8
5-2-16

M.R.O. 1—32,400—22-11-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1108		Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated 15-11-		1882

Not to be folded or roughly handled

15th November 1882, No. 1108, Pension.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

From the Collector of Kistna, dated 28th April 1882.
„ Accountant-General, dated 14th June 1882, No. A.D.J.-51.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th November 1882, Mis. No. 8658.

Order—dated 15th November 1882, No. 1108, Pension.

Under Sections 106, 109 (*b*), and 111 of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kanchanapulli Srinivasa Row, late Taluk Sheristadar of Répalle Taluk, Kistna District, a superannuation pension of Rs. (30) thirty per mensem, payable at Bezváda from 27th October 1881, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of thirty-two years, seven months, and three days.

2. As the applicant is reported to have died on the 28th September last, the arrears of pension due will, under Section 172, Rule 2, of the above Code, be disbursed to his eldest son, Lakshmikanta Row.

(True Extract.)

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
„ Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 15th November 1882, No. 1108.

NOVEMBER.

Pension.

Granting a superannuation — of Rs. 30 per mensem to K. Srinivasa Row, late Taluk Sheristadar of Répalle Taluk, Kistna District.

List of Enclosures attached with the petition.

I Proceedings of the Collector of K. Dt 12/10/81. Masul
II Receipt obtained from the Post office to the Petition
sent to G. T. Mackenzie esq as sub collector in charg
dated 19th Oct 1881.
III Petition to G. T. Mackenzie Esq. Ag S. Collr K. Dt 20/10/8
IV " " A. J. B. Atkinson Esq. Ag Collr K. Dt Do
V. Medical certificate obtained from the Apothecary
of Repalli K. Dt 20/10/81.
VI Petition to A. J. B Atkinson Esq. Ag. Collr K. Dt 27/1
VII Endorsement to the above Oct 31/81.
VIII " to the Honarable Members of the Board of
Revenue 10/11/81.
IX " to G. T. Mackenzie Esq. Ag sub. collr. 6/12/8
with an endorsement to it 9/12/81.
X. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue 16/12/81
XI Appeal Petition to the Honarable Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Madras. 1st March/
XII Endorsement thereon. No 688 - 13 march/188
XIII Present Petition to the Same - 25th May/82

K Srinivasa Rao

L. Pet. 1582/82

No 2451 of 13-10-81.

Proceedings of the Collector of Krishna Dt dated
Masulipatam 12th October 1881.

Kanchanapalli Sreenivasa Rao Taluq Sherist
of Reypulli, according to his horoscope attain
the age of 55 years on the 15th October 1881
By his service register he is two years older th
that and he has the appearance of a man
more than sixty years old. He has 36 year
and 8 months service.

2. The Ag. Sub collector in charge of Krishn
considers that Kanchanapalli Sreenivasa
is unfit for further service through old
age and directs him to retire from the
15th October under Section 106 of the
Pension Code.

(Signed) Gordon Mackenzie
Ag. Sub Collector
in charge.

To
The Taluq Sheristadar
Repulli.

Initials of }
K.A. }

(True copy.)

In Pet. 1582/82

(7)

Receipt for the Sender of a Registered Article.

No. 140 Weight 7 Rates (a rate is equal to one-eighth of a tola.)

Dated 19th Oct 1881

Received a Registered Article addressed to—

G. J. Mackenzie Esq.
Dy. Sub Collector
in Charge the Ol-

D. O.

R. O.

REPALLE
OCT: 19.

In Pr 1582/82

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PUBLIC.

1. Registration is available at all Post Offices. Prepayment of postage and registration fee by means of postage stamps is compulsory. Articles intended for registration must be presented at the Post Office window, and a receipt obtained.

2. The sender of a registered article may obtain a receipt for it in a book, if, at the time of registration, he presents the book with the address of the article ready written in it.

3. The sender of a registered article can obtain through the Post Office an acknowledgment for it from the addressee, provided he affixes on the article a 1-anna postage stamp in addition to the postage and registration fee and gives his name and address when he tenders the article for registration.

4. The Government are not responsible for the loss of, or damage to, a registered article, or parcel unless it is also insured. The rates of insurance are:—2 annas when the value does not exceed Rs. 50; 4 annas when it exceeds Rs. 50 but does not exceed Rs. 100; and 4 annas for every additional Rs. 100 or fraction thereof. Insurance charges must be prepaid by means of postage stamps in the case of registered articles, and in cash in the case of parcels. An article intended for insurance must be closed in the prescribed manner and accompanied by a declaration of the nature and value of the contents. (Printed forms of declaration can be obtained from the Post Office gratis.)

5. Articles containing currency notes or manifestly containing stamps, cheques and the like, must be registered, and if they contain coin, jewels, &c., they must be insured.

6. The sender of a registered article is recommended to write his name and address legibly in the lower left-hand corner of the cover.

Form 30.

Page 1

To

G. T. Mackenzie Esq.
Ag. Sub Collector
Krishna Dt.

Most Honored Sir,

With reference to your honor's proceedings as Sub Collector in charge that I am unfit for further service through old age and that I shoul therefore retire from the 15th October 1881 I with due deference and profound submission, beg to bring the following few lines for your favourable consideration and sanction.

