M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 42

(2) Date of repair 8-7-42

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1126	Press Mis.
Dated	14 X	1884

Not to be folded or roughly handled

14TH OCTOBER 1884, NO. 1126, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From the District Judge, Kurnool, dated 2nd July 1884.

Certificate and report from W. T. PIERCY, Esq., Assistant Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension department, dated Fort St. George, 26th September 1884, No. A.D.J.-387.

Certified that qualifying service in superior grades has been duly proved for 4 years 6 months and 4 days, and that a compensation gratuity not exceeding Rs. 48, equal to four months' pay, is admissible under sections 104 and 113 (*a*) of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition). The calculations have been duly verified. The particulars required by rules 1 and 6, under section 104 of the code, are given by the District Munsif, Kurnool, in his remarks opposite to No. 4 in the third page of the application (*vide* also letter from the Registrar, High Court, Appellate side, No. 2743, dated 17th September 1884).

2. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Munsif in his remarks opposite to No. 5 in the third page of the application.

3. The attention of the Government is solicited to paragraph 2 of the reply to the reference made to the District Judge by this office in its letter, No. A.D.K.-88, dated 13th August 1884, from which it will be seen that the applicant's appointment was not abolished under any order of Government or the High Court, but under the proceedings of the District Judge himself. The High Court, however, seem to have taken no objection to the proceedings of the District Judge.

4. In accordance with rule 4, under section 134, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to section 69 of the code.

ORDER—dated 14th October 1884, No. 1126, Pension.

Under sections 104 and 113 (*a*) of the Civil Pension Code (6th edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kalugulimi Narasappa, late process batta amin, District Munsif's Court, Kurnool, a compensation gratuity of Rs. (48) forty-eight, equal to four months' pay, payable at Kurnool, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 4 years 6 months and 4 days.

(True Extract.)

E. S. LAFFAN,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
,, District Judge, Kurnool.
,, Collector of Kurnool.

Exd. C. Howarth.

Govt. of {1884} Madras.

PENSION

G.O., 14th October 1884, No. 1126.

OCTOBER.

Gratuity.

Granting a compensation — of Rs. 48 to K. Narasappa, late process batta amin, District Munsif's Court, Kurnool.

APPLICATION FOR { ~~PENSION~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Kalugulmi Narasappa.
2. Father's name, Kalugulmi Devappa.
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Brahmin, Smarta.
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah Kurnool.
5. Present or last employment including name of establishment. Process Batta Amin District Munsiff's Court, Kurnool.
6. Date of beginning of service. 27th March 1878.
7. Date of ending of service. 31st March 1883.

	Y. M. D.
8. Length of service including interruptions	5 - 0 - 5
of which superior	4 - 6 - 4
inferior	0 - 0 - 0
non-qualifying and interruptions	0 - 6 - 1.

9. Class of {pension / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application.* Owing to a reduction in the Process Service Establishment on the 1st April 1883.

(Average) emoluments or pay. Rs 12 - 0 - 0 per mensem.

11. Proposed pension.
12. Proposed gratuity. Four months pay or Rs 48 - 0 - 0.
13. Date from which pension is to commence.
14. Place of payment. Kurnool.
15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian Era. 1st August 1856.
16. Height. 5 ft.
17. Marks. A mole on the left breast 2 moles on the belly and a scar on the back.
18 Date. 2nd July 1884.

District Munsiff

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation sion or gratuity, the nature of the change of blishment which has given rise to the claim uld be fully stated. not kown exactly must be stated on the best in- tion or estimate).

