A5
B5
A4
B5
B4
A3

M.R.O. 1—82 400—89-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1161 Press Mis.

Dated 18

Not to be folded or roughly handled

(Civil Pension Code, Appx. XXIV, A.)

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

FORM A.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8									9*	10	11	12	13	14	15†	16	17	18
Name of applicant.	Father's name.	Race, sect, and caste.	Residence, showing Village or Pergunnah.	Present or last employment, including name of establishment.	Date of beginning of service.	Date of ending of service.	*Length of service, including interruptions.*			*Superior service.* (a)			*Inferior service.* (b)			*Non-qualifying and interruptions.* (c)			Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. (d)	(Average) emoluments or pay. (e)	Proposed pension (f)	Proposed gratuity. (f)	Date from which pension to commence.	Place of payment.	Date of applicant's birth by Christian era.	Height.	Marks.	Date.
							Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.									
C. Narasimma Naidu	C. Ramasawmy Naidu	Native Christian	Tuticorin	Messenger Collector's Office	1st January 1857	17th December 1872	16	3	19	15	8	28	0	0	0	0	6	21	Pension	44-12-9	14-14-11	0	18th December 1872	Tuticorin	1831	5'-4½"	Double mole on right jaw	7th January 1873

Dr Beatty — Signature of Applicant.

Colin C. Smeaton — Signature of Head of Office.

NOTES.

(a)—Service on pay exceeding ten Govt. Rupees, and not in any one of the following capacities, Section 49, C. P. Code:—
Messengers, Orderlies, and Peons, and their Petty Officers.
Money-testers.
Boatmen and Seamen, Maistries in the P. W. D.
Artificers, Laborers, Handicraftsmen, Bazar Chaudharies.
Inferior and menial servants of all sorts, including Maistries, Distributors, Pressmen, Daftaries and Muchies.

N.B.—Book-binders, whose profession is book-binding, and who are not mere Daftaries, are classed as superior servants.

(b)—Service on less than ten Government Rupees, or in any one of the capacities enumerated in note (a), or in Section 49.

(c)—Service not under Government or on an Establishment other than permanent and substantive, (unless an Officer is detached for temporary duty under competent authority), also periods of absence other than privilege and preparatory leave, and time passed under suspension adjudged as a specific penalty, Sections 23, 27, 28, 52, and 54.

N.B.—In the case of inferior servants all authorised leave is reckoned as service, Section 53.

*(d)—Compensation Pension or Gratuity admissible on abolition of appointment or reduction of establishment, Section 59.
Invalid Pension or Gratuity admissible on permanent incapacity from official duties, owing to bodily, or mental infirmity, Section 61.
Superannuation Pension or Gratuity admissible when the applicant is entitled or compelled, by Rule, to retire at a particular age, Section 65.
Retiring Pension or Gratuity admissible on voluntary retirement after completing the requisite period of service, Section 66.
Extraordinary Pension or Gratuity admissible—
(a) to men so injured, in the execution of their duty, as to be incapacitated for earning a livelihood;
(b) to families of men killed in the execution of their duty, Section 128.
If the application is for a Compensation, Pension or Gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate).

(e)—"Pay" and "Emoluments" mean the amount which the Officer was receiving immediately before his retirement from service "Average Emoluments" means the average calculated for the last five years of service, Section 79 (a) and (b).

N.B.—If during the five years, an Officer has been on Leave With Allowances for any period, his emoluments, in calculating the average, will be taken at what they would have been if the leave had not been taken, Section 79, Rule 2.

If the five years include any period spent in Inferior Service, or for which no allowances have been drawn, that period will be disregarded, and an equal period prior to the five years shall be included, Section 79, Rule 3.

(f)—Amount of Gratuity or Pension.

Superior Service.

Compensation, Invalid Pension, and Gratuity.

For less than 15 years' service, gratuity of one month's Emoluments for each completed year of service, subject to the maximum of 12 months' Emoluments in all, Section 68 (a).

After 15 years, and less than 25 years, pension not exceeding one-third of Average Emoluments; and, also, not exceeding Rs. 3,000 a year, or, if the Average Emoluments do not exceed Rs, 12,000 a year, Rs. 2,000 a year, Section 68 (b).

