

1. Number of pages. 60
2. Date of repair. 8-5-36
3. Initials of Reference. J.S.

M.R.O. 1-22 400-22-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

946

Press
Mis.

Dated

17-10-1883

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17TH OCTOBER 1883, No. 946, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From the Collector of North Arcot, dated 22nd August 1883.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, to the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 2nd October 1883, No. A.D.J.-260.

Certified that qualifying service in superior grades has been duly proved for seventeen years seven months and seventeen days. From the quotation of section 106 opposite to No. 9 in the first page of the application, this office understood that the application was for a superannuation pension, and accordingly called for a certificate as required by rule 4 of the section named above. If, upon perusal of paragraph 1 of the Collector's reply memorandum, dated 17th September 1883, and of the Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. 5099, dated 19th June last, Government are satisfied that the applicant's incapacity for further service is proved, he may be granted a superannuation pension, not exceeding Rs. 5 per mensem, equal to one-third average emoluments under sections 106, 109 (b), and 111 of the Civil Pension Code (5th edition).

2. The applicant's petitions, dated 25th July and 28th August 1883 respectively, appealing to this office against his removal from the public service, are herewith submitted for disposal with reference to the footnote to the word "service" occurring in rule 1, section 106 of the code.

3. From a perusal of the correspondence accompanying and of the remarks recorded in the third page of the application, the character and past conduct of the applicant do not appear to have been thoroughly satisfactory. It rests, therefore, with Government to decide what reduction should be made in the amount of pension admissible to him with reference to rule 1, section 65 of the code.

4. Although the applicant was not actually on duty from 7th August 1880 to 4th October 1881, yet the period has been admitted towards pension with reference to G.O., No. 2094, dated 12th August 1881.

ORDER—dated 17th October 1883, No. 946, Pension.

Under sections 106, 109 (b), and 111 of the Civil Pension Code (5th edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Sayer Latchumana Row, late gumasta of Palmanér taluk, North Arcot district, a superannuation pension of Rs. (5) five per mensem, payable at Palmanér from 3rd July 1883, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of seventeen years seven months and seventeen days.

2. The petitioner's request to be retained in service cannot be complied with, as the Government cannot consider him efficient (section 106, rule 1,) in the face of the Collector's finding to the contrary, which there is much on the record to confirm.

(True Extract.)

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
„ Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

Gobt. of (1883) Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 17th October 1883, No. 946.

OCTOBER.

50980 Pension.

Granting a superannuation — of Rs. 5 per mensem to S. Latchumana Row, late gumasta of Palmanér taluk, North Arcot district.

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION.
GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Sayer Latchumana Row*
2. Father's name. *Sayer Narasinga Row*
3. Race *of* Caste. *Bramin, Mathua*
4. Residence. *Palmaner*
5. Present or last employment. *Gumasta of Palmaner Taluk North Arcot district.*
6. Date of beginning service. *6th November 1866.*
7. Date of end of service. *2nd July 1883.*
8. Length of service including breaks ... *interruption* ... Y. M. D.
26-7-27
of which superior ... *17-7-17*
inferior ... *4-2-13*
non-qualifying and breaks *interruption* ... *4-9-27*
9. Class of { pension } applied for, and cause of application. *Section 106 and 109 (2).
The Service Book and Last Pay Certificate of an applicant are herewith submitted.*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *15 - - -*
11. Proposed pension. *15 - - -*
12. Proposed gratuity. *a - - -*
13. Date from which to commence. *pension is 3rd July 1883.*
14. Place of payment. *Palmaner*
15. Age of Applicant on this date. *birth by Christian era 28th June 1829. —*
16. Height. *Feet Inches. 5 — 3½*
17. Marks. *One red mole and strawberry mark on the left side of the face — head and a large scar caused by small pox on the left shoulder — face fresh marked.*
18. Date. *22nd August 1883.*

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE (SERVICE SHOWING BREAKS) OF
Layzer Latchumana Rona Gumasta of Palawan in Sulu.