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTIONS) OF Kaligulimi Narasappa.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay RS.	A.	P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant General.
District Munsiff's Court, Kurnool	Acting Process Batta Ameen	12	"	"	"	27th March 1878	25th July 1878	"	3	29	-	-	-	The applicant was appointed to act as Ameen from 27th March 1878 to 25th July 1878 the permanent incumbent having been on leave without allowances. The latter having since died the applicant was confirmed on the 26th July 1878. Under clause 13 section 76 Civil Pension Code, the applicant alone counted service during the period in question.	Service from 27th March 1878 to 25th July 1878 verified by reference to the appointment orders of the District Munsiff's Court as well as by affidavits taken from the applicant and his contemporary, the present Hd. Clerk, and that from 26th July by reference to the appointment & leave orders of the Court as well as the receipts of the Accountant General's Office	Verified as detailed in the Column "How verified". The verification of the Service has been confirmed by reference to the Annual Returns on record in this Office.
	Process Batta Ameen	12	"	"	"	26th July 1878	30th November 1878	"	4	5	"	"	"			
	Leave on forfeiture of pay					1st December 1878	5th February 1879	"	-	-	-	2	5			
	Process Batta Ameen	12	"	"	"	6th February 1879	18th May 1879	"	3	13	"	"	"			
	Leave on forfeiture of pay					19th May 1879	11th June 1879	-	-	-	-	-	24			
	Absent without leave					12th June 1879	1st July 1879	-	-	-	-	-	20			
	Leave on forfeiture of pay					2nd July 1879	20th July 1879	-	-	-	-	-	19			
	Process Batta Ameen	12	"	"	"	21st July 1879	16th May 1880	"	9	26	-	-	-			
	Leave on forfeiture of pay					17th May 1880	9th July 1880	-	-	-	-	1	23			
	Process Batta Ameen	12	"	"	"	10th July 1880	31st March 1883.	2	8	21/22	"	"	"			
	Total period of { superior ~~inferior~~ } service...						....	4	6	4	"	6	1			

---

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. None.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (See Chapter XIII) None.

4. Explanation under Section 100, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. The applicant was thrown out of employment from 1st April 1883 on a reduction of the Process service establishment made by order of the District Court as the cost of the establishment far exceeded the collections (Vide Rule 7 embodied in G. O. dated 1st August 1873 No. 1210). There has since been a further reduction of the establishment in April last. It is not likely that any vacancies will occur for some time to come. Even if any vacancy should occur soon, those thrown out of employ in April last being seniors in service have to be first provided for. It has thus been found impossible to provide suitable employment for the applicant.

5. Any other remarks. - - - - - - - - - - - The delay in the submission of this application was owing to the hopes entertained by the applicant as to being soon provided with an employment and the further reduction of the establishment in last April made him give up all hopes of employment.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office, whether the service claims is established and should be admitted or not (See Section 127, Rule 6.) The service claimed is established by the service Register of the applicant and Office Copies of annual detailed statements of establishments submitted to the Accountant General as well as by affidavits taken from the applicant & from the present Head Clerk of the Court who is a contemporary servant. The same can therefore be admitted.

2 P

H. Rangaman
District Munsiff
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

A D j / 387

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded~~ qualifying service in { ~~inferior~~ superior } grades has been duly proved for 4 years 6 months and 4 days; and that a Compensation { pension gratuity } not exceeding Rs. 48 " equal to 4 months pay (a), is admissible under Sections 104 & 113 6th edition of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~the { pension gratuity } is chargeable to~~ The particulars required by Rules 1 and 6 under Section 104 of the Code are given by the District Munsiff Kurnool in his remarks opposite to No. 4 in the 3rd page of the application (Vide also letter ~~from the Registrar High Court~~ appellate Side No. 2743 dated 17th September /84.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Munsiff in his remarks opposite to No. 5 in the 3rd page of the application.

The attention of the Government is solicited to para 2 of the reply to the reference made to the District Judge [illegible] August /84 from which it will be seen that the applicant's appointment was not abolished under any order of Government or the High Court but under the Pro: of the District Judge himself. The High Court however seem to have taken no objection to the Proceedings of the District Judge.

In accordance with Rule 4, under Section 134, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Section 69 of the Code.

88

Fort Saint George
Acctt. Genl's Office
26th September 1884

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

W. J. Percy
Asst. Accountant General

30-9-84

Pension 1884 Septr

No. 1345

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY

Cm 15 Date of application	2d July 1884.
Name of applicant.	K. Narasappa
Last appointment.	Roccer Batta Amin Distt Court Kurnool
Class of pension or gratuity.	
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	
Date of commencement.	
Date of sanction.	

Wilt order 1126 of 84

To be returned with reply

9G

Reg No 31

ARTMENT,
BRANCH.