After service of 25 years, pension not exceeding one-half of Average Emoluments; and, also not exceeding Rs. 5,000 a year, or, if the Average Emoluments do not exceed Rs. 12,000 a year, Rs. 4,000 a year, Section 68 (c).

Superannuation Pension.

The same in amount as Invalid Pension and Gratuity, Section 70.

Retiring Pension.

The same in amount as an Invalid Pension admissible after 25 years service, Section 71.

Extraordinary Pension.

Amount varies according to the nature and extent of the injury received, Section 131.

Inferior Service.

Compensation and Invalid Gratuity.

Service less than five years	...	...	...	*nil.*	Section 76 (a).
5 years and less than 10 years	...	...	...	3 months' pay	" "
10 " " 15 "	...	...	...	4 "	" "
15 " " 20 "	...	...	...	5 "	" "
20 years or more	"	...	...	6 "	" "

Compensation and Invalid Pension.

Service not less than 30 years,—half pay not exceeding Rs. 4 per mensem, Sec. 76 (b).

N.B.—If the service be partly Inferior and partly Superior, the applicant has the option of counting the whole as Inferior towards pension on the Inferior Scale, or of counting so much of it as is Superior towards pension on the Superior Scale, Section 77.

History of the Service (showing interruptions) of C. Veeraswamy Naidu Accountant P.W.D. Madura

Born 1831.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.*	Remarks by Examiner, P. W. Accounts.
		Rs.	Rs.											
Ap. 2 Rang Kanlgudi Division Tirchinopoly	Writer	15	-	1 Jany/57	20 Jany/59	-	-	-	2	10	20	Promotion		Not verified from either the Audit registers of this Office or from the records of the Divisional and Circle Offices owing to the entries in the former not having been clearly recorded and to want of records in the latter. An affidavit and statements of two contemporary servants have been obtained and are herewith forwarded but the period has been classed as non qualifying in consequence of the applicant's resignation on the 20 October 1871 vide Section 97 of the Civil Pension Code.
Ap. Rang Madura	Accountant Estimate	35		21 Jany/59	30 April/60	-	-	-	-	5	16			Not verified from the Audit registers of this Office but verified from records in the Divisional Office vide remarks in Column "How verified" on page 2 of the pension application. The period has however been classed as non qualifying in consequence of the applicants resignation on the 20 October 1871 vide Section 97 of the Civil Pension Code.
Do Do	Do	35		1 May/60	31 Dec/61	-	-	-	1	8	-	Promotion		
Ap. 3 Rang Madura	Do	40		1 Jany/62	30 Jany/63	-	-	-	1	6	-	Reorganization of P.W.Dept		Verified from the Audit registers of this Office and found correct. But period has been classed as non qualifying in consequence of the applicants resignation on the 20 October 1871 vide Section 97 of the Civil Pension Code.
Do Do	Writer	30		1 July/63	31 Aug/65	-	-	-	2	2	-	Transfer		
Ap. 1 Do Do	Dy Accountant	30		1 Sept/65	30 Jany/66	-	-	-	1	3	-	Temporary promotion		
Do Do	Cy Ap. Do	30	30	1 Dec/66	14 April/68	-	-	-	1	4	14	Reversion		
Do Do	Dy Accountant	30		15 April/65	30 Jany/68	-	-	-	2	10	16	Leave		
Peon on Private affairs		15		1 July/68	31 Oct/68	-	-	-	-	4	-	Return to duty		
Ap. 1 Range Madura	Deputy Accountant	30		1 Nov/68	31 July/69	-	-	-	-	9	-	Temporary promotion.		
Accountant Ag Head Assistant Project	Accountant	60		1 Aug/69	24 Oct/71	-	-	-	2	2	24	Resignation.		Not verified from either the Audit registers of this Office or from the records of the Divisional Office and Circle Office. C. Veera

~~Out of Employ~~				25 Oct/72	14 Jany/73	. . .	2	20	Reappointment		
No 1 Range Madura	Asst Accountant on Probation	30 hours only Rs 19½ per mensem being an appointed Candidate	15 Jany/72	2nd Augt/72	. . .	7	10				
Do Do	Do	Do	25 Augt/72	30 Sept/72	. . .	1	6				
Do Do	Do	19½	1 Oct/72	17 Dec/72	. . .	2	17				
				Total	. . .	15	11	17			

applicant's services were dispensed with on the 17 December 1872 owing to Government having declined to employ him on a permanent post.

