P.W. Code 11069

Form No. 3.

A No 1896 R dt 27 Nov 90
Dft 25/11/90
Sanctioned.

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER
FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS.

No. 816

Dated 18th November 1890.
Received 19

No. of Enclosures. Nil

Subject.

Submitting for the Sanction of Govt. letter from the Agent S. I. Ry. forwarding a statement of articles of European Manufacture purchased locally for October 1890.

A
No 1896 R dt 27 Nov 90

Dft 12/12/90

To The Consulting Engr for Rys
in Engs Dept
Ex. Govt Rys Dept.

Rec. 20.11.90
Desp. 20.11.90

E.E.C 28/11/90

11069

Govt. of 18 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 24/12/90

Read. Encl.

G.O., 27 Nov. 1890, No. 1896 R

S. I. Railway

Re purchase locally of certain articles of European manufacture during the month of October 190, sanctioned.

To

Sgd 28/11/90 E.E.C

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following papers :—

Telegram from the Officiating Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, to the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway, dated Madras, 23rd October 1890.

Please send as early as possible to Collector, Ganjam, index plan of alignment of East Coast Railway through his district. Matter is urgent.

Telegram from the Officiating Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, to the Collector of Ganjam, Chatrapur, dated Madras, 23rd October 1890.

Government, Madras, has wired Engineer, East Coast Railway, as follows. Begins. Please send as early as possible to Collector, Ganjam, index plan of alignment of East Coast Railway through his district. Matter is urgent. Ends. On receipt of plan please report by wire if you have any objection to alignment proposed or any part of it and if you consider that alignment and proposed stations will fairly serve the traffic requirements of your district.

Telegram to the Collector of Ganjam, Chatrapur, dated Madras, 6th November 1890.
Telegram from the Collector of Ganjam, dated Chatrapur, 7th November 1890.

Telegram from the Collector of Ganjam, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Chatrapur, 7th November 1890.

Plan received. Approve alignment and stations north of mile 319. From mile 277 to mile 319 line should run by Purushottapur. 2,600 inhabitants, Jalamur 3,500, Tekkali-Raghunadhapur 7,600. Junction for line proposed to Parlákimedi would be at Jailkmur or Tekkali. No large villages on present alignment between 277—319. My proposed alignment presents no difficulties. Writing.

Telegram to the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway, Waltair, dated Madras, 8th November 1890.
Telegram to the Collector of Ganjam, Chatrapur, dated Madras, 8th November 1890.

Telegram from the Officiating Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, to the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway, Waltair, dated Madras, 13th November 1890.

My telegrams 23rd October and 8th November. Collector, Ganjam, wires. Begins. Plan received. Approve alignment and stations north of mile 319. From mile 277 to mile 319 line should run by Purushottapur. 2,600 inhabitants, Jalamur 3,500, Tekkali-Raghunadhapur 7,600. Junction for line proposed to Parlákimedi would be Jailkmur or Tekkali. No large villages on present alignment between 277—319. My proposed alignment presents no difficulties. Writing. Ends. Government, Madras, request your views on Collector's proposal.

From the Collector of Ganjam, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Chatrapur, 7th November 1890, No. 16-Eng.

I have to-day received the alignment index plan for the proposed East Coast Railway through this district, and have sent you the following telegram :—

"Plan received. Approve alignment and stations north of mile 319. From mile 277 to mile 319 line should run by Purushottapur. 2,600 inhabitants, Jalamur 3,500, Tekkali-Raghunadhapur 7,600. Junction for line proposed to Parlákimedi would be at Jalmur or Tekkali. No large villages on present alignment between 277—319. My proposed alignment presents no difficulties. Writing."

2. From the "Aidelavalsa" station at the 276th mile to the 319th mile, a few miles south of Kasibugga, the proposed alignment runs through a country of small villages, presenting no attraction for a railway line; whereas if carried across the chord a little further west and north the line would run by several large villages, one of which Tekkali (Raghunadhapur) is in fact a town, with a population at last census of 7,600, and the centre of a considerable traffic.