No. $\frac{\text{A. D. K.}}{88}$

13 AUG

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
13th August 188

To be returned with final application

Reg No 8

FORT SAINT GEORGE.
PENSION DEPARTMENT. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE,
9TH APRIL 1884.

NO. $\frac{\text{ADK}}{8}$

7G

FROM
H. F. CLOGSTOUN ESQ.
ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS.

TO
THE ACTING DISTRICT JUDGE,
KURNOOL.

SIR,

IN RETURNING THE SERVICE BOOKS OF K. NARASAPPA AND D. VENKATASUBBAYYA, LATE AMINS OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIFF'S COURT, KURNOOL, RECEIVED FOR VERIFICATION WITH YOUR LETTER NO. 3 DATED 27TH MARCH 1884, I HAVE THE HONOR TO STATE THAT THE SERVICES OF THE ABOVE INDIVIDUALS FROM 25TH JULY 1878 AND 19TH MAY 1879 RESPECTIVELY, HAVE BEEN VERIFIED FROM THE ANNUAL RETURNS ON RECORD IN THIS OFFICE AND FOUND TO BE CORRECT. -

2. WHEN SUBMITTING REGULAR APPLICATIONS FOR GRATUITY ON BEHALF OF THE above TWO INDIVIDUALS, PARTICULAR ATTENTION SHOULD BE PAID TO RULES I AND 6 UNDER SECTION 100 OF THE CIVIL PENSION CODE, 5TH EDITION, AND INFORMATION ON THE FOLLOWING POINTS SHOULD BE FURNISHED. -

I. WHETHER THE APPOINTMENT OF AMIN IN WHICH THE APPLI-CANT K. NARASAPPA IS STATED TO HAVE BEEN ACTING FROM 27TH

---

and when he died. during his lifetime; if so, when he applied gratuity

14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

× 15. ~~Revision~~ Transmission of the affidavit and contemporary evidence in support of service not verifiable from office records. The evidence should contain information on the following points :—

(a) Whether the applicant was in *permanent* employ of Government.

(b) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

2.

27TH MARCH 1878 TO 24TH JULY 1878 WAS FULLY VACANT, AND WHETHER ANY BODY SAVE THE APPLICANT COUNTED SERVICE IN IT DURING THAT PERIOD.-

2. FROM WHAT CLASS OF RECORDS HAVE THE SERVICES OF THE TWO INDIVIDUALS BEEN VERIFIED IN DETAIL.-

3. THE CAUSE OF DELAY OF MORE THAN ONE YEAR IN THE SUB-MISSION OF APPLICATIONS FOR GRATUITY ON BEHALF OF THESE TWO INDIVIDUALS SHOULD BE EXPLAINED.-

4. THE DATE AND NO. OF GOVERNMENT ORDER UNDER WHICH THE APPLICANTS' APPOINTMENTS WERE ABOLISHED SHOULD BE QUOTED.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE

SIR

YOUR OBEDIENT SERVANT

G.W. Cline

ASST. ACCTT GENL.
FOR ACCTT GENL.

In No. 1345

To be returned with reply

9G

Reg No 31

PENSION DEPARTMENT,
VERIFICATION BRANCH.

No. $\frac{\text{A. D. K.}}{88}$

13 AUG

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
13th August 1884

From
H. F. Clogstoun Esq:
~~THE~~ ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
MADRAS,

To
THE Ad. District Judge
of Kurnool

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for ~~pension~~ gratuity on behalf of Kalaguhini Narasappa late Process Batta Amins District Munsifs Court D. V. Subbayya received with your letter No. 7 dated 14th July 1884 have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for want of Nos. 15, 17, 21 and 35.

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

Assistant ~~to the~~ Accountant-General,
for Accountant-General.

1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.

2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records (such as Pay Bills, Acquittance Rolls and Leave Statements) from which the applicant's services have been verified.

3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the 3rd page of the application herewith returned.

4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence including privilege leave enjoyed by the applicant.

5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on and attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.

6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.

7. Signature of the $\frac{\text{Applicant}}{\text{Head of the Office}}$ in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book.