The following papers accompany the application.

2 Affidavits
2 Statements of Contemporary servants
1 Original Certificate
1 Copy of Superintending Engineer's letter No 180 M.D. Dt 28 June/82
Other papers relating to the case have already been submitted to Government in the Public Works Secretariat

Pauvoy Rayudu's pay during the period of his employment on the Anicut Project appears to have been charged against the Estimate for the Project. From copies of Divisional Orders &c, it would appear that C. Veerasawmy Rayudu retained a lien on his substantive appointment of Assistant Accountant Madura Range. He resigned his appointment on the 24 October 1871 and his services previous to that date have therefore been classed as non qualifying. Vide Section 97. Civil Service Code.

Verified from the Audit Register of this office and found correct, but classed as non qualifying with reference to G.O. No 2897 dated 7 December 1872 in which Government declined to employ him on a permanent post.

Not verified from the Audit Register of this Office but verified from records in the Divisional Office vide para 4 of Executive Engineer Trichinopoly, letter No 771 dated 6 June/81. Period classed as non qualifying with reference to orders contained in G.O. quoted in the preceding remarks.

Verified from the Audit Registers of this office and found correct. Period classed as non qualifying with reference to G.O. quoted above, the 17 December 1872 owing to Government having

D. Lawson

Examined. D.W. Auch.

44

23/8

D°	D°	D°	D°	30	"	15/4/1868	31/7/1869	1	3	16	"	4	Leave on private affairs	D°
Tinnevelly District	Head Accountt	60	"	1/8/1869	24/10/1871	2	2	24	"	"	"			No records to verify the registered affidavits enclosed
Steenykunta Ancient														
Unemployed				25/10/1871	14/1/1872				"	"	"	2	21	
Madura	D° Accountt	19½	"	15/1/1872	17/12/1872	"	11	4	"	"	"			
								15	8	28	"	6	21	

[Inner Sheet.]

History of the Service (showing interruptions) of

(Contd.)

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service			Remarks.	How verified.*	Remarks by Examiner, P. W. Accounts.
		Rs.	Rs.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			

* In the Public Works Department, periods of service should be verified by the Examiner P. W. Accounts, Section 84 Rule 2.
If the service claimed cannot be wholly verified from the records of the Accounts Office, reference should be made to the Heads of the Offices in which the applicant states he served during the period in doubt, Section 84 Rule 4; and if verification from this source be not practicable, the applicant must furnish an affidavit on plain paper accompanied by such collateral evidence as may be procurable, *e.g.*, official certificates and the evidence of contemporary employés, Section 84 Rule 5. If the latter course be adopted the authority receiving the application must invariably record his own opinion whether the service claimed has been established and should be admitted or not, Section 84 Rule 6.
The Last Pay Certificate, prescribed in Section 85, should always accompany the Final Pension Application.

Remarks by Head of Office.

1. As to character and past conduct of applicant. — No records in this office

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. — No records to ascertain

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by applicant, See Chapter XX ... — Not

4. Explanation under Section 59, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it ... — "

5. Any other remarks.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. (*See Rule 6 under Section 84*) — The service claimed seems to be established and may be admitted

L Beatty

Executive Engineer
Signature.

24-6-82 — 7-6-83

* If the Applicant has received any gratuity or pension, here state the amount of gratuity or pension granted, the authority under which sanctioned, and whether on re-employment the gratuity has or has not been refunded and the pension ceased to be drawn.
† If the applicant is recommended for a pension or gratuity as compensation for abolition of Office, state here for what reasons it was found impossible to provide other suitable employment for the applicant and whether by the abolition of the appointment, a real saving to Government, in respect to the cost of the abolished appointment, has been produced. *Vide* Rules 1 and 6, Section 59 of the Civil Pension Code.

Certificate and Report of Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras.

No. 731 A. / B.