Although I am considered to be a man of 55 years of age or so, yet I am physicall fit for further service. The circumstance of my bodily construction permits me to serve further more. Your honor, I think, is well aware of the rulings that an extenastion upto 60 years is also given by Govt to the individuals who may be declared to be fit for further service even after they have attained 55 years of age. According to my horoscope it is true I have attaine 55 years by the 15th October /81. I humbly beg your honor will not be pleased to rely simply upon the attainment and appear-ance of my old age. I can say

Dis No 1581/82

that the entry of my age in my service register appears to have been made by hap-hazard with a discrepency of about 2 years. The service register was compa with that of the Old service register by th then Head Asst Collector. I can firmly declare that I am not at all more tha 55 years of age. Your honor has been pleased to allow persons of more than 55 years old to continue their services up to 60 years of age. I herewith enclose a copy of the medical Certificate obtaine from the medical officer of this statio as to my fitness for further duty.

Your honor issued an order No 279 dated 8-3-81. that a list of servants whose age exceeds 55 and unfit for further service and that of those whose age may exceed to 60 years, within 6 months should be submitted to your honor for report to the collector. The list that was once submitted to your honor by the Tahasildar in obediance to the above order does not bear my name, by which your honor will, I think, observe that I did not attain then exactly 55 years of age

I further beg to state that my second son K. V. Rangarao is studying for F. A. examination at Masulipatam; if I am compelled to retire now simply because I have attained the age of 55, I fear it would be a bar to the improvement of my son's education. Besides this I cannot, with my poor pension, maintain myself and my large family with Children provided my son do not get any Govt. Employment

In conclusion, I humbly beg your honor will graciously be pleased to allow me to continue my service for a few years under the above reasons. If your honor perhaps permit me to retire I humbly beg that my son will be provided with a suitable post in your honor's office as he has passed the Matriculation Examination and U. C. S. examn.

For this act of kindness & charity I and my large family shall ever remember your honor in this wide world.

I beg to remain
Most honored sir
Your most obedt. Servant

20th October 1881
Reypalli

(Signed) K. Sreenivasa Rao.

True extract & copy.

K Sreenivasa Rao

To

A. J. B. Atkinson Esq.
Ag. Collector
Krishna Dt.

In continuation of my letter dated 19th Inst. to G. T. Mackenzie Esq. Sub Collector in charge, I, with due deference and profound submission beg to state that I herewith submit a medical certificate obtained from the medical Officer of this station as to my fitness for further duty and further beg your honor to consider this petition with the one submitted yesterday and bless me with your honor's favourable orders as to my continuation of my duty.

20th October 1881.
Repalli.

(Sd) K. Sreenivasa Rao
(True copy)

Endorsement.

No. 192.

I cannot alter the late collector's order

(Intial) A. J. B. A.

Oct 26/81.

(True copy). K. Sreenivasa Rao

In Pet 4582 of 82

No. 122.

I, R. Narayanasawmy Naidu, in her Majesty's Indian Medical Service, do hereby certify on honor, that I have this morning carefully examined Kanchanapally Sreenivasa Rao Pantulu Garu, Sheristadar of Reypully Taluq (aged by his own statement 55 years and by appearance about 57 years) and declare, to the best of my skill and judgment, that he is physically fit for a further service of 3 years hence, in the capacity of a Taluq Sherestadar.

Repalli Civil
Apothecary's Office
20th October 1881.

(Signed) P. Narayanaswamy
Naidu
Civil Apothecary
in Medical Charge
Repalli.

(True copy.)

R Sreenivasa Rao

In R 1684/82

To

A. J. B. Atkinson Esq.
Ag. Collector Krishna Dt.

(19)

Most honored sir,

In continuation to my petition dated 20th instt, I beg to state that the petition submitted by me on 19th October to the address of G. T. Mackenz Esq. Ag. Sub. collector in charge, was delivered to the sub collector at Guntur, and I therefore herewith enclose a copy of it for your honor's kind perusal. I further beg your honor to make a kind reference to the record of Palnad Taluq where I was first employ in the Govt service when my age was estimated to be 19 years in proof of my statement, and also to the Tahasildar for my fitness for further duty. Even by that estimated age I did not yet attain 60 years.

In conclusion, I most humbly beg your honor on the kind consideration of my petitions will be pleased to issue orders to my continuation of further service for a few years.

I beg to remain
Most honored sir
Your most obedt. servant
(Signed) K. Sreenivasa Rao

Repulli
27th October 1884.

/True copy/ K. Sreenivasa Rao

Sr. P. No. 1582 of 82

No 196.

2

The endorsement on the 27th Octob~~er~~ is

"I decline to interfere. I have already told him so once.

(Sd.) ag. B.a.
a.c. Oct 31/81.

(Sd.) P.T.R.
H.S.

True copy
R. Srinivasa

In Rt 1582-f/82

I

To

The Honorable Members of the
Board of Revenue &c &c
Madras.

The humble appeal petition of Kanchanapally SreenivasaRao Sheristadar of Repalli Taluq. K.D.

Most humbly sheweth,

That your honor's petitioner has been ordered by the Sub Collector in Charge in his Proceedings dated 13th October No 2451 to retire from the 15th October/81 as he is unfit for further service through old age.

2. Upon that your honor's petitioner presented two petitions to the ag. collector one on 19th and the other on 27th October 1881 with the medical certificate obtained from the medical officer (civil Apothecary) of this station with reference to section 53 clause D of the Pension code of 1876 (Third Edition) as to his fitness for further duty. ~ ~

~ 3 The ag. collector has endorsed the petitions to the effect that he cannot alter the late collector's order which petitions with enclosures and proceedings he herewith encloses for your honor's kind perusal and return.

