

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Education DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <i>278</i>	Press Mis.
Dated <i>18-11-30</i>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

A5

B5

A4

B4

A3

B5

27-6-57

To His Excellency the Governor in Council
Madras

The humble Petition of K.
Sukumagum Moddelliar, late
Head Throff Deputy Collector's
Office, Coimbatore.

May it please your Excellency,

Your Petitioner entered the service of
Government in February 1854, as a clerk in the
Marine Board, Madras. By his perseverance
and usefulness in the Office, he rose gradually
to a salary of Rupees 25, and, during his tenure
of Office, was unfortunately denied of a certain
promotion to which he was eligible, as by the arrange-
ment made in the department your Petitioner was
superseceded, whereby your Petitioner was necessitated
to give up the appointment in July 1859, after a
service of 15 1/2 years nearly.

On the introduction of Income Tax in
Madras, your Petitioner was admitted in the In-
come Tax Office in May 1862 as Throff on a
salary of Rupees 20; in this capacity he continued
to serve faithfully for about 5 1/4 years and, during
his tenure of Office in the department, the late
Mr. Munson, who was in charge of the Treasury
at Coimbatore, being fully aware of his honesty
and trustworthiness, was pleased to confer upon
your Petitioner the post of a Head Throff
in his department, the various and responsible
duties of which office your Petitioner discharged

satisfactorily to the last.

3. In November 1880 your Petitioner attained 60 years of age, and, in terms of the G. O. directing the retention in service of persons of that age, they being found quite capable physically and mentally of discharging the duties, Mr. Wilson, Director of Revenue Settlement, Madras, and the then Collector of Coimbatore, personally being convinced of the honesty and usefulness of your Petitioner in the department, was pleased to recommend to Government the retention of your Petitioner in service, which the Government were pleased to sanction accordingly.

4. Ever since submitting his annual certificate of fitness for the service, your Petitioner continued to serve as Head Throff till 20 Jan'y/83, when the District Collector compelled your Petitioner to retire from the service. The spirit of the G. O. requiring the retirement from service of persons over 60 years of age, is not to force the retirement of a servant from service, when he is well able and fit, both in body and mind, to serve longer. This privilege and free use of discretion in the case, were denied to your Petitioner by the District Collector and Head to your Petitioner's misfortune, the District Collector paid no consideration whatever to the past and faithful services of your Petitioner in the several Departments wherein he served. He submitted to Government through the Accountant General your Petitioner's service Register recommending him for gratuity only.

The Accountant General on receipt of papers in the matter, called upon the District

Collector to reconsider your Petitioner's case and submit a confidential report from the District Surgeon as to whether the Petitioner possesses any normal prospects of life or not. The Sub-Collector being in charge of the District when the said Accountant General's letter reached the office, your Petitioner was sent for, and the Sub-Collector, on a perusal of all papers regarding your Petitioner's services, being thoroughly convinced, that there is no objection to the grant of whatever pension or gratuity that the Accountant General thinks your Petitioner is eligible under the Pension Code, reported to the Accountant General that he sees no objection to recommend your Petitioner to whatever pension or gratuity your Petitioner is eligible under the rules.

5. Accordingly your Petitioner learns that the Accountant General had been pleased to recommend to Government that your Petitioner is eligible to a pension of $\frac{1}{2}$ his salary in case the Local Government are pleased to condone the interruption in your Petitioner's service or to a pension of $\frac{1}{3}$ of his salary, to be sanctioned by the India Government (the service in the Income Tax department being temporary) in case of your Petitioner's services in the Income Tax and the Collectorate departments only are to be approved.

6. To his misfortune a G. O. sanctioning the grant of a gratuity of Rupees 550 only in return for a faithful service of nearly 31 years, was shown to your Petitioner in the Collector's Office and your Petitioner was directed to draw the same from the Accountant General's Office at Madras.

8. Your Petitioner therefore prays that your Excellency in Council will be graciously pleased to review favorably your Petitioner's case as a special one and, after a mature consideration of your Petitioner's long and faithful services in 3 different Departments for $15\frac{1}{2}$ years, $3\frac{1}{4}$ years, and 12 years and 5 months respectively, exercise in your Petitioner's case, the clemency vested in your Excellency under clause (b) Section 98 of the Civil Pension Code, and condone the interruption which had unfortunately occurred in your Petitioner's service, as the pension, for which your Petitioner craves your Excellency's sanction, does not exceed Rupees 50 a month and is a provincial charge.

9. Your Petitioner further prays that your Excellency will in consideration of all the foregoing circumstances, be pleased to sanction to your Petitioner the grant of a pension $\frac{1}{2}$ his salary or to recommend your Petitioner's case to the Government of India for the grant of a pension of $\frac{1}{3}$ of his salary. In either case your Petitioner is prepared to refund the gratuity already awarded to him.

For which act of kindness your Petitioner will ever pray.

R. H. (Hassam) Hussain
District Head Sheriff
Collector, Ferozepore

27th June 1893
Hassam Hussain
District Head Sheriff
Collector, Ferozepore

5530-1-22 455

[8]

PETITION DEPARTMENT.
(Pension BRANCH)

N^o 766

G.O. No 17- July/83 - 4628

The petitioners request
is inadmissible. *W*

W 6/7/83

W 7-7-83
W

He was granted the
gratuity due by G.O. 30th May
1883 no: 455. Mr Wilson
wrote about him & the Hon:
member after reconsidering
the case said "It could be
done."

W

From
K. Arumugam Sundaram

Occupation Late H^d Sheriff
Village Sq. Collectors off
Taluk Coimbatore.
District

Dated 27th June } 1883.
Received 2nd July }
Enclosures Nil.

(Link from order)
(see memo b. 45)
SUBJECT.

Prays for the condona-
tion of the interruption
in his service and for
the grant of a pension in
lieu of the gratuity of Rs. 500
already sanctioned for him.

For G.O. 22/7/83
Wilson order