

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 2
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 15-10-90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 16/4

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

செ. அழகப்பன் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	- 721-21A	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	15-10-1990	1990

↓
மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

சேர்

1797
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 5th May 1890, No. 2783, Mis. —

THE HON. J. GOSK.

Read—the following letter from C. KOUGH, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Kurnool, 17th March 1890, No. 847:—

I have the honor to state that I have received an estimate, amounting to Rs. 979, for the extension of the taluk cutcherry at Chagalmarri, so as to provide a suitable room for records and another for the Tahsildar, who now holds his office on the pul outside the present building, which is in a most insecure condition.

2. Being reluctant to spend such a large sum on a building situated in a village in one extremity of the taluk, I had a search made for any orders as to the removal of the taluk head-quarters from Chagalmarri, and I find that in the marginally-noted correspondence the Government has sanctioned the construction of a cutcherry at Padakandla.

3. It will be observed from the previous papers that there was a diversity of opinion with regard to the selection of a village for the head-quarters of the taluk. Mr. Sullivan proposed Alamoor, and the proposal was accepted. Mr. Chase recommended a change from Alamoor to Padakandla and this recommendation has met with approval of the Government.

4. For my part, I do not think that Padakandla is a good situation and would recommend Allagadda. Mr. Johnston, the present Executive Engineer, supports my views.

5. Allagadda is healthier than Padakandla and has better water and has also the advantage of the proximity of the Cuddapah road and the irrigation canal. Moreover, it is more conveniently situated as regards stone quarries and it is more central than Padakandla.

6. It is not out of place to mention here that in 1874 Messrs. Fraser and Hope expressed a preference for Allagadda. Copies of their correspondence are enclosed for Board's information.

7. Under these circumstances, I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the orders of Government for the construction of a taluk cutcherry at Allagadda. The present building at Chagalmarri (the very outskirts of the taluk

2ND JUNE 1890, No. 384, REVENUE.

and district) is utterly unsuited in every way. Its accommodation is entirely inadequate and the edifice itself is most dilapidated. I consider it would be a great pity to waste any money on repairing or extending it.

ENCLOSURES.

From W. FRASER, Esq., C.E., District Engineer, Kurnool, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated Koilkuntla, 3rd March 1874, No. 1132.

I have the honor to inform you that when I was in the Sirvel taluk I encamped at Arlagadda to see the site, which, I had been told by the Tahsildar, had been selected for the new cutcherry.

This site is close to the village of Arlagadda in an open field east of the village.

2. There is no record in my office regarding this site at Arlagadda; the only site we know anything about being at Padakandla on the other side of the Vakkiteru. The Tahsildar says that, after Padakandla was selected, the Sanitary Commissioner and the Collector came there and also to Arlagadda and decided in favor of the latter, because the former site was occasionally flooded during the rainy season. This supposed flooding is, however, a mistake, as the flood waters do not come near the site.

3. The points which are to be considered are these—

I. Padakandla is a larger and better village than Arlagadda.

II. In Padakandla two or three houses could be got for the cutcherry people, but some should be built. In Arlagadda no houses are available. All should be built.

III. Arlagadda is said to be somewhat healthier than Padakandla and to have better water; but the difference between them in both respects must be very trifling.

IV. As a site for building upon and convenience to the stone quarries, Arlagadda is superior to the other.

V. An important question is on which side of the Vakkiteru should the cutcherry be.

There are more villages on the east side (Padakandla) than on the west (Arlagadda), and the Tahsildar says that the greater part of his work comes from the east side. The river is not fordable at Arlagadda occasionally for 15 or 20 days, and this is a point in favor of Padakandla, but the trunk road from Nandyal to Cuddapah lies on the Arlagadda side of the river, and so do the Irrigation Canal Company's works, and these probably neutralize that advantage.

VI. Neither Padakandla nor Arlagadda is in a central position, but every village nearer to the centre is said to be unhealthy.

4. I examined carefully all the ground about these two villages and I think that if either of them is to be adopted the balance of evidence is in favor of a site near Arlagadda.* I

* Not the one selected.

shall be obliged if you will favor me with any remarks you may have to make about the site, as I intend asking permission to proceed with the work early next official year.

From J. HOPE, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the District Engineer, dated Nossem, 8th April 1874, No. 305.