22
 No 260. Certified that qualifying service in Superior Grades has been duly proved for 17 years 7 months and 17 days. From the quotation of section 106 opposite to No. 9 in the 1st page of the application, this office understood that the application was for a Superannuation pension, and accordingly called for a Certificate as required by rule 4 of the Section named above. When perusal of para 1 of the Collector's reply Memo dated 17th September 1883 and of the Revenue Records Nos. 5099 dated 19th June last, Government are satisfied that the applicant's service for further service is proved, he may be granted a Superannuation pension not exceeding Rs. 52- per mensem equal to 1/3 average emoluments under Sections 106, 109(b) and 111 of the Civil Pension Code 5th Edition.

The applicant's petitions dated 25th July and 28th August 83 respectively appealing to this office against his removal from the Public Service are herewith submitted for disposal with reference to the footnotes to the word "Service" occurring in rule 1 section 106 of the Code.

From a perusal of the correspondence accompanying and of the remarks recorded in the 3rd page of the application the character and past conduct of the applicant do not appear to have been thoroughly satisfactory. It rests therefore with Government to decide what reduction should be made in the amount of pension admissible to him with reference to rule 1 Section 65 of the Code.

Although the applicant was not actually on duty from 7th August 80 to 14th October 81 yet the period has been admitted towards pension with reference to G.O. No. 2096 dated 12th August 1881.

For St. George,
 Secy. Genl. office,
 2^d October 1883.

To The Under Secretary to Government
 Finance Department.

W. L. L.
 Asst. Accountant General
 for Accountant General

From 1836 Sept
No 1162

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION OR GRATUITY }

Date of application. 22nd August 1863
Name of Applicant. S. Latchumana Rao
Last Appointment. Gunastah North Arcot
Class of { pension or gratuity }
Amount of pension sanctioned
Amount of gratuity sanctioned
Date of commencement.
Date of sanction.

Ac No 946 of 1863

No. 390
100

North Arcot Revenue Settlement Office
Vellore, 8th August 1863 —
9th

From The Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement
No. II, North Arcot
To The Deputy Collector
in charge of the Treasury
North Arcot

Sir,

With reference to your letter.
No. 1159 of the 31st July last, I have the honor
to forward herewith a statement showing
the services of Lukshmana Rao there-
in alluded to as "Dimmelu" Gunastah of
the Vellore Settlement Party;

x Earthen
mounds.

2. The above service is of a temporary
nature, and does not count towards
pension.

3. The name of the said Lukshmana Rao
is not to be found in the Pay bills of the
said "Dimmelu" Gunastahs for 1861
and 1864.

By No 946 of 1863

I have 4

(Signed)

J. Lakshminikanta Rao
Asst. Dy. Director of Revenue
Settlement

/ True Copy /

Maya Shankar
Deputy Collector

Service list of Gayer Lutchmana Rao, late Dimmelu
Gumastah in the Revenue Settlement Party of Vellore
11th

Appoint- - ment	Pay	Period	Gr ^{ds} m ^{ts} B
8	...	From 2 nd September to the end of October 1862	1 29
8	...	Whole of November 1862	1 "
8	...	Do — December 1862	1 "
8	...	From 1 st January to 31 st July 1863	7 "
8	...	From 1 st to 4 th & from 22 nd to 31 st August 1863 and	1 "
10	...	From 5 th August to the 21 st August 1863	
8	...	From 1 st to the end of September /63	1 "
10	...	From 24 to the end of November /63	7 "
10	...	Whole of December 1863	1 "
			<u>1 26</u>

Dimmelu Gumastah

N.B. In the years 1861 & 1864 his name is
not to be found in the Pay bills

Revenue Settlement office,
Vellore, 8th August /83

(Signed) J. Lakshminikanta Rao
Asst. Dy. Director of Rev.
Settlement

(True copy)

Maya Shankar
Deputy Collector

Camp

N^o 1162

Camp

13^M

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 21st September 1881
No 8653 Miscellaneous.

Read the following Revenue Department
Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following papers.

Petition No 1105 of 1881 presented
by Lakshmana Rao, late Gunasta of
the Palmaner Taluq North Arcot District,
praying that, under the circumstances
represented, orders may be issued
either to reinstate him in his post,
or to grant him a pension.

2. Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue dated 4th July 1881 Miscellaneous
No 6478, forwarding copy of their
Proceedings dated 17th January 1881 to 116
and the papers connected with the
order of dismissal of the above
Gunastah.

Order thereon, 12th August 1881 No 2094.

