1. Number of pages. 58
2. Date of repair. 7-2-36
3. Initials of Reference 7.36

M.R.O. 1—32,400—22-11-20.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 640 ~~Press~~ Mis.

Dated 27 7 1882

Not to be folded or roughly handled

G.O. No 740 of 21st Decr/75.

Pension 1878 Deptt

S No 12

From

Mr Le Fontaine
late 2nd Asst Mily Deptt

Dated 28th } Feby 1878
Recd " " }

Regrets the return of his Service Book.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 11 } 3 1873
Ansd }

Referred

28306

Referred to the Accountant General for remarks.—

Under Secretary to Govt

Fort St George
8 March 1878 }

To The Accountant General

In No 166/78

St Thomé 28th February 1878

641
16/3/78

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

Sir,

I shall feel much obliged if you will do me the favor to return my Service Book, which was forwarded to the Pension Department, when I applied to retire from the public service in December 1875. I am desirous of preserving it as a record in my family.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your obedient Servant
Geo. Le Fontaine
Late 2nd Asst. to Mily Secy to Govt
now on the Retired List.

Audit Department.

No. 1584

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
14 March 1878.

From The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To The Chief Secretary to Government
Pension Department

Sir,

In returning Mr. La Fontaine's letter of the 28th Ultimo requesting that he may be allowed to have his Service Book referred to this Office for remarks under Endorsement dated the 8th Instant, I have the honor to state that as a rule Service Books should not be returned to individuals who have retired on Pension or Gratuity. But if in the Case of Mr. La Fontaine there are any particular Circumstances which render Compliance with his request desirable there appears no important objection to an exception being made in his favor.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servant
W. Roley
Accountant General

Compd. [illegible]

Pension 21 Decr 1875 No. 740

Pension 1878 DEPARTMENT,
ACCTT. GENL.'S OFFICE, MADRAS.
No. 1584

FROM
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

To
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Pension Dept.

No. 166

Dated 14 March 1878
Recd. 16th ..
Ansd.
No. of answer.

Subject.

Stating that Service Books should not be returned to pensioners and that Mr. La Fontaine may be allowed to have his book back if Government think it necessary.

Petition

No. 641 of 1878 d/ 28th February 1878
Recd 16th March "

From Mr. G. A. La Fontaine late 2nd Assistant to the Military Secretary to Govt. residing at Saint Thome –

Prays for the return of his Service Book as he is desirous of preserving it as a record in his family –

For orders

I see no objection
2573

Note The Accountant General says service books should not be returned but may be if desirable

No. 641

Petn (Pension) Deptt

No. 641

Of 27th March 1878

Fort St George
27th March 1878

Despd 29/3

C. 23-3-78
R26

No. 5527

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

28th November 1860.

Read the following letter from E. B.
Collector of Coimbatore, to J. D. Sim
Secretary to the Board of Revenue For
dated 15th November 1860 No 221.

1 With reference to Board's En
x herewith returned on Petition No. 2987 of 1860, I have to re
I have known Vencatasoobiah 11
When I joined this District, I found
a cloud, degraded by my predecessor,
steps in the office, for supposed abs
of some public records, it was not
proved, I confirmed Vencatasoobiah, to
much, and I gave him from that time
-motion whenever it came to him in
turn. I should never have made him
Tahsildar, I had not sufficient Confide
him, as I have already said in my
of the 10th July 1860, No. 99 paragraph 4.

2. He is clever, and one of the mo
plausible, pushing, insinuating Bram
I know. He wont drop his appeal, so long
is a shadow of a chance for him. Th
Collector has already offered Vencatasa
a Talug Sheristadarship which he declin
not have offered that at present, but

to be hard on Vencatasoobiah, or oppose
berts' wish, I acceded to anything he
s to give him, short of the responsible
of a Tahsildarship. Vencatasoobiah
no distress, he is currently supposed
ied, among his own class, to be worth
not 30,000 Rupees. He holds a large
lity of land, once his fathers, near
batore.

---

dered that the following Endorsement
assed on Petition No. 2,987 of 1860:—
Board have received a report from
ollector on his Petition, and find no
e for their further interference in
natter."

(A true copy & Extract)

(Signed) W. Hudleston
Ag. Secretary.

(True copy)

Ag Sub Collector.

R2bn

27th March 1878

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 13th May 1863 No. 2,88

Read the following letter from C. W. Reade Esquire, Collector of South Arcot, to W. Hudleston Esquire, Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 20th April 1863 No. 15.

× × ×

1. In consideration of the strongly expressed wishes both of the Collector and Sub-Collector, the Board will no longer object to the appointment of Vencatasoobiah to the Tahsildary of Vriddhachalam.

2. At the same time the Board feel bound to express their entire dissent from the view taken by these officers of his conduct in the Durkhast case in Coimbatore.

