2. Date of repair 17-3
3. Initials of Refer

18-3

M.R.O. 1—82 400—23-11-20

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	28	Press Mis.
Dated	17-1-	1883.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17/3/36

17th January 1883, No. 28, Pension.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Read—again G.O., 15th November 1882, No. 1104, Pension.

Read—also the following papers :—

From the District Judge of North Tanjore, dated 20th November 1882, No. 464.

Abstract.—Forwarding an application for gratuity on behalf of Thiruvengadathengar, late Third Gumastah, District Munsif's Court, Tranquebar.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, to the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 11th December 1882, No. A.D.J-385.

Certified that qualifying service in superior grades has been duly proved for eight years and one day ; but no retiring allowance would be admissible under the strict letter of the rules of the Civil Pension Code for the reasons stated below.

2. The applicant is one of those who lost their appointments in the late reorganization of establishments in the Judicial Department in April 1879. Although the period of his qualifying service on that date amounted to eight years, and although for that service he was entitled to a compensation gratuity, equal to eight months' pay, the claim for such a gratuity was not preferred, because, it is presumed, there were chances of his re-employment in the public service. Accordingly, the District Judge appears to have examined the applicant and found him to be unfit for service on the score of his hand-writing being shaky and indistinct. It was thereupon resolved to submit him for medical examination, but the Medical Department was not prepared to certify to his complete and permanent incapacity for the public service. Being unsuccessful in his endeavours to obtain for the applicant either a compensation or invalid gratuity, and being also satisfied as to his unfitness for the post of a clerk, the District Judge offered him the post of an Amin which the applicant refused to accept. The refusal was obviously irregular under the spirit of the foot-note to the word "discharged" occurring in Section 100 of the Code. Under these circumstances it rests with Government to decide whether the application for gratuity should be entertained or not.

3. Under ordinary circumstances, gratuity not exceeding Rs. 88, equal to eight months' pay, would be admissible.

4. The delay in the submission of this application is probably due to neglect on the part of the applicant, who appears to have been practising as a Vakeel in the Tranquebar Munsif's Court ever since he lost his appointment in that Court.

No. 105.

Memorandum.

With reference to his report, dated 11th December 1882, No. A.D.J-385, the undersigned is directed to ask the Accountant-General to explain what objection there is to the grant of a compensation gratuity. It is stated that the District Judge failed to get a compensation gratuity for the applicant, but it is not understood what is referred to, as the applicant, it is understood, has only made the application which is now before Government.

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

20*th December* 1882.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 8th January 1883, No. J.A.E.-421.

Pension Department.

In reply to your Official Memorandum, No. 105, dated the 20th December 1882, I have the honor to explain that in stating that the District Judge failed to obtain for the applicant either a compensation or an invalid gratuity, it was not intended that he had submitted an application for sanction which had been rejected, but that he was unable to satisfy the conditions which had to be fulfilled before a compensation or invalid gratuity could be granted; for the applicant was found unfit owing to the character of his hand-writing for a suitable post which was available at the time, and when sent before a Medical Officer for examination, the latter could not give him the necessary certificate of unfitness for further service. Subsequently, however, the District Judge offered the applicant the post of Amin, for which he was considered fitted, and which, moreover, was deemed a suitable appointment to offer him, but the applicant refused to accept the appointment, and on this ground (under the explanation afforded in the foot-note to the word "discharged" occurring in Section 100 of the Civil Pension Code) it was considered by this office that he had no claim to the compensation gratuity to which he was otherwise entitled.

ORDER—dated 17th January 1883, No. 28, Pension.

The applicant having declined to accept the appointment of Amin, which was offered to him by the District Judge, no retiring allowance is admissible in his case.

(True Extract.)

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.
" District Judge, North Tanjore.
" Registrar of the High Court, Appellate Side.

Exd. J. Wright.