With reference to your letter of the 3rd ultimo, No. 1132, I have the honor to inform you that, after consulting with the Deputy Collector and Tahsildar and personally inspecting the rival sites, I agree with you in the opinion that one near Allagadda should be finally selected.

2. There are two points which you note as being in favor of Padakandla as against Allagadda, which on inquiry I find not to be so strong as you believed. These are (1) as to the number of villages to the east and west of the Vakalera, respectively, and (2) as to the occasional difficulty experienced in fording the river.

3. As regards the first point, the Tahsildar, who, I must admit, is somewhat vacillating in his views, now states that the amount of work he receives from the eastern villages is not so great as he supposed, although there are, as a matter of fact, a larger number of villages to the east than to the west of the river, the number of important villages to the west far exceeds those to the east.

4. As regards the second point, you appear to have been misinformed as to the number of days the river is at any time unfordable. I am positively assured that it is never in that state for more than 24 hours at the most and that only in seasons of "extraordinarily excessive rains." There will thus, it is hoped, be no necessity for constructing a bridge across the Vakalera.

5. Of the two sites at Allagadda, which the Tahsildar showed me, I approve of the one to the north-east of the village selected by you in preference to the one previously fixed on.

2ND JUNE 1890, No. 384, REVENUE.

3

Resolution—dated 5th May 1890, No. 2783, Mis.

Submitted for the orders of Government with the Board's recommendation that, for the reasons now adduced by the Collector, sanction may be accorded for fixing Allagadda as the head-quarters of the Sirvel taluk in preference to Padakandla (G.Os., No. 1859, Revenue, dated 3rd July 1868, and No. 1331, Judicial, dated 13th August 1869). Nothing seems to have been done in pursuance with those orders though they were passed twenty-one years ago. It is now reported that the Tahsildar has to hold office on a pial outside the building at Chagalmari, now used as a taluk cutcherry, and that it is in a most insecure condition.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter and two enclosures (to be returned).

Copy to the Collector of Kurnool.

ORDER—dated 2nd June 1890, No. 384, Revenue

Miscellaneous.

In modification of the orders referred to by the Board of Revenue in its Proceedings read above, the Government sanctions the selection of Allagadda as the head-quarters of the Sirvel taluk and the construction of a new taluk cutcherry at that station.

2. Orders will issue in the Public Works Department for the preparation of the necessary plans and estimates.

(True Extract)

Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with three enclosures.
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.

50 Copies.


G.O. of {1890} Madras.
REVENUE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 2nd June 1890, No. 384.



Head-quarters of the Sirvel taluk.

The selection of Allagadda as the — in Kurnool district and the construction of a new taluk cutcherry at that station, sanctioned, and orders for the preparation of the necessary plans and estimates to issue in the Public Works Department.

*Commented to the
Supy Dy. III circle for
submission of an estimate
for the construction of
a new taluk cutcherry
at Allagadda*

*Phem
24.6.90*

*Cr. 1
30.0*

*S. S. III circle ✓
Ex. No. — ✓
217*

2ND JUNE 1890, No. 384, REVENUE.

1793

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 5th May 1890, No. 2783, Mis. :—

THE HON. J. GROSE.

Read—the following letter from C. KOUGH, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Kurnool, 17th March 1890, No. 847 :—

I have the honor to state that I have received an estimate, amounting to Rs. 979, for the extension of the taluk cutcherry at Chagalmarri, so as to provide a suitable room for records and another for the Tahsildar, who now holds his office on the pial outside the present building, which is in a most insecure condition.

2. Being reluctant to spend such a large sum on a building situated in a village in the extremity of the taluk, I had a search made for any orders as to the removal of the taluk head-quarters from Chagalmarri, and I find that in the marginally-noted correspondence the Government has sanctioned the construction of a cutcherry at Padakandla.

3. It will be observed from the previous papers that there was a diversity of opinion with regard to the selection of a village for the head-quarters of the taluk. Mr. Sullivan proposed Alamoore, and the proposal was accepted. Mr. Chase recommended a change from Alamoore to Padakandla and this recommendation has met with approval of the Government.

4. For my part, I do not think that Padakandla is a good situation and would recommend Allagadda. Mr. Johnston, the present Executive Engineer, supports my views.

5. Allagadda is healthier than Padakandla and has better water and has also the advantage of the proximity of the Cuddapah road and the irrigation canal. Moreover, it is more conveniently situated as regards stone quarries and it is more central than Padakandla.

