

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1094

Press
Mis.

Dated

14-3-11

1882.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

14TH NOVEMBER 1882, No. 1094, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Madras, 7th November 1882, No. 528-X.

I have the honor to forward, herewith, for the orders of Government, a statement of gratuity in lieu of notice admissible

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle, No. 400-T.C., dated 2nd August 1882, with copy of statement.

This office letter to the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle, No. 169-S.E., 6th, dated 16th August 1882.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle, No. 553-T.C., dated 22nd September 1882.

This office letter to the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle, No. 233-S.E., 6th, dated 13th October 1882.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle, No. 623-T.C., dated 16th October 1882.

under the ruling contained in Section 100, Rule 2, of the Civil Pension Code, to certain Sub-Overseers of the Trichinopoly Division, whose services were dispensed with on the afternoon of 31st March 1882, in consequence of the transfer of Local Fund Works to the management of the Local Fund Boards, under orders of Government communicated with the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 2021, dated 5th October 1881 (copy attached).

2. Copies of correspondence that passed between this office and that of the Superintending Engineer, Sixth Circle, on the subject are enclosed.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT of the Gratuity in lieu of notice admissible to certain Sub-Overseers of the Trichinopoly Division whose services were dispensed with in consequence of the transfer of Local Fund Works to Local Fund Boards (*vide* Section 100, Rule 2, Civil Pension Code).

Names.	Designation of Appointments held previous to Discharge.	Pay.	Whether the usual Notice of three Months was served before services were dispensed with.	Date on which Notice was served.	Date of actual Relief.	Amount of Gratuity admissible.	Remarks.
Marimuthu Charry ..	Sub-Overseer, First Grade.	rs. 60	No ..	15th Feb. 1882, afternoon.	31st March 1882, afternoon.	rs. A. P. 89 0 6	Gratuity in lieu of notice admissible from 1st April 1882 to 15th May 1882.
Sashagiri Row ..	Sub-Overseer, Third Grade.	20	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	29 10 10	Do. do.
Davasigamoney Moodelliar.	Do. ..	20	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	29 10 10	Do. do.
Annasawmy Pillay ..	Do. ..	20	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	29 10 10	Do. do.
Chockalingam do. ..	Do. ..	20	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	29 10 10	Do. do.
Arnachellam do. ..	Do. ..	20	Do. ..	Do. ..	Do. ..	29 10 10	Do. do.

MADRAS,
7th November 1882.

(Signed) D. C. GORDON,
Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

14TH NOVEMBER 1882, No. 1094, PENSION.

ORDER—dated 14th November 1882, No. 1094, Pension.

Payment of gratuity, in lieu of notice, to the six individuals entered in the statement submitted with the foregoing letter is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Collector of Trichinopoly.
„ Superintending Engineer, Sixth Circle.
„ Public Works Department.
„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

Gobt. of (1882) Madras.
PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 14th November 1882, No. 1094.

NOVEMBER.

Gratuity in lieu of notice.

Sanctioning payment of — to certain Sub-Overseers of the Trichinopoly Division, whose services were dispensed with in consequence of the transfer of Local Fund Works to the management of the Local Fund Boards, Trichinopoly.

Ch. 133/752

Notice given on 15/2/82

Discharged on 31/3/82 .i.e. after 1 month & 23 days.

The gratuity in lieu of notice is therefore ^{due} up to 15/5/82 when they should have been discharged.

N^o 1. Mariamuthu Chetty

Rs. A. P.

@ 60 Rs. from 1/4/82 to 15/5/82 = 1 m. & 15 days { 60 — —
29-0-6
89-0-6

N^o 2. Sheshagiri Row

Rs. A. P.

@ 20 Rs. from 1/4/82 to 15/5/82 = 1 m. & 15 days { 20 — —
9-10-10
29-10-10

Note. Persons entered against N^{os} 3, 4, 5 & 6 of the list, being each of them given notice on the 15th Feb'y 82 and discharged from service on the 31st March 82 are entitled, each, to Rs 29-10-10 as in the Case N^o 2 worked out above.