~~Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in years months days; and that a grades has been duly proved for is admissible under Section not exceeding Rs. of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The is chargeable to~~

Certified that as the entire period of the applicants services has been vitiated by his resignation on the 24 October 1891 and by Government having declined to employ him in a permanent post vide G.O. No 2897 dated 7 December 1892 ~~2. Attention is solicited to Section 22~~ on retiring allowance of any kind appears admissible under existing rules of the Civil Pension Code.

Should Government be pleased to accept the applicants affidavit and statements of Contemporary servants in support of his services from 1 January 1857 to 22 November 1859 and the specific opinion of both the Executive Engineer and Superintending Engineer that the service claimed has been established and should be admitted and condone the interruption in his service caused by his resignation on 24 October 1891 under Section 98(b) of the Civil Pension Code, as also treat the period of his services from 15 January 1872 to 17 December 1892 as qualifying superior Service, qualifying Service in Superior Grades will amount to 15 (fifteen) years 6 (four) months and 27 (twenty-seven) days and a pension not exceeding Rs 9 (nine) annas 5 (five) and pies 6 (six) equal to one third of his average emoluments at Rs 28-- -- 0 per mensem may, with the approval of the Government of India and the Secretary of State be granted under Section 109 (b) of the Civil Pension Code.

15-8-28 vi 1891 sup. 2 3

The calculations have been duly verified. The pension if sanctioned is chargeable to General Revenues.

With reference to Section 130 Rule 4, attention is solicited to Section 65 of the Civil Pension Code.

D. C. Canaday

Accountant. P.W. Accts

44
25/8

Chepauk
24 August 1892

To

The Secretary to Government
Pension Depatt

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

Date of application ...

Name of applicant ...

Last appointment ...

Class of pension or gratuity ... ...

Amount of pension sanctioned ... ...

Amount of gratuity sanctioned ... ...

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction ... ...

No. Dated

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle.

Executive Engineer.

No. Dated

Transmitted to the Examiner, P. W. A., Madras, for verification.

Supg. Engineer, Circle.

No. Dated

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, through the Accountant-General, Madras.

Examiner, P. W. A., Madras.

No. Dated

Transmitted to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department.

Accountant General, Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Despatch from the Secy: of State No. 4 dated 10th February 1881. from the Examiner P. W. Accounts No. 530 dated 8th July 1881.

FOR ORDERS.

Submitted to despatch from Secy: of State No. 524 dated 18th November 1880, forwarding a Memorial from Veera Sadany Naidu late an Accountant Examiner in the P. W. Dept praying for reinstatement in the department or for a retiring allowance the Secretary of State suggests that in the event of the man not being eligible for further employment, the question

question of his claim
to come gratuity or
pension might be ta-
ken into consideration
2. The petitioner re-
signed the service in
October 1871, and after
reappointment on pro-
bation by the Superin-
tending Engineer 8th Divi
sion, his services were
finally dispensed with
in December 1872 under
the circumstances detailed
in G.O. No. 2897 dated
3. Reference is made
in the Secy of States des-
patch to the petitio-
ners permanent service
of 17 years, and this
figure is probably ta-
ken from para 8 of
the Superintending Engrs
letter

letter No. 456 recorded in
the G.O. above quoted. It
will be seen however
from the accompany-
ing statement sub-
mitted by the Examiner
that Veeraswamy's
service up to the 24th
October 1871, (the date
of his presignation),
amounted to 14 years
9 months & 24 days and
that fractions of this
period cannot be
verified.
4. The Examiner re-
marks that the
whole of this ser-
vice is vitiated by
the main resig-
nation, and that
his further service

from

From 15th January to 17 Decr 1872 no
vitiated by his dismissal.

A.R. Lawley
Col. R.E.
Secy to Govt P.W.D
C.G.
11.7.81

For Orders

Recirculated with Current No 4662 from the Secy P.W. Accts. affording the information called for by the Hon'ble the Premier.

2
18/8

20/7/81

I would refer the Hon'ble Member to the Statutes Enacted by this Council since. The Secs. of S. suggestion is Equitable & Lawful &c.

C.P. 21/7/81

Lawfully

Remitted to 18-8-81. Secs. 20/8

I do hereby declare that I was employed in the P.W.D. from 1st January 1857 to 20th November 1859 as Conductor Writer in the 2nd Range Trichinopoly District and from 1st July 1863 to 31st August 1865 as Writer in the 4th Range Madura on Salary of Rs 30 which were duly entered in my Service Register.