8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's $\frac{\text{suspension}}{\text{dismissal}}$ in and of $\frac{\text{a Last Pay Certificate.}}{\text{Service Book.}}$

9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly $\frac{\text{months}}{\text{years}}$ in the submission of the application.

10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the

11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.

12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of $\frac{\text{days,}}{\text{months,}}$ the applicant having ceased to do duty on

13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his $\frac{\text{pension}}{\text{gratuity}}$ during his lifetime; if so, when he applied and when he died.

14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

× 15. ~~Revision~~ Transmission of the affidavit and contemporary evidence in support of service not verifiable from office records. The evidence should contain information on the following points:—

(a) Whether the applicant was in *permanent* employ of Government.

(b) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

16. Information as to whether the appointment of in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from to was *fully vacant*, and whether any person, save the applicant, counted service in it during that period.

× 17. ~~Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant.~~ The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated. vide Rule 6 Sec 103 and the Notes printed at foot of page 57 of the C. P. Code.

18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.

19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.

20. Certificate to the effect that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, *vide* Rule 3, Section 103 of the Civil Pension Code.

× 21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.

22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from to was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.

23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the Annual Return / Audit Register for on record in this Office.

24. Exhibition in detail of the dates, months and years of applicant's various appointments, promotions, &c., on the 2nd page of the application. The number of days should be reckoned at 30 days in a month.

25. Translation into English of the Vernacular entries in the service book.

26. Information as to the substantive appointment held by the applicant at the time he was entering as

27. Information as to whether on re-employment the applicant refunded the gratuity received by him; if so, please mention the date of such refund.

28. Pension application with erasures cannot be accepted. Forward fresh application.

29. Transmission of an abstract statement duly certified showing the nature of records, which are relied upon for verification of the service claimed from

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant voluntarily accepted the post of in his own interest, or his services were transferred in the interests of the public service by competent authority. In the latter case please forward a copy of such authority.

31. Explanation as to whether the applicant was transferred to Foreign Service in in the manner laid down in the C. P. Code, if so, please furnish a copy of the order of Government transferring his services under as and state the amount of contribution he has paid during this period.

32. The service of the applicant from to does not appear in the records of this Office. State whether he held any other appointment and from what fund he was paid, or explain the cause of the omission of his name.

33. Reconciliation or explanation of the discrepancy between the dates of termination of the applicant's service as shown in the application and in the Last Pay Certificate.

34. The age of the applicant is under 55 years. Ask the Examining Medical Officer to give detailed reasons for invaliding him and furnish your own special explanation of the grounds on which it is proposed to invalid him.

35 - Transmission of a copy of the Proceedings of the District Judge under which the applicants posts were abolished, as also copy of the Proceedings of the High Court thereon, if any.

It is requested that the reply to this reference may be submitted through the High Court in order that they may favor this Office with their views on the admissibility of the claim for gratuities on behalf of the applicants named above.

60

No 2748.

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 17th September 1884.

119

From

J. A. Davies Esquire
Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

To

H. F. Clogstoun Esquire,
Accountant General,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to a letter, dated the 3rd Instant, No. 66, from the District Judge of Kurnool, enclosing his reply to your letter to him, dated the 13th August last, No. $\frac{A.D.K.}{88}$, I have the honor to state that the High Court sees no objection to the admissibility of the claim to gratuities of the persons named.

2. It appears from para 3 of the District Munsiff's letter that the abolition of the two posts in question resulted in a saving of their emoluments and I may add that the general revision of the process-service establishments in April last has resulted in a further saving of Rs. 367-..- per mensem on the previously sanctioned

Cost

Cost of the process-establishments of all the Courts in the Kurnool District.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

Acting Registrar,
Appellate Side.

20 SEP 84

~~enclosed [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the letters [illegible]~~

L No. 1345

Encs
[illegible]

District Court of Kurnool,

No. 14

3rd September 1884.

13

From
The Ag. District Judge,
Kurnool.

To
The Accountant General,
Madras.

Sir,

x returned with its enclosures

With reference to your letter x No $\frac{ADK}{88}$, dated 13th August 1884, I have the honor to forward a letter, with its enclosures, addressed to this Court by the District Munsif of Kurnool containing all the information required in the letter under reply.