13/5/82

5th October 1881. N^o. 2021

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated
5 October/81. N^o. 2021.

Read the following Proceedings of the
Madras Government, Financial Dept.
dated 27 August 1881 N^o. 1576.

Read the following Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue, dated 26 July 1881.
N^o. 1495

Read again Board Proceedings, dated 1st
April 1881 N^o. 31183, Miscellaneous, in-
forming the President of the Local
Fund Board, Trichinopoly Circle, that
if he desires to submit a scheme for
the employment of a separate Local
Fund Engineering Establishment he
is at liberty to lay his proposals before
the Board.

Read the following letter from H. Sewell Esq.
President of the Local Fund Board,
Trichinopoly to the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue, dated 16 May 1881,
No 290.

Enclosure No 1.

Proceedings of the Local Fund Board

Trichinopoly dated 11th May 1881

Read scheme for the entertainment of a
Local Fund Engineering Establishment.

In modification of the scheme
passed at last meeting, and in con-
sequence of certain suggestions made
by the Superintending Engineer, the Board
now propose to entertain an engineering
staff as shown below, the total cost of
which will come to Rs 17,514 per annum.
The Superintending Engineer will also be
requested to have the old Infantry Guard
room transferred to Local Fund Board
for an engineering office in the event
of the scheme being sanctioned:-

Scheme.

1. Engineer at Rs 500 per mensem	5000
Travelling allowance at Rs 14/- per day or Rs 120 per mensem	1440
1. Head Clerk at Rs 50 per mensem	600
1. Draftsman at Rs 40 Do	480
1. Accountant @ Rs 30/- Do	360
1. Clerk at Rs 20/- Do	240
Travelling Allowance at annas 4/- per day or Rs 6/- per mensem	72
4. Lascars at Rs 7 per mensem	336
Travelling allowance for 3 Lascars at 1 anna or Rs 1-4-0 each per mensem	45
Contingencies at Rs 15/- per mensem	180

1. Supervisor at Rs 150 per mensem	1800
1. Clerk at Rs 20 per mensem	240
2. Lascars at Rs 6 Do	144
Travelling allowance to Supervisor at Rs 1/- per day or Rs 30 per mensem	360
Travelling allowance to a Clerk at annas 4 a day or Rs 6 per mensem	72
Travelling allowance to 2 Lascars at 1 anna or Rs 1-4-0 each per mensem	30
Contingent at Rs 10 per mensem	120
Total	2766

1 Overseer at Rs 50 per mensem	600
Travelling allowance at 8 annas per day or Rs 12 per mensem	144
1 Overseer at Rs 40 per mensem	480
Travelling allowance at 8 annas a day or Rs 12 per mensem	144
3 Overseers at Rs 30 each per mensem	1080
Travelling allowance at 8 annas a day or Rs 12 per mensem	1182
5 Clerks at Rs 18/- each per mensem	900
Travelling allowance at 4 annas a day or Rs 6 each per mensem	360
5 Lascars at Rs 6 each per mensem	360
Travelling allowance at 1 anna a day or Rs 1-4-0 per mensem	75
Contingencies at Rs 7 each per mensem	420
Total	14995

Grand Total. 17,514

With reference to Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings, No. 3483 dated 1 April 1881, I have the honor to submit a scheme for the entertainment of a separate Local Fund Engineering Establishment for this Circle.