Petitioner's action in applying
for the land appears to have been
straight forward and above board.
The Government therefore resolve to
direct his reinstatement without
prejudice

In N: 1162

prejudice to his previous service.
The Board will give the necessary
instructions.

2. The Governor in Council concurs
in the concluding portion of Board's
Proceedings dated 17th January 1880
No 416 Miscellaneous.

3. The Petitioner will be referred
to the Board.

True Extract
Sd/- C. D. Macleod
Secretary to Government

To,
The Board of Revenue &
Communicated to the
Collector of North Arcot

(True copy & Extract)
Sd/- C. D. Macleod

To Sub Secretary
To,
The Collector of North Arcot
(True copy)

M. S. Subramanian
Deputy Collector

Camp
1880

L. N. 1162

15th
No 1211.

Proceedings of the Collector of
North Arcot dated 2nd August 1880.

Read Palmaner Tahsildar's
Aryis Nos 186 and 195 dated 16th and
21st July 1880, respectively, with all their
Enclosures.

It appears from these papers
that, on the 16th January 1880, Salug
Gumasta Latchmana Rao put in
before the Tahsildar a Darkhast
Application for E. u-13-8 of wet field
No 59 in the Village of Varidinay and
Kichipalli in the Palmaner Salug,
that the Tahsildar, being in doubt
whether he could accept and dispose
of a Darkhast from one of his Subor-
dinate officials for lands in his own

x
Aryi No 9 dated
16th June 1880.

Salug, referred the matter for the order
of the Collector, who in his final Sakid
No 60 dated 22nd March last informed
the Tahsildar that the Collector would
not interfere in the matter. On receipt
of this Sakid the Tahsildar endorsed

no order thereon but merely initialed and gave it to Gumatia Papiak, who is in charge of the Huzur Correspondence work.

2. On the 19th May last however, from a Daskhash petition sent in by one Mobbu Subbarayappa, Muzigar of Vanadinayani Kichipalli; for the same land the Tahsildar became aware for the first time that, in the matter of Gumatia Latchman Rao's Daskhash, final orders had been sent to the village granting the land to Gumatia. The Tahsildar was surprised to find a Taki^{*} under his hand and seal issued to the village to the above effect. He saw the office copy of the Taki^{*} filed in the file-book and the Curman of the village produced the fair copy of it saying it was personally delivered to him by Latchman Rao. The Taki^{*} runs to the effect that in pursuance of the Collector's order no 60 dated 22nd March 1880 the Daskhash of Latchman Rao was accepted and that the land should be made over to him and registered in

* No 133 dated 28th April 1880.

17th
in his name for Fasal 1290. The Collector's order above referred to certainly does not sanction the assignment of the land to Gumatia. It merely permits the Tahsildar to dispose of the Daskhash himself. The issue of the Taki^{*} in question to the village authorities was clearly fraudulent, and as the Tahsildar declares that he never ordered the issue of such a Taki^{*} to the village officers, his signature was doubtless obtained thereto in a fraudulent manner. Indeed the Tahsildar could not possibly have passed final orders in the Daskhash case, as he had not before him the A Memorandum from the village, wherein the Daskhash was not published in.

There is abundance of evidence that Gumatia Latchman Rao obtained possession of the Huzur Taki^{*} from Papiak on false pretences, that the Taki^{*} was written under the dictation of Latchman Rao who with his own hand inserted

the number, date and Camp in the Takiid, that he carefully wrote the address of the village officers on the cover in a plumed hand so that it might afterwards be difficult to identify and that he personally brought and delivered the cover containing the Takiid in question to the Curnam Ramo Rao.

4. Having done all this, when questioned by the Tahsildar on the subject, Latchman Rao denied all knowledge of the issue of the Takiid in question, which ^{fact} he declared he had only heard from the Curnam. This was a deliberate falsehood. Afterwards as evidence was gradually forthcoming he admitted one fact after another and from his own statement itself it is clear that he committed the fraud above set forth.

5. The Collector is satisfied that the Gumnasta Latchman Rao fraudulently prepared the Takiid in question, deceitfully obtained the Tahsildar's signature thereto

and when questioned about it - falsely denied at first that he had anything to do in the matter while he had fraudulently done everything from first to last to suit his own interests.

