

Number of parts 15
Date of repair 11-3-36
for 26
M.R.O. 1-32,400-22-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	8	Press Mis.
Dated	9-1-	18 83.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of applicant .. *Do* .. *Ramasami Naick*
 2. Father's name .. *Do* .. *Ramasami Naick*
 3. Sect or caste *Jenito*
 4. Residence *Jenmangalam*
 5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. } *Runner West Post, Jenmangalam Post Office*
 6. Date of beginning of service *1st August 1865*
 7. Date of ending of service *10th February 1882*
 8. Length of service including breaks
 of which superior
 inferior
 non-qualifying and breaks
 9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, *Invalid Gratuity.*
 and cause of application* *General disability and defective vision (vide Medical History Sheet & Certificate attached).*
 10. [Average] emoluments or pay .. *Rs 5*
 11. Proposed pension *Nil*
 12. Proposed gratuity *Five months' pay - 25 Rupees*
 13. Date from which to commence .. *11th February 1882*
 14. Place of payment *Jenmangalam*
 15. Date of applicant's birth by } *10th February 1830*
 Christian era+
 16. Height *5 ft. 1 in*
 17. Marks *Two moles on the left side of the neck;
 Two moles on inner aspect of right upper arm;
 a mole on the right side of chest; and a tattoo
 mark on inner aspect of left fore-arm*
 18. Date *30th June 1882*

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the service of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.
 + (If not known exactly, must be given on the best information or estimate.)

V. Hanakusabhar Pillai
Superintendent
Inspector of Post Offices,
Trichinopoly Division.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF

Ramachandrasekhar *late Ramachandrasekhar*

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		Rs.	Rs.			Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.			
Dist. Post	Runner	5		1 st Aug 1865	31 st Dec 1873	8 5 7		Not traceable	vide declaration of contemporaneous employees attached	Verified from the records of the Revenue and Forest Department and from the affidavit of the applicant & the evidence of contemporaneous employees as detailed in the Column "How verified".
Do	Do	5		1 st Jan 1874	31 st Dec 1874	1 4 4		That the Runner was entertained in August 1865		
Do	Do	5		1 st Jan 1875	31 st Jan 1875	1 1 1		is proved from the records of the Talsildars Tirmuturga Taluk (vide His N. 220 dated 28 March 82 attached).		
Do	Do	5		1 st Feb 1875	28 th Feb 1875	1 4 4		By the records of the Madura Post Office.		
Do	Do	5		1 st March 1875	18 th Nov 1875	8 18 18				
Do	Do	5		19 th Nov 1875	31 st Dec 1875	1 11 11				
Do	Do	5		1 st Jan 1876	22 nd Dec 1881	5 10 24				
Do	Do	5		24 th Dec 1881	10 th Feb 1882	1 1 1				

1.—As to character and past conduct of applicant.

Good.

2.—Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

None.

3.—Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by applicant. See Chapter XX.

None.

4.—Explanation under Section 50, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the office can give it.

The Applicant is unfit for any other employment.

5.—Any other remarks.

The service claimed has been satisfactorily established, and may be admitted. His service upto the 31 Dec 1873 is proved by contemporaneous evidence, & the subsequent portion, by the records of the Madura Post Office.

Ramachandrasekhar
Inspector of Post Offices,
Trichinopoly Division.

CERTIFICATE and REPORT of ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

Certified that qualifying service in Super duty proved for 16 years 6 months and 10 days. Gratuity not exceeding Rs. 25-0-0 equal to 51-0-0 under Sections 102 and 117 (a) of the Civil Service Act. The calculations have been duly verified. The delay in the submission of this application by the Collector in his reply to this office objected to 31 October 1882. In accordance with Rule 1, under Government is respectfully solicited to be...

