169

Granting an annual gratuity
of Rs 6 to Vedayat Pa
tate Poosa Pan a Pundiel
Pundiyi Court Peon