6. This is not the first time the Gumnasta is found fault with for such mischievous acts. In 1872 he was suspended for three months for causing the disappearance for a time of certain Bagadi Chittako and Insalnamako and falsely reporting that they were missing knowing that they were required as evidence in a case of misappropriation of Government money which was then pending against the then Taluk Shroff and Bagadi Gumnasta. On another occasion the Collector personally rebuked and warned him on account of his misbehaviour towards the Tahsildar. As regards his general efficiency and conduct the Tahsildar speaks very unfavourably.

That is why the following

7. Under these circumstances
the Collector is of opinion that
Lachman Rao is not a trustworthy
man fitted to remain any longer
in Government employ and he is
therefore now removed from his
office of Gumatia of the Palmaner
Taluk.

8. The Takiid of the Tahsildar
granting the land in question
to Gumatia is hereby cancelled.
The Tahsildar will dispose of the
land in due course according to the
Durkash rules, but under no
circumstances to grant it to Gumatia
Lachman Rao.

Resolved that a copy of these Proce-
-dings be forwarded to the Tahsildar
of Palmaner for his information
and guidance.

North Arcot Collector's Office } signed W. V. White
Camp Palmaner 2nd August 1880 } Collector.

(True Copy)

M. M. J. J. J.

Deputy Collector

W. V. White

Compd
J. S.

Palmaner 21st M
30 May 1883

His Excellency the Governor in
Council Fort Saint George

Obedient

The humble petition of S. Lachman
Rao Gumatia of Palmaner Taluk
North Arcot District most respectfully
sheweth.

That your humble petitioner
Completes his 55 years by the end of June next
and being in sound health and capable
of continuing in the public service for 10 years
more, requested the Tahsildar of Palmaner
to obtain sanction for an extension in his case
who in his arzi No 177 dated 10th April last
recommended the petitioner for an extension
of 5 years.

of North, arch in his order No 162 dated
7th Decr, stated that while passed
Candidates are available in great numbers
for Government service, petitioner who
is an unpassed Candidate should consider
it as a great thing to have continued
in the public service hitherto and
should therefore retire as soon as
he completes his 55th year.

That while the petitioner is in a sound state
of health, and capable to continue in service
for 10 years more, he is at a loss to know
why he should be compelled to retire,
and why should the Government incur
an unnecessary loss by granting pension
to the petitioner and paying his substitute.

That the Collector of North Arcot
before issuing the order quoted above
in the petitioner's case, has not obtained

petitioner is or is not in a fit state of
health to continue in the public service
and the petitioner begs to state that there
are cases of several incumbents (passed
and unpassed as they are) holding the
appointments of Suddurde who were
fortunate enough to obtain an extension
to continue in the service though
they have completed their 55th year
long ago.

That petitioner has submitted
a petition to the Board of Revenue
through the Collector on the 8th Instant
mentioning his circumstances and
soliciting for an extension ^{but} that he
received no reply as yet.

Petitioner therefore prays that
His Excellency the Governor in Council
be gracious enough to consider
his case and grant the extension.

in service for 5 years
more as Solicitor for.

Begging that his humble
request shall meet with success.

Petitioner begs to remain
Your most obedient servant

[Signature]
T. J. J. J. J.
of Calcutta

100000
2 JUNE 1883

25th
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 19th June 1883.
No 5099 Miscellaneous

Read letter from the collector of North
Burd dated 2nd June 1883, No: 282.

Resolution

The Board consider that there
are no good reasons for extending the Peti-
tioner's term of service. He has passed no
Examinations and his conduct has not been
satisfactory. In these circumstances it is not
desirable that he should be retained in the public
service when there are plenty of young passed
candidates available on the spot to take his
place. The collector will inform the petitioner
of the Board's decision.

/ True Extract /

/ Signed / J. A. Davies
Ag. Secy Secretary

/ True copy /

[Signature]
Deputy Collector

Translation of Sahid No. 367 from the
Collector of the North Arcot to the Sarishtadar
of Palmanur Taluk.