2. A copy of the Proceedings of the Local Fund Board on the subject is herewith enclosed.

3. I have conferred with the Superintending Engineer on the subject and he is entirely in favor of the change of system.

4. A salary of Rs 300^{*} per mensem was fixed for the Local Fund Engineer by my predecessor in his proposal to the Board as it was intended to place him solely in charge of the Trichinopoly Taluq. This sum is now increased to Rs 500, exclusive of travelling allowance so as to secure the services of an efficient Engineer officer for the entire charge of all the Local Fund Works in the Circle. He is to have an office establishment consisting of 1 Head Clerk, 1 Draftsman, 1 Accountant, 1 Clerk, and 4 Lascars, besides a subordinate executive establishment of 1 Supervisor, 5 Messengers, 6 Clerks and 7 Lascars. The annual

* Vide Board's Proceedings No. 3355 dated 18 December 1879.

cost of the entire establishment amounts to Rs 17,500 against Rs 26,000 which is the average cost of the Department of P. W. Supervision of the last ten years.

5. I may add that the Superintending Engineer was of opinion that in order to make payments for work and to look after the Messurs properly 2 supervisors would be required for this district. This would have brought up the total yearly cost of establishment to about Rs 20,000. The Local Fund Board therefore considered it advisable to start with only 1 supervisor, who should look after the three Taluqs, Musuri, Perambalur, and Udagar-palaigam, while the Engineer might keep Trichinopoly and Kullitalai under his immediate charge, retaining of course entire control over the whole district. If we find that this plan does not work it will of course be possible to modify it hereafter.

6. I request that the Board will be pleased to obtain sanction to the introduction of the scheme from the Octaber next, which seems to be

Resolution -

Communicates to the President,
Local Fund Board, Trichinopoly Circle,
who will make the necessary arrange-
ments for the execution of all Local
Fund works by the Engineering Estab-
lishment now sanctioned.

[True & tract]

[sd] C. D. Maclean
as Sub-Secretary

[True Copy]

H. Patton
Supt. Correspondence
6/9 7/11

a convenient date as it will give
ample time to make arrangements,
and it also divides the year equally.

Resolution -

Submitted for the sanction of
Government.

2. The total estimate is moderate.
The collector mentions Rs. 26,000 as the
average cost of the last ten years, but
he appears to have left Petty Establish-
ment out of account. Adding that, the
cost of P. W. Establishment in the
Circle for the three years preceding the
Lancet was as shown below, giving
an average of Rs. 35,929:-

	Rs
1873-74	40,400
1874-75	33,731
1875-76	33,658

[True Copies & tract]

[sd] C. D. Maclean

as Sub-Secretary

Order thereon - 27 August 1876. Financial

sanctioned

[True Estimate]

15
Copy of letter from the Superin-
tending Engineer Office to the
Comptroller P. W. Accounts No. 4007C
dated 2 August 1882

I have the honor to forward
herewith a statement showing the names
of Sub Overseers whose services were
dispensed with in the Trichinopoly
Division consequent on the transfer
of Local Fund Works to the manage-
ment of the Local Fund Boards' own
agency, and who claim pay under
rule 2 Section 100 of the Civil Pension
Code on account of shortness of
notice and to request that you will
be good enough to inform me whether
the rule applies to Local Fund Sub
Overseers.

(True Copy)

H. Patton
Supt Correspondence
March

66
7.11

In A-1331

27. 7/11

[The text in this image is extremely faint and illegible, appearing as a series of horizontal lines.]

In No. 1331

17

Statement showing the names of Sub Puruss whose services were dispensed with in the Trichinopoly District
consequent on the transfer of L.F. Works to the management of the Local Fund Board's Own Agency

Name	Designation of appointment last held	Pay	Whether the usual notice of 3 months was served upon each before his services were dispensed with	Date on which notice was served	Date at which actually relieved	Pay due in lieu of notice	Whether their previous papers have been submitted, if so on what date	Whether all outstanding -ings against them if any have been adjusted	Remarks
Mariamathu Chetty	Sub. Pur. 1st grade	60	No	The notice dated 11.2.82 was despatched from this office on 15.2.82	31 March/82 after noon	81 48	Not yet submitted	All outstanding have been adjusted.	Now employed as L.F. Pur. on Rs. 40/-
Thamasthy Pillay	Do	60				81 48			
Gopalaswamy Pillay	Do	60				81 48			
Ponnuswamy Pillay	Do 3rd grade	20				27 17			
Seshayya Noid	Do	20				27 17			
Daraswamy Moos	Do	20				27 17			
J. Sankthi Pillay	Do	20				27 17			
Annaswamy Pillay	Do	20/-				27 17			
Chockalingum Pillay	Do	20				27 17			
Arnachellam Pillay	Do	20				27 17			
per A. J. Fraser, Major R.E. By certificate Engineer									

