# Public Works (1890) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 1493 Ry.

DATED 9th October

[Despatch Abstract.]

Police guard furnished for the Railway Cash office at Guntur. — Proceedings, Judicial Department, directing that the cost of the Extra-ment be borne by the Southern Mahratta Railway Company and the Government in the proportion of 4 to 3, recorded with reference to proceed-ings No. 701 R, dated 22nd May 1890.

Indexed.

[P. W. Ry. 500—8-5-00.]

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 701 | Ry. | 22-5-90 | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

8928
Rec'd 2-4-90

1890.

**P. W. DEPARTMENT.**

Current No. 826

From
The Under Secy: to Govt:
P.W.D — Ry:
Bombay

ENCLOS.— 1

No. 215
Dated 25-1-90
Received 28-1-90

SUBJECT.

Treasury guard for Guntur Cash office

Requesting that the sanction required by the Supt: of Police, Kistna dt:, as to the supply of two more constables may be arranged for—

---

DISPOSAL.

No. 627-C dated 5th Feby: 190

Is transferred to the Judicial dept: for favour of disposal.

for C. J. S.
R.

D 6/2

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From H. W. WARDEN, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department (Railway), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department (Railway), dated Bombay Castle, 25th January 1890, No. 215.

I am directed to forward copy of letter from the Agent and Chief Engineer, Southern Mahratta Railway Company, No. 9260, dated 11th December 1889, and accompaniment, and to request that the sanction required by the Superintendent of Police, Kistna District (see last paragraph of Auditor's letter), as to the supply of two more constables, may be arranged for.

2. The extra cost of the proposed guard referred to in the Agent's letter has been sanctioned by this Government.

---

ENCLOSURES.

From the Agent and Chief Engineer, Southern Mahratta Railway, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Bombay, dated Dharwar, 11th December 1889, No. 9260.

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of Auditor's No. E-2847—15925, dated 5th instant, and to request that you will be good enough to sanction the entertainment of the Police guard therein proposed for the Cashier's office at Guntúr, as also the extra provision of Rs. 18-2-0 per mensem required to cover the cost of the establishment.

---

Copy of letter, No. E-2847—15925, dated 5th December 1889, from the Auditor, to the Agent and Chief Engineer, Southern Mahratta Railway.

[Treasury guard for Guntúr Cash Office].

With reference to previous correspondence ending with my No. 13543, of 10th October 1889, I beg you will please obtain early the sanction of Government to the undermentioned Police charges being incurred for the Cash and Pay departments of the railway at Guntúr, with effect from 1st October 1889 :—

| | RS. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Head constable .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 Constables at Rs. 7-8-0 each .. .. .. .. .. | 37 | 8 | 0 |
| Clothing at Rs. 10 per man per annum .. .. .. .. .. | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Contingencies at 5 per cent. .. .. .. .. .. | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| Contribution towards pension at 7½ per cent. .. .. .. | 3 | 12 | 0 |
| Supervision charges at 10 per cent. .. .. .. .. .. | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Total .. | 65 | 12 | 0 |

Seven-tenths, or Rs. 46-2-0, is the sum chargeable to the Company. The provision in the rolls is Rs. 28 only, and sanction is now required to the additional sum of Rs. 18-2-0 per month.

The Superintendent of Police, Kistna District, has already supplied a guard of one head and three constables, and I have asked him to supply two more constables to complete the requirements ; meanwhile, he requires the sanction of the Madras Government, which I beg may be obtained in the usual way.

No. 627-C.

Transferred to the Judicial Department, for favor of disposal.

(Signed) C. J. SMITH, Colonel, R.E.,
*Joint Secretary to Govt., P.W.D. (Ry. Branch).*

*5th February* 1890.

---

From Colonel C. A. PORTEOUS, Acting Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Accountant-General), dated Madras, 14th March 1890, No. 1391.

Adverting to reference, No. 473, dated 20th February 1890, from the Under Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, forwarding, for remarks, endorsement by the Public Works Department, Railway Branch, on letter from the Government of Bombay, herewith returned, regarding the supply of a Police guard for Guntúr Railway Cash Office, I have the honor to state that the guard required may be furnished, and to ask that sanction of Government may be accorded for an increase to the Kistna district force of one head constable, 4th-grade, and five constables (three 1st-class, and two 2nd-class).

2. Usual proposition statement is enclosed, as also the statement prescribed in Rule 6, Chapter 9, Civil Account Code.

---

ORDER—dated 29th April 1890, No. 745, Judicial.

The proposed increase of one head constable and five constables to the Kistna District Police Force, to furnish a guard for the Railway Cash Office at Guntúr, is sanctioned.

2. Under Financial Resolution of the Government of India, dated 1st February 1890, No. 534, the cost of the extra police should be borne by the Southern Mahratta Railway.

(True Extract.) Capt^n R.E.
For Under Secy P.W.D.
*Chief Secretary.*

To the Inspector-General of Police.
„ Public Works Department (Railway Branch).
„ Accountant-General.
„ Financial Department.

---

ENDORSEMENT—dated 29th April 1890, No. 746, Judicial.

Copy forwarded to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department (Railway), with reference to its letter, dated 25th January last, No. 215.

J. F. PRICE,
*Chief Secretary.*

Exd. A. Raitt.

20 SC

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

Issued

Recd. 16-5-90 Encl.

G.O., &c., 29th April 1890,
Nos. 745, 746.

APRIL.

