

1. Number of pages, 6
2. Date of receipt, 22-4-31
3. Initials of Receiver, J. J. J.

M.R.O. 1-82 400-22-11-90

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

700.

Press
Mis.

Dated

7-8-

1883.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

BOARD OF REVENUE, 29TH MARCH 1883, No. 876.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

READ—the following letter from J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., President, Local Fund Boards, Tinnevely, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tinnevely, 8th January 1883, No. 4:—

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to move the Board of Revenue to favor me with their orders on the following points:—

- (1) In the case of officials who are entertained for the first time under the Local Fund Board without any previous qualifying service, such as Clerks, Sub-Overseers transferred from the Department of Public Works, &c., and entertained in both cases prior to 20th May 1881, and entitled to the benefit of pension from Local Funds under the old rules, is the contribution for pension and leave allowances necessary if they are promoted to appointments newly created under Act IV of 1871 subsequent to 20th May 1881, such as Auditors in the Presidents' Offices, Supervisors in the Local Fund Engineering Staff, &c., such appointments under Local Funds not having been recognized formerly as pensionable though they are so at present as regards men in the service of Government?
- (2) Are such servants liable to the examination rules in case of promotions to higher appointments recently created under the Local Fund Board?
- (3) In the case of Pay, Acting, and Leave Allowances of the Local Fund Engineering Officers and Subordinates, are the rules in the Leave and Acting Allowance Codes for Uncovenanted Civilians and Ministerial Officers in the Revenue Establishment applicable to such cases, or those of the same Codes that relate to the Public Works Department under Government service?
- (4) If the first question be decided in the affirmative, I beg to point out that it is the Local Fund Board that will have to pay contributions for securing the services of good and capable men under the revised rules of the Board of Revenue, and such contribution will have to be credited to Local Funds under their own control. A Board paying a contribution to its own credit seems to me to be rather absurd in principle.

Reference on the above, dated 12th February 1883.

The Accountant-General is requested to be good enough to state his opinion on the several points raised by the Collector.

(Signed) J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Accountant-General,
with the President's letter, in original, to be returned.

READ—the following letter from H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Fort St. George, 12th March 1883, No. L.F.I.A-703:—

In reply to Board's Reference on General Register No. 179 of 1883, I have the honor to record below my opinion on the several points raised by the President of the Tinnevely Local Fund Board:—

- (1) As to whether in the case of persons transferred from Local Fund service qualifying for pension under G.O., No. 1915, dated 6th October 1881, to appointments not provided for "under the old conditions" arising, that is, out of the transfer of Local Fund Public Works to Local Fund Agency, such as Auditors, Local Fund Supervisors, &c., the payment of contribution is necessary to render service in such appointments qualifying. I consider that the payment of contribution is necessary, such appointments not being considered by this office to fall under G.O., No. 1915, dated 6th October 1881, since they did not exist "under the old conditions." The contribution would be credited to Local Funds under a head specially provided for the purpose, such pensions being of necessity chargeable to Local Funds; nor is there, I think, any absurdity involved in such an arrangement as the President suggests. The contribution would be paid by the employé and not by the Local Fund Board as surmised by the President, unless the Local Fund Board were to raise the employé's pay in such manner as to provide for his payment of contribution,—an arrangement, I would observe, which should be discouraged since it virtually defeats the purposes of Government in determining or rather sanctioning the salaries of the Local Fund employés in question.
- (2) As to whether such Local Fund employés are subject to the ordinary examination rules. All Local Fund employés are considered by this office to have been exempted from the usual tests of qualifications under the terms of G.O., Financial Department, No. 185, dated 31st January 1882.
- (3) As regards the application of the rules of the Leave and Acting Allowance Codes to members of the Local Fund Engineering Establishments, I consider that those rules would be applicable to them, which are now considered to apply to corresponding posts in the Public Works Department proper.

RESOLUTION—dated 29th March 1883, No. 876.

Communicated to the President, Local Fund Boards, Tinnevely District.

2. The Board concur with the Accountant-General's opinion on all the points raised.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the President, Local Fund Boards, Tinnevely District.
" Accountant-General.

Copy to the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department.

Exd. T. O'Connor

Order thereon 16th May 1883 No 976 M

Recorded

/True Extract

M. M. M.

Asst. Secy.

The Pension Department

Order

1883.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

Printed April 6th.

Proceedings, 29th March 1883, No. 876.

Communicating to the President, Local Fund Boards, Tinnevely, opinion of the Accountant-General on several points raised by him as regards, *pension &c.*, of certain *sercants* under *Local Fund Boards*.

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

Order from 7th Aug 83. No 500

B.O. Recorded. 16

27/7/83.

To

No 14

The Pension Dept

Proceedings, dated 16 May 1882.

No.

976

Subject.

Recording Pro. of the Brd of
Rev. Commg. & the Pres. of L. F. R.
Jointly, Accountant General's
opinion on several points
raised by him as regards
pensions of certain servants
under L. F. Board.

W.A.

D. S. S.

With one order

There seems no question on which
any doubt could exist. Since 20th May
1881 L. F. service is non-qualifying
except for those servants who were
qualifying under the old rule.

B.S.O. no 97 : 164
B.O. 6th Oct 81 : 1915
25th May 82 no: 383

Therefore when such
servants are appointed
to qualifying service
they do not pay
pensionary contribution, when to non-
qualifying service, they do not must
of the appts newly created under Act 16 of 1881
which are qualifying ~~then~~ ^{and} ~~non-qualifying~~
and which are non-qualifying
is clearly laid down by

21st July 1881 no 1339 L.F.O13th Dec 1881 no: 7429