

1890.
(Public Works ~~(1900)~~) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 1254 R₂

DATED 23rd August/90

R. K.
Seth.

Please note original
G.O. No. 1258 R & 25th

August 1890, is put
up with original

G.O. No. 1507 R &

11th Oct/90-

J.P.
30-10-91- M.C.
30/10

x noted by 30/10/91

[Despatch Abstract.]

Correspondence. — Letter from the Govt of India
stating that the previous receipt No 798 R, dated
7th June 1890 in manuscript was lost sight of,
owing to printed copies of the same number,
signed in manuscript having been received
without the word duplicate on the latter,
recorded.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1040	Ry-	19-7-90

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 1020 R

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

 T_0

The Secretary to the Govt. of India

P. Dr. Departe Lima

19th July 1890.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 588 R. C. dated 5th July 1890, and with reference to your statement in paragraph 2 thereof, namely, that my letter which is dated the 7th June was not received until the 7th July, I am to state that the letter referred and a copy of the Proceedings of this Government, No. 497 R, were despatched in manuscript from Ootacamund on the 7th June, and must have apparently been lost sight of in the Government of India Secretariat. Printed spare copies of the order and letter were despatched from Madras on the 25th June.

Advertising

- Adverting to paragraph 3 of
your letter under reply, I am
to observe that, as there is no
Government Press at Ootaca-
mund, some delay necessarily
occurs in obtaining printed
copies from Madras for signature,
but I am to point out that
in all cases in which papers
are of an urgent nature,
manuscript copies are des-
-patched to Simla in advance
of the printed copies.

B 797-5 R 27 June 1890.

Info despatched to India
19/7/90.

N 1040 R 27 19 July 1900.

I have been
pleased to receive Mr. Gracey's letter.
Office: Joint Secretary to Govt.
P.W.D.
Ry: Branch.

6/8/90
[Signature]

All communications
should be addressed
to the Secretary.

No. 695 R. C.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
RAILWAY Construction.

To
The Joint Secretary to the Government of
Madras, (Railway Branch),
Public Works Department.

Simla, the 8th August 1890.

Sir,
I am directed to acknowledge the
receipt of your letter No 1040 R. dated 19th
July 1890, pointing out that your letter No
798 R. dated 7th June 1890, was despatched
in manuscript from Ootacamund on the
7th June, and that the printed copies
of this letter were despatched from Madras
on the 25th June.
2. In reply I am to say that the
previous receipt of your letter No 798 R.

in

P.W. C. No. 769-5

manuscript was, as surmised by you,
at sight of when the printed copies of
that letter were received as the former was
at the time in Calcutta being printed;--
and that the "duplicate" or printed copy
being also signed in manuscript, without
being marked "duplicate" contributed to the
oversight.

With reference to paragraph 2 of your
letter under reply, I am to say that the
explanation therein afforded, as to the
procedure adopted by the Government of
Madras in dating and despatching
letters from Colacamund, has been noted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. A. Toobert

Offg. Under Secretary.

Documents accompanying.

Nil.

Ad 15-8-90

B. 1254 R 23?
aug 4/90.

Dr. B. 1040 R 17.7.91
H. 797.52 R 7.6.90

22/1/90

Recorded with

reference to P.W.D.

letter no. 1040 R

dated 19 July 1890.

W. 1/9/90
W. 1/9/90

W. 1/9/90

1/9/90

S. A. W.

Will you
please draw the
attention of the Despr.
in your office to the
procedure be some-
times adopted of sending
signed printed copies
of G.O.s to India when
manuscript copies
have been sent in
advance. - It is very
misleading as the Govt.
of India states in the
letter part of para 2 of
its letter herewith. -

H. 1/9/90

to despatcher to note

W. 25/8