Re 11/2/82

2

No. 31

Office of Zillah Surgeon,

Madura, 11 February 1882

Certified that I have carefully examined *Ramaswamy Naik* (son of *Ramaswamy Naik*) ; *Revenue District Post Office Trimmangudi*

in the Madura District,

His Age is according to his own statement *52* years and by appearance about

62 years.

I consider *Ramaswamy Naik*

to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the depart-

ment to which he belongs in consequence of *General Debility and defective vision*

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

William Foster. M.B.

Zillah Surgeon.

*in 1882 kept
N^o 1562*

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

Date of Application. *30 June 1882*

Name of Applicant. *Ramaswami Naik*

Last Appointment. *District Post Runner, Trimmanga*

Class of pension or gratuity. *Deserved Gratuity*

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Temperament — Bilious
 Habit of body. Sparse.
 Habits — Sober.
 Length of Service —
 Residence in India. Since 1842

This Post runner on
appearing before me to be
invalided Complained of
general weakness of the body
with rheumatic pains and
dimness of sight. In appearance
he is prematurely old and
worn out and is suffering
from incipient Cataract
of both eyes. I have therefore
considered him as unfit for
further service and have given
him the accompanying Certificate.

St. Michael's Custer

Mudra

11. Feb: / 82

Frank Surgen

①
H

AFFIDAVIT.

I, Ramasamy Naick
late Runner (Dist. Post) aged 52 years
and son of Ramasami Naick
do hereby solemnly declare upon honor that I
served Government in the Madras Postal Circle
as a runner continuously for the space of 16
years ^{and 10 days} 6 months; that I had no leave during
the entire course of my service with the exception
of from 1st month and 2nd day
(year - month 5, and day 29)
and that I had neither been suspended nor dis-
missed for any misconduct on my part.

The particulars of my service are given in
the margin. They could be corroborated by my
contemporary employes named Raman Sub
and Raman Rao Head Staff
Surumangalam Taluk.

My disconnection from the Department was
owing to my general debility
and defective vision

(Signature) [Signature]
Late Runner (Dist. Post)
Surumangalam

Solemnly affirmed before me this 20th day
of June 1882

Signature of the Judicial
Officer of the District. [Signature]
District Munsif
of Surumangalam

Appointments held.	Names of Offices or Lines to which was attached.	Names of Stages (if the applicant is a runner.)	Pay	Period.*			Remarks.
				From	To	Y. M. D.	
<u>Runner (Dist. Post)</u>	<u>Surumangalam Taluk</u>	<u>Surumangalam Taluk</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Aug 1863</u>	<u>Dec 1878</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>Pay was drawn by the</u>
			<u>5</u>	<u>1st Jan 1878</u>	<u>1st Feb 1878</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>Running duty P.O. and</u>
							<u>drawn under P.O. and</u>
							<u>Surumangalam</u>

* If actual beginning and terminating dates could not be given, it will be sufficient to state approximately the total period during which the applicant was employed in each office or stage.

No. 424—P. M. O. P. R.—29-3-79—300.

Appointments held.	Names of Offices or Lines to which was attached.	Names of Stages (if the applicant is a runner.)	Pay	Period.*			Remarks.
				From	To	Y. M. D.	
Pinner	Sermangulm - Udampet Line	Sermangulm - Sermangulm	5	15 August 1882	31st December 1882	8 5 1883	

DECLARATION.

I, Pinner
son of Pinner
by profession Salug peon
aged 60 years and residing at Sermangulm
do hereby solemnly declare upon honor that I
know Pinner
served Government in the Madras Postal Circle
as a Pinner continuously for the space of 8
years 5 months; that to the best of my know-
ledge and belief he had no leave during the period
in question with the exception of,
None

and that he had neither been suspended nor dis-
missed for any misconduct on his part.

The particulars of his service as far as known
to me are given in the margin. His disconnection
from the Department was owing to his advanced
age general debility & defective
vision

(Here enter any other particulars in
corroboration of the above.)