6. It is not out of place to mention here that in 1874 Messrs. Fraser and Hope expressed a preference for Allagadda. Copies of their correspondence are enclosed for Board's information.

7. Under these circumstances, I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the orders of Government for the construction of a taluk cutcherry at Allagadda. The present building at Chagalmarri (the very outskirts of the taluk.

and district) is utterly unsuited in every way. Its accommodation is entirely inadequate and the edifice itself is most dilapidated. I consider it would be a great pity to waste any money on repairing or extending it.

ENCLOSURES.

From W. FRASER, Esq., C.E., District Engineer, Kurnool, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated Kolkuntla, 3rd March 1874, No. 1132.

I have the honor to inform you that when I was in the Sirvel taluk I encamped at, Arlagadda to see the site, which, I had been told by the Tahsildar, had been selected for the new cutcherry.

This site is close to the village of Arlagadda in an open field east of the village.

2. There is no record in my office regarding this site at Arlagadda; the only site we know anything about being at Padakandla on the other side of the Vakkiteru. The Tahsildar says that, after Padakandla was selected, the Sanitary Commissioner and the Collector came there and also to Arlagadda and decided in favor of the latter, because the former site was occasionally flooded during the rainy season. This supposed flooding is, however, a mistake, as the flood waters do not come near the site.

3. The points which are to be considered are these—

I. Padakandla is a larger and better village than Arlagadda.

II. In Padakandla two or three houses could be got for the cutcherry people, but some should be built. In Arlagadda no houses are available. All should be built.

III. Arlagadda is said to be somewhat healthier than Padakandla and to have better water; but the difference between them in both respects must be very trifling.

IV. As a site for building upon and convenience to the stone quarries, Arlagadda is superior to the other.

V. An important question is on which side of the Vakkiteru should the cutcherry be.

There are more villages on the east side (Padakandla) than on the west (Arlagadda), and the Tahsildar says that the greater part of his work comes from the east side. The river is not fordable at Arlagadda occasionally for 15 or 20 days, and this is a point in favor of Padakandla, but the trunk road from Nandyal to Cuddapah lies on the Arlagadda side of the river, and so do the Irrigation Canal Company's works, and these probably neutralize that advantage.

VI. Neither Padakandla nor Arlagadda is in a central position, but every village nearer to the centre is said to be unhealthy.

4. I examined carefully all the ground about these two villages and I think that if either of them is to be adopted the balance of evidence is in favor of a site near Arlagadda.* I

* Not the one selected.

shall be obliged if you will favor me with any remarks you may have to make about the site, as I intend asking permission to proceed with the work early next official year.

From J. HOPE, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the District Engineer, dated Nossam, 8th April 1874, No. 305.

With reference to your letter of the 3rd ultimo, No. 1132, I have the honor to inform you that, after consulting with the Deputy Collector and Tahsildar and personally inspecting the rival sites, I agree with you in the opinion that one near Allagadda should be finally selected.

2. There are two points which you note as being in favor of Padakandla as against Allagadda, which on inquiry I find not to be so strong as you believed. These are (1) as to the number of villages to the east and west of the Vakkiteru, respectively, and (2) as to the occasional difficulty experienced in fording the river.

3. As regards the first point, the Tahsildar, who, I must admit, is somewhat vacillating in his views, now states that the amount of work he receives from the eastern villages is not so great as he supposed, although there are, as a matter of fact, a larger number of villages to the east than to the west of the river, the number of important villages to the west far exceeds those to the east.

4. As regards the second point, you appear to have been misinformed as to the number of days the river is at any time unfordable. I am positively assured that it is never in that state for more than 24 hours at the most and that only in seasons of "extraordinarily excessive rains." There will thus, it is hoped, be no necessity for constructing a bridge across the Vakkiteru.

5. Of the two sites at Allagadda, which the Tahsildar showed me, I approve of the one to the north-east of the village selected by you in preference to the one previously fixed on.

Resolution—dated 5th May 1890, No. 2783, Mis.

Submitted for the orders of Government with the Board's recommendation that, for the reasons now adduced by the Collector, sanction may be accorded for fixing Allagadda as the head-quarters of the Sirvel taluk in preference to Padakandla (G.Os., No. 1859, Revenue, dated 3rd July 1868, and No. 1331, Judicial, dated 13th August 1869). Nothing seems to have been done in pursuance with those orders though they were passed twenty-one years ago. It is now reported that the Tahsildar has to hold office on a pial outside the building at Chagalmarri, now used as a taluk cutcherry, and that it is in a most insecure condition.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter and two enclosures (to be returned).