The Nagadi Chittas, Shroff Chittas
and Remittance list, and other records
connected with the preliminary enquiry
held by the Tahildar Prakash Naidu
in regard to the misappropriation by the Shroff
Subbaraya Chetty and Nagadi gumastah -
Hannamanta Row of a certain sum of money
remitted to the Treasury from the village of
Koneri Gollapalli being the jodi for Fush 1279
due for that shroffier village, attached
to your Taluk. which had been forwarded
to the Nazim along with the extra arziyat
dated 17th December 1870, were already re-
turned to the Taluk. While so, when the
General Deputy-Collector called
for the above records, it was reported
that the Shroff Chittas and only some of the
records were found after ^{search} in the Taluk, and
that the Nagadi Chitta & Remittances were
not to be found there. But a suspicion
having

having arisen in respect of this, the Hazar
Sarishtadar went to the Katcheri on a sudden
in the month of October and found after
a short search the Nagadi Chittas, whose
very existence in the Taluk was previously
denied. Had it not been for the diligent
undertaking of the Hazar Sarishtadar,
these Nagadi Chittas, which are an im-
portant element in the evidence of this
case, could not have been brought into
light.

There can be no doubt that some
fraud has been practised in this case.
The Gumashtah Lakshmana Row seems
to have been the chief obstruction to the
disclosure of these records. He had deposed
in the presence of the Deputy Collector
that both the Nagadi & Shroff's Chittas
were found in the same bundle but that
it was erroneously reported in the Arzi
that the Shroff Chittas only were found.
He has from the very first known the cir-
cumstances of the whole case and the
explanation

29th
Explanation he affords is not for a
moment to be believed. The deceit
practised by him is of a nature seriously
to be noticed, but as this is the first
instance, he is suspended for 3 months.
He should be strictly informed that
any future instance of such malprac-
tice will be seriously dealt with.

One B. Lakshmana Row who
was a gumashta in the Mallur Minor
Estati, should be appointed to act for
Lakshmana Row during his suspension.

(True Copy)

20th December }
1872 }

Signed / D. S. Whiteside
Collector

(True Translation)

Shroff

Collector

Not in Arzi & Arzi
Open 24th August 1873
Chittoor — }

OK

2074

Memorandum 31/11

The accompanying is an offer from Lathbury Row against the order of the Col. of N. West who removed him from service on account of old age. We will forward this to Genl. Butler with our report on his application for pension, which has not yet been received in this Office.

JH
31/11

Wm L
Submit to file
L 1/11

in N° 1162

33rd
H. F. Clogston Esquire

Accountant General Madras

Humble Petition of S. Lutchmunna low pinnasta
Palamur Taluk North Arcot District

Most Respectfully Sheweth

That your humble petitioner
is a servant of 18 years standing in the Depart-
ment and that he has completed 55th year
of his age;

That the Tahsildar of the Taluk seeing that
the petitioner has completed his 55th year by
the end of June last reported the same to
the Collector recommending at the same time
that the petitioner should be retained in
service for 5 years more as he is a long
standing servant of vast revenue ex-
perience. Thereupon the Collector sent orders
that the Tahsildar's request cannot be
completed with and ^{directed} that the petitioner ~~is~~
~~directed~~ to retire as there are several
passed

passed Candidates waiting for employment.

The petitioner having been thus compelled to retire he gave up his appointment on the 30th June last.

The petitioner thereupon appealed to the Board of Revenue who conferred with the Collector and declined to interfere. The petitioner was then obliged to seek redress in Government to whom an appeal was addressed by the petitioner and the Government passed Endorsement No. 614 of 1883 dated 13th

July 83 Copy of which is herewith submitted for your honor's kind perusal.

Now the petitioner begs leave to bring to your honor's kind consideration that he is a servant of more than 18 years service in the department which entitles him to one third pension quite inadequate to meet the expenses of his family.

That though he has completed his 55th year of age he is strong enough to work for 10 years more that he has no other means of subsistence than

this Government appointment which has hitherto been his only livelihood. 35th

That the $\frac{1}{3}$ pension allowance which he would draw if he is made to retire at this premature stage of service is hardly enough for the support of his large family.

The petitioner further begs to bring to your honor's consideration that while there are 10 or 12 servants of more than 55 years of age who are holding appointments in Government service in the district up to the date the reason petitioner sees no reason why he should be specially compelled to retire causing loss to Government by the arrangement while the petitioner is able enough to continue to be in service for more than 10 years.

The petitioner therefore in conclusion prays that your honor may be graciously pleased to view his case with mercy and pass favorable opinion when his pension papers arrive in your honor's hands and protect him.

For this act of charity and kindness

as in July
leg to remain
in
Lion's head (last) servant
J. J. J.

