

1-46
21-4-26
21.7.3

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	740	Press Mis.
Dated	18. 8. 1881	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

21/7/3

18TH AUGUST 1883, No. 740, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

AD—the following papers :—

From the Collector of Chingleput, dated 28th May 1883.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 4th August 1883, No. A.D.J.-164.

Certified that qualifying service in inferior grades has been duly proved for thirty-six years seven months and sixteen days. It is reported that the applicant resigned his appointment and absented himself on 13th September 1882. He was afterwards sent to the Civil Surgeon, Chingleput, with the view to ascertain whether he was or was not physically fit for further service. The Civil Surgeon certified to the applicant's incapacity on the 25th October 1882. Hence the medical certificate bears a later date than that on which he resigned the appointment. Should Government, however, be pleased to accept this certificate, the applicant may be granted a pension not exceeding Rs. 3 per mensem, equal to half pay, under Sections 102 and 117 (b) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition).

2. The character and past conduct of the applicant are recorded as "Indifferent." It rests, therefore, with Government to make such reduction in the amount of pension admissible to him as it may deem proper with reference to Rule 1, Section 65 of the Code.

3. The delay in the submission of the application is explained by the Collector in the third page.

ORDER—dated 18th August 1883, No. 740, Pension.

Under Sections 102 and 117 (b) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Tirukalikundrum Veerasawmy Naidu, late Peon, Chingleput Taluk, Chingleput District, an invalid pension of Rs. (3) three per mensem, payable at Chingleput from 14th September 1882, in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of thirty-six years seven months and sixteen days.

2. The medical certificate, dated one month and twelve days after the applicant resigned the service, is accepted under Section 128, Rule 2, of the above Code.

3. It is sufficiently established that the applicant did not resign the service within the meaning of Section 97 of the Civil Pension Code, and that he never received the gratuity sanctioned in 1863 for his qualifying service up to that date.

(True Extract.)

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
„ Collector of Chingleput.

Exd. J. Wright.

Gobt. of (1883) Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 18th August 1883, No. 740.

AUGUST.

Pension.

Granting an invalid — of Rs. 3 per mensem to T. Veerasawmy Naidu, late Peon, Chingleput Taluk.

N: 2462

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION.
GRATUITY.


1. Name of Applicant. *Tirukalikundrum Veerasawmy Naidu*
2. Father's Name. *Tirukalikundrum Venkatasawmy Naidu*
3. Race, Sect and Caste. *Hindu, Sudra, Kavarai*
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. *Chingleput, Chingleput Taluk, Chingleput District.*
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. *Peon, Chingleput Taluk, Chingleput District.*
6. Date of beginning of service. *1st November 1842.*
7. Date of ending of service. *13th September 1882*
8. Length of service, including interruptions.

Y.	M.	D.
39	10	15
—	—	—
36	7	17
3	2	28
- of which superior
- inferior
- non-qualifying and interruptions
9. Class of { pension } applied for, and cause of application. *Invalid pension See 102 & 117 (a) Civil Pension Code, 5th Edition.*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rs 6 — —*
11. Proposed pension. *Rs 3 — —*
12. Proposed gratuity. *Nil.*
13. Date from which pension to commence. *14th September 1882.*
14. Place of payment. *Chingleput Taluk Treasury.*
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† *1822.*
16. Height. *5 feet and 2 inches.*
17. Marks. *Marks of wound in the left thigh, a wart on the right cheek and another on the right side of the nose.*
18. Date. *28th May 1883.*

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.
† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

Redmond Rice

Collector.
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.



HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Tirukalikulam Narayana Rao

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	RS. A. P.	RS.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Chingichur Collectorate. Chingichur.	Deon	3 8	"	"	"	1 Nov 7 1842	8 Sept 1851	8 10 8	A.	At this period of service has been verified from the records of the Collector's office Chingichur.	A. This period of service has been verified from the records of the Collector's office Chingichur.	It is stated that for this period of service a gratuity of Rs 226 was sanctioned to the applicant in G. O. No 779 dated 6.8. 183 but that it was not paid as he did not claim it. It is a fact that the applicant and the evidence of contemporary employees.
	Do	5	"	"	"	9 Sept 1851	15 May 1860	8 8 7				
	Do	6	"	"	"	16 May 1860	24 June 1863	3 1 9				
	Do	6	"	"	"	25 June 1863	27 July 1879	16 1 3				
	Do	6	"	"	"	28 July 1879	3 Aug 1879	- - -				
	Do	6	"	"	"	4 Aug 1879	16 April 1882	2 8 "				
Do	Do	6	"	"	"	15 April 1882	26 May 1882	- - -	B.	This period of service has been verified from the records of the Collector's office Chingichur.	B. This period of service has been verified from the records of the Collector's office Chingichur.	The verification of the service from 1860 has been confirmed by reference to the Annual Reports on record in this office.
	Do	6	"	"	"	27 May 1882	13 Sept 1882	3 7 4				
Total period of service								36 7 15	3 2 28			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

- As to character and past conduct of Applicant.
- Explanation of any suspension or degradation.
- Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XVIII.

Though a gratuity of Rs 25 was sanctioned to the applicant in common with others the same out of employ by the introduction of Mr. Kelly's scheme, he did not receive it. The translation of affidavits enclosed. The records relating to this period have been destroyed.

4. Explanation under Section 100, Rule 1, insofar as the head of the office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

The applicant is inefficient owing to old age, debility and failing eyesight. His service Register, last pay and Medical Certificate, accompany. The delay in the submission of this application is partly explained by the Sub Collector - Vide extract from his letter No 231 dated 6 March 1883 enclosed. Further information had then been called for from the Secretary, Deputy Collector and the Asst. Sub Collector, the reply from the latter was received only on the 14 Instant.

6. Specific opinion of Head of office whether the service claimed is well established by records and should be admitted.

The service claimed has been established by records and should be admitted.

Chingichur District, Coimbatore office
Saidapur 28 May 1883
Sd/-
Collector

12/12/04

B

1 P 1881

1

56

12/12/04
1881

1

of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. (See Rule 6 under Section 84.)

SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

20

commencing on 1st September

was not physically fit for further service. The Civil Surgeon certified to the applicant's incapacity on the 20th October 1882. When the Medical Certificate bears a later date than that on which he resigned the appointment, should Government however be pleased to accept this Certificate, the applicant may be granted a pension not exceeding Rs 3.00 per mensem equal to 1/2 pay under Section 102 and 107 (b) of the Civil Pension Code & 1st edition.

The character and past conduct of the applicant are recorded as "Indifferent." It needs to be said with Government to make such reduction in the amount of pension admissible to him as it may deem proper with reference to Rule 1 Section 85 of the Code.

The delay in the submission of the application is explained by the Collector in the 3rd page.

For Assistant General, } The Under Secretary to Government
Acting General, } Pension Department.
4th August 1882.

W. H. D. H. R. C.
Asst. Accountant General
for Accountant General.

Pension 1883 Sept
N. 905.

Jan 10

APPLICATION FOR ☐ PENSION OR ☒ GRATUITY

Date of application. 28th May 1883.

Name of applicant. J. W. Warrington - N. 905.

Last appointment. 1st Lieut. Col. 1st Regt. 1st Div.

Class of ☐ pension or ☐ gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With order 7400983

From

The Collector of
Chingleput

To

Deputy Commissioner,
General Land Revenue

Dated 28 May 1883

Page 30

No. 2462

Encls. 8.

Provision of allocation
on behalf of Venkataswamy
Naidu, Calicut -
Chingleput Taluk.

Extract from the Chingleput subsidiary
jail visit book.

Jail not so clean, as it might be,
the end ward was especially untidy with
a lot of disinfectant powder strewn on
the floor for no purpose whatever.

The arms were well kept though
some of the handcuffs might have been
a little better furnished. I caught the
warder telling me an unblushing lie. He
persistently maintained that two different
sets of entries in the jail Register in two
different hands were both in his hand
but he could not long support the
assertion as, when he was asked to write a
few words as a specimen, it was clear that
the writer of that could not have made
either of the entries which he had claimed
as his. He is therefore suspended for a
week, and the Tahsildar (2nd Class) Magistrate
is requested to nominate some one to act
for him, sending however an experienced person
from his permanent staff to the sub-jail
as warder.