In Re 1582 of/82

3. He has been ordered to retire simply because he has attained 55 years of age. The Ag. colle has refused to interfere without considering the medical certificate or his capability of discharging his duties.

He neither asked the petitioner to produce a certificate from Dt. Surgeon Krishna.

4 Your honor's petitioner is quite certain that he is not more than 55 years of age according to his horoscope.. Your honor's petitioner has been given to understand that the Ruling Govt. had been pleased to issue an order in the year 1876 to the effect that the individuals who may be declared to be fit for further service even after they have attained 55 years of age may be retained in their service to any extant. Many servants in this District have been accordingly retained in their services although the age of them exceeded 55 years. The Petitioner's pitiable case has not been treated similarly, so he (petitioner) sustained great loss as well as the Govt. by the order passed by the Sub Collector in charge.

5 In conclusion he (petitioner) solicits

most humbly the Honarable Members of the Board of Rev to favour him with orders for continuation in the service upon the kind consideration of the enclosures, if ordered the petitioner will produce a Medical certificate from Dr Surgeon, Krishna provided it is necessary.

For this act of charity he and his large family shall ever remember your honor in this wide world.

November 10th 1881 Reypulli

He begs to remain
most honored Sirs
Your most obediant and
humble servant
(Sd) K. Sreenivasa Rao.

True Copy / R Sreenivasa Rao

To

G. Mackanzie Esq.
Ag. Sub Collector
K. Dt.

Most honored Sir,

The humble petition of Ranchanapalli
Sreenivasa Rao. Taluq Sherestadar
Repalli.

I was ordered lately by your honor to retire from the service, but I requested through petitions that I might be allowed to continue in the service for some time more. The Tahasildar also sent an arjee to your honor with my Yadast to him. I have not yet been favoured with any endorsement from your honor.

I heard that the Collector has passed an order that all servants to be pensioned must be retained in the service until the Sanction of the amount of Pension is made by the Government.

I am now living in Reypully without Either any Salary as an acting man was appointe, or pension as it has not yet been Sanctioned by the Govt.

I therefore request your honor will be pleased

In Pet 4582 of 82

be pleased to issue an order to retain me in my Sherastadar post until the amount of pension is finally sanctioned by Govt. and to give me a favourable endorsement.

For which act of kindness I as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

I beg to remain
Most honored sir,
Your most obedt. servt.
(Sd) K. Sreenivasa Rao.

Repalli
6th December
1881.

(True Copy)

Order thereon
No 1727.

Petitioner's request to be reinstated until his service is verified cannot be acceded to.

(Signed) Gordon Mackenzie
A. S. C.

9. 12. 81.

(Sgd) P. Jwalarangam
S. S.

(True Copy)
B Sreenivasa Rao

Ack
22.12.81
Thro' Depy Collr

RECEIVED
6 MAR 82

(33)

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,

Dated 16th December 1881.

No. 10753 Miscellaneous.

READ petition No 2450 of 1881 from K. Srinivassa Row Sheristadar, Repulli Taluk, Kistna, praying for orders to retain him in Service.

The Collector will inform the Petitioner that the Board decline to interfere.

(True Extract)

E. Gibson
Ag Sub Secretary.

To

The Collector of the Kistna Dist.

In Petn 733 of 82

In Petn 1532 of 82

Compd A R
Coldy. [illegible]

To

The Honarable Chief Secratary to the Government
of Madras (35
Fort Saint George.
&c &c &c

The humble appeal petition of Kanchanapally Shreenivasa Rao Sheristadar of Repalli Taluq, District Krishna..

Most humbly sheweth,

1 That your honor's petitioner has been ordered by the sub collector in charge in his Proceedings dated 13th October No 2451 to retire from the 15th October 1881, as he is unfit for further service through old age.

2 Upon that your honor's petitioner presented two petitions to the then Acting Collector and one to the Board of Revenue. Of the first two one was on 19th and the other on 27th October 1881 with the medical certificate obtained from the medical officer (civil apothecary) of this station (Reypully) with reference to section 53 clause D of the Pension code of 1876, Third Edition as to his fitness for further duty. On having obtained an endorsement from the collector your honor's petitioner represented a petition to the Board of Revenue on 10th Nov. last and the order thereon is herein enclosed for your honor's perusal and return.

The ag collector has endorsed the petitions to the

In Pet 158 of /82

Effect that he cannot alter the late Collector's order which petitions with enclosures & proceedings he herewith encloses for your honor's kind perusal & return.

3 He has been ordered to retire simply because he has attained 55 years of age. The Ag Collector has refused to interfere without considering the medical certificate or his capability of discharging his duties and the Board also declines to interfere. Neither the collector nor the Board asked the petitioner to produce a certificate from District Surgeon, Krishna. That he is a servant drawing 60 Rupees per mensum and that persons of his stamp who obtained a medical certificate from an Apothecary, are to be compelled to retire though physically competent to hold the post for three years more it would show itself that the Government would incur a loss of three hundred and sixty Rupees per annum. Though the Tahsildar who is his immediate superior is protesting against his retirement the Ag Collector or rather the Sub collector in charge ordered your poor petitioner to retire without even considering the weight of the certificate and that the Government would suffer a loss of the above stated amount. When the Collector was inconsiderate even the Board, the highest Revenue Tribunal of this Presidency, should have considered all the points and if necessary, should have ordered him to produce a certificate from any highest Medical Officer. That your petitioner is Of opinion that the Government officers are bound to do their duty honestly so that there may not be loss in any way to the Govt.