3. In April 1865, Vencatasoobiah

reported to Mr Walhouse, the then Sub Collector, that objections existed to the grant of a small portion of land to a Darkhastdar who offered to pay Rupees 50 per annum for it. In March 1859 he himself applied for the same land on a favorable rate of assessment and made no mention of his recommendation on the former Darkhast, beyond stating that former applications had been refused. His application, however was carried through the Sub Collector's office, in two days, and the land most improperly made over to him. On the case being brought before Mr Thomas, the land was put up to public auction and realized Rupees 1,500, thus showing the high value of Vencatasoobiah's improper acquisition.

4. In reporting on his appeal Mr Thomas stated that on joining the District he found that Vencata Soobiah had been degraded by his predecessor, as there was strong suspicion that he had made away with some public records, and he added that personally he would never appointed him to the respons post of Tahsildar though he had not thought proper to negative Mr Walhouse's nomination of him to that office.

5. With these antecedents, nothing but the re-iterated request of the local officers would have induced the Board to consent to Vencatasoobiah's nomination and they desire that the Sub Collector will be careful

to note the manner in which he executes his various duties, which it is hoped may bear out the opinion which that officer appears to entertain of his qualifications for office.

(Signed). R. A. Dalyell
Sub-Secretary

(True Copy)

J. McCaffery
Dy. Collector

Encl No 742

K2bu

15W C

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 25th August 1865 No. 5,199.

Read again letter from the Collector of South Arcot, recorded in Board's Proceedings, 19th July 1865 No. 4175.

Read also the following letter from C. W. Reade, Esquire, Collector of South Arcot, to R. A. Dalyell, Esquire, Ag. Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 20th July 1865, No. 208.

× × ×

The foregoing papers relate to an inquiry instituted by the Sub Collector of South Arcot into the misconduct of one of the Tahsildars in his Division, Timcatacbiah of Vriddhachalam, who is shown to have collected certain sums of money from the subordinates in his own cutcherry for the purpose of making a present to Venkobaw or Venkat Row, the

Head Clerk in the Sub Collector's office.

2. The Sub Collector after completing his enquiry sought the advice of the Collector as to the mode in which he should dispose of the case, that is to say, whether he should treat it as an offence cognizable under the Penal Code, or as being in contravention of Regulation IX of 1822, or simply as a departmental misdemeanor. If the last, Mr Whiteside proposed to submit his recommendation as to the punishment to be awarded to the several parties concerned.

3. On the receipt of the papers, the Collector ordered the immediate suspension of the Tahsildar (unnecessarily as it appears to the Board), and has now, in the second letter recorded above, recommended that he should be suspended for one year,

on

17vv

on the understanding that, at the close of that period, he should be reinstated either in his old Taluq or in another of equal emoluments. It does not appear from the letter or its enclosures whether this recommendation is made with reference to any proposals of the Sub Collector.

4. It is clear that not only in the particular case which led to the investigation, but in other instances alluded to in the Sub Collector's report, the Tahsildar of Vencatasooling has acted in contravention of the Board's Circular Order, dated 17th March 1851, and has thereby rendered himself liable to the severe displeasure of Government. His conduct in the matter has been very reprehensible though there are circumstances connected with the case which detract from his criminality and which should be

allowe

allowed full weight in the final decision. The Board are willing to believe that in acting as he did, the Tahsildar was not actuated by any fraudulent motive, but at the same time he must have been fully aware of the impropriety of his conduct.

5. Under all the circumstances detailed in the correspondence, the Board have come to the conclusion that a period of six months' suspension will suffice, and they accordingly direct that Vencatasoobiah be suspended from his office for that time, commencing from the date on which he was relieved from his duties under the Collector's orders. It will be at the option of the Collector to submit the Sub Collector's proposals for Vencatasoobiah's appointment to

another

another Taluq at the expiration of the suspension, and in the meantime the Acting Collector will report how the temporary vacancy should be supplied.

6. In regard to the other offenders Venkoba Row, the Sub Collector's Head Clerk and Ragavendra Row the Head Gomastah in the Vriddha-chalam Taluq, though the Collector has not stated what punishment he intends to inflict, purposing doubtless to dispose of their cases under his own authority, the Board consider it desirable to direct that the former should be suspended for six months, and the latter for a period of two months. The future treatment of Venkoba Row, in reference to employment will be left to the District Authorities. The Collector

will of course take care that the money which was collected from the several subordinates in the Taluq Cutchery is refunded to them by Venkoba Row before he is re-instated.

7. The Collector will publish in the District Gazette a brief statement of the case, and of the orders which have been passed, but without mentioning names.

8. A Circular Order will be issued from the Board's office to all Districts prohibiting subscriptions being raised by Subordinate Servants in the Revenue Department on any account without the Collector's sanction previously obtained. A disregard of this direction will result in the severe punishment of the offender.

(Signed). C. G. Master
Acting Sub Secretar

(True extract)

Dy. Collector.