(Signature) Pinner
Designation Salug peon

Solemnly affirmed before me this 15th day
of June 18 82

Signature of the Judicial
Officer of the District. Pinner

⑩
6

n of Laura Rose

son of Laura Kere

by profession Head Khora, Tulung, Serampah

aged 40 years and residing at Sermungjolen

do hereby solemnly declare upon honor that I

know Rainasamy Swami

served Government in the Madras Postal Circle

as a Runner continuously for the space of 8.

years 5 months ; that to the best of my know-

ledge and belief he had no leave during the period

in question with the exception of, _____

June

and that he had neither been suspended nor dismissed for any misconduct on his part.

The particulars of his service as far as known to me are given in the margin. His disconnection

from the Department was owing to general

Debility and Defective Vision

(Here enter any other particulars in corroboration of the above.)

(Signature) _____

Designation 6000/100

Solemnly affirmed before me this 15th day
of June 1882

Signature of the Judicial Officer of the District. | *[Handwritten Signature]*

* If actual beginning and terminating dates could not be given, it will be sufficient to state approximately the total period during which the applicant was employed in each office or stage.

STATEMENT OF SERVICES of *Ramaswamy Aik* late *Rames (B. S. S.)*
as verified by the records of the *Madura* Post Office. 9

APPOINTMENT.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period.			REMARKS.
					Y.	M.	D.	
<i>Rames</i>	5	"	1 st August 1865	31 st Decr/73	8	5	4	
<i>Do</i>	5	"	1 st Jan'y 1874	31 st Decr/74	1	"	"	
<i>Do</i>	5	"	1 st Jan'y 75	31 st Jan'y 75	1	"	"	
<i>Do</i>	5	"	1 st Feb'y 75	28 th Feb'y 75	1	"	"	Leave
<i>Do</i>	5	"	1 st March 1875	18 th Nov'r 75	8	18		
<i>Do</i>	5	"	1 st Nov'r 1875	31 st Decr 75	1	11		Leave
<i>Do</i>	5	"	1 st Jan'y 76	23 rd Nov'r 1881	5	10	24	
			24 th Nov'r 81	10 th Feb 1882	2	2	11	Leave
					Total 16 5 10			

Madura POST OFFICE, } Form No. 102.
Dated 20th June 1884. } No. 534—P. M. O. P.—6-280-250.
Postmaster.

See A. 156

To be returned with reply

No. ^{A. D. K.} 118

①
8

PENSION DEPARTMENT,
VERIFICATION BRANCH.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
31st October 1882.

From *H. J. Chagstone Esq.*
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS,

To
THE *A. J. Collector of*
Madura.

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for ^{pension}~~gratuity~~ on behalf of *Kamaswami Nayak*
late a Munim, District Post Nirmangalam Post office

I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for want of Nos. 1, 2, 3, 33 and 34

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

H. J. Chagstone

Assistant to the Accountant General,
for Accountant General.

- X 1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.
- 2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records from which the applicant's services have been verified.
- 3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the 3rd page of the application herewith returned.
- 4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence other than privilege or casual leave enjoyed by the applicant.
- 5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on _____ and for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.
- 6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.
- 7. Signature of the Applicant in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book.
- X 8. Transmission of the true copy of the *Correspondence in connection with the applicant's suspension & dismissal* and of a Last Pay Certificate *for 1878 which is not* Service Book. *forfeiting in this office*
- X 9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly *8* ^{months}~~years~~ in the submission of the application.
- 10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to _____ when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the _____
- 11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.
- 12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of _____ days _____ months, the applicant having ceased to do duty on _____
- 13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his ^{pension}~~gratuity~~ during his lifetime; if so, when he applied and when he died.
- 14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

No 1562

15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points:—

(a.) Whether the applicant was in permanent employ of Government.

(b.) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

16. Information as to whether the appointment of _____ in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from _____ to _____ was fully vacant, and whether any person, save the applicant, counted service in it during that period.

