

Number of pages 16

Date of repair 17-3-36

Details of Repairs

M.R.O. 1-32400-22-11-30

17-3-36

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3074308 Press
Mis.

Dated 16-4-1883.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17-8-36

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From R. LOGAN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Calcutta, 20th February 1883, No. 915.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt, with your endorsements, Nos. 83 and 84, dated 1st February 1883, of the Madras Statements of Pensions and Gratuities for the quarter ended 31st December 1882, and, with reference to Statement XII, to point out that the information required to be inserted in columns 6 to 9 of the Statement has not been given in respect to Triplicani Ragavalu Nayak to whom an extraordinary pension has been granted. I am to request that the omission may be supplied.

2. With reference to entry No. 4 in Statement VIII, I am desired to observe that Srinivasa Iyen entered the service of Government after the 20th January 1871, and after he attained the age of twenty-five years; and, as he was more than fifty-five years old when he was discharged, it would appear that his incapacity for further service was due to old age or natural decay from advancing years, and that his invalid gratuity was, therefore, liable to reduction under the operation of the Note under Section 109 of the Civil Pension Code. I am to request that the Government of India may be informed whether there are any grounds for holding that the gratuity was not liable to reduction under the rule referred to.

3. With reference to entry No. 24 in Statement VIII, I am to point out that, for a service of five years, Shaikh Jalal is entitled to a gratuity of Rs. $(7 \times 5 =) 35$, and not Rs. 25 as entered in column 10 of the Statement.

4. With reference to entry No. 1 in Statement IX, I am to observe that, from the length of V. Ramaswami's service as shown in column 8 of the Statement, it would appear that his qualifying service began after the 20th January 1871, and after he attained the age of twenty-five years. His superannuation gratuity should, therefore, have been reduced in accordance with the proviso under Section 111 of the Civil Pension Code. I am to request that the Government of India may be informed why V. Ramaswami was exempted from the operation of the rule referred to.

No. 12.

Referred to the Accountant-General in view to the explanation called for being furnished.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
28th February 1883.

(Signed) J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General,
with original letter, to be returned.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 21st March 1883, No. I.A.E.-521.

Pension Department.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 12, dated the 28th February 1883, referring to me for report a letter from the Government of India on the Statements of Pensions and Gratuities for the quarter ending 31st December 1882, I have the honor to state that Ragavalu Nayak was not employed as a permanent servant of Government, but was engaged as a casual bricklayer to paint the Pulicat Light-house. While so employed, he sustained injuries of a nature such as to be likely to interfere with his occupation as a bricklayer, and the pension granted him was out of compassion. Hence, the information required to be inserted in columns 6 to 9 as regards the ending of his service, character, total period of service, and his emoluments, could not be furnished.

2. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Government of India's letter, I beg to state that I have no explanation to furnish except to refer Government to the reasons adduced in this office report, No. A.D.J.-257, dated the 23rd September 1882, on the application for gratuity on behalf of Srinivasa Iyen.

3. With regard to paragraph 3 of that letter, I have to state that Shaikh Jalal's incapacity for further service was certified by the Medical Department to be due to his irregular or intemperate habits. It is on that account, I presume, that Government granted him a gratuity of Rs. 25, instead of Rs. 35 the amount stated in this office report to be admissible. The necessity for a reference by the Government of India on the point would have been avoided, had a note been inserted in the column of "Remarks" in the Statement, and I regret the omission.

4. With reference to the inquiry made in paragraph 4, I beg to invite the attention of Government to paragraph 2 of their Order, No. 948, dated the 6th October 1882, from which it will be seen that Ramaswami's qualifying service has been calculated from 18th April 1866, and not from any date after the 20th January 1871 as reckoned by the Government of India.

5. The endorsement under acknowledgment and its enclosure are herewith returned.

ORDER—dated 16th April 1883, No. 307, Pension.

Ordered that the Accountant-General's letter be forwarded to the Government of India with reference to their communication read above.

2. With respect to the case of Srinivasa Iyen the Government observe that his incapacity was assigned to a specific cause and was not due to old age or decay from advancing years. In these circumstances, it would appear his invalid gratuity was not liable to reduction under the operation of the rule quoted.