In A-1162

Government of Madras.
Indian (Police) Department
Endorsement. No. 614 of 1883.

The petitioner will be in-
formed that his former
petition has been transferred
to the collector of North
Arcot and that his re-
quest to be retained in
service for a further period
of 5 years, will be con-
sidered when his pension
papers are before
Government

(By Order)
(Signed) A. S. Russell
for ag. Under Secretary to Govt
R.D.

For Saint George
13th July 1883.

(Initials)

2602

To
A. H. Blagden Esq
Accountant
Not heard Sir

The humble petition
of Lakshmanarao Lakshy
Palamnair North West District

On his appeal against
to the Government against the
Proceedings directing him to be
his post of Lakshy Gunasta
been favoured with an order

13th July 1883 No 614 to the
his further stay in the post
for 5 years more will be
on receipt of the pension from
the Collector. The copy of
which has submitted to you
the 25th July 1883 with his


Now the petitioner
the pension papers might

submitted by the collector and therefore
 humbly request that your honor
 be graciously pleased to peruse
 25 papers and to tender him justice
 by recommending to retain his service
 of Government for 5 years more.

Begging to be pardoned for
 writing up on your most valuable
 life.

I beg to remain
 Most honored Sir
 Your honor's most obt. &
 humble servant.

L. S.



No. 1162.

List of men in the Govt. Service whose
 age exceeds 55 years in the North.
 Sheet list.

Names of the Taluqs.		Name of persons.	
1	Treasury & Collector's office	1	Tirumangadam Indu
2	Talugi Taluq.	1	Taluq. Varadarajulu
3	Chendragiri	1	Tringam Indu
4	Tandavath	1	Senkharayya
5	Gurriatun	1	Verakurayya
6	Vellore	1	Anurayya
7	Palamanair	1	Lakshmanan
			(Petitioners)
8	Polur	2	Sekuram (Petitioners)
		1	Chundar Rao
9	Vellore town	2	Samaras
		1	Ratya Indu

28th August 1883

L. S.

PENSION DEPARTMENT,
VERIFICATION BRANCH.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE

Collector of North Arcot

SIR,

With reference to your application for pension on behalf of *Sayer Letchmanan*
Nm, late a Gunmaster of Palmanair Taluk
I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for
want of Nos. *6, 7, and 35*.

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without
delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the
other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

Mr. Chis

Assistant Accountant General,
for Accountant General.

1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.
2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records from which the applicant's services have been verified.
3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the 3rd page of the application herewith returned.
4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence other than privilege or casual leave enjoyed by the applicant.
5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on and for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.
6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity. *vide rule 4 Section 106*
7. Signature of the ~~Applicant~~ *Head of the Office* in the appropriate column of the accompanying *Form of the application*.
8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's suspension in dismissal and of a Last Pay Certificate. *Service Book*.
9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly months in the submission of the application.
10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to years when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the days the applicant established by a certificate.
11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly having ceased to do duty on months.
12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of days.
13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his pension during his lifetime; if so, when he applied and when he died.
14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points:—
- Whether the applicant was in permanent employ of Government.
 - Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.
16. Information as to whether the appointment of _____ in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from _____ to _____ was fully vacant, and whether any person, save the applicant, counted service in it during that period.
17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.
18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.
19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.
20. Certificate to the effect that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, vide Rule 3, Section 99 of the Civil Pension Code.
21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.
22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from _____ to _____ was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.
23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the _____ Annual Return _____ Audit Register _____ for _____ on record in this Office.
24. Exhibition in detail of the dates, months and years of applicant's various appointments, promotions, &c., on the 2nd page of the application.
25. Translation into English of the Vernacular entries in the service book.
26. Information as to the substantive application held by the applicant at the time he was entering as _____
27. Information as to whether on re-employment the applicant refunded the gratuity received by him; if so, please mention the date of such refund.
28. Pension application with erasures cannot be accepted. Forward fresh application.
29. Transmission of an abstract statement duly certified showing the nature of records, which are relied upon for verification of the service claimed from _____
30. Explanation as to whether the applicant voluntarily accepted the post of _____ in his own interest, or his services were transferred in the interests of the public service by competent authority. In the latter case please forward a copy of such authority.
31. Explanation as to whether the applicant was transferred to Foreign Service in _____ in the manner laid down in the C. P. Code, if so, please furnish a copy of the order of Government transferring his services under _____ and state the amount of contribution he has paid during this period.
32. The service of the applicant from _____ to _____ does not appear in the records of this Office. State whether he held any other appointment and from what fund he was paid, or explain the cause of the omission of his name.
33. Reconciliation or explanation of the discrepancy between the dates of termination of the applicant's service as shown in the application and in the Last Pay Certificate.
34. The age of the applicant is under 55 years. Ask the Examining Medical Officer to give detailed reasons for invaliding him and furnish your own special explanation of the grounds on which it is proposed to invalid him.