3. The zemindar of Parla has already submitted to Government a request to lend him officers for the survey of a branch line to Parlákimedi, which he proposes to construct at his own expense. The junction of this line with the main line would probably be near Jalmur or Tekkali, and it is advisable that the alignment of the main line should be such as (as far as possible without taking it out of its way, or into unnecessarily difficult country) to give the shortest possible course to the branch.

4. I am not myself familiar with the country through which my proposed alignment would run; but to judge from the map and the nature of the country only 3 or 4 miles south and east of it, through which the trunk road runs, it should present no special difficulty.

5. There should be a station at Rambha in the 397th mile; and it is questionable whether the station provided for Ganjam in the 387th mile would not be more advisably located at Humma, 3 miles further on, where a large salt factory is situated. Ganjam though once a large town is now in a decaying state with little or no trade.

No. 4815 C.

Forwarded to the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway, in continuation of Public Works Department telegram dated 13th November 1890.

(Signed) T. GRACEY, Lieut.-Colonel, R.E.,
Offg. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

21st November 1890.

Telegram from the Collector of Ganjam, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Aska, 22nd November 1890.

Withdraw objection to Walker's alignment, East Coast Railway, considering his difficulties as to river crossings and requirements Naupada salt factory.

Telegram from the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, dated Vizagapatam, 24th November 1890.

Collector of Ganjam, withdraws his objections to my alignment through his district as line if altered would abandon two good river crossings, the town of Chicacole and the salt factories near Naupada.

Telegram from the Officiating Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, to the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway, dated Madras, 25th November 1890.

Your telegram 24th. Collector, Ganjam, withdraws all objection to alignment proposed by you in his district. Work may now proceed everywhere in Ganjam.

Telegram from the Officiating Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Railway Branch, to the Collector of Ganjam, dated Madras, 25th November 1890.

Your telegram 22nd. Walker informed that his alignment throughout Ganjam accepted and that work may everywhere proceed.

Order—dated 27th November 1890, No. 1895 R., Public Works.

Communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways for information and communication to the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway.

(True Extract).

(Signed) T. GRACEY, Lieut.-Colonel, R.E.,
Offg. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Revenue Department.
" Collector of Ganjam.

Exd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 27th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 27th Nov. 1890, No. 1895 R.

NOVEMBER.

East Coast Railway

Correspondence relative to the proposed alignment of the — through Ganjam district, communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways for communication to the Engineer-in-Chief, East Coast Railway.

Print

Telegram despd 23-10-90

To
Engineer in Chief
East Coast Rly

Please send as early as possible to Collector Ganjam indep[endent] alignment of East Coast Railway through his district matter is urgent

H
27/10

Telegram despd 23-10-90

To Collector Ganjam
Chatrapur

East Workers have wired East
Require East Coast Railway as
follows begin × × × × × ×
× Ends. Receipt y[ou]r wire
please report by wire if you
have any objection to alignment
per present ~~in any part of it~~ and if you consider that
alignment and bestmost station
will fairly serve the traffic
requirements of your district

H
27/10

~~The following~~
The above telegrams
are despatched in accordance
with the orders expressed by H.E in Council

H

Any enquiry answer Came from Collector Ganjam about alignment East Coast railway through his district? if yes to be kept under

Uk
6/11/90

Sir

Reply not yet received. Draft telegram submitted for approval.

Uk
6/11

TELEGRAM ~~URGENT~~ ~~ORDINARY~~ / DEFERRED

3P

To

Collector of
Ganjam
Chattrapur

My wire twenty third ultimo on subject of East Coast Railway alignment ~~x~~ Please reply ~~x~~ ~~early~~ Expedite ~~x~~ if possible.

Uk

WS:R

Telegram despd 6-11-90

Pmd

TELEGRAM ~~URGENT~~ / ~~ORDINARY~~ / DEFERRED

FROM R.