Among the enclosures is a copy of the Proceedings of the District Court abolishing the posts of the applicants but there are no proceedings of the High Court bearing on the subject. The fact of the abolition was merely reported to the High Court and a copy of the letter addressed to them is

submitted

Submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

Ag. Dt. Judge.

In H.C. No. 12649/84.

From

The Acting District Judge
of Kurnool.

To

The Accountant General,
Madras.
through the High Court

Dated 3rd } September 1884.
Received }

Enclosures 16

No. 14

Replying to the letter of the Accountant General No. $\frac{\text{A.D.K}}{88}$ dated 13th August 1884 calling for further information regarding the applications for gratuity put in by Kalugulimi Narasappa and Devaravaghala Venkatasubbayya, late Amins of the District Munsif's Court of Kurnool ———

In No. 1345.

No. 34.

District Court of Kurnool.
22nd March 1883.

From

The District Judge,
Kurnool.

To

The Ag: Registrar, High Court,
Appellate Side,
Madras.

x
tract annexed.

Sir,

Referring to para 37 of my Annual Report for 1882 forwarded on the 9th instant, I have the honor to state that I have carried out the proposed reduction (viz 2 Amins and 10 peons) from the 1st day of April.

I have &c.

(Sig) Duncan Irvine
District Judge

(True Copy)

Ag: District Judge
3-9-84

h.h.
H.C.

Extract from the Annual Report
for 1882, dated 9th March 1883.

× × × ×

37. The expenditure in the Kurnool Munsif's Court having been steadily on the increase and there being no signs of any increase in the receipts, as contemplated in my letter dated 15th December, No: 70, I have in consultation with the District Munsif decided to reduce the process-Establishment by dispensing with the services of 2 Amins and 10 peons out of the number sanctioned.

× × × ×

(Sig) Duncan Irvine
District & Sep. Judge

(True Extract).

Ag: District & Sep: Judge.
3-9-84

Ln No: 1345

L.h
H.C.

In No. 1345

District Munsiff's Court Kurnool
No. $\frac{59}{341}$
dated 29th August 1884

From,

The District Munsiff
Kurnool

To,

Robert Rice Esquire L.L.D
Ag. District Judge
Kurnool

Sir,

As directed in your proceedings No. 23 dated 18th August 1884, I beg to submit the explanation called for by the Accountant General in his letter No. $\frac{A.D.K}{88}$ of the 13th instant which accompanied the above proceedings.

2. The affidavits of Kalugulimi Narasappa and of his contemporary, the present Head clerk of the Court, filed during the time of the late Munsiff are enclosed. The information contained

in these is however megre, and therefore evidence was taken from the Head clerk containing information on the points noted by the Accountant General in proviso 15 and has been hereto annexed. Similar evidence is not possible to be taken from the applicant — Kalugulimi Sarasappa as he has already left this for his native place and is not likely to return. The specific report alluded to in proviso 21 of the Accountant Generals' letter is also submitted

3. With reference to proviso 17 of the letter I beg to state that the abolition of the appointments held by the applicants has resulted in the saving to Government of their salaries which amounted to Rs 288-0 per annum.

4. A copy of the proceedings of the District Court —

abolishing the posts of the applicants is enclosed as directed in proviso 35.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

District Munsiff

No. 11

Proceedings of the District Court of Kurnool, dated 22nd March 1883.

To effect the necessary reduction in the Process Establishment of the District Munsiff of Kurnool, the services of the following two Amines, who are the most recently appointed, are despensed with from 1st April 1883.

No. 9 Narasappa

No. 10 Venkata Subbayya

There being already six vacancies in the list of Process-servers, to complete the reduction, the following four peons are removed from the Kurnool District Munsiff's Court Establishment and transferred to the Nandiyal District Munsiff's Court. (where there are vacancies) from the same date.

No. 8 Rosigadu

District Munsiff Court
Kurnool

No 1345

From
The District Munsiff
Kurnool

To
The Ag. District Judge
Kurnool

Dated 29th August 1884
Despatched 29-8-84
Recd.

