

Public Works (1890) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 1994 Ry.

DATED 11<sup>th</sup> December

[Despatch Abstract.]

Kistna bridge. — Draft agreement submitted by the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway, between the Secretary of State and Messrs. Molesworth and Taylor for supplying rough stone for the —, approved with certain modifications.

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

N<sup>o</sup> 206.

From The Executive Engineer  
Kishna Bridge Division

To  
The Engineer-in-Chief  
East Coast Railway

Dated Buzwada, the 20<sup>th</sup> October 1890.

Sir,

I forward for your approval a draft of a proposed agreement between the Secretary of State and Messrs Molesworth and Taylor, for the supply of rough stone for the training north at the South end of the Krishna Bridge. As stone is now being rapidly got out I shall be glad of your early approval to the draft agreement.

As you are aware Messrs Moleworth  
and Taylor commenced getting out stone  
for the Staff quarters, at the same time &  
setting aside any that seemed sufficiently  
good for training works purposes, but as the  
quarters are now getting finished and the  
larger stone has come out in greater &  
quantity than was expected this latter is &  
now speedily accumulating, and the completion  
of the formal agreement becomes advisable,

I prefer for the present, for several reasons, to reserve the contract for the north

bank

built alone.

Should the rules render it necessary  
you and not I, would have to sign on be-  
half of the Secretary of State; but if the  
rules permit of it, there will be a certain  
convenience, if I can sign the contract, in  
your being the referee, instead of the Madras  
Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

(Sd) Francis J. E. Spring  
Executive Engineer  
Kistna Bridge Div.  
E. C. Ry.

(True copy)

Madhusudan

Head Clerk  
Engineer-in-Chief's Office  
E. C. Ry.

No. 2015  
72

WALTAIR, 20<sup>th</sup> November 1890.  
21

From

THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF, EAST COAST RAILWAY.

To

The Consulting Engineer  
for Railways  
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith,  
copy of letter No 206 dated 20<sup>th</sup> October  
1890, from the Executive Engineer, Kistna &  
Bridge Division, together with a proposed  
agreement between the Secretary of State  
and Messrs. Molesworth and Taylor, for  
the supply of rough stone and to request  
you will be good enough, to obtain the  
approval of Government to the same at an  
early date.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. B. Wicks  
Engineer-in-Chief  
E. C. Ry.

Read the following:—

Letter N<sup>o</sup>  $\frac{2015}{72}$  of 20<sup>th</sup> November from  
the Engineer in Chief East Coast W?  
with enclosure

FOR ORDERS.

The contract is currently  
down but will do for the  
purpose for which it is  
intended, more probably.  
I agree with the Committee  
that the 'Engineer's'  
power should be exercised  
only under the supervision  
of the Madras Govt. The  
Board with the previous  
consent of the Madras  
'Govt.' should undertake  
before the Board 'the  
Engineer' in Cl. 7.  
'The Eng.' in Cl. 1, the  
Govt. of India for India  
in Council -

5/12/20

JH

6/12

I do not see any objection to the  
proposed agreement except the last  
sentence of Clause VIII in which  
I would substitute the "Madras Govt"  
for "the Engineer" first because I  
do not think any one should be  
allowed to be sole judge of his own  
case as this sentence will render  
"the Engineer" void 2<sup>nd</sup> because I  
think it should be put beyond the  
power of "the Engineer" to alter this  
Clause by an insufficiently considered  
letter to "the Contractor". I think in  
fact that the Madras Govt. should  
retain full power to get rid of the  
Contractor if <sup>he</sup> is unsatisfactory but  
such power should not be given to the  
Engineer who has to deal directly

|                    |
|--------------------|
| REGISTER           |
| N <sup>o</sup> 981 |
| FILE               |

Form No. 2.

OFFICE OF CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 28 November 1890.  
14 December

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Letter from the Engineer-in-Chief  
East Coast Railway No. 2015 Dated  
72  
20 November 1890. with enclosures.

No. 858

SUBMITTED for the approval of Government.

2. The Engineer-in-Chief  
East Coast Railway  
Submits for the approval  
of Government draft of  
a proposed agreement  
between the Secretary  
of State and Messrs.  
Malesworth and Taylor  
for the supply of  
rough stone for the  
training work at the  
South end of the Kistna  
bridge

A. B. G. Shelley, Capt.  
Major R.E.  
for S.F.B.G. (as), Engr. for works

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER

FOR RAILWAYS,

MADRAS.

No. 858

Dated

1<sup>st</sup>

Received

December 1890.

No. of ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Submitting letter from the Insp.  
Chief East Coast Railway, for  
forwarding for approval, draft  
of a proposed agreement between  
the Secretary of State and  
Messrs. Hooleworth and Taylor  
for the supply of rough stone  
for the Krishna bridge.

B

No. 1992 R of 11 - Dec 1890.

B. No. 1992 R of 11 - Dec 1890.

~~The draft agreement~~  
~~between the Secretary of State~~  
~~and Messrs. Hooleworth and Taylor~~  
~~for the supply of rough stone~~  
~~for the Krishna bridge~~  
~~is approved.~~  
~~and forwarded for approval.~~  
~~to the Secretary of State.~~  
~~for the Krishna bridge.~~

Y.P.R.

The draft agreement between  
Class 7 is approved. The  
draft agreement is  
from Class 7 should be  
submitted as shown in the  
accompanying memorandum.

Y.P.R.  
Y.P.R.  
Y.P.R.

2

The Consulting Engineer  
with draft agreement  
and memo.

20/12

690 19/12

20/12

TR