1/2 copy
R. K. Statton
Respondent

Copy of letter from the Examiner
C. W. Accounts Madras to the Superin-
tending Engineer 6th Circle No. 16786.6
dated 16th August 1882.

With reference to your letter
No. 400 T.C. dated the 2nd instant,
I have the honor to request inform-
ation on the following points.

- (a) The dates on which the Sub-
overseers referred to by you
received notice of discharge.
- (b) The names of those whose
services were taken over by the
Local Fund Board and on
what pay?
- (c) The dates on which they entered
upon their duties under Local
Fund Board.
- (d) The circumstances under which
the usual notice of 3 months,
was not served upon the Sub-
overseers if it was previously known
that their services would not be
required in the C. W. Department
after 1st April 1882.
- (e) Whether any of the Sub-overseers who
have not been taken over by the
Local Fund Board, have secured
other Government appointments
and if so, the designation of
such appointments with the pay
attached thereto and the dates
of assumption of charge.

2 The favor of an early
reply

No. 1331

Reply is requested.

(True Copy).

J. P. Patton

Supr. Correspondence Branch
EP
7/11

In N° 1331

Copy of letter from the
Superintending Engineer 6th Circle to
the Examiners P. W. Accounts Madras
No. 553 T.C. dated 22nd September 1882.

With reference to your letter
No. 169 of the 16th ultimo, I have the honor
to inform you that the Sub-owners
should be considered as having re-
ceived the notice of discharge on the
afternoon of the 15th February 1882.

2. The names of the Sub-owners
whose services were taken over by the

Gopalasamy Iyengar	Rs 50	Local Fund
Shanacotty Pillay	" 40	Board and
Ponnosamy Pillay	" 30	the pay they
Santha Pillay	" 30	now draw

are shown in the margin, and they
all entered upon their duties on the
forenoon of the 1st April 1882.

3. None of the Sub-owners who
have not been taken over by the
Local Fund Board have secured
any Government appointment.

4. The reason that 3 months
notice was not given them was that
in the case of the other Local Fund
Boards no notice was given, and
in this particular case as soon
as it became clear that some
of

of the men would be left without employment, notice was given; this was as you will observe about six weeks before the works were handed over.

(True Copy.)

J. H. Patton
Supt. Correspondence Branch
AP, 7/11

No. 1331

Copy of letter from the
Examiners, P. W. Accounts Madras to
the Superintending Engineer 6th Circle
No. 233 S.E. 6th dated 13th October 1882.

With reference to your letter
No. 553 T.C. dated 22nd September 1882,
I have the honor to draw your attention
to letter from the Government
of India department of Finance
to Bombay Government No. 106 dated 10th
January 1881 printed at foot of page
46 of the Financial Codes 5th Edition,
from which it
will be observed that
the Suboverseers
named in the margin
whose services were taken over by the Local
Fund Board will not be entitled to any
gratuity in lieu of notice.

2. Full explanation of the circumstances
under which the required notice of 3
months was not served on the remaining
Suboverseers when it was known in
October 1881 that their services will not
be required in the P. W. Department should
be submitted for the information of
Government.

(True Copy.)

J. H. Patton
Supt. Correspondence Branch
AP, 7/11

27
Copy of letter from the
Superintending Engineer 6th circle,
to the Examiner P.W. Accounts Madras
No. 623 T.C. dated 16th October 1882

With reference to para 2
of your letter No. 233 of the 13th inst.,
I have the honor to observe that the
reason why the three months notice
was not given to the sub-ordinates before
their services were dispensed with,
was explained by my predecessor
in para 3 of his letter No. 553 T.C. of
the 22nd ultimo.