Copy to the Collector of Kurnool.

ORDER—dated 2nd June 1890, No. 384, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

In modification of the orders referred to by the Board of Revenue in its Proceedings read above, the Government sanctions the selection of Allagadda as the head-quarters of the Sirvel taluk and the construction of a new taluk cutcherry at that station.

2. Orders will issue in the Public Works Department for the preparation of the necessary plans and estimates.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with three enclosures.
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.

50 Copies.

Gobt. of (1890) Madras.
REVENUE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 2nd June 1890, No. 384.

JUNE.

Head-quarters of the Sirvel taluk.

The selection of Allagadda as the — in Kurnool district and the construction of a new taluk cutcherry at that station, sanctioned, and orders for the preparation of the necessary plans and estimates to issue in the Public Works Department.

No 1775 of 20 June 1890
communicated
to the Supty Engineer
III circle for a revision
of an estimate for the
construction of a
new taluk cutcherry
at Allagadda.

(2d) R. R. E. D. B.

S. S. III circle

Exec. P. W. Dept.

2ND JUNE 1890, No. 384, REVENUE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 5th May 1890, No. 2783, Mis. :—

THE HON. J. GROSE.

Read—the following letter from C. KOUGH, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Kurnool, 17th March 1890, No. 847 :—

I have the honor to state that I have received an estimate, amounting to Rs. 979, for the extension of the taluk cutcherry at Chagalmarri, so as to provide a suitable room for records and another for the Tahsildar, who now holds his office on the pial outside the present building, which is in a most insecure condition.

2. Being reluctant to spend such a large sum on a building situated in a village in one extremity of the taluk, I had a search made for any orders as to the removal of the taluk head-quarters from Chagalmarri, and I find that in the marginally-noted correspondence the Government has sanctioned the construction of a cutcherry at Padakandla.

3. It will be observed from the previous papers that there was a diversity of opinion with regard to the selection of a village for the head-quarters of the taluk. Mr. Sullivan proposed Alamoor, and the proposal was accepted. Mr. Chase recommended a change from Alamoor to Padakandla and this recommendation has met with approval of the Government.

4. For my part, I do not think that Padakandla is a good situation and would recommend Allagadda. Mr. Johnston, the present Executive Engineer, supports my views.

5. Allagadda is healthier than Padakandla and has better water and has also the advantage of the proximity of the Cuddapah road and the irrigation canal. Moreover, it is more conveniently situated as regards stone quarries and it is more central than Padakandla.

6. It is not out of place to mention here that in 1874 Messrs. Fraser and Hope expressed a preference for Allagadda. Copies of their correspondence are enclosed for Board's information.

7. Under these circumstances, I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the orders of Government for the construction of a taluk cutcherry at Allagadda. The present building at Chagalmarri (the very outskirts of the taluk

and district) is utterly unsuited in every way. Its accommodation is entirely inadequate and the edifice itself is most dilapidated. I consider it would be a great pity to waste any money in repairing or extending it.

ENCLOSURES.

From W. FRASER, Esq., C.E., District Engineer, Kurnool, to the Collector of Kurnool, dated Koulkuntla, 3rd March 1874, No. 1132.

I have the honor to inform you that when I was in the Sirvel taluk I encamped at Arlagadda to see the site, which, I had been told by the Tahsildar, had been selected for the new catchery.

This site is close to the village of Arlagadda in an open field east of the village.

2. There is no record in my office regarding this site at Arlagadda; the only site we know anything about being at Padakandla on the other side of the Vakkitera. The Tahsildar says that, after Padakandla was selected, the Sanitary Commissioner and the Collector came there and also to Arlagadda and decided in favor of the latter, because the former site was occasionally flooded during the rainy season. This supposed flooding is, however, a mistake, as the flood waters do not come near the site.

3. The points which are to be considered are these—

I. Padakandla is a larger and better village than Arlagadda.

II. In Padakandla two or three houses could be got for the catchery people, but some should be built. In Arlagadda no houses are available. All should be built.

III. Arlagadda is said to be somewhat healthier than Padakandla and to have better water; but the difference between them in both respects must be very trifling.