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.		Thiruvenga Sathiengar
Caste or Race.		Iyengar Brahmin Vaishnavaite
Residence.		Nagarakkeray Conbaconum Taluq
FATHER'S	Name.	Rengiengar
	Residence.	Nagarakkeray
Date of birth.		13th June 1830.
Age.	Years	44.
	Ms.	10
	Days.	23
Exact Height.	Feet.	5
	In.	6.
Personal marks for identification.		Has got a big scar in the back:
Date of Entry.		6 May 1875
Signature of Officer.		[illegible]
Signature and designation of the head of the Office.		[illegible]

Govt. of (1883) Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 17th January 1883, No. 28.

JANUARY.

Retiring Allowance.

Declining to grant any — to Thiruvengadathengar, late Third Gumastah, District Munsif's Court, Tranquebar, on account of his having declined to accept the post of Amin.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
7th Gumasta Tranquebar Moonsiff's court	Permanent	"	5 "	"	30-4-57	
6th Gumastah Do.	Ag	7th Gumastah	5 "	"	30-11-58	
Do - Do	Permanent	"	5 "	"	22-12-58	
5th Gumastah Do	Do	"	5 "	"	25-1-59	
4th Gumastah Do	Do	"	11 "	"	12-10-67	
3rd Gumastah Do	Do	"	11 "	"	31-3-68	
5th Gumastah Do	Do	"	10 "	"	20-10-68	
4th Gumastah Do	Do	"	11 "	"	3-4-72	
3rd Gumastah Do	Ag	4th Gumastah	11 "	"	2-7-73	
4th Gumastah Do	Permanent	"	11 "	"	3-11-73	
3rd Gumastah Do	Do	"	11 "	"	1-4-74.	

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
This Gumastah was desired by me to prepare copies of decrees and occasionally to take depositions. He is intelligent and did his duty always to my satisfaction. His conduct is so good that one may place much confidence in him. I believe that any work in doing which the Moonsiff must confide in the integrity of the Gumastah, may be entrusted to him with advantage. I am happy to find that he endue his present post by his own intelligence and industry, and that he strives to preserve it and rise higher by the same means. 8-2-59. (Signed) T. Moothusamy (True copy)		29-11-58	Promotion	
		21-12-58	"	
		24-1-59	Promotion	
		11-10-67	Promotion	
		30-3-68	Promotion	
		19-10-68	3rd & 4th Gumastahs who were thrown out employment were restored to their places	
		2-4-72	Promotion	
		1-7-73	Promotion	
		2-11-73	Return of the Permanent incumbent—	
		31-3-74	Promotion	
		31-3-79	Recommended for invalid gratuity	Dist Muff

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
This is to certify that Tiroovengadatha Iyengar 5th Gumastah has discharged his duties very satisfactorily during the period I presided over this Court. He does his work well, and I have every reason to believe that he bears a good character. I hope he will soon obtain promotion. 2nd November 1878. (Signed) T. Misquita District Moonsiff (True copy) Proceedings of the District Moonsiff's Court of Tranquebar. Read Petition presented by Thiruvengadatha Iyengar, a Gumastah of this Court, applying for a testimonial of character, to enable him to apply for a vacancy in the District Moonsiff's Court at Sheally. The				

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
							The Court is glad to bear testimony to the Petitioner's good and quiet behavior and industry. He is an old servant and is thoroughly qualified in all the duties of the Court. I believe he is honest and trustworthy. 8th December 1876. (Signed) T. [illegible] District Munsiff (True copy)				

41

~~ler~~ 30/6/79

13

No. $\frac{\text{A. D. K.}}{201}$ application

~~To be returned with reply on reverse.~~

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
17 May 1879.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Acting District and Session Judge
North Tanjore.

VIDE your ~~Application for~~ letter ~~on behalf of~~ No. 275, dated 18th April/79.