(True copy.)

J. B. Rutton
Supt. Correspondence Branch
29.
7/11

In N^o 1331
 N^o 1094 of 2

Statement of the Gratuity in lieu of notice admissible to certain Sub Officers
 of the Trichinopoly Division whose services were dispensed with in consequence of the transfer
 of Local Fund Works to Local Fund Boards - vide Section 100 Sub 2 Civil Pension Code.

Enclosure 2 8/4

Names	Designation of appointment to provisions to discharge	Pay	Whether the usual notice of 3 months was given before dispensing with notice was served	Date on which notice was served	Date of actual notice	Amount of gratuity	Remarks.
Marimutha Chetty	Sub Div 1st grade	60.	No	15 May 82 after above date	31 March 82 after above date	89. 6	Gratuity in lieu of notice admissible from 1 April 82 to 15 May 82
Lachagiri Poor	Do 3 Do	20	Do	Do	Do	29 00/1	Do
Dasagunney Mood	Do 1 Do	20	Do	Do	Do	29 00/1	Do
Chinnaswamy Pillay	Do 2 Do	20	Do	Do	Do	29 00/1	Do
Chokkalingam Pillay	Do 2 Do	20	Do	Do	Do	29 00/1	Do
Arasachellam Pillay	Do 2 Do	20	Do	Do	Do	29 00/1	Do

Endorsed by the General Secy to the Government
 J. P. Cardenas
 29

From,

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.

No. 528X.

Madras, 9th November 1882.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for the orders of Government a statement of gratuity in lieu of notice admissible under the ruling contained in Section 100 Rule 2 of the Civil Pension Code, to certain Sub Overseers of the Trichinopoly Division whose services were dispensed with on the afternoon of 31st. March 1882 in consequence of the transfer of Local Fund Works to the management of the Local Fund Boards under orders of Government communicated with the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 2021 dated 5th. October 1881 (Copy Attached).

*X marked A. communicated
for 27th August 81. no 1576*

2. Copies of correspondence that passed between

Letter from the Superintending Engineer 6th.
Circle No. 400 T.C. dated 2nd. August 1882
with copy of statement.

This Office letter to the Superintending
Engineer 6th. Circle No. 169 S.E. 6th. dated
16th. August 1882.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer 6th.
Circle No. 553 T.C. dated 22nd. September
1882.

this
Office
and that
of the

Superintending

*In N° 133
C. N. 1144-9-82*

This Office letter to the Superintending
Engineer 6th. Circle No. 233 S. E. 6th.-
dated 13th. October 1882.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer
6th. Circle No. 623 T. C. dated 16th.
October 1882.

Superintend-
ing Engineer
6th. Circle
on the subject

are enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

J. P. Landon

Examiner P. W. Accounts.

Pension 1882 kept

N^o 1331

From,

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,

Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

Pension Department.

No. 528X

Dated

4th November 1882.

Recd.

Enclosures. 8.

Gratuity in lieu of notice.
Forwards for sanction statement of
admissible to certain Sub Overseers
of the Trichinopoly Division.

With order 1094

Order: 8th Nov 82, No. 1094, Pension

Payment of gratuity in lieu of notice
to the six individuals entered in the
statement, submitted with the foregoing
letter is sanctioned.

(List)

To the Secy. Government
P.W. Accounts
Madras.
Enclosure of P.W. Accounts
Madras.
Pension Department

No. 23-11-82

10/11/82

Gobt. of (1882) Madras.

To

The Accountant General.

Proceedings, dated *11 Nov* 1882.

No. *1094*.

23 Nov 82
Subject.

*Gratuity in lieu of
notice - Sanctioning
payment of — to cer-
tain sub overseers of the
Pichinoboly Division,
whose services were dis-
penssed with in conse-
quence of the transfer
of Local Fund Works
to the management of
the Local Fund Boards,
Pichinoboly.*