For the period I was employed in the Trichinopoly District above referred to. Ramen Row who was then a Commandah in the District Engineer's Office will bear testimony and for a portion of my Service in the Madura District alluded to, I do not remember any one living now who will bear testimony, but I herein enclose a Certificate granted me by the 3rd Range Officer stating that I have served under him during the period from 1859 to 8th July 1865.

25th January 1882

C. Viranarayana Pillay late
Asst Accts Srirangam

Witnesses
Srinambutayyan.
Seshathrinathayyan

2-2-82

Chief Engineer

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
CIRCLE OFFICE

Ser. No. 1026

Vriddhachalam
30th May 1882.

I do hereby declare on honor ~~that~~ I have been serving as ~~Head~~ Accountant in the Sirkaitaunthan Anicut Project in the Tinnevelly District during the period from 12th August 69 to 24th October 1871.

C. Visvanadhan Jnr

Vriddhachalam
Asst. Engineer
In charge of Project

Ser. No. 1026

SALEM, MASS. POST OFFICE
10 JUN 82
6TH CIRCLE

In ✠ 1926

I do hereby declare that
C. V. Veerasawmy Iyaguru late
Accountant in Superintendent Irrigation
Project Tinnevelly District was
employed as Overseer & Writer
in 2nd Range Trichinopoly
District from 1st January 1857
to 20th November 1859 during
which period I was employed
as a Gomastah in the District
Engineer's Office of that District

25 January 1882.

T. Rama Rau
Deponent 2d Clerk Trichy
Dn Office

Witnesses

Dr Sam Nadar Iyer
Chrisathuradhayagam

2-2-82

Exd Engineer
28-1-82

463/84
10 JUN 93
C.S. CIRCUIT

In No 1026

Irrichnopoly
30th May 1892

I do hereby declare on honor, that L. Veerasawmi Mudaliar was employed as Head Accountant of the Irrigation Works Project in the Jaffna District during the period from 1st August 1869 to 24th October 1891, while I was employed as Draughtsman & Estimator in the Jaffna Range Office.

I R Sashagheri Row
Draughtsman & Estimator
of the Supt Engrs Office Colombo

Colombo
30th May 1892

In 70

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
10 JUN 62
6TH CIRCUIT

In No 1026

Pensacay Navy Yard
been employed in 3rd Range
Office as Head Writer and Acct
ence of 5 Y. and as Foreman over
Shops of the Range. I have made
it my duty to attend to the regular,
and steady manner in which he has
carried on the Office work. He is
qualified for a higher post

Pensacola
6th July 1865

Lieut. & Fleet
Comdr. of Ord.

Copy of letter from the Superintending Engineer 6th Circle to the Comptroller P.W. Accounts No 188 M.D. dated 28 June 1882

—

With reference to your letter No 527 of the 3rd October 1881, I have the honor to forward herewith an application for pension on behalf of C. Duraiswamy Esqr late Deputy Accountant No 1 Engr Madura District. His services from 1st January 1857 to 20 November 1859, from 1 July 1863 to 31 August 1865 and from 1 August 1869 to 24 October 1871 cannot be verified as the necessary records are not forthcoming. Hence the requires affidavits from the applicant and statements of his contemporaries in support of the service from 1st January 1857 to 20th November 1857 and from 1 August 1869 to 24 October 1871, taken under para 5 Section 127 of the Civil Pension Code 5 Edition are forwarded. As regards the service from 1 July 1863 to 31 August 1865 the applicant states that he does not remember any one living now who will bear testimony, and he therefore produces the enclosed certificate granted to him by Conductor Bywater, Superintendent, on the 8 July 1865 in which it is stated that the applicant served under him since 1859 and I consider that the service claimed has been

Established and should be admitted

(Pen Copy)

Superintendent Correspondence

Branch

From,

The Examiner P.W.Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

Pension Department.

No. 3592 Madras, 24th August 1882.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.Pension Department No.935 dated 17th.September 1881, I have the honor to forward herewith for favor of disposal, an application for pension with connected papers received from the Superintending Engineer 6th.Circle on behalf of C.Veerasawmy Naidu late a clerk in the Madura Divisional Office.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

C. Veerasawmy

Examiner P.W.Accounts.