4. Your honor's petitioner is quite certain that he is not more than five and fifty years of age according to his horoscope. Your honor's petitioner has been given to understand that the Govt. has been pleased to issue an order in the year 1876, to the effect that the individuals who may be declared to be fit for further service even after they have attained 55 years of age may be retained in the service to any extent. Many servants in this district have been accordingly retained in their services although the age of them exceeded 55 years. The petitioner's pitiable case has not been treated similarly, so he (petitioner) sustained great loss as well as Govt. by the order passed by the Sub-collector in charge.

5. In conclusion he solicits most humbly your honor to favour him with orders for continuation in the service upon the kind consideration of the enclosures, if ordered, the petitioner will produce a medical certificate from District Surgeon, Krishna, provided it is necessary.

For this act of charity, he & his large family shall ever remember your honor in this wide world.

True copy

Your most obedient Servt.

Repalli
14th March 1882

A Sreenivasa Row

59

2.

Government of Madras.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Endorsement No. 688 of 1882.

THE Government will not receive a petition on any matter, unless it shall appear that the Petitioner has first applied to the local authority, and also to the Board or other controlling authority, where such exists. The petitions addressed to such local and controlling authorities, or copies of them, and the answers or orders of those authorities respectively, if any shall have been passed, must be annexed to the petition addressed to Government.

2. The enclosures referred to at the end of para 2 of petition were not received with it.

(By Order.)

J. A. Davis

Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
13th March 1882.

To
K. Srinivasa Rao
with 1 Enc.

M. Pet. 1582 of 82

No. 1582

41

To

The ~~Hon^ble~~ Chief Secretary to the Government
Of Madras
Fort Saint George
&c &c &c

The humble appeal petition of Kanchanapalli
Sreenivasa Rao Taluq Sheristadar of Reypalli R. Dt.

Most humbly Sheweth:-

With reference to your Endorsement no 688 of 1882 the petitioner submits herewith all the Enclosures referred to at the end of para 2 of your honor's poor petitioner's humble petition of the 10th March 1882, for your honor's kind perusal, consideration and return with your honor's favourable endorsement.

2. From October 1880 or so until now he (the petitioner) has not been drawing any pension. He is therefore at present employed on some temporary duty as a Tanadar of Kapileswarapuram Sirna of the Nuzveed Zemindary Estate, with a less pay, by the Zemindar. But he having been a servant under the British Govt. is not at all satisfied with the present post and so craves your honor to do him justice.

3. With the best hope getting his humble request, to restore him to his former position for a period of 3 years or so, sanctioned

He the petitioner begs to remain
Most honored ~~sir~~
your most obedient servant

K Sreenivasa Rao

fitness for work [illegible]
There is only a [illegible] statement [illegible]

ABSTRACT.

43

With reference to Govt. Endt. No. 688 of 1882 on his former petn which was returned under para 2, submits all the papers referred to ~~mentioned~~ in his petn of the 1st March/82 & states that he is now temporarily employed as a Tanadar in the Nuzid Zemindari Estate with a less pay—

Prays for justice by restoring him to his former position for a period of 3 years—

fitness for work = [illegible]
This is only a curt statement [illegible]

End 13-3-82-688

[8]

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(BRANCH).

Rev

From K. Srinivasa Rao

Rev Petition No 1

Occupation Taluq Sheristadar
Village of
Taluq Repalli
District Kistna

Dated
Received 5th June 1882
Enclosures 12.

Recd 3rd July 82

1582

Pension Manager Has application been submitted yet - if so put up. If passed put up.
9/6/82.

SUBJECT.

Retention in service

For

C 29-6-82.
R 30-6-82.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

45

No. 1582

Petition From K. Srinivasa Row: Late Taluq Serishtadar, Repalli.
Dated 5th June 1882

FOR ORDERS.

The undersigned is of opinion that the man ought to be pensioned. His pension paper has therefore been initialled & is submitted.
E.F.W.
27/6.

I am no friend to retaining useless men in the service but before insisting on retirement we must have a little more proof of unfitness than is on record in this case. The Acting Sub Collr in charge says that he is unfit for further service but does not say why. Is he blind, deaf or in what way is he incapacitated. Remit to the Collector Mr. Horsfall for report after personal examination.
HES
30/6/82

Ag: Sub Collr: in charge of Kistna District by his procgs. 12th Oct. 1881 ordered Taluq Sshr. (petitioner) to retire as by his horoscope he was 55 years old: ~~nothing more~~ & as Sub: Collr: considered him unfit from old age.

On 26th Oct 81 the petr. put in a medical certificate of fitness by a Civil apothecary & ~~Hospital asst.~~ asked to be retained.

Mr. Atkinson Ag: Collr. endorsed that he could not alter late Collr's order. Under the circumstances it is doubtful if this was a proper answer to the petr. - again Oct: 31st 81 Mr. Atkinson on a representation by petr. as to his age & fitness endorsed "decline to interfere have said so once."