THE undersigned has the honor to return the list of Services of Thiruvengadathaiyengar late a 3rd Gumashtah of the District Munsiff's Court, Tranquebar, and to state, that his services from 12th Octr. 1867 to 1st April 1878, as detailed in the above list have been verified partly from the Annual returns and partly from the Audit Registers on record in this Office and found to be Correct.

The applicants services subsequent to 1st April 1878, have not been verified as the 1st April list of 1879 will not it is believed contain the applicants name. In respect of this period of his service, the District Judge is requested to scrutinize the verification by means of his own office records.

When submitting the final application it is requested that the reason of the applicants degradation from Rs 11 to 10 a month

---

He has obtained leave from 5th August 1875 till 20th August of these 15 days, 10 days leave was granted to him as ordinary leave with pay, and on forfeiture of his pay for 5 days.

SERVICE BOOK
of

Name. Thiruvengadathaiyar
Caste or Race. Iyer Brahmin Vaishnavite
Residence. Shadavakeeny
FATHER'S Name. Rama Iyengar
FATHER'S Residence. Chadavakeeny
Date of birth. 13 June 1830.

Enclosure No. 6
to a letter from the Dist. & Sess. Judge of North Tanjore No. 275 dated 18th April 1879.

Service Register of Thiruvengadaiyengar, Thiru...

Inc No. 1528

DISTRICT & SESSIONS COURT NORTH TANJORE 31 JUL 82

from the 20 October 1868 may be fully explained

J. C. Chapman
Offg Asst to Acct General
for Accountant General

In No 1528

28/7/79

No

Tranquebar 28th July 1879.

From,
The Apothecary in Medl. charge
Tranquebar.

To,
The District Moonsiff of
Tranquebar.

Sir,

With reference to the request contained in your letter No 4 dated 25th instant, I have the honor to inform you that I carefully examined G. Soobramania Iyer and Thiruvengidathingar.

The former is in my opinion fit for further service and the latter who is also considered fit suffers from a nervous affection of both hands but which does not quite incapacitate him for work.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Apothecary
In Medl. charge

In W No 1528

No. 407. District Court of North Tanjore,

Tranquebar, 12 ~~November~~ October 1882.

From

The District Judge of

North Tanjore

To

The Registrar of the

High Court of Judicature,

Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to state as follows the case of Tiroovengadathiengar, late Gumastah in the District Munsif's Court of Tranquebar and to request that Government may be moved to pass such orders as may be deemed necessary.

As appears from his Service Register, Tiroovengadathiengar was in the service of Government from 30th April 1857 till 31st March 1879, employed as a Gumastah in the said District Munsif's Court. On the introduction of the revised scale of establishments in April 1879, his services were dispensed with, the District Munsif and District Judge having considered him to be unfit for service on the score of his handwriting being shakey and indistinct. His application for gratuity was then forwarded for verification to the Accountant General which was accordingly done. As, however, the Certificate of the Medical Officer before whom Tiroovengadathiengar appeared for examination went to show that the officer could not be discharged as being permanently incapacitated for further service by bodily or mental infirmity, Mr. Hughesdon the then Acting District Judge disposed of the application for gratuity in the manner set forth in his Proceedings dated 12th August 1879 No. 30 an extract of which is herein enclosed. Tiroovengadathiengar having refused to accept the post of Amin to which he was appointed by the said Proceedings, such refusal was considered as a resignation of his appointment and the matter came to a close on the 22nd August 1879. Tiroovengadathiengar appears to have been since practising as a Vakeel in the Tranquebar Munsif's Court; and in his application to me dated the 31st July last he complained of the smallness of his income as Vakil and prayed for re-employment in the Government Service. I am not in a position to comply with his request but am, however, of opinion that his application for gratuity should have been submitted for the orders of Government under G.O. dated 3rd September 1877, No. 479, Pension Department, whereby the right to reject such application is wholly reserved to the Government. Should the Government be pleased to consider that there is, under the circumstances above set forth, any ground for granting the application for gratuity, the necessary correspondence dropped in August 1879 will be resumed and the ~~necessary~~ requisite papers submitted in accordance with the rules.