In No. 742

D

Revenue Department

No. 4391

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 13 October 1871.

Read letter from the Collector of [illegible]
dated 26 September 1871 No. 320

* * *

3. As to the Head Sheristadar, it has been shown that he acted under the late Collector's orders, but looking at the responsible position held by a Sheristadar, who is answerable to the Board and Government for the correctness of every account which passes through his hands, and whose counter-signature is expressly required in every Revenue circular order which issues from the Collector's office, the Board are of opinion that this is not a case in which so high a functionary can be saved from blame and punishment under the shelter of the doctrine of "Respondeat superior." Venkat Subbaya is shown to have been fully alive not only to the irregularity of Mr. Reade's proceedings but to the fact that a grossly fraudulent case was being put forward for Remission. Instead therefore of hastening in every possible way (to the extent even of performing the functions proper to a common clerk,) the despatch of Mr. Reade's orders to the Taluq authorities, it was his duty to have placed all the facts and inferences in the case before his superior in writing. Had Mr. Reade refused to receive such a paper, the Sheristadar would have

been fully justified in his own defence in refusing his countersignature to the order, which had he recorded it and still directed the preparation of the order, the Sheristadar would have been warranted in countersigning that document. But had Ramiah laid any such paper before the Head, it was incumbent on him to have brought all the circumstances of the case to the immediate notice of the officer [illegible] but [illegible] the next day. This he failed to do, and though some excuses for his conduct may fairly present themselves, the Board are of opinion that Vencatasubbiah has shown himself altogether unfitted for the responsibilities attaching to the chief post in the native establishment of an important Collectorate. They observe that he was lately promoted to it from a Tahsildar's charge. The Collector will provide him with a similar office on the first opportunity, and in the meantime he is removed from the Sheristadarship of the district. It is observed that the Tahsildarship of Tirumaly is now vacant and Vencatasubbiah might be appointed to that, being chance if the Collector sees no objection.

(True Extract)

(Signed) D. B. Pennington
Acting Secretary

(True Copy)

[illegible] No. 742
Deputy Collector

E''

Revenue Department

255

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Informing Government that when Cooppooswamy Iyer now as Dy Collector reverts to his substantive appointment as Cuddalore Tahsildar their wish that Vencatasoobiah late Huzur Sheristadar South Arcot should not be reduced below Cuddalore pay cannot be at once carried into effect	B. R. 21st August 1872 Mis No. 1650.
Submitting for favorable consideration an application from Vencatasoobiah late Head Sheristadar and at present acting Tahsildar of Cuddalore in South Arcot District regarding his salary	B. R. 13th September 1872 Mis No. 6253

Order thereon 18 November 1872 No. 109.

Approved

True Extract

(Signed) D. F. Carmichael
Ag Secty to Govt

To
The Board of Revenue

Miscellaneous No. 7763

Communicated to the Collector of South Arcot

Revenue Bd. Office
Madras
1st November 1872

By order
(Signed) H. Grose
Secretary

(True copy)

Deputy Collector

Mis No. 712

21

To

A. Cruickshank Esquire
Collector of South Arcot.

Respected Sir,

I respectfully beg to enclose the Medical Certificate granted to me by the Zillah Surgeon and request that the 6 months leave of private affairs I am now in which expires with the end of this month may be converted as leave on medical certificate and that an extention of sick leave for 6 months may be granted to me.

My age is about 57 and I have completed my service for half Pension. Neither your honor nor my immediate Superior wishes me to retire now. However being myself unfortunate to get a Deputy Collector's post in which I have served for more 6 years temporarily, I am not inclined to serve any longer as a Tahsildar. I therefore request that in case of there being any difficulty in granting me sick leave as applied for, I may be granted Pension.

I remain
Respected Sir
Your honour's most obedient
and humble servant
(Signed) S Venkatasubbiah
Tahsildar of
Chidambaram

Cuddalore
28 March 1882

(True Copy)

~~R [illegible] Secy~~
Dy Collector

In. No 742

Government of Madras
Revenue Department

10 October 1877 No 2038

Famine Relief

No 145. Read the following Paper:-

From C Bernard Esq C.S.I. officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Home, Revenue and Agricultural Department (Famine), to D H Garstin Esq C.S.I. Acting Secretary to the Govt of Madras, Revenue Department dated Simla, 10 September 1877 No 148 C.

In reply to your letter No 1599 A, dated the 31st July last, I am directed to say that the Governor-General in Council is pleased to sanction the grant of the following concessions to the officers, named in the List No I forwarded therewith, who were employed on famine relief duty in 1876-77:—

(a) Special leave on account of

failure of health occasioned by relief duty
(b) Of this special leave, the first six months' leave will carry full pay and allowances up to a limit of Rs 600 a month.

It is to be understood that the special leave should be taken before the end of the year 1880.