3. The Accountant-General's presumption as to the reason for the reduced gratuity passed to Shaikh Jalal is correct, and his citation of paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 948, dated 6th October 1882, explains how V. Ramaswami's service was counted from a date prior to the 20th January 1871. This individual's dismissal in 1867 was set aside by Government, and he was reinstated in terms which leave no room for doubt that it was intended that his past service should count for pension.

(True Extract.)

To the Accountant-General.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

ENDORSEMENT—dated 16th April 1883, No. 308, Pension.

Copy to the Government of India, Financial Department, with reference to their letter, No. 915, dated the 20th February 1883.

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

Exd. E. Fernandez.

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., &c., 16th April 1883, Nos. 307,
308.

APRIL.

Statement of Pensions and
Gratuities.

Forwarding to Government of India, letter from
the Accountant-General furnishing certain expla-
nation regarding certain entries in the — for the
quarter ending 31st December 1882.

No. 915

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND COMMERCE.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Port St. George,
Pension Department.

Calcutta, the 20th February 1883.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt,
with your endorsements Nos 83 and 84, dated 1st February
1883, of the Madras Statements of Pensions and gratuities
for the quarter ended 31st December 1882, and, with
reference to Statement VIII to point out, that the
information required to be inserted in Column 6 to 9
of the Statement has not been given in respect to
Tupheani Raghavala Nayak to whom an Extra-
ordinary Pension has been ~~granted~~ ^{granted}. I am to request
that the omission may be supplied.

2. With reference to entry No 4 in Statement
VIII, I am desired to observe, that Greenivasa Iyer
entered the service of Government after the 20th January
1871, and after he attained the age of 25 years;
and as he was more than 53 years old when he
was discharged it would appear that his incapacity

for further service was due to old age or natural decay from advancing years, and that his Invalid Gratuity was therefore liable to reduction under the operation of the Note under Section 107 of the Civil Pension Code. I am to request that the Government of India may be informed, whether there are any grounds for holding that the gratuity was not liable to reduction under the rule referred to-

3. With reference to entry No 24 in Statement VIII, I am to point out that, for a service of 5 years Shaik Inlat is entitled to a gratuity of Rs $(7 \times 5 =) 35$, and not Rs 25 as entered in Column 10 of the Statement.

4. With reference to entry No 1 in Statement IX, I am to observe, that from the length of V. Ramaswami's service as shown in column 8 of the Statement it would appear that his qualifying service began after the 20th January 1871, and after he attained the age of 25 years. His Superannuation Gratuity should therefore have been reduced in accordance with the proviso under Section 111 of the Civil Pension Code. I am to request that the Government of India may be informed why V. Ramaswami was exempted from the operation of the Rule 217

referred.

referred to-

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Hoan.

Offg Under Secy to the Govt of India.

MMR
19/2

26/2

Pension (1883) DEPARTMENT.

✓ 9/14

From


Govt. of India
Fin^y & Com^y

No. 915.

Dated 20th } Feb'y '83.
Recd. 26th }

SUBJECT.

Point out certain
wrong entries in the
Statements of Pensions
& Gratuities for the quarter
ending 31st Dec^r 82.



691.

3rd

11/2.

Referred to the Accountant General in view to the explanation
called for being furnished.

25th Feb'y 1883

Ag. Secy

To the Accountant General
with original (for the Accountant)

Pension DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
21st March 1883.

No. 521

From H. F. Hoagston Esq.
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

The Acting Under Secretary to Government,
Pension Department,
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With reference to your Endorsement of 1st 12
dated the 28th February 1883, referring to me for report
a letter from the Government of India as the state-
ments of Pensions and Gratuities for the quarter ending
31st December 1882, I have the honor to state that
Ragavulu Rajak was not employed as a perma-
nent servant of Government but was engaged as
a casual Bricklayer to paint the Police Light-
House. While so employed, he sustained injuries
of a nature such as to be likely to interfere with
his occupation as a bricklayer, and the pension
granted him was out of compassion. Hence the infor-
mation required to be inserted in Columns
6 to 9 as regards the ending of his service, character,
total period of service and his emolument, could
not be furnished.