## Police Guard.

Sanctioning certain increase to the Kistna District Police Force, to furnish a guard for the Railway Cash Office at Guntúr, and forwarding copy of Proceedings to the Government of Bombay.



GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers :—

G.O., dated 29th April 1890, Nos. 745, 746, Judicial.

ABSTRACT.—Sanctioning certain increase to the Kistna District Police Force, to furnish a guard for the Railway Cash Office at Guntúr, and forwarding copy of Proceedings to the Government of Bombay.

G.O., dated 14th July 1890, Mis. No. 1164, Judicial.

*Read*—the following letter from T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 26th June 1890, No. 2032 :—

In forwarding letter, No. 2893, dated the 23rd of May 1890, from the Inspector-General of Police, regarding the recovery of the cost of the Guntúr Railway Cash Office guard, I have the honor to observe that the Inspector-General bases his opinion, that $\frac{3}{10}$ths of the cost of the guard should be defrayed by Government, on the circular of the Government of India, No. IV, Railway, dated 11th February 1890.

2. It will be seen, however, that that circular communicates two orders of the Government of India, Public Works Department, on the subject, which are dated 6th November 1888 and 3rd April 1889, respectively, whereas in a later Resolution of the Government of India, in the Financial Department, No. 534, dated 1st February 1890, it was decided that the cost of Police guards supplied by the Police Department to a Railway at its own request for the performance of duties which might be arranged for by the Railway authorities, and which are not part of the ordinary functions of the police, shall be charged to the Railway.

3. It was with this order in view that Government, in Order No. 745, dated 22nd April 1890, Judicial Department, decided that the cost of the extra Police guard now in question should be charged to the Southern Mahratta Railway Company. Reference might perhaps be made to the Government of India, as to how far its orders in the Public Works Department of November 1888 and April 1889 affect the Financial Resolution of February 1890.

ENCLOSURE.

From Colonel C. A. PORTEOUS, Acting Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Accountant-General), dated Ootacamund, 23rd May 1890, No. 2893.

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 745, dated 29th April 1890, Judicial Department, stating that the cost of extra Police guard for Guntúr Railway Cash Office should be borne by the Southern Mahratta Railway Company, I have the honor respectfully to point out that under the Government of India circular, No. IV, Railway, dated 11th February 1890, recorded in G.O., No. 316 R., dated 11th March 1890, Judicial Department, it would appear that $\frac{3}{10}$ths of the cost of the above guard should be defrayed by Government.

*Order*—dated 14th July 1890, No. 1164, Judicial.

The Government of India will be addressed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
*Chief Secretary.*

To the Accountant-General.
„ Inspector-General of Police.

---

READ—also the following paper:—

From A. KENSINGTON, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 9th August 1890, No. 3861.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1165, Judicial, dated the 14th July 1890, inquiring how far the marginally-noted orders of the Government of India, in the Public Works Department, regarding the adjustment of the cost of the Police guards supplied to a Railway affect those contained in the Resolution in this department, No. 534, dated the 1st February 1890.

No. 2929-G., dated 6th Nov. 1888.
„ 999-G., „ 3rd April 1889.

2. In reply, I am to invite attention to the Public Works Department circular, No. IX, Railway, dated the 10th June 1890, and to say that the orders issued in this department relate to Police charges on State Railways directly managed by Government, and not to the charges on Guaranteed Railways or State Railways worked by Companies under contract.

---

Copy forwarded to the Accountant-General, Madras.

---

ORDER—dated 6th September 1890, No. 1489, Judicial.

In modification of para. 2 of G.O., dated 29th April 1890, No. 745, the Government directs that the cost of the extra Police guard therein referred to be borne by the Railway and Government in the proportion of 7 to 3.

(True Extract.) Mr Baddeley
Major R.E.,
Under Secretary P. W. D.
*for Chief Secretary.*

To the Inspector-General of Police.
„ Accountant-General.
„ Public Works Department (Railway Branch).
„ Financial Department.

---

ENDORSEMENT—dated 6th September 1890, No. 1490, Judicial.

Copy forwarded to the Government of Bombay, Public Works Department (Railway), in continuation of endorsement, dated 29th April 1890, No. 746, Judicial.

J. F. PRICE,
*Chief Secretary.*

Exd. A. Raitt.

P. Proc. 8988

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

JUDICIAL.

30.5.0

Issued.

Recd. 22-9-90 Encl.

G.O., &c., 6th September 1890,
Nos. 1489, 1490.

B
No 1493 R d 9th October 1890.

SEPTEMBER.

**Police Guard.**

Directing, in modification of the G.O. quoted, that the cost of the extra Police guard furnished for the Railway Cash Office at Guntúr be borne by the Southern Mahratta Railway Company and the Government in the proportion of 7 to 3.

23/9/90 R. 10.90

Exc 10/10

Hm. 2-x-90. R 6/10/90

No 627 C d/ 5-2-90
701 R d/ 22-5-90

B
No 1493 R d 9th October 1890

HS 4/10/90.

Recorded with reference to Proc. No. 701 R dated 22nd May 1890.

26-9-90

D. R

6/10/90

R K
Secto.

Please note
that original Ex. Ho.
1494 R of 9 Oct 90 has
been put up for C. No. 1026
Dtl.
29/10

Noted
DP 29/10

K K
Secto.

Please note
that original Ex. No.
1494 R 07 9 Oct 90 has
been put up for C. No. 10265.

Sd.
29/10

Noted
Sd 29/10