I have &c.
(Signed) J. W. Best,
Ag. District Judge

(True Copy)
J W Best
Ag. District Judge

No 135

No. 464

District Court of North Tanjore,
Tranquebar, 20th November 1882.

From

The District Judge of
North Tanjore

To

The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G. O. No. 1104 dated 15th instant, Pension Department, a copy of which appears to have been furnished to you direct by Government, I have the honor to forward herewith for submission to Government under Section 128 of the Civil Pension Code an application for Gratuity on behalf of Tiroovengadathiengar, late a Gumastah in the District Munsif's Court of Tranquebar.

1. Tiroovengadathiengar's Service Register
2. Accountant General's letter No $\frac{ADK}{101}$ & 17th May 1879.
3. Medical Certificate.

A copy of the letter addressed by me to Government through the High Court on behalf of the applicant is also herewith forwarded for your information together with the papers noted in the margin.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S
23 NOV 82
MADRAS

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
JW Best
Ag. District Judge

In No 1520
462 [illegible]

Pension
~~Judicial~~ (1882) Dept.
~~From~~ No 1527

The Dist Judge of
North Arcot
No 464

Dated 20 } Novr 1882
Recd 13 Jan

Forwarding an application for gratuity on behalf of Thiruvenga-dathairnga

---

Pension DEPARTMENT.

5 P

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
8th January 1883.

No. $\frac{IAE}{421}$

From H. F. Clogstoun Esqre
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Acting Under Secretary
to Government,
Pension Department,
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

In reply to your Official Memorandum No. 105 dated the 20th December 1882, I have the honor to explain that in stating that the District Judge failed to obtain for the applicant either a Compensation or an Invalid Gratuity, it was not intended that he had submitted an Application for sanction which had been rejected but that he was unable to satisfy the conditions which had to be fulfilled before a Compensation or Invalid Gratuity could be granted: for the applicant was found unfit owing to the character of his handwriting for a suitable post which was available at the time, and when sent before a Medical Officer for examination, the latter could not give him the necessary Certificate of unfitness for further service. — Subsequently, however, the District Judge offered the applicant

the

the post of Amin, for which he was considered fitted, and which moreover was deemed a suitable appointment to offer him, but the applicant refused to accept the appointment, and on this ground (under the explanation afforded in the foot note to the word "discharged" occurring in Section 100 of the Civil Pension Code) it was considered by this Office that he had no claim to the Compensation Gratuity to which he was otherwise entitled.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obed^t. Serv^t.

J H Clayton
Accountt. General

Pension (1883) DEPARTMENT.

From No 3

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Madras,

To

THE Ag Under Secy to Government Pension Dept.

No. IAE/421

Dated 8 ~~Decr~~ Jany 1883.
Received 9 JAN 83

No.

Subject.

~~States the circumstances under which the individual referred to was considered to have had no claim to the compensation gratuity to which he was otherwise entitled.~~

G. O. No 28 of 83

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Thiruvengadathiengar

2. Father's name. Rengiengar

3. Sect or Caste. Iyengar Brahmin Vishnuva Sect

4. Residence. Naduvakkaray Combaconum Taluk

5. Present or last employment. 3rd Goomastah Dt Munsiff's Court Tranquebar

6. Date of beginning of service. 30th April, 1857

7. Date of end of service. 31st March, 1879

		Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	…	21	11	"
	of which superior …	8	"	1
	inferior …	13	10	24
	non-qualifying and breaks …	"	"	5

9. Class of {~~pension~~ gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* … Invalid gratuity His writing is shaky and is inefficient otherwise; hence this application.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 11 – " – "