(87) P. Ratnavelu Chetty
Asst Magistrate.

(True Extract)

(88) C. Raja Laxmi
2nd Class (Taluk) Magistrate.

(True Copy)

A. G. Cordew

Assistant Collector
for Collector

No 257

Takud.

From

H.R. Farmer Esquire,

of: Joint Magistrate,
Chingleput.

To

H.R.R.

C. Raju Sastri

2^d Class Magistrate of
Chingleput.

Read your arzi no $\frac{50}{13.4.82}$ on the memorial side and the punishment roll submitted therewith.

Pon Veerasawmy Naicken (warden), is a thoroughly inefficient old man and his conduct in the case referred to in the above, is most suspicious. He is hereby suspended for 6 weeks. It was his duty to produce the key, when he professes to have received it.

Chingleput, }
15 April 1882 }

(By) A. F. Cox
of: Joint Magistrate.

(True Copy)

(By) C. Raju Sastri
2^d Class Magistrate.

(True Copy)

A. G. Cardew

Assistant Collector
for Collector

1792

100

Before Raju Sastri Avergul, Tahsildar of
the Chingleput Taluk.

Statement (Kypath) given on solemn
affirmation by (1) Arigulu Naiken & (2) Subban,
Peon & sweeper, respectively of the Chingleput
Taluk.

Peon Veerisammy Naiken, who has put
in his application for pension was thrown
out of employ on the introduction of
Mr. Pelly's scheme. Subsequently he was
again entertained in service in 1863. As
he had been thrown out at first, an
order was passed that a certain sum
should be paid to him. We say on oath
that he did not receive that amount
since somebody had told him that
he could not count his (former) service.
The foregoing statement is what we
have given on oath. We have heard it
read. It is correct.

30 April 1883.

(Sd) Arigulu Naiken

(Sd) Subban.

"Given on solemn affirmation before
me".

(Sd) C. Raju Sastri
Tahsildar.

(True translation)

And Cardew

Asst. Collector for P.

Collector.

in 1893

88

Before Raja Sastriyar Avesul, Tahildar of the Chingleput Taluk.

Statement (Myfeah) given on solemn affirmation by Virasawmi Naidu, late a Peon of the Chingleput Taluk.

I was thrown out of employ on the introduction of Mr. Pelly's scheme. Subsequently, in 1863, I was re-entertained in service. As I was thrown out an order was issued in the Gazette that a certain sum should be paid to me. I did not receive the amount. If (1) Peon Aiyulu Naicken & (2) Sweeper Subban, who were co-employees with me both when I was thrown out and when I was subsequently entertained be examined (the matter) can be learnt. The foregoing statement is what I have given on oath. I have heard it read. It is correct.

30 April 1883.

(By) Virasawmi Naidu

"Given on solemn affirmation before me"

(Signed) C. Raja Sastri
Tahildar.

(True translation)

A. G. Cardew

Asst Collector
for Collector.

87

No. 86.

Civil Dispensary Chingleput,

Oct 25. 1882

CERTIFICATE.

17
25 OCT 1882

Certified that I Surgeon H. Trailor M.D. have carefully
examined Veerasawmy Naidu, son of Veerasa
Sawmy Naidu, in the Taluk Cutcherry, Chingleput. His
age is, by his own statement, 60 years, and by appearance, about 60 years. I consider
Veerasawmy Naidu, peon, Taluk Cutcherry Chingleput
to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind
in the Department to which he belongs,
in consequence of old age, Debility & failing eyesight. His incapacity does not appear
to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

H. Trailor M.D.
SURGEON,

Zillah Surgeon, Chingleput.

In 1893

N^o 231

Sub Collector's office Chingleput

Camp Madduratakum

Dated 6 March 1883

From

The Asst. Sub Collector
Chingleput.

To The Collector
Chingleput District.

Sir,

3. In reply to query, 29, I beg to state that the applicant was one of the peons to be transferred to the Conjevaram Taluq. that he declined to go there and on the 13 September presented his resignation and has absented himself ever since. He was thus not removed from service on the date mentioned. As however the peon alleged that his sight was weak and requested that he might be sent to the Civil Surgeon for examination, the latter officer was addressed and

and he furnished him with a certificate on the 25th October.