2 With reference to para 2 of the Government
of India letter, I beg to state that I have no ex-
planation to furnish except to refer Government
to the reasons adduced in this Office Report
No. 457 dated the 23rd September 1882, in the appli-
cation for Gratuity on behalf of Srinivasa Siva-
Natha.

3. With regard to para 3 of that letter, I have to state that Shait Jalal's incapacity for further service was certified by the Medical Department to be due to his irregular or intemperate habits. It is on that account, I presume, that Government granted him, a gratuity of Rs. 25 instead of Rs. 35, the amount stated in this Office report to be admissible. The necessity for a reference by the Government of India on the point would have been avoided, had a note been inserted in the column of "Remarks" in the Statement, and I regret the omission.

4. With reference to the enquiry made in para 4, I beg to invite the attention of Government to para 2 of their Order, No. 948 dated the 6th October 1882, from which it will be seen that Ramaswami's qualifying service has been calculated from 18th April 1866 and not from any date after the 20th January 1871 as reckoned by the Government of India.

5. The Endorsement under acknowledgment and its enclosure are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obed^t servant.

A. H. M. S.
Account General.
V.E.

Marine G. 6 1/2 416 d/ 27/10/82. This
— — — 473 d/ 22/11/82. This
Prest G. 6 1/2 1260 d/ 12/12/82.
— — — 296 d/ 17/10/82.
— — — 248 d/ 17/10/82.

Read no 214 with orders. 9
+ current no. 325. [in full]

Order therein 16 d/ 17/10/82. No 307

Ordered that the accountants
journals letter be forwarded to the
Govt of India with reference to their
communications read above.

With reference to the case of
Smoata Igan the Govt. order that
his incapacity was assigned to a
specific cause was not due to old
age or decay from advancing years,
in these circumstances it would appear
his ^{invalid} gratuity was not liable
to reduction under the operation of the
rule quoted.

The Acc^t Genl's presumption
as to the reduced gratuity passed to
Sheik Salal is correct, & his citation
of para 2 of G.O. no 948 d/ 6th Oct
1882 explains how V. Rameswami's
service was counted from a date prior
to the 20th Jan 1871. This individual's
dismissal in 1867 was set aside
by Govt. He was reinstated in terms
that leave no room for doubt that it
was intended that his past service
should count for pension. 18.5/4/83

To the acc^t Genl. No 307
Indos = 16 d/ 17/10/82. This
with reference to their letter no 915 d/ 11th
Oct 1883. No 307. 5/4/83

Pen in (188) DEPARTMENT.

No 325

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Madras,

To
THE *Uy. Under Secy*
to Government
(Revenue Dept.)

No.

Dated
Received 23 } *March* 1883.

24
Pup of accs no 307
No. 13

Subject.

Explanation for the
remarks made by the
Govt of India on the
statement of pensions
and gratuities for the
quarter ending 31st Dec
1882.

Print & keep 11/4
from an order

[Despatch]

READ AGAIN Order of Government, dated
188 , No. Miscellaneous.

BACK PAPER, No.

15
OF 1882.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 1882.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &c. OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.
(not paged.)

L. Subbarao d/ 16th C.
18.5/4/83
Order
Letter
Collection

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

PRESS.

<i>Pence</i> DEPARTMENT. G.O. DATED <i>16th April 83</i> No. <i>307 & 308</i> <i>C.D. H.</i>

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature. *78/4*

Despatched in print.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Order:-

Statement of Pence^{ms} & gratia
 - *Acct^g genl's* letter containing
 explanation respecting certain
 entries in the ~~statement of~~
 _____ for the quarter ending
 21/12/82 ordered to be forwarded
 to G. of India.

Encl:-

Statement of Pence^{ms} & gratia
 Forwarding to G. of I. letter
 from the *Acct^g genl* furnishing
 certain explanat^{ns} for the
 remarks made regarding
 certain entries in the _____
 for the quarter ending 21/12/82.