11. Proposed pension. " " " " "

12. Proposed gratuity. Rs 88 – " – "

13. Date from which to commence.

14. Place of payment. Sea Customs Treasury Tranquebar

15. Age of Applicant on this date. 49 years and 28 days (13th Janr 30)

16. Height. 5 Feet – 6 inches

17. Marks. Has got a big scar on the back

18. Date. 11th July 1879

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

38

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Thiruvengadathiengar late 3rd Goomastah Tranquebar District Munsiff's Court -

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks. 7.V	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
District Munsiff's Court of Tranquebar	7th Goomastah	5	"	"	"	30th April 57	29th Novr 58	1	6	29				Soobramania Iyer the then 6th Goomastah was dismissed from the Govt. service on the 11th Oct. 1867 and Thiruvengadathiengar the then 5th Goomastah was promoted to his place on a salary of Rs. 11. Then again Joya Row the then 3rd Goomastah was dismissed and Thiruvengadathiengar, the then 4th was again promoted by the 3rd on the 31st March 1868, as the said two Goomastahs were restored on the 20th Oct 1868, Thiruvengadathiengar was reverted to his former appointment on a salary of Rs. 10, i.e. 5th Goomastah.	The services from 12th October 1867 to 1st April 1878 have been duly verified by the Accountant General Madras as per his letter No $\frac{A.D.K.}{201}$ dated 17th May 1879 and the services subsequent to 1st April 1878 have been duly verified by the Acquittance Rolls kept in this office.	Service from 30th April/57 to 11th Oct/67 and from 20 Oct/68 to 2nd April/72 does not count for pension being rendered in Inferior Grade. Verified from the records of the District Munsif's Court Tranquebar and the verification confirmed by reference partly to the Annual returns & partly to the Audit Registers on record in this office.
	6th Do (acting)	5	"	"	"	30th Novr 58	21st Decr 58	"	"	21						
	6th Do (Permanent)	5	"	"	"	22nd Decr 58	24th Jany 59	"	1	3						
	5th Do	5	"	"	"	25th Jany 59	11th Octr 67	8	8	18						
	4th Do	11	"	"	"	12th Octobr 67	30th March 68	"	5	19						
	3rd Do	11	"	"	"	31st March 68	19th Octr 68	"	6	19						
	5th Do	10	"	"	"	20th Octr 68	2nd April 72	3	5	13						
	4th Do	11	"	"	"	3rd April 72	1st July 73	1	2	27						
	3rd Do (acting)	11	"	"	"	2nd July 73	2nd Novr 73	"	4	6						
	4th Do	11	"	"	"	3rd Novr 73	31st March 74	"	4	27						
	3rd Do	11	"	"	"	1st April 74	31st March 79	4	11	29	"	"	5	5 days leave on forfeiture of full pay.		
	Total period of {superior / inferior} service							21	10	25	"	"	5			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. I have not found [illegible]

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. I have not found him to be bad since I have been in the court from October last.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Has had no suspension or degradation.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter ~~XV~~ XIII. None

4. Explanation under Section 100 Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. No explanation ~~needed~~ [illegible].

5. Any other remarks. His writing is shaky and is inefficient otherwise.

[illegible] the applicant's hand-writing, whether in consequence of his age or of disease, or [illegible] is very tremulous & indistinct. The Apothecary [illegible] Medical Charge, Tranquebar, certifies that he suffers from a nervous [illegible] of both hands". [illegible] Ag. District Judge.

J. M. [illegible] Dr

SIGNATURE.