Petr. offer to produce a certificate from Civil Surgeon should perhaps have

fitness for work [illegible]
There is only a [illegible]

Nº 879 42

1582

Srinivasa Row: ad Taluq [illegible] tahsildar
5th June 82

By Sub Collr: in charge of [illegible]
District [illegible] 12th Oct. 1881
[illegible] Taluq [illegible] (petitioner)
[illegible]
55 years old — [illegible]
[illegible] him [illegible]

The Dt officers have stated him to be unfit for further duty this old age [see para 2 Proceedings of Collr. dated 12 Oct. 1881]. This appears to me to be sufficient. Flw

certainly. but in this case the Revenue officers declared the man unfit for further service. The medl certificate I uned only certify to his physical fitness. & if his superiors find him unfit & 55 yrs old so he must. The only question is whether the Collr of or Dt officer should not (under the code) certify in given form that he considers the man unfit to go on. If the code requires this, the certificate should be called for: if it does not let him take his pension.

Flw
26/6/ [illegible]

As: the code - I understand does not require a formal certificate. The report I meant was as to his ~~[illegible]~~ mental fitness for work - [illegible]
There is only a curt statement [illegible]

been complied with. 47

Board of Rev: 16th Decr. 81 declined to interfere.

Petitioner is entitled to a superannuation pension of Rs: 30 - & his Pension case is herewith put up.

Submitted that inefficiency is by no means to be presumed because an officer is 55 years old. The note to sec: 106. C. Pension Code expressly deprecates this view. There is nothing on record to show mental unfitness has petr. objects to being retired submitted that following order issue.

GO. The Govt. observe that there is nothing on record to show that petr. is unfit to serve; & inefficiency cannot be presumed from the fact that he has attained the age of 55 years. The Collr. of Kistna will report on this point through the Board of Revenue without delay. Meanwhile the petr.'s pension [illegible] 16/6 connected with.

of Her Ld... district

...ition.

...tioner is entitled to admission

...ecord of his 30 — that cause,

as herewith put up.

...ted has no recovery is

to cease big ... there

...ition is 55 years old. The

... Patron's code

...ly desperate this Vision.

...as a nothing on time-of to

...surplus was held directly

...ual ... them

...ruary

[illegible] Petition against
his compulsory retirement

FOR ORDERS.

The Honble
M Sullivan Esq

See note. ...

The Secretary.
26. 6. 82

Write accordingly

C 29-6-82
R 30-6-82

O.M. ~~List~~ from orders.

Revr No 1
3 July. 82.

Fort St. George
3rd July 1882 } To the Collr of Kistna Dis 4.7.82

The undersigned is directed to request that the Collector of Kistna will personally examine K. Srinivasa Row, late Taluq Sheristadar of Repalle & report through the Board of Revenue for what reason he is incapacitated for further service. There is nothing on record beyond a statement of the Sub Collr. in charge that he is unfit. 1/7/82.

Des: 4th July/82

---

(5)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
(Petition)

No. 20 R.P.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

THE undersigned has the honor to request that the Collr. of Kistna will be good enough to expedite transmission of reply to the { Memo.x ~~Order~~ } noted in the margin.

x 3 July/82.
No 1 R.P.

Ag Asst Secy

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
3rd Nov. 1882.

To The Collr. of Kistna

No 879 212

with 1 Service Book
1 Last Pay Certificate
2

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Kanchanapalli Srinivasarow

2. Father's name. Do Narasingarow Pantulu

3. Sect or Caste. Brahmin, Vishnava. 53

4. Residence. Durgi, Palnad Taluq, Kistna District.
Showing village Pergana and Taluq

5. Present or last employment. including name of establishment – Taluq Sheristadar of Repalli Taluq on the establishment of the Collector of Kistna.

6. Date of beginning of service 3rd February 1845

7. Date of end of service 26th October 1881

		Y. M. D.
8. Length of service including ~~breaks~~ interruptions	…	36-8-26
of which superior	… … … …	36-8-26
inferior	… … … …	None
non-qualifying and ~~breaks~~ interruptions	…	" – 5-10

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* … Superannuation Pension under Sections 106, 109 clause (6) and 111.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. … … … … … … … Rs. 60-"-"

11. Proposed pension. … … … … … … … … … … … 30-"-"

12. Proposed gratuity. None

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 27th October 1881

14. Place of payment. Taluq Treasury, Bezwada.

15. Age of Applicant's ~~on this date.~~ Date birth by Christian era. 15th October 1826.

16. Height. 5 feet 4 inches.

17. Marks. One wart below the right eye, one round cross mark on the forhead just above the junction of eye brows. one black mole on the left temple.

18. Date. 29th April 1882

Despd. 4th May 1882

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
8 MAY 82
MADRAS

J. [illegible]
Collector of Kistna

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

---

* If the application is for a compensation
sion or gratuity, the nature of the change of
blishment which has given rise to the claim
ld be fully stated.