17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.

18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.

19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.

20. Certificate to the effect that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, *vide* Rule 3, Section 99 of the Civil Pension Code.

21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.

22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from _____ to _____ was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.

23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the _____ Annual Return _____ for _____ Audit Register _____ on record in this Office.

24. Exhibition in detail of the dates, months and years of applicant's various appointments, promotions, &c., on the 2nd page of the application.

25. Translation into English of the Vernacular entries in the service book.

26. Information as to the substantive application held by the applicant at the time he was entering as

27. Information as to whether on re-employment the applicant refunded the gratuity received by him; if so, please mention the date of such refund.

28. Pension application with erasures cannot be accepted. Forward fresh application.

29. Transmission of an abstract statement duly certified showing the nature of records, which are relied upon for verification of the service claimed from _____

30. Explanation as to whether the applicant voluntarily accepted the post of _____ in his own interest, or his services were transferred in the interests of the public service by competent authority. In the latter case please forward a copy of such authority.

31. Explanation as to whether the applicant was transferred to Foreign Service in _____ in the manner laid down in the C. P. Code, if so, please furnish a copy of the order of Government transferring his services under _____ as _____ and state the amount of contribution he has paid during this period.

32. The service of the applicant from _____ to _____ does not appear in the records of this Office. State whether he held any other appointment and from what fund he was paid, or explain the cause of the omission of his name.

33. Reconciliation or explanation of the discrepancy between the dates of termination of the applicant's service as shown in the application and in the Last Pay Certificate. *that as per records by the records*

34. The age of the applicant is under 55 years. Ask the Examining Medical Officer to give detailed reasons for invaliding him and furnish your own special explanation of the grounds on which it is proposed to invalid him.

of this office. In the Annual list of persons for 1871, the names of persons are not shown in detail, in that for 1872 the Establishment of the Tirumangalam D. is omitted, while the name of one Ramasamy Nayak appears in the Madras Post Office. In the return for 1874, neither the Applicant's name nor the Tirumangalam Post Office is traceable.

34. Superior servants are eligible for counting as service for pension all periods of authorized leave of absence. In the applicant's case, they have been shown as non-qualifying. The necessary correction should therefore be made.

In N-1562

Madras
Collector's office

7th December 1882.

No 3429

2006

From

B. S. Crook Esquire
Ag. Collector of
Madras.

To

H. F. Clogston Esquire
Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. ^{ADK} 118
Dated 31st October 1882 (herewith returned
with its enclosure), I have the honor
to enclose copy of letter from the
Superintendent of Post Offices, Trichy-
nopoly Division, dated 25th November
1882 No. ^C 81 containing the information
required in connection with the
Services of Ramasamy Nayak, late
District Post Receiver, Tirumangalam.

2. As regards No. 9, the delay of
the first 4 months is explained in the
Superintendent's letter and that of the

the next 4 months was due to correspondence between this office and the Postal Department regarding further information required which was received in October 1882. Hence the delay.

3. As regards Nos 8 and 33 of the letter under reply, no list of peons, beyond a nominal Roll showing the number of peons and Runners employed in each Division of the District sent to your office on the 1st April 1871 is forthcoming in the records of this office, as the management of the District Post was transferred to Imperial Postal Department in 1872 under G. O. dated 23rd March 1872, No 503.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most Obedt. Servant.

C. L. V. S.

Ag. Collector.

7/12



No 0
01
Office of Superintendent
of Post Office
Trichinopoly Division.
25 November 1882

25 November 1802

From. The Superintendent of Post Office
Trichinopoly, Division
To. The Collector of
Madura.
Sir,

With reference to your No. 3138 dated
11 November 1882, I have the honor to return herewith
the applications for gratuity on behalf of Ramasamy
Soyak, late Runner District Post-Office Tirumangalam
Dist. Office and to furnish the information called for
by the Accountant General in connection therewith.