The certificate thus obtained was sent to the Tahsildar with instructions to send up the usual application for pension. The Tahsildar on receipt of the order applied to the Treasury Dy Collector on the 10th November for the Lost Pay Certificate and having obtained it on the 17th submitted the Pension application on the 18th; the same was transmitted from this office on the 27th Idem.

4. In reply to query 42. I have the honor to state that the Tahsildar reports in his Arzi No. $\frac{677}{31-12-82}$ that there is nothing on record in his office to show that any gratuity had been paid to the applicant.

The peon however says (Vide his statement enclosed) that Rs 25 was sanctioned as gratuity but that he did not receive the same

5. I regret the delay which has occurred in replying to your reference quoted above and which has been unavoidable.

When sending the pension application on the 18th November the Tahsildar said he had sent for the service register from the Treasury Dy Collector. It was thus presumed that it had been previously verified by that officer. From the correction noted in it, it will be seen that the register has undergone a further scrutiny and I received this reverified register only on the 24th ultimus.

I have the honor to be
Signed / E. J. Sewell
as Sub Collector.

[The Extract]

A. G. Cardew

Asst. Collector for
Collection

MS

To be returned with ref

23

in DEPARTMENT.

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office,
18th June 1883.

From

W. Donald Esq.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE

Collector of
Chingleput

SIR,

With reference to your application for pension on behalf of *V. Thevaraswamy Nayudu* late *Pon Chingleput Taluk*, I have the honor to enquire as follows:—

- (a) Why it was considered necessary to obtain affidavits to prove the fact that the applicant did not draw the gratuity sanctioned for his service rendered up to May 1860 instead of verifying it from office records such as Bell books or *Crancher*
- (b) quote the number and date of the Government order sanctioning the gratuity
- (c) When the applicant resigned his appointment on 13 September 1852, was the effect of such resignation explained to him as required by Government Order No. 31 dated 18 January 1857 Pension Department. Please forward copy of his letter tendering resignation of his appointment.

W. Donald Esq.

(a) Could there have been any objection
to permit the applicant on 13 September 1932
on ground of inefficiency and old age had
he not resigned his post

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Yours obedient servant

W. H. M. M.
Asst. Accounts Genl
for Accounts Genl

Q 43233
20/10/33

W. H. M. M.

No. 3661.

CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT,
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
SADALET, 16 July 1933.
Dr 20/2

FROM

J. F. Price Esquire,
COLLECTOR OF THE
CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT.

TO

W. Donald Esquire,
Off. Accountant General to the
SECRETARY TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE,
Government of Madras, MADRAS.

SIR,

*
has been returned.

Adverting to your letter, Pension
Department, No. A. D. H. dated 18 ultimo,
I have the honor to reply seriatim to the
questions raised therein.

(a) The records (Pension Pay abstracts
and Treasury accounts) relating to this
period having been destroyed, it was not
possible to refer to them and hence
the necessity for affidavits - Vide
Remark 3 in the Pension Application
and clause 5, Section 127, C. P. Code, 5th Edition.

(b) A gratuity to the applicant
of Rs. 25 was sanctioned in common with
others thrown out of employ by the intro-
duction of Mr. Pell's Scheme (Vide G.O.
No. 10/10/33)

N^o 229 dated 6 August 1863.

(C) In regard to this query, the Tahsildar says that not being aware of the order on the subject, he did not explain to the applicant the effect of his resignation, but from the document put in by Veerasawmy Aidoor, a translation of which is enclosed, it will be seen that ~~the~~ the applicant was under no misapprehension on the point.

(d) The medical certificate is, I presume, sufficient to answer this question. The man's infirmities could hardly have been the growth of a few days. He was certainly inefficient owing to old age before the 13 of September 1862. I can personally speak to this point.

2. The whole matter of the retirement is explained by the following facts.

The applicant sent in a resignation to the Tahsildar on the 13 September and absented himself from work. He was sent up to the Zillah Surgeon, who ~~ex~~ certified, on the 25 October, that he

was

was unfit for further service and he was thereupon removed with effect from the 13 September, the date upon which he had tendered his resignation and absented himself.


I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

Redmickles

Collector



J.R.