This man [illegible] 6/79 as [illegible] left that District in Aug 79

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying [illegible] (inferior)

No $\frac{ADj}{385}$ Certified that qualifying Service in Inferior Grades has been duly proved for 8 years and 1 day; but no retiring allowance would be admissible under the strict letter of the rules of the Civil Pension Code for the reasons stated below:

The applicant is one of those who lost their appointments in the late reorganization of Establishments in the Judicial Departt. in April /79. Although the period of his qualifying service on that date amounted to 8 years, and although for that service he was entitled to a Compensation Gratuity equal to 8 months pay, the claim for such a Gratuity was not preferred, because, it is presumed there were chances of his re-employment in the Public Service. Accordingly the District Judge appears to have examined the applicant and found him to be unfit for Service on the score of his hand writing being shaky and indistinct. It was thereupon resolved to submit him for Medical Examination but the Medical Deptt. was not prepared to certify to his complete and permanent incapacity for the Public Service. Being unsuccessful in his endeavours to obtain for the applicant either a Compensation or Invalid Gratuity and being also satisfied as to his unfitness for the post of a Clerk the Dist. Judge offered him the post of an Amin which the applicant refused to accept. The refusal was obviously irregular under the spirit of the foot note to the word "discharged" occurring in Section 100 of the Code. Under these circumstances it rests with Government to decide whether the application for Gratuity should be entertained or not.

Under ordinary circumstances, a Gratuity not exceeding Rs 88 a equal to 8 months pay would be admissible.

The delay in the submission of this application is probably due to neglect on the part of the applicant who appears to have been practising as a Vakeel in the Tranquebar Munsiff's Court ever since he lost his appointment in that Court.

Fort Saint George,
Acctt. Genl's Office,
11th December 1882.

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Departt.

[illegible]
Asst. Accountant General
for Accountant General

13

Pension 1882 Deptt

No. 1528

4P

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of application. 1st July 1879.

Name of Applicant. Thirumengadathiengar

Last Appointment. Gumastah District Munsiff Court Tranquebar.

Class of {pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

G.O. No. 28 of 83

Pension Departt

O. Mem. No. 105.

Draft 20/12

With reference to his report dated 11 Decr/82 No. J./385 the undersigned is directed to ask the Accountant General to explain *more fully* what objection there is to the grant of a compensation gratuity. It is stated that the Dist: Judge failed to get a compensation gratuity for the applicant but it is not understood what is referred to, as the applicant it is understood, has only made the application which is now before Govt.

20/12/82

No. 1528 of 82 & 43 of 83

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again:— G.O. 15th November 1882 No. 1104

READ also the following ~~letter~~ Papers

From the District Judge of North Tanjore dated 20th November 1882 No. 484

— " — Accountant General dated 11th December 1882 No. J./385

Official Memorandum to the Accountant General dated 20th Decr 1882 No. 105

From the Accountant General dated 8th January 1883 No. A.&C./421

Order thereon 17th Jany 1883 No. 28.

The applicant's services were dispensed with in April 1879 on reduction of judicial establishments but no application for compensation gratuity was put in, as his re-employment was contemplated. But as he was found unfit for a clerk's place he was offered that of Amin which he refused.

Is a clerk on Rs: 11 justified in refusing the place of an Amin? (on Rs: 8 probably) — If Govt. consider he is, compensation gratuity of Rs: 88 will be due — Amin is of lower *social status* than a clerk but this would not appear enough to justify refusal. It is not necessary that an appt. on equal pay should be offered: see sec: 100 C.P. Code & Note: — Again the application is only submitted because the man failed as a Vakil.

12/1/83

The applicant having declined to accept the appointment of Ameen which was offered to him by the District Judge no *retiring* allowance is admissible in his case.—

12/1/83

To the Accountant General

— " — District Judge North Tanjore

— " — Registrar of the High Court, Appellate Side

Desp. 22-1-83

[illegible]

[illegible] he refused to return [illegible]

[illegible] decided the case [illegible]

[illegible] because he was

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] accepted [illegible]

[illegible]

Le Comité du Su [illegible]

D28p

PRESS.

Pensn DEPARTMENT.

G.O. DATED 17th Jany '83

No. 28.

Wapd 23—1—83

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Retiring allowance.

Declining to grant any retiring allowance to Thiruvengadathengar late 3rd Gumastah Dist. Munsiff's crt. Tranquebar on account of his having declined to accept the post of Ameen.

15
15