2. I am also to convey the sanction of His Excellency to an addition to service for pension of a period not exceeding twelve months in the case of the officers mentioned in the List No 2, who received no extra allowances and whose salary does not exceed Rs 60 a month.

3. I am to request that, with the permission of His Grace the Governor in Council, you will be good enough to favour the Government of India with a report showing the amount of money and of extra service granted to each officer under the orders now passed.

No 116. Order thereon, 10 October 1879 No 2038

Miscellaneous

Under the authority conveyed by the above communication, His Grace the Governor in Council resolves that in respect of those officers, whose names appear in List No I, and who have already had six or more months' leave, in consequence of ill health contracted on Famine Relief duty, the Accountant General be authorized to offer to them the option

3. The Government propose to grant twelve months' extra service for pension to all the officers and subordinates whose names appear in List No 2. The Government of India will be informed accordingly.

True Extract
(Signed) J H Garstin
Ag Secty to Government.

Enclosure No 2.

List of Officers considered eligible fo the second of the concessions proposed in paragraph 4 of the Government of India Resolution of August 10th, 187 No 2087, (1) as having done specially well while employed on Famine Relief duty; (2) as not having drawn any extra allowances while so employed; (3) as not having drawn a salary while so employed in excess of Rs 600 a-month; (4) who have not been recommended for concession No 1 the said second concession being in addition to service for pension not exceeding the period of employment on Famine Relief duty.

Revenue Deptt. Designation

Salem

S. Vencatasoobiah .... Temporary Dy Collector.

(Signed) J.H. Garstin
Ag Secy to Govt

(True Copy)

Dy Collector

In No 742

H

No 44

Coimbatore District Court
25th May 1882

From
The District Judge of
Coimbatore

To
The Collector of
South Arcot

Sir

With reference to your letter No 200 dated 22nd Instant, I have the honor to inform you that it appears from the record of this Court that S. Vencatasubbiah has drawn his pay as Civil Record Keeper of this Court at 35 Rupees per mensem from 14th October to 22nd December 1857.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
By order
(Signed) J. Vencatarungiah
Head Clerk

(True copy)

Dy Collector.

In No 742

II

Coimbatore Treasury
2nd May 1882

35

No 78

From The Deputy Collector
In charge of Treasury
Coimbatore

To. The Collector of
South Arcot

Sir. With reference to your letter no 376 of 29th ultimo to the address of the Collector of Coimbatore, I have the honor to inform you that Vencatasubbiah he has drawn pay at the rate of Rs 85 as Tahsildar of Dharapuram from 19th December 1857.

I have etc
etc etc

(Signed) N. Sreenivasarow
Ag Deputy Collector

(True copy).

Dy Collector.

In No 742

No. 219.

SOUTH ARCOT COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Cuddalore 28th June 1882

37 ✓ 3916

FROM THE COLLECTOR OF SOUTH ARCOT.

TO THE Accountant General, Madras.

VIDE your ~~this~~ ~~Proceedings~~ Office Letter ~~Memo.~~ ~~Docket~~ No. 1 AE / 94 dated 22nd June 1882

The undersigned has the honor to transmit herewith statement of the verified service of S. Vencatasoobi in respect of the portion of service rendered in the Coimbatore District furnished to the undersigned by the Collector and the District Judge of that place.

2. The applicant applied for sick leave which could not be granted under section 45 of the Civil Leave Code, and in his application (Copy of which was sent to the Accountant General with Pension application) for this leave he stated that if there was any difficulty in getting him sick leave as applied for he might be granted pension. His request for leave not having been complied with under section 45 of the Civil Leave Code, the applicant was informed that he was allowed to retire as desired. His

retirement was optional under Rule 5 Section 106 of the Civil Pension Code-

3. The applicant was in receipt of a uniform rate of pay of Rs 250 a month from 8th February 1877 the date on which he was made permanent Tahsildar of Chidambaram to 30th September 1881, that is 4 years 7 months & 21 days. Having been on private affairs leave from 1st October 1881 to 31st March 1882 drawing half of his pay, viz Rs 125, his emoluments for the purpose of ascertaining the average for that period, viz 6 months, were taken at what they would have been had he not been absent from duty under rule 1 of the definition for "average emoluments for the purpose of Pension." Vide Civil Pension Code Page 4-

The said period of 4 years 7 months & 21 days during which full pay was drawn added to the 6 months during which the applicant was on private affairs leave make 5 years 1 month & 21 days- It was for this reason that the grant of a pension of Rs 125 per mensem was recommended- Temporary

Deputation

39

Deputation allowance and acting allowances were not included in the calculation of average emoluments and the applicant did not draw any of these allowances during the last 5 years of his service-

Collector.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
30 JUN 82
MADRAS

596

List of services of S. Vencatasubbiah taken from the acquittance rolls of the late Zila Court of Coimbatore.