c 29-6-82
R 30-6-82

53

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Kanchanapalli Srinivasa Row late Taluq Sheristadar of Repalli, Kistna District.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Collector of Guntur	Head Madatgar of Tummurukota Taluq	21	"	"	"	×3rd February 1845	31st January 1847	~~7~~	~~11~~	~~26~~ 28	1	11	28	× This date of the individual's first entertainment in Government Service appears in the annual Returns for 1853, 1854 and	By Service Register Kept in the Guntoor Collector's office also [illegible] for 1853 1854 & 1855	Service from 3rd Feby/45 to 14th Octr/48 & 16th [illegible] /59 to 24th May/60 do not count for pension the former being rendered before the attainment of 22nd year of age and the latter being rendered in nonqualifying Grades.
Do Do	14	"	"	"	1st February 1847	~~20th November 1849~~ 14 Octr 48	~~2~~	~~9~~	~~20~~	1	8	14			
Do Do	14	"	"	"	15 October 48	20 Novr 49	1	1	6						
Huzur Sheristadar Madatgar	20	"	"	"	21st November 1849	5th October 1851	1	10	15	"	"	"			
Acting Do Do	28	"	"	"	6th October 1851	5th January 1852	"	3	[illegible]	"	"	"			
Huzur Sheristadar Madatgar	20	"	"	"	6th January 1852	27th January 1852	"	"	22	"	"	"			
Huzur Salt Madatgar	21	"	"	"	28th January 1852	1st August 1853	1	6	4 ~~5~~	"	"	"			
Huzur Sheristadar Madatgar	24	"	"	"	2nd August 1853	12th January 1854	"	5	~~12~~ 11	"	"	"			
Huzur Sheristadar Madatgar	28	"	"	"	13th January 1854	31st July 1856	2	6	~~19~~ 18	"	"	"			

57

Sheristadar of Repalle Taluq	60 " "	"	5th March 1879	26th October 1881	2	7	18 22 ~~23~~	" " "	
Total period of {superior inferior} service...	....	~~36~~ 32	~~3~~ 7	~~16~~ 15 3	"	5	10		

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. A Cause of degradation not known

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. None

4. Explanation under Section ~~47~~ 100, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. None

5. Any other remarks. Inefficient by age. The delay in the submission of this application is due to no papers having been received from the Sub Collector until 13th ultimo & a large portion of the applicant's services having then had to be verified in the Huzur office

6 The whole service has been established by pay bills & a

J. G. Horsfall
Collector Kistna
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

No. ADj/51 CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for 32 years 7 months and 3 days; and that a superannuation {pension ~~gratuity~~} equal to ½ average emoluments not exceeding Rs. 30 " " per mensem, is admissible under Sections 106, 109, (b) 111 of the Civil Pension Code, 5th Edition. The calculations have been duly verified. The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Collector in his remarks opposite to No. 5 on the 3rd page of the application.

In accordance with Rule 4, under Section 130, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Section 65 of the Code.

Asst. Accountant-General,
for Accountant General

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's office,
14th June 1882.

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

Pension 1882 Septr
No 626

Application for {Pension ~~or~~
{~~Gratuity~~—

Enl

Date of application. 28th April 1882

Name of Applicant. Kanchanapalli Srinivasa Row

Last appointment. Taluq Sheristadar, Repalli

Class of {pension ~~or~~
{~~gratuity~~ Superannuation Pension

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement. 27th October 1882

Date of sanction.

With order 1108 of

No.

6 MAR 82

CURRENT No. 733

61

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras.
Fort Saint George.

The humble appeal petition of Kanchanapally Sreenivasa Row Sheristadar of Repalle Taluq, District Kistna.

Most humbly showeth,

1 That your honor's petitioner has been ordered by the Sub Collector in charge in his Proceedings dated 13th October No 225) to retire from the 15th October 1881, as he is unfit for further service through old age.

2. Upon that, your honor's petitioner presented two petitions to the then Acting Collector and one to the Board of Revenue. Of the first two one was on 19th and the other on 27th October 1881 with the Medical certificate obtained from the Medical officer (Civil apothecary) of this station (Repalle) with reference to section 53 clause D of the Pension Code of 1876 Third Edition as to his fitness for further duty. On having obtained an endorsement from the collector your honor's petitioner presented a petition

to the Board of Revenue on 10th November last and the order thereon is herein inclosed for your honor's perusal and return. The Ag. Collector has endorsed the petitions to the effect that he cannot alter the late Collector's order, which petitions with enclosures & proceedings he herewith encloses for your honor's kind perusal and return.

not recd
J.

3. He has been ordered to retire simply because he has attained 55 years of age. The Ag. Collector has refused to interfere without considering the Medical Certificate or his capability of discharging his duties and the Board also declines to interfere. Neither the collector nor the Board asked the petitioner to produce a certificate from District Surgeon, Kistna. That he is a servant drawing 60 rupees per mensum and that persons of his stamp who obtained a medical certificate from an Apothecary, are to be compelled to retire though physically competent to hold the post for three years more. It would show itself that the Government would incur a loss of three hundred and Sixty Rupees per annum. Though the Tahsildar who is his immediate superior is protesting against his retirement the Ag. Collector or rather the Sub. collector in charge ordered your poor petitioner to retire without even considering the weight of the certificate and that the Government would suffer a loss of the above stated amount. When the Collector was inconsid[illegible] the Board, the highest Revenue Tribunal [illegible] this Presidency, should

should have considered all the points and if necessary, should have ordered him to produce a certificate from any highest Medical officer. That your petitioner is of opinion that the Govt. officers are bound to do their duty honestly so that there may not be loss in any way to the Government

4. Your honor's petitioner is quite certain that he is not more than five and fifty years of age. According to his horoscope. Your honor's petitioner has been given to understand that the Govt. has been pleased to issue an order in the year 1876, to the effect that the individuals who may be declared to be fit for further service even after they have attained 55 years of age may be retained in the service to any extent. Many servants in this district have been accordingly retained in their services although the age of them exceeded 55 years. The petitioner's pitiable case has not been treated similarly so he (petitioner) sustains great loss as well as Government by the order passed by the Sub Collector in charge.