To
 M. R. Dy. Raju Asbhar Shergab,
 Tahsildar of the Chinglapet
 Taluk.

Application for pension respect-
 fully presented by Virasawani Saide,
 a peon of the aforesaid Taluk is as
 follows:—

I was entertained in this
 Taluk in 1842 and have been, since that
 time till now, in service and living
 thereby. In future, I shall be unable
 to serve for my sight fails me in the
 evenings and I feel unable to walk
 owing to the weakness of my legs
 from bilious humours. Also my memo-
 ry fails me.

Under these circumstances and
 in consideration of the state of
 my health, I pray that the Court
 will be graciously pleased to send
 me for the inspection of the Jilalah
 Surgeon and to pass orders immedi-
 ately about my pension.

13th September/52 / Signed / Deo Virasawani
 Saide
 / True translation /
 C. H. Kett
 Deputy Collector for Collector

ST

From
 the Secretary
 Chinglapet

To the Tahsildar
 Chinglapet
 Taluk

Received 16 Aug/52
 No. 202

St. B. 11/1
 C. 2

Transmitting to the
 Tahsildar for
 his consideration
 the petition of the
 peon of the
 Taluk of Chinglapet
 for a pension
 to the Peon Chinglapet
 Taluk

12

Am. Legation
in the City of
St. Petersburg
Russia

Jan 1905

X

RECEIVED BY THE POST OFFICE AT CHINGLEPUT, DISTRICT OF MADRAS, ON 11/11/1879.

9

3

Certified that the service of this Individual has
been duly verified and found correct up to *31st December*
187*8*.

Chingleput District,
Deputy Collector's Office,
Saidapet, *22nd June 1879* }

P. A. Comp. Secy

Dy Collector.
In charge of Treasury.

FATHER'S THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS

1 க	2 உ	3 ஈ	4 ச	5 ஊ	6 சு	7 ஊ
Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ ஆகும்.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புத்தேமனம் வதிலாகமாத் திரமிருத்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	Pay. சம்பளம்.	Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	Date of appointment. தேமன் தேதி.	Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன்கை யெழுத்து.

8 அ	9 க	10 கஓ	11 கக	12 கஉ
Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன்கையோக்கியதை. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed. நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டியதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆட்சுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவு பெறுகிற தேதி.	Reason of termination, e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent. திராஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னத்தினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆட்சுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.

SERVICE BOOK
சர்வீஸ் புஸ்தகம்.

OF

Name.
பெயர்.

Caste or Race.
ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம்.

Residence.
இருப்பிடம்.

FATHER'S
பெயர்.
Name.
பெயர்.
Residence.
இருப்பிடம்.

Date of birth.
தேதி.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

READ the following papers:—

From the

Collector of *Chingput* dated 28th Aug 1883

Accountant-General, dated

4th August 1883. *P. T. O.*

10/10
Character indifferent: but the
applicant's offices do not seem of
such a character as to justify
a reduction in a pension earned
by a service of - in all - nearly 40 years.
As to delay there was apparently
considerable difficulty in
working up applicant's service
as a gratuity was sanctioned
in 1863 proof had to be obtained
that he had as he alleged
not received it.

ORDER THEREON,

18th Aug 1883 *P. T. O.*

UNDER Sections 112 and 117
of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the

Right Honorable the Governor in Council is

pleased to grant to *Mr. Hari Sundaram*

Ver ras ammy - Vaidya Jate

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

Chingput - *Chingput* - *Chingput*

To the Accountant-General, with Register

Collector of Chingput

Aug.

1.10.16c Despt 27/8/83.

P. T. O.

Gobt. of (1883) Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

18th August 1883

Despd.

Recd.

G.O., 18th Aug 83. No. 40.

Granting

An *inam* pension
of Rs 3/- per mensem to
S. Veevaswamy Varadar
at Pon Gungupet
Taluk -

Th. J. Sullivan

Printed & signed

Not shown to Manager
before being sent 15/8/83
16-1

15/8/83

It is sufficiently established
that the applicants did
not resign the service
within the meaning of
Sec: 97 of the Civil Pension
Code and that he never
received the gratuity sanctioned
in 1863 for his ^{qualifying} service up
to that date.

by
11/viii/83.

The