Name of appointment	Nature whether substantive or acting Permanent or temporary	Substantive appointment if the new appointment be acting only	Pay	Acting allowance	Date of appointment	Signature of officer	Character of Officer	Signature & designation of immediate head of the office	Date of termination of appointment	Reason of termination transfer promotion or return of permanent incumbent	Signature & designation of immediate head of the office	Remarks.
1st Gumastah Zila Court Coimbatore	Substantive Permanent	"	21 " "	"	27-11-51	"	"	"	4-4-53	Promotion		
Jewabnavis in do	Acting do.	1st Gumastah	21 " "	7 "	5-4-53	"		"	30-6-53	Confirmation		
Jewabnavis in do.	Substantive Permanent	Do.	28 " "	"	1-7-53				5-11-54	Promotion		
Civil Record Keeper in	Acting Permanent	Jewabnavis	28 " "	3-8 "	6-11-54				17-12-54	Return of Permanent incumbent		
Criminal Record Keeper	Substantive Permanent	Do.	30 " "		18-12-54				29-4-56	Promotion		
District Moonsiff of Karur	Acting Permanent	Criminal Record Keeper	30	*	30-4-56				25-7-56	Return of Permanent incumbent	-----	* There is nothing here to shew what pay he drew as Actg Moonsiff of Karur but the diary shows he was appointed Actg Moonsiff while holding the appointment of Criminal Record Keeper.
Criminal Record Keeper Zila Court Coimbatore	Substantive Permanent	"	30 " "	"	26-7-56				13-10-57	Promotion		
Civil Record Keeper	Substantive Permanent	Criminal Record Keeper	35 " "	"	14-10-57				22-12-57	Transfer		

Exd:

Copied by
T. A. [illegible]
Clerk.

District Judge

nur No 141 21/4/81   Encd Sub Dr No 615 22/4/81

List of Services rendered by Senganulloor Vencatasoobbiah Tahsildar of Chidumbaram, in the District of Coimbatore-

Name of appointment	Nature i.e. whether Substantive or acting, permanent or temporary	Substantive appointment if the new appointment be acting only	Pay	Acting allowance	Date of appointment	Date of termination of appointment	Reason of termination e.g. transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent-
Huzur Gumastah Collector's Office Coimbatore	Substantive permanent	"	10	"	18/8/41	15/12/41	Promotion-
Huzur Sayer Gumastah of do	Ag permanent	Gumastah on Rs 10	15	"	16/12/41	23/10/42	Return of permanent incumbent
Huzur Gumastah of do	Sub do	"	10	"	24/10/42	19/1/48	Promotion-
Huzur Munshi Sub Collector's Office	Ag do	H Gumastah on Rs 10.	22	"	20/1/48	10/5/48	Return of permanent incumbent
Huzur Gumastah Collector's Office	Sub do	"	10	"	11/5/48	11/7/50	Promotion-
Huzur Munshi Collector's office	Sub do	"	17	"	12/7/50	31/3/51	Promotion-
Do do	do	"	17½	"	1/4/51	26/11/52	Transfer to the Zillah Court Coimbatore
x	x x	x		x	x	x	
Tahsildar of Dharapuram Taluk Coimbatore do	Sub do	"	85	"	19/12/57	30/5/58	Leave-
	Leave from 31/5/58 to 4/6/58 - Vide Enclosures A & B. The applicant has drawn full pay in May & June 58. hence the leave must be supposed to be either casual or privilege-						
Do of do	Sub permanent	"	85	"	5/6/58	21/12/60	Do Vacation Vide Enclosures C & D-
Police Amin Aravacourchy Karur Taluk	Ag do	"	21	"	22/12/60	31/12/60	Promotion-
Sub Magistrate of do	Ag do	"	50	"	1/1/61	31/3/61	Confirmation-
Do of do	Sub do	"	50	"	1/4/61	25/6/61	Leave-
	Privilege leave from 26/6/61 to 20/8/61-						
Do of do	Sub permanent	"	50		21/8/61	10/11/61	Leave-
	Leave on private affairs from 11/11/61 to 22/11/61-						
Do of do	Sub permanent	"	50	"	23/11/61	20/5/62	Leave
	Leave on private affairs from 21/5/62 to 25/6/62-						
Do of do	Sub permanent	"	50	"	26/6/62	8/1/63	Leave
	Leave on private affairs from 9/1/63 to 14/7/63.						