Pension 1882 Aug

No. 1026

From,

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

Pension Department.

No. 359X

Dated 24th August 1882.

Recd. 25 " "

Enclos. 7

C. Veerasawmy Naidu late a clerk in the Madura Divisional Office. Forwards the pension papers of-----

Ge 4766-67

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Pension No. 1026 & 1178

From the Exr. P.W. Accts. No. 731 A/R

Dated 24th August 1882.

FOR ORDERS.

The applicant resigned his service in 1871 when reduced for misconduct by the Supdg Engineer. Subsequently he was reinstated by Govt in 1872 but Govt declined to allow his re-entertainment to any permanent appt. — G.O. (P.W.D) 7th Dec. 72 No 188-171.

He appealed to the Secy. of State in 1880 who replied in 1881, suggesting that in the event of his not being eligible for further employment Govt might take into consideration his claim to some gratuity or pension.

The applicants total qualifying service is 15 yrs 8 mns. 28 days. for which a pension of Rs. 9.5. is proposed under the Statutes of the

(a) Resignation vitiates service.

(b) Interruption between resignation & reemployment made by Govt.

(Y) period of service ~~subsequent~~ from reemployment, date of finial dismissal under S.O. 7th Dec.r 72. 168-171 does not count as by that S.O. Govt declined to appoint him to any permanent post.

The Hon.ble the Governor remarked on the foregoing letter of the Examiner dated 8th July 1881 that the Sec: of State intended to set aside the objections raised on account of 103 non receipt of a report ~~because~~ w dated 17th August 81 said "I cannot give the gratuity earned by verified service. The S. of S.'s suggestion is equal to an instruction."

The regular report thereon called for is the consent &c. to the service not verified is sufficiently made out by the evidence of contemporaries. It is submitted an order cesser

(i) Condoning resignation this interruption
(ii) allowing service to count from reemployment to date of finial dismissal because when S. of S. wrote he had order of dismissal before him & had made his suggestion

1626 of 82

Pension Department

that pension or gratuity due should be given.

R. o/s

(iii) granting the pension of 9.5.6

(iv) asking for explanation of delay in complying with requisition for a regular report dated 17th Sept. 1881.

(v) and of discrepancy in service reported — yrs: 15.4.27 in certificate.

yrs 15.8.28

in the total of the columns:— [in either case the correction due is the same.]

12/9/82.

Pension manager for draft as per terms of col. 10.

19/8/82.

G.O. to be communicated to Govt. of India only) (with col. to S. of I. also) as Govt. recommends [with a letter setting forth the circumstances under which]

12/9

16/9

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again:— G.O. 17th September 1881 No. 985

Read also the following letter from

From the Superintending Engineer 6th Circle dated 7th June 1882 —

— " — Examiner of Public Works Accounts dated 21st Augt. 1882

No. 362 & 731 A/C.

Order thereon 14th October 1882 No. 966

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to condone the resignation of — appointment in October 1871 by C. Veerasawmy Naidu Cute Deputy Accountant No. 1 Kings Madura, and the interruption in his service of 2 months and 20 days resulting therefrom, and to permit the applicant's service from date of re-employment to date of dismissal under G.O. No. 2897 dated 7th December 1872 to count towards pension. The total service of applicant will then amount to 15 years 4 months and 27 days for which he is granted subject to the

the Confirmation of the Govt. of India a Compensation Pension of Rs (9-5-6) Nine annas five and pice Six per mensem payable at Pachimpoly from the 12th March 1801 the date of receipt of His Majesty's despatch

2. The Examiner of Public Works Accounts will explain the delay of nearly a year in submitting the application for pension in this case which was called for by G.O. of 17th September last No. 936.

Dupl 28-10-82

9-5-92
1-9-92
8-7-93
15-2-15

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

(2)

[illegible]

Dated 4 Oct 1882 No. 966
Recd. 2-9-4

Nos.

14 Oct 1882
966

Pensions - Granting subject to the confirmation of the Govt. of India & commencement pension from 9-5-6 per annum to C. Veera Swamy Naidu Cutcherry Accountant - No. 1 Range Madura with effect from 12th March 1881.