35. Information of the dates from and up to which the applicant was out of employ in consequence of his dismissal from the Service in August 1880. If he was re-instated in his appointment with the benefit of pay for the period of his non-employment, please state so.

In A-1162
M. A. M.

45
Reply to Accountant General's letter

No. A 28 dated 7th September 1873 on the Pension
95

Application of Sayer Lutchman and Row late
Gumastah of Palmanair Taluq.

No. 6. It will be observed from the explanation given against the heading No. 5 that the applicant was ordered to retire because he had attained 55 years of age and was found inefficient generally in the discharge of his duties and has besides not passed any examination and better qualified men were necessary in the interests of the public service and that he was not found inefficient owing to age or infirmity. The certificate required cannot therefore be given.

No. 7. The application is herewith returned with the signature of the Head of the office in the 1st page.

No. 35. The applicant was out of employment in consequence of his dismissal from the service from the 7th August 1880 to 4th October 1881 and he was re-instated in his appoint-

ment.

appointment with the benefit of pay from the
above period. Copy is enclosed of G.O. Rev.
Department No. 975 dated 24th March 1882 con-
firming the grant of full salary to the appli-
cant for the period in question.

North Arcot Collectors Office
Camp. Madras —
17th September 1883 —

A. Munt.
Collector



No. 162

47^M
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 5th April 1882
No. 2776 Miscellaneous

Read the following

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue, dated 13th March 1882, Miscellaneous
No. 2099, Submitting for orders, with reference to
G.O. dated 12th August 1881 No. 2094 petition
from S. Nathelmana Row of North Arcot re-
questing for arrears of pay due to him for
14 months.

Order Reson 24th March 1882 No 975

Under Section 53, Rule III, para
II of the pay and acting allowance code, the Peti-
tioner will be granted full salary for the period he
was out of employ on the Collector's order of dismissal
cancelled by G.O. dated 12th August 1881 No 2094.

(True Extract)

(Signed) C. G. Maske

Secretary to Government

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following papers:—

From the

Collector of North Arcot of 22nd Aug 1883

Accountant-General, dated

2nd October 1883 No. 260

ORDER THEREON, 17th Oct 1883 No. 946

UNDER Sections 104 109 (4) and 111 of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to *Sayer Patchumana* *Now late Jannasta of* *Palmanur Taluk North Arcot District* a Superannuation pension of Rupees (5 (five)) per mensem

payable at *Palmanur* from 3rd July 1883 in consideration of his qualifying service of *Seventeen* years, *Seven* months and *seventeen* days.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

Collector of North Arcot. request to be
Aug 1883

Name.	<i>Sayer Patchumana</i>
Caste or Race.	<i>Naamiah Mullana</i>
Residence.	<i>Palmanur</i>
FATHER'S Name.	<i>W. aralinga Reddy</i>
Residence.	<i>Palmanur</i>
Date of birth.	<i>28th June 1828</i>

No. 1162

retained in service
cannot be complied
with as the Govt cannot
consider him efficient
(see: 106 Rule 1) in the
face of the Collector's
findings to the contrary
which there is much
on the record to confirm

k

FW
12/

11/10/83.

He seems inefficient &
unsatisfactory. See remarks
in pension application

4p. 62843 d/ 18-9-53.
13-11-13

Govt. of Madras.
PENSION.

To
722/1325-983
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated 17th October 1883
Despd. 24
Recd.

G.O., 17th Oct/83, N 946.

Granting a Super pension of
Rs 5 per mensem to S.
Late Anna Row late
Gunner of Palmanion
Salug North Arcot
District.

The Hon. Mr. Sullivan

Print & des/p

Muzp 11/10/83