5 In conclusion he solicits most humbly your honor to favor him with orders for continuation in the service upon the kind consideration of the enclosures, [illegible] ordered, the petitioner will produce a medical certificate from District

Surgeon, Kistna, provided it is necessary.

For this act of charity, he and his large family shall ever remember your honor in this wide world.

Your most obedient & humble Servant

Srinivasa Rao

1st March 1882
Repalle

Encloses the Endt. of the Board of Revenue of 16th Decr /81, No. 1073. States that the Sub Collr. of Kistna ordered petr. to retire from service from 15th Octr. /81; that he requested the Collr. & the Board with reference to sec 53 Clause D of the Pension Code of 1876 as to his fitness for further duty, but they ordered his retirement simply because he has attained 55 years of age without considering the Medical Certe. or his capability to discharge his duties for 3 years more & that by his retirement the Govt. will incur a loss of 360 Rs. per annum.

Prays that on consideration of the (enclosures) Board's Endt., orders may be issued for petr's continuation in the Service.

65
1

PETITION (1882) DEPARTMENT.

DISPOSED OF BY MAR 82

Encl

R

From K. Srinivása Ráo,
Sheristadar of Repalle Táluq,
Kistna Dist.

No.

1 Encl:

Dated 1st } March 82
Recd. 7th }

4/1582/82

CURRENT No. 733

SUBJECT.

Retention in Service

Pension application has not as yet been recd in the Rev. Dept 9/3

Order No 688 D/13-3-82
1) Para 2.
2) The enclosures referred to at the end of para 2 of petn. were not received with it

9/3/82

To
The Petr.
with 1 Encl.

DESPATCHED
13 MAR 82

13-3-82
688

---

b

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,

Dated 9th November 1882.

No. 8658 Miscellaneous.

READ the following letter from J. H. Horsfall Esquire, Collector of Kistna to the Secretary to Government Revenue Department through the Board of Revenue dated 28th October 1882 No 2724.

With reference to Assistant Secretary's Official Memorandum No I July 3, 1882, directing me to examine personally, K. Srinivasa Row, late Sheristadar of Repalli Taluq and to report through the Board of Revenue for what reason he is incapacitated for further service, I have the honor to state that immediately on receipt of the above Memorandum I issued a notice to Srinivasa Row to appear before me on the 25th July last but this he failed to do, owing to illness and is reported to have died on the 28th September. He leaves a son by his deceased 1st wife, a son and two married daughters by his 2nd deceased wife and a 3rd surviving widow.

2. As the family is reported to be living

jointly any arrears of pension that may be sanctioned for the deceased may be paid to his eldest son by name Lakshmikanta Row a Revenue Inspector in Nuzvid Division.

Resolution

Submitted to Government, with reference to their Official Memorandum dated 3rd July 1882 No I which however has not been communicated to the Board.

(True Copy and Extract)

C. Gibson.
Ag Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

Copy to The Collector of the Kistna District

Copied A. Narayana Aiyengar

Revenue (1882) Dept
Pension ~~No 6450~~

1882.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

No 1390 R. P. 622.

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Pension arrears
No orders required?
Pension passed to ... up.
be paid up to
date of his death
W.P. 14/11

Recd. ~~10~~ 14th Nov. /82

Proceedings, dated 9th November 1882.

No. 8658 Miscellaneous.
~~Rev 15~~

Subject.

Submitting to Government letter from the Collector of Kistna reporting the death of K. Srinivasa Row late sheristadar of Repalli taluq and stating that if any arrears of pension are sanctioned it may be paid to his eldest son Lakshmikanta Row.

10/11/82

Co. No. 1108.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Kanchanapalli Srinivasa Rao	Brahmin, Vishnava	Durgi Palnad Talug Kistna District	Narasinga Rao Pantulo	Durgi Palnad Talug Kistna District	15th October 1826	As per his Horoscope up to 15th October 1873 47		11 9	5	4	One wart below the right eye, One wound cross mark on the forehead just above the junction of eye brows. One black mole on the left temple.	16th October 1873	Kanchanapalli Srinivasa Rao	T. J. Lumn As Head Assistant Collector 21-October 1873
						As per list of Pension Register 47 5			Do		Do	16-10-78		
						52 3	0	11	Do		Do	27th October 1881		for W. Mackenzie Ag Sub Collector