From the remarks in the Pay abstract for July 1863 it appears that the name of Vencatasoobbiah has been struck off the Barawund owing to his Confirmation as Tahsildar of Virdachellum-

I certify that the above entries have been verified by me from the records and are an accurate statement of S. Vencatasoobbiah's Services up to 14th July 1863-

Coimbatore
21/4/81-

C. Wilson
Collector

P. S. Khaderkhan
Vernacular Record Keeper-

No 198 No 274

49

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION. ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Sringanellore Vencatasoobiah

2. Father's name. Sringanellore Ananthramier

3. Sect or Caste. Brahmin

4. Residence. Sringanellore. Coimbatore District

5. Present or last employment. Tahsildar of Chidambaram

6. Date of beginning service. 18th August 1841

7. Date of end of service. 31st March 1882

	Y. M. D.
8. Length of service including breaks	41. 7. 14
of which superior	31 – 1 – 26
inferior	8. 10. 24
non-qualifying and breaks	1 – 6. 24

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* ... Superannuation

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 250 – –

11. Proposed pension. Rs 125 – –

12. Proposed gratuity. "

13. Date from which to commence. 1st April 1882

14. Place of payment. Coimbatore Huzur Treasury.

15. Age of Applicant on this date. 57 years 5 months and one day (29th October 1824)

16. Height. 5 feet 4 inches.

17. Marks. A mole near the right temple and another on the left breast.

18. Date. 6th June 1882

12 JUN 82

Collector

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Deputy Collector

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Singanallore Vencatasoobiah late Tahsildar of Chidambaram Taluq South Arcot District.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting Allowance. RS.	Date of Beginning.	Date of End.	Period reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Coimbatore Collr. Office	Huzur Gumastah	10	″	″	″	18.8.1841	15.12.1841	″	″	″	″	3	28		Verified from the records of the District Court and Collector's office of Coimbatore District and from the monthly pay abstracts and Detailed Annual List of Establishments in the English and Vernacular Records of the Collector's office South Arcot.	Service from 18th Augt./41 to 11th July /50 does not count for Pension as it was rendered in Inferior Grades. Verified partly from the records of the Revenue and Judl. Depts. of the Coimbatore Distt. and partly from the records of the Collector's Office South Arcot. The verification of the entire Service has been confirmed by reference partly to the Annual Returns & partly to the Audit Registers on record in this office.
	Sayer Do. af	10	″	″	5 ″	16.12.1841	23.10.1842	″	″	″	″	10	8			
	Huzur Gumastah	10	″	″	″	24.10.1842	19.1.1848	″	″	″	5	2	26			
Sub Collr's	Huzur Moonshee af	10	″	″	12 ″	20.1.1848	10.5.1848	″	″	″	″	3	21			
Collector's	Huzur Gumastah	10	″	″	″	11.5.1848	11.7.1850	″	″	″	2	2	1			
	Huzur Moonshee	17	″	″	″	12.7.1850	31.3.1851	″	8	19	″	″	″			
	Do.	17	8	″	″	1.4.1851	26.11.1852	1	7	26	″	″	″			
Zillah Court Coimbatore	1st Gumastah	21	″	″	″	27.11.1852	4.4.1853	″	4	8	″	″	″			
	Sarabanavis af	21	″	″	7 ″	5.4.1853	30.6.1853	″	2	26	″	″	″			
	Do.	28	″	″	″	1.7.1853	5.11.1854	1	4	5	″	″	″			
	Civil Record Keeper af	28	″	″	3. 8	6.11.1854	17.12.1854	″	1	12	″	″	″			
	Criminal Do.	30	″	″	″	18.12.1854	29.4.1856	1	4	12	″	″	″			
Hosur	Dist. Munsiff af	30	″	″	″	30.4.1856	25.7.1856	″	2	26	″	″	″			