1. The country name of the applicant is Tirumangalam and that of his father is also Tirumangalam.

8. Copy of the Bean's list for 1873 is not traceable in the records of the Madras Post Office. It may probably ^{be} obtained from the Office of the District Collector Madras as the District Post Establishment appears to have been then under the control of the Revenue Department. —

9 The applicant's Service terminated on the 10th February 1902 and the application was submitted on the 30th June 1902. The delay, of four months was entirely owing to the difficulty of verifying the Services of the applicant which were partly in the Revenue Department and partly in the Postal Department.

33. This annual list of Honor & Rewards for

Dear Sir, I have
 been the subject of a letter
 from the Society of Friends
 in regard to the matter
 of the proposed new
 arrangement with the
 of the new law, &c.
 At the Council -

Bealys Creek

100429.

Recd 7th
Sept 6th } Received
Paul }

From
H. C. Webster
Hudson
to
The West-India
Hall

for 1871 & 72 were prepared by the Revenue Department, which would doubtless be able to explain in the discrepancies in those lists. In the Return for 1874 which was prepared by the Madura Post-Office, the aggregate numbers of Runners attached to the Tirumangalam Post Office was exhibited as appears from the Office copy of the Return on record in the Madura Post-Office -

34 All periods of authorized leave of absence have been now included in the qualifying service as directed, and the necessary corrections have been made in the application. -

I have &c.

(Signed) R. R. R. Pillai
Superintendent of Post Office
Trichinopoly

(Enclosed)

Est. &c.
Acting Collector

7/12



No. 331

Office of Zillah Surgeon,
Madura, 28 Sept. 1882

Certified that I have carefully examined *Ramasamy Naik* son of
Ramasamy Naik, District
Post-Runner Tirumangalam,
in the Madura District.

His Age is according to his own statement 52 years and by appearance about 32 years.

I consider *Ramasamy Naik*
to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs in consequence of *General Debility*
His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Leonard
Zillah Surgeon.

Madras Collectors Office

13th October 1882.

No 2838
13

From,

The Acting Collector of

To

Madras.
The Accountant General,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an application for gratuity on behalf of Ramasami Nayak, late District Post Runner, Tirumangalam, received from the Superintendent of Post Offices, Trichinopoly Division.

2. It will be seen that the applicant's services from 1st August 1865 to 31st December 1873 have been proved by affidavits (enclosed) of contemporary employees, as no records relating to the period are forthcoming. However, the applicant's entitlement as Runner in August 1865 is proved by the Taluk records.

3. Although the applicant is under

No 1562

No 1562

1560, 1562

14

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following papers:—

From the Collector of Madras dated 13th Oct. 1882 No. 2838.

Accountant-General, dated 20th December 1882 No. 390.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant

[Signature]

For & by Collector



ORDER THEREON, 9th Jan'y 1883 No. 8.

UNDER Sections 102 and 117 of
of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the
Right Honorable the Governor in Council is
pleased to grant to *Srinangalam*
Ramasami Naik late
Raman District Post
Srinangalam Post Office
an *inval's gratuity*
of Rupees (25-0-0)

Twenty five equal to five
months pay

payable at *Srinangalam*

Madras District

in consideration of his qualifying
service of *seventeen* years,

months and *ten* days.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

Collector of Madras

Aug.

Sept 9-83

2/1/83
1560

Madras 1882 42 Sept
From No 1561
to the Account General
Madras
Dated 13th Oct 1882
No 2838
Enclosure 3

Forwarding an application
for gratuity on 16 Oct 1882
Ramasami Naik late 8th
Post Office, Srinangalam
received from the office of the
Collector, Srinangalam District

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated 9- January 1883

Despd. " " "

Recd.

G.O., 9- Jan/83 A-8.

Granting an unpaid gratuity
of Rs 25 to L. Ramasami
a Vaishya late Ramasami
Post Sirimangalam Post
Office -

Desd