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Head Madatgar of Tummurucota Talug in late Guntoor District	Substantive	..	21	.	3-2-45	Kanchanapalli Sreenivasa Rao		[illegible] Rao Deputy Collector 18.3.74	31.1.47	The Salary of the post was reduced to 14 Rs from 21 Rs	[illegible] Rao Deputy Collector
Do Do	..	..	14	.	1.2.47						
Huzoor Sheristamadatgar in late Guntoor District	.	..	20	.	21.11.49				20.11.49	By promotion	
Do. Do	Acting	Sherista Madatgar	28		6.10.51				5.10.51	Do.	
......	Permanent	Do.	20		6.1.52				5.1.52	By the return of permanent incumbent.	
Huzoor Salt Madatgar in late Guntoor District	Permanent		21	.	28-1-52				27-1-52	Promotion	
Huzoor Sherista Madatgar in late Guntoor District	Permanent		24		2-8-53				1-8-53	Do	
Do. Do.	Do		28		13-1-54			[illegible] Rao Deputy Collector	12-1-54	Do	[illegible] Rao Dy. Collector
Huzoor Salt Madatgar in late Guntoor District	Acting	Sherista Madatgar	30		1-8-56				31.7.56	Do	
Huzoor Sheristamadatgar	~~Actg~~ Permanent	Do	28		6.9.56				5.9.56	By the return of the permanent incumbent	
Huzoor Salt Madatgar in late Guntoor District	Permanent	Salt Madatgar	30		1-11-56				KS 31-10.56	Promotion	
Huzoor Sherista Madatgar in late Guntoor District	Acting	Do	35		5.5.59				4.5.59	Do	
Huzoor Salt madatgar	Permanent	Salt Madatgar	30		5.8.59				4.8.59	By the return of the permanent incumbent	
Huzoor Sherista Madatgar in late Guntoor District	Acting	Salt Madatgar	35		29.9.59				28.9.59	By the Promotion 9.7.0	

Cc V 1108

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Extra Head Gumasta in Kistna District	Permanent	Extra Head Gumasta	35	..	16·12·59 vide District Gazette January 1860			[illegible] Rao Dy Collector	15·12·59	The Guntoor District was abolished & this post was provided in the new Kistna District.	
Huzoor Vernacular Clerk in Kistna District	Permanent	Vernacular Clerk	35		25·5·60	✓			24·5·60	Transfer	
Zufty Tahsildar of Devarakota & Nuzvid Zamindary Estates in Kistna District	Temporary	Vernacular Clerk	50		~~7·4·62~~				~~[illegible]~~	~~By promotion~~	
		~~Vernacular Clerk~~	~~35~~		~~24·7·62~~				~~28·7·62~~	By the	
Head Clerk in Kistna Collector's Office	Acting	Do	[illegible] out of 65= 32-8-0 and of 35=17-8-0		8·4·63	✓ Kanchanapalli Srinivasa Rao		[illegible] Rao Deputy Collector	7·4·63	By promotion	[illegible] Ag Head Assistant Collector 21st October 1873 —
Vernacular Clerk Collector's Office	Permanent	Do	35		8·7·63	✓			7·7·63	By return of permanent incumbent	
English record keeper Collector's Office in K.D	Acting	Do	~~40~~	40	15·2·64	✓			14·2·64	By promotion	
Head Clerk in Head Asst Collector's Office K.D	Acting	Do	45		3·6·64				[illegible] 1874	Do	
Sub Magistrate of Vissannapetta Division	Officiating	~~Head Clerk~~	~~45~~		1·3·65				~~[illegible]~~	~~Do.~~	
		Head Clerk	45		17·4·65				16·4·65	By the return of the present incumbent	
Head Clerk	....	Head Clerk	70		1·5·65				30·4·65	The pay of the post was raised to 70 Rs from 45 Rs.	

757

Cert # 1108

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Salt Supdt. Nizampatam	Acting	1st Clerk of Asst. Collector's Office	70	"	14-2-74		Privilege leave from 16th November 1867 to 29th December 1867 Privilege leave for 24 days from 7th to 31st May 1879. Callenkata Tahsildar		25 2.75	Return of permanent incumbent. Reason of reduction not known	
Taluq Sheristadar Repalli Taluq	Permanent	"	60	"	26.2.75				13th Feby 1879	Promotion.	
Tahsildar of Repalli Taluq	Acting	Taluq Sheristadar of Repalli Taluq	60	50	14th February 1879				4th March 1879	Return of permanent incumbent	
Sheristadar of Repalli Taluq	Permanent		60	-	5 March 1879				26th October 1881	Retired on pension	Collector

Cc No. 1108

23-11-82

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name.	Kanchanapalli Srinivasa Rao.
Caste or Race.	Brahmin
Residence.	Durgi in Palnad Taluq Kistna District
FATHER'S. Name.	Narasayya Rao Pantulu
FATHER'S. Residence.	Durgi in Palnad Taluq Kistna District
Date of birth.	15th October 1826 (Sunday)

Enc No 626

1P

626 + 1290

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

79

READ the following papers :—

1 From the Collector of Kistna dated 28th April 1882

2 " Accountant-General, dated 14th June 1882 No. A.D.I. / 51.

3 " Pros of the Board of Rev: d/9 Nov 1882 No 8658 Misc.

4 ORDER THEREON, 15 Nov/82 No 1108

UNDER Sections 106, 109 (b) & 111 of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kanchanapalli Srinivasa Row late Taluq Sheristadar of Repalli Taluq, Kistna District a superannuation pension of Rupees (30 - -) Thirty per mensem

payable at Bezwada from 27th October 1881

in consideration of his qualifying Superior service of Thirty four years, seven months and three days.

2 - As the applicant is reported to have died on

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

" Collector of Kistna

" D.M.G.

Desp 23-11-82

on the; 28 September las
the arrears of pension
due to the deceased
will, under Section
172 Rule 2 of the abov
Code, be disbursed
to his eldest son Laks
mikanta Row.

FW 16/11/82

Govt. of {1882} Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated 15 Nov 1882

Despd. 2 [illegible]

Recd.

G.O., 15 Nov/82 No 1108

Granting a superannuation pension of Rs 30 per mensem to K. Srinivasa Row late Taluq Sheristadar of Repalle Taluq, Kistna District.

D28/P

20(