Zillah Court	Criminal Record Keeper	30	″	″	″	26.7.1856	13.10.1857	1	2	18	″	″	″			
	Civil Record Keeper	35	″	″	″	14.10.1857	18.12.1857	″	2	5	″	″	″			
Dharapuram	Tahsildar	85	″	″	″	19.12.1857	21.12.1860	3	″	3	″	″	″			
Aravakurchi	Head Ameen af	21	″	″	″	22.12.1860	31.12.1860	″	″	9 ~~10~~	″	″	″			
	Sub Magistrate af	50	″	″	″	1.1.1861	31.3.1861	″	3	″	″	″	″			
	Do.	50	″	″	″	1.4.1861	10.11.1861	″	7	10	″	″	″			
	Leave on private affairs	25	″	″	″	11.11.1861	22.11.1861	″	″	″	″	″	12			
	Sub Magistrate	50	″	″	″	23.11.1861	20.5.1862	″	5	28	″	″	″			
	Leave on private affairs	25	″	″	″	21.5.1862	25.6.1862	″	″	″	″	1	5			
	Sub Magistrate	50	″	″	″	26.6.1862	8.1.1863	″	6	13	″	″	″			
	Leave on private affairs	25	″	″	″	9.1.1863	28.2.1863	″	″	″	″	1	22			
Vridhachalam	Tahsildar	175	″	″	″	1.3.1863	2.10.1863	″	7	2	″	″	″			
South Arcot	Sick leave	77	8	″	″	3.10.1863	17.1.1864	″	″	″	″	[illegible]	15			
District	Tahsildar	175	″	″	″	18.1.1864	30.4.1865	1	3	12	″	″	″			
	Do.	200	″	″	″	1.5.1865	11.7.1865	″	2	11	″	″	″			
	Suspension	″	″	″	″	12.7.1865	11.1.1866	″	″	″	″	6	″			
Vridhachalam	Tahsildar	200	″	″	″	12.1.1866	30.6.1866	″	5	19	″	″	″			
Chidambaram	Do. af	100	″	″	125 ″	1.7.1866	31.7.1866	″	1	″	″	″	″			
Do.	Do. af	211	″	″	50 ″	1.8.1866	19.8.1866	″	″	19	″	″	″			
Vridhachalam	Tahsildar	200	″	″	″	20.8.1866	31.5.1868	1	9	11	″	″	″			
Ellavanasur	Do.	200	″	″	″	1.6.1868	30.9.1868	″	4	″	″	″	″			
Tirukoilur	Do.	200	″	″	″	1.10.1868	15.11.1868	″	1	15	″	″	″			
Tindivanam	Do.	225	″	″	″	16.11.1868	15.12.1868	″	1	″	″	″	″			
Cuddalore	Do.	225	″	″	″	16.12.1868	31.1.1870	1	1	15	″	″	″			
	Huzur Sheristadar	225	″	″	25 ″	1.2.1870	4.7.1870	″	5	4	″	″	″			
	Do.	250	″	″	″	5.7.1870	26.10.1871	1	3	22	″	″	″			
Tiruvannamalai	Tahsildar	150	″	″	allowance 75 ″	27.10.1871	30.11.1871	″	1	4	″	″	″			
Cuddalore	Do.	225	″	″	″	1.12.1871	2.5.1872	″	5	2	″	″	″			
Do.	Do. af	150	″	″	75 ″	3.5.1872	31.5.1872	″	″	29	″	″	″			
Do.	Do. af	175	″	″	50 ″	1.6.1872	30.6.1872	″	1	″	″	″	″			
Kallakurichi	Tahsildar	175	″	″	50 ″	1.7.1872	6.10.1872	″	3	6	″	″	″			
	Huzur Sheristadar af	175	″	″	75 ″	7.10.1872	5.12.1872	″	1	29	″	″	″			
Kallakurichi	Tahsildar	175	″	″	50 ″	6.12.1872	5.1.1874	1	1	″	″	″	″			
Cuddalore	Do.	225	″	″	″	6.1.1874	15.6.1874	″	5	10	″	″	″			
	Tempy. Addl. Dy. Collr.	250	″	″	″	16.6.1874	17.12.1874	″	6	2	″	″	″			
Cuddalore	Tahsildar	225	″	″	″	18.12.1874	2.4.1875	″	3	15	″	″	″			
	Railway Dy. Collector	250	″	″	″	3.4.1875	31.3.1876	″	11	28	″	″	″			
	Temporary Dy. Collr.	250	″	″	″	1.4.1876	25.10.1877	1	6	25	″	″	″			
Chidambaram	Tahsildar	250	″	″	″	26.10.1877	31.12.1877	″	2	5	″	″	″			
Salem	Dy. Collector & Do. af	250	″	″	″	1.1.1878	25.9.1878	″	8	25	″	″	″			
Chidambaram	Tahsildar	250	″	″	″	26.9.1878	9.2.1879	″	4	14	″	″	″			
South Arcot	Dy. Collr. & Do. af	250	″	″	″	10.2.1879	19.4.1879	″	2	10	″	″	″			
	Tempy. Dy. Collector	250	″	″	″	20.4.1879	21.8.1879	″	4	2	″	″	″			
Chidambaram	Tahsildar	250	″	″	″	22.8.1879	22.8.1879	″	″	1	″	″	″			
Tanjore	Salt Dy. Collr. af	250	″	″	″	23.8.1879	20.2.1880	″	5	28	″	″	″			
Chidambaram	Tahsildar	250	″	″	″	21.2.1880	14.3.1880	″	″	24	″	″	″			
	Tempy. Dy. Collector	250	″	″	″	15.3.1880	23.7.1880	″	4	9	″	″	″			
Chidambaram	Tahsildar	250	″	″	″	24.7.1880	30.9.1881	1	2	7	″	″	″			
	Private affairs leave on half pay	125	″	″	″	1.10.1881	31.3.1882	″	″	″	″	6	″			
Add Extra service for pension under G.O. Revenue Department dated 10th October 1879 No. 2038								1	″	″	″	″	″			
							Total	31	1	~~26~~ 25	10	5	18			