Ap.
Enclosures 3

Submitting explanation called for by the Accountant General in his letter No 476/84 of the 13th instant.

No. 20 Kotta Biram Saib
No. 24 Shaikh Abbas
No. 44 Kotta Khaju Bhoji

(True extract)
(Signed) Duncan Irvine
District Judge

(True Copy)

District Munsiff

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1878

[illegible] 27 [illegible]

[illegible] 1878 [illegible] 26 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

28 [illegible] 1884 [illegible]

Solemnly affirmed before me

in open Court this 28 day of August
1884

D. Yogappah
Dt. Munsif

The service claimed has been
[illegible]
established to my satisfaction in
my opinion
D. Yogappah
[illegible]
28.8.84
Dt. Munsif

Before the District Munsif's Court of
Kurnool -

Statement made by D. Iswara Rau, Head Clerk of the Court.

Kalugulimi Narasappa was appointed on the 27th March 1878 to act as Amin in this Court in the room of one Amin Narasimhacharlu who died, and was confirmed in the same appointment on 26th July 1878. During this time Narasappa drew full pay and this period was not counted as service by others.

This post of Amin was a permanent appointment under Government and during the acting period Narasappa did not take leave of any kind and there was no break of any sort.

I was then Head Gumastah of the Court.

(Sigd) D. Iswara Rau
H. C. Clerk.

I declare that the above particulars are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

28 August 1884. (Sigd) D. Iswara Rau
Head Clerk.

Solemnly affirmed before me in open Court this 28th day of August 1884.

(Sigd) D. Yogappah
District Munsif

The service claimed has been established and be admitted in my opinion.

28.8.84

(Sd) D. Yogappah
District Munsif.

(True translation & Copy)

Ag: District Judge.

Let this remain in the the office of the Court

2/6/84

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1878 [illegible]

[illegible] 1878

[illegible] 26 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

2 [illegible] 1884

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

To.

The District Munsif's Court
of Kurnool.

Affidavit presented by D Iswara Rou, Head Clerk of the Court.

Kalugulini Narasappa was appointed on 27th March 1878 to act as Amin in this Court, in the room of one Narasimhacharlu, Amin, deceased, and while acting he was confirmed in that appointment on 26th July 1878. I was Head Gumastah at the time and therefore know this

28th June 1884. (Signed) D. Iswara Rou.
Head Clerk.

I declare that the above particulars are true to the best of my knowledge and belief
(Signed) D. Iswara Rou.
Head Clerk.

(True Translation)

Ag District Judge.

3/6/84 [illegible]

35 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1878 [illegible] 27 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1878 [illegible] 26 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1884 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

To

The District Munsif's Court.
of Kurnool.

Affidavit presented by Kaluegulimi Narasappa,
late Amin.

I was appointed to act as Amin on 27th March 1878 for Amin Narasimhácháriu and while continuing to act for him, I was confirmed in that same appointment on 26th July 1878. Donnipati Iswara Row Garu was Head Gumastah at the time and he knows this.

Dated 28th June 1884.
(Signed) Kaluegulimi Narasappa,
late Amin.

I declare that the above particulars are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

(Signed) Narasappa.
late Amin.

(True Translation)

Ag. District Judge.

1345

18

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

39 g

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers:—

1 From the District Judge Kurnool of 2d July 1884

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 26th Septr/84 No $\frac{A 405}{387}$

3 ORDER THEREON, 14 Octr/84 No 1126

UNDER Sections 104 and 113 (a) of the Civil Pension Code (6th Edition,) the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kalingulinni Narasappa late Process Batta Amin District Munsif's Court Kurnool a Compensation gratuity of Rupees (48 - -) Forty eight equal to four months pay payable at Kurnool

in consideration of his qualifying Superior service of Four years, Six months and four days.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" District Judge Kurnool.
" Collector of Kurnool.

Ms.

R 40-20 C

Wpd 24-10-84

Govt. of (1884) Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

7 Rs 162/87

Dated 14 October 1884

Despd. 24

Recd.

G.O., 14 Octr /84 No 1126

Granting a Compn gratuity of Rs 48 to H. Narasappa late Process Batta Amin District Munsifs' Court Kurnool –

K

26