TO Engineer. in. Chief
East Coast Ry
Waltair

My telegrams 23 October and 8 November Collector Ganjam varies begins. Plan received approve alignment and stations north of mile 319- from mile 277 to mile 319 line should pass by Purushottapur 2600 inhabitants, Jaluniur 3500, Tekkali Raghunadhapur 7600 - Junction for line proposed to Parlakimedi would be Jailkmur or Tekkali. no large villages on present alignment between 277 - 319 - my proposed alignment presents no difficulties. writing x endo. Govt Madras request your views on Collectors proposal. 4

WSR

Telegram desp 13-11-90

No. 16
Genl

Ganjam Collector's Office
Chatrapur 7th November 1890

From

E.C. Johnson Esquire
Collector
Ganjam

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Public Work Department
Railway Branch
Madras

Ltr
15/11/90

Sir,

I have to day received the alignment index plan for the proposed East Coast Railway through this District, and have sent you the following telegram.

"Plan received. Approve alignment and stations north of mile 319. From mile 277 to mile 319 line should run by Purushottapur 2600. Inhabitants Jalumur 3500. Tekkali Raghunadhapur 7600 junction for line proposed to Parlakimidi would be at Jalumur or Tekkali. No large villages on present alignment between 277. 319. Any proposed alignment presents no difficulties. writing."

2. From the "Aidelavalsa" Station at the 276th mile, to the 319th mile, a few miles south of Kasibugga the proposed alignment runs through a country of small villages, presenting no attraction for a railway line; whereas if carried across the chord a little further west and north, the line would run by several large villages, one of which Tekkali (Raghunadhapur) is in fact a town, with a population at last Census of 7600; and the centre of a considerable traffic.

3. The Zemindar of Parla has already

submitted

submitted to Government a request to lend him officers for the survey of a branch line to Parlakimedi, which he proposes to Construct at his own expense. The Junction of this line with the main line would probably be near Jalumur or Tekkali; and it is advisable that the alignment of the main line should be such as (as far as possible without taking it out of its way, or in to unnecessarily difficult country) to give the shortest possible course to the Branch.

4. I am not myself familiar with the Country through which my proposed alignment would run; but to judge from the Map, and the Nature of the Country only 3 or 4 miles South and East of it, through which the trunk road runs, it should present no special difficulty.

5. There should be a station at Rambha in the 397th mile; and it is questionable whether the station provided for Ganjam in the 387th mile would not be more advisably located at Humma, 3 miles further on, where a large salt factory is situated. Ganjam though once a large town is now, in a decaying state, with little or no trade.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedt Servt

R. Johnson
Collector

P. W. C. No. 11002

No. 17-11-90

From

The Collector
Ganjam

To.

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Public Works Dept.
Railway Branch
Madras

Chatrapur 7 October 1890

No. 16
Confd

Proposing some changes
in the alignment of the
proposed East Coast
Railway

No. 4815 C. dt. 21st November

Forwarded to the
Engineer in Chief East Coast
Railway in continuation
of P. W. D. telegram dated 13th
November 1890.-

19/11/90

Pro: R

10859
10695
11002

24/11/90

To
The Engineer in Chief
East Coast Railway

Rec. 18.XI.90
Desp. 20.XI.90

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number. 084

Form of Class.

From (Office) Madras

To (Office)

Wds. | Day. | Hour. | Minute. | Official Instructions.

22 | 11 | 10

To (Person) Works, Railway

From (Person) Collector Ganjam

Telegram objection to alignment East Coast Railway considering his difficulties as to river Crossings and requirements Nowgauda Salt factory

Signed via Secretary to Collector of Ganjam

Walters

Proposed that Sir Line is withdrawn

22/11/90

Sir In pursuance

24/11

20R.

MADRAS 22-11-90

Received from LN. At Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to LN. At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master

84 Words Form.

W. Allom and Co.—No. 179 T.—10-2-90—20,00,000.

Telegram from Collector of Ganjam dy 22d do

Telegram from Engr. in Ch: East Coast Ry dy 24th do

Telegram to do dy 25th do

Telegram to Collector of Ganjam dy 25th do

P. T. O.

15 P

Communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways for information and communication to the Engineer-in-Chief East Coast Railway.

W
B:R

A

No 1895 R dt 27th June 1890.

To

D
17/8/90

The Consg: Engr for Rys
" Accountant Genl.
" Collector of Customs

1901

16 P