1-6-24

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. The character, conduct and past services of the applicant seem to have been such as to entitle him to a Superannuation Pension of Rs 125 under clauses 1 and 5 of Sections 106 and 111 of the Civil Pension Code.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. X The applicant was degraded on the 22nd December 1860 from the post of Tahsildar of Dharapuram to that of Police Ameen of Aravakurchi in Coimbatore District for supposed abstraction of some public records - Vide Copy of Board's Proceedings no. 5527 dated 28th December 1860 furnished by the Acting Sub Collector of Coimbatore marked A. He was appointed to the post of the Tahsildar of Vriddhachalam Taluq in this District on the 1st March 1863. Vide copy of Board's Proceedings no. 2880 dated 13th May 1863 marked B approving his nomination. While holding this appointment X he was suspended on 12th July 1865 for having collected certain sums of money from the subordinates in his own Kachari for the purpose of making a present to Vencobakam the Head Clerk in Sub Collector's office. Vide copy of Board's Proceedings no 5799 dated 25th August 1865 marked C. He was on the 12th January 1866 restored to his post.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. none.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. none.

5. ~~Any other remarks.~~

2. The applicant was again degraded from the post of Sheristadar in Collector's office to that of Tahsildar of Tiruvannamalai Taluq on the 27th October 1871 for misconduct in Alamelumangapuram Remission case. Vide Board's Pro. no. 4390 dated 18th October 1871 marked D. Although he was reduced to the post of Tahsildar of Tiruvannamalai, still the Government allowed Vencatasoobiah to draw from the date of his reduction the difference between the salary and allowances and the pay of a 2nd Class Tahsildar until he was provided with a permanent Tahsilship of that grade. Vide copy of G.O. no. 109 dated 18th November 1872 marked E. He was confirmed as Tahsildar of Cuddalore on the 6th January 1874.

5. Any other remarks 1. The applicant attained the age of 55 years on the 1st April 1880 while he was holding the post of Temporary Deputy Collector. Under footnote 3 to Section 106 of the Civil Pension Code he was permitted to remain in the Service till 31st March 1882. He was under Section 129 of the Civil Leave Code, granted private affair's leave for 6 months from 1st October 1881. He applied for an extension of 6 months' sick leave from 1st April 1882 with a request that the former leave might be converted into sick leave. His request was not complied with as it was contrary to the provisions of Section 45 of the Civil Leave Code and consequently he was allowed to retire as desired in his application - Vide copy of his application marked F

2. 12 months' extra service for pension granted by Government in their order dated 10th October 1879 no. 2038 R.D. has been added to the total period of the service of the applicant vide copy of G.O. marked G.

3. The applicant was employed as Civil Record keeper on a salary of Rs 35 per mensem in the District Court of Coimbatore, and subsequently was appointed as Tahsildar Dharapuram on a salary of Rs 85 per month. From the letter of the District Court Coimbatore, it appeared that Vencatasoobiah drew

No 106

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 31 years 1 months and 25 days; and that a Superannuation {pension / ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 125 per mensem equal to ½ average emoluments, is admissible under Sections 106 & 109 (c) of the Civil Pension Code, 5th Edition. The calculations have been duly verified. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Collector in his remarks recorded opposite to No 5 on the 3 page of the application.

In accordance with Rule 4, under Section 130, the attention of Govt. is respectfully solicited to Section 65 of the Code. The retirement of the applicant is not compulsory, but it is optional. Vide rule 5 Section 106 of the Code.

Asst Accountant-General.
for Accountt. Genl.

Fort Saint George,
Acctt. Genl's Office,
8th July 1882.

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

53

Pension 1882 Sept

No 742

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR ~~GRATUITY~~.

Date of Application. 6th June 1882

Name of Applicant. S. Vencata Subiah

Last Appointment. Saheildar of Chidambaram South Arcot

Class of {pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

G.O. No. 640 of 82

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

55 W

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

READ the following papers :—

From the Collector of South Arcot dated 6th June/82

" Accountant-General, dated 8th July 1882 No. A.O.S./106

ORDER THEREON, 27th July/82 No. 640.

UNDER Sections 106 and 109 (c) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Singanellore Vencata Subiah late Saheildar of Chidambaram South Arcot District a superannuation pension of Rupees (125) One hundred and twenty five per mensem payable at Coimbatore from 1st April 1882 in consideration of his qualifying Superior service of Thirty one years, one months and twenty five days.

Applicant was twice degraded & once suspended but as Govt. condoned this & appointed him back as a Dy. Colr. - his pension - it is submitted should be passed intact.

14. 7. 82.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

" Collector of South Arcot, Coimbatore

17/7.

1509.

27-7-82

7-5-03

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

F. No 146 of 84

Dated 27 July 1882

Despd. " — " —

Recd.

G.O., 27 July/82 No 640.

Granting a Superannuation pension of Rs 125 per mensem to S. Vencata Soobiah late Tahsildar of Chidambaram South Arcot District.

M, 2. JCS

Hud.

M. Sullivan

18/7

Gm. 18/7