Public Works (~~1900~~ 1890) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 1459 Rly

DATED 26th September 90

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr Von Abu, Executive Engineer. — Considering that — travelled with all reasonable despatch from Calcutta to Trichinopoly to join his appointment on the Villupuram-Gudalur State Railway.

Indexed.

[P. W. Ry. 1,000—7-2-00.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Hazaribagh
7th August 90.

No. 2 H

To
The Chief Secretary
S. I. Ry. Trichinopoly.

Sir.

With reference to your letter No. VG/Mi. 1412/1139 of the 30th ultimo, I have the honor to state that I returned to Calcutta before the expiry of the leave granted me which did not take place until the 11th of November 1888.

(2) There were no orders for me when I arrived, and I attended the Public Works Secretariat daily until the receipt of orders from Simla. I do not remember the exact date when the orders arrived but you will no doubt be able to obtain the required information by a reference to the Public Works Secretariat.

(3) On receipt of orders I made all necessary arrangements for proceeding to Madras, leaving Calcutta by the first available steamer, after my arrangements were completed.

(4) If you will kindly make the necessary enquiry you will find, that I arrived at Trichinopoly well within the time allowed me according to the P. W. Secrett Code, but at any rate, if you count the 13 days mentioned in your letter, from the

O.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Hazaribagh
21st July 1890.

No. 1 H
S. I R.

To
The Chief Auditor
S. I. Ry.

Sir.

Your letter No. V.G. 3524 dated 11th May 90

I have the honor to inform you that I travelled by sea and that I was five days on board the steamer

I have &c
(signed) C. Von ahn
Executive Engr.

(True copy)
J. H. Ryan
for Chief Auditor

Compd. AHCK 24/9/90

REGISTER
No 175

the date that I was due in Calcutta
viz the 11th November 1888 you will see
that I was not actually due at Trichy
till the 24th November 1888.
I record therefore request that at
least 8 days of the unexpired portion
of my furlough may be cancelled
and that I may obtain the benefits
accruing therefrom.

I have &c

(signed) H. Van Ahn
Ex. Engineer
D. P. W.

(Correct copy)

for Chief Auditor

Compd.
Compared 2/9/90

[Form No. 6.]

OFFICE NOTE No. 561 SR

From the Examiner, Railway Accounts,
Trichinopoly.

To the Examiner, Railway Accounts,
Madras,

Trichinopoly, 10th Sept 1890

Mr. Van Ahn Ex Engr
has furlough cancelled
in Calcutta on the 29th
October 88 reported his
arrival for duty to C.E.
Trichy on the 16th Nov.
a period of 18 days elapsed
between the taking of furlough
& reporting his arrival at
Trichy.
The joining time allowed
would be under ordinary circumstances
6 days preparatory
5 days by sea (actual)
2 days Madras to Trichy
days 13 total

Mr. Van Ahn was called on for
an explanation as to why he
exceeded his Subsidiary
leave. The enclosed letter
gives his explanation.
Under the circumstances
may case the explana-
tion be accepted as
satisfactory & a note made
in his Service Register showing

RN-319

OFFICE NOTE No. 361

From the Examiner Railway Accounts,
Madras.

~~To the Examiner, Railway Accounts,~~
~~Trichinopoly~~,

Madras, 13th September 1890.

L to E R

In general I order as
to whether he considers Mr
Van Ahn to have arrived at
Trichy within his joining time
or in other words that he
should is entitled
to
a reasonable despatch
certificate

RCR
15-9-

E.R.
Mr Van Ahn appears to
have travelled with reasonable
despatch, and the extra time
can be sanctioned by the Local
Govt. under para 200 C.S. Regs.

LS.
15/9/90

that his Subsidiary leave was not ~~exchanged~~ recalled.
If Mr. Von Ahn's statement is accepted he appears to have travelled with all possible dispatch
The Examiner has Volly J has also asked me whether the period between landing & joining this J. is to be considered as furlough or Subsidiary leave

Jno. Wallace
Ex. Dept.

REGISTER
No. 175
FILE
No.

Form No. 1.

Public Works Department.

Office of Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Madras, 17th September 1890.

Office note from the Examiner Guaranteed Railway Accounts No. 361 V.G. dated 15th September 1890.-

No. 622a.

Submitted _____ to _____ Government.

2. Mr. Von Ahn reported his arrival in Calcutta on the 29th October 1888 from furlough, and there received orders to proceed to Tuticorin and report himself to the Chief Engineer South Indian Railway, which he did on the 16th November, thus attaining 18 days on the journey instead of the 13 days allowed by regulations.-

3. Mr. Von Ahn says he was kept in Calcutta waiting for orders, and that he attended the

the office this daily, and that he proceeded to Madras with all due despatch on receipt of orders.-

4. Mr. Von Ahn's explanation is not very clear, but this is only to be expected two years after the events happened.-

5. The Local Government has power to decide that Mr. Von Ahn travelled with reasonable despatch, and I think this should be done.-

Yours Lt.Col: R.E.

Offg: Consulting Engineer for Railways

Form No. 3.

B. No. 1459 R 26. Sept 90

J.H. 23/9/90

Under the circumstances explained, the Government is of opinion that Mr. Von Ahn travelled with all reasonable despatch ~~and that from Calcutta to he arrived at~~ Trichinopoly ~~within his joining time~~.

Sy

Dy: R

PWC No 9194

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER

FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras,

No. 622a.

Dated 17th
Received } September 1890.

No. of Enclosures. 3

Subject.

Requesting the sanction of Govt: to the period occupied by Mr. Von Ahn in joining his appointment on the V.G. Railway which exceeded the time allowed by regulations.

B.

No. 1459 R dy 26. Sept 90.

D
30/9

To
The Comptr. Exps for Rys
Exr Govt Railways

Dy Gd

3

Exc 1/10.

Dft. 16/9
Exr. 19/9. R 24/9