IV. As a site for building upon and convenience to the stone quarries, Arlagadda is superior to the other.

V. An important question is on which side of the Vakkitera should the catchery be.

There are more villages on the east side (Padakandla) than on the west (Arlagadda), and the Tahsildar says that the greater part of the work comes from the east side. The river is not fordable at Arlagadda occasionally for 15 or 20 days, and this is a point in favor of Padakandla, but the trunk road from Nandyal to Cuddapah lies on the Arlagadda side of the river, and so do the Irrigation Canal Company's works, and these probably neutralize that advantage.

VI. Neither Padakandla nor Arlagadda is in a central position, but every village nearer to the centre is said to be unhealthy.

4. I examined carefully all the ground about these two villages and I think that if either of them is to be adopted the balance of evidence is in favor of a site near Arlagadda.

*Not the one selected.

I shall be obliged if you will favor me with any remarks you may have to make about the site, as I intend asking permission to proceed with the work early next official year.

From J. HOPKINS, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to the District Engineer, dated Narsim, 8th April 1874, No. 307.

With reference to your letter of the 3rd ultimo, No. 1132, I have the honor to inform you that, after consulting with the Deputy Collector and Tahsildar and personally inspecting the rival sites, I agree with you in the opinion that one near Allagadda should be finally selected.

2. There are two points which you note as being in favor of Padakandla as against Allagadda, which on inquiry I find not to be so strong as you believed. These are (1) as to the number of villages to the east and west of the Vakkitera, respectively, and (2) as to the occasional difficulty experienced in fording the river.

3. As regards the first point, the Tahsildar, who, I must admit, is somewhat vacillating in his views, now states that the amount of work he receives from the eastern villages is not so great as he supposed, although there are, as a matter of fact, a larger number of villages to the east than to the west of the river, the number of important villages to the west far exceeds those to the east.

4. As regards the second point, you appear to have been misinformed as to the number of days the river is at any time unfordable. I am positively assured that it is never in that state for more than 24 hours at the most and that only in seasons of "extraordinarily excessive rains." There will thus, it is hoped, be no necessity for constructing a bridge across the Vakkitera.

5. Of the two sites at Allagadda, which the Tahsildar showed me, I approve of the one to the north-west of the village selected by you in preference to the one previously fixed on.

Resolution—dated 5th May 1890, No. 2783, Mis

Submitted for the orders of Government with the Board's recommendation that, for the reasons now adduced by the Collector, sanction may be accorded for fixing Allagadda as the head-quarters of the Sirvel taluk in preference to Padakandla (G.Os., No. 1859, Revenue, dated 3rd July 1868, and No. 1331, Judicial, dated 13th August 1869). Nothing seems to have been done in pursuance with those orders though they were passed twenty-one years ago. It is now reported that the Tahsildar has to hold office on a pial outside the building at Chagalmarri, now used as a taluk catchery, and that it is in a most insecure condition.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with letter and two enclosures (to be returned).

Copy to the Collector of Kurnool.

ORDER—dated 2nd June 1890, No. 384, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

In modification of the orders referred to by the Board of Revenue in its Proceedings read above, the Government

sanctions the selection of Allagadda as the head-quarters of the Sirvel taluk and the construction of a new taluk catchery at that station.

2. Orders will issue in the Public Works Department for the preparation of the necessary plans and estimates.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Commissioners of Land Revenue, with three enclosures.
" the Public Works Department.

Ed. A. D. Xavier.

50 Copies.

No.

Government of Madras,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

To

22/1
The Secretary to the
Govt of India,
Mr. Dept.

189

SIR,

With reference to
this Office endorsement
No 2656 of 9th Dec 1920,
forwarding a Memorial
P.R. Allen,
from Mr. H. Johnston,
Esq. Mgr., & to other en-
dorsements forwarding
similar petitions from
Officers of the P.W. Dept.,
I am directed to observe
that the Memorialists
~~are~~ ^{are} mis-
taken in supposing
that in consequence
of the reduction of

21.10.21. In the following
has been forwarded to
the P.W. Dept. for
consideration. The
P.W. Dept. has
been informed that

1. Mr. P.R. Allen,
Esq. Mgr., & to other en-
dorsements forwarding
similar petitions from
Officers of the P.W. Dept.,
I am directed to observe

11/11/20

in this Presidency,
Pro Executive Engineers
are supernumerary
and will have to be
absorbed before any
further promotion can
take place.

2. Under para 33, Madras
P.W. Code, the distribution
of the staff of Engineers be-
tween classes and grades
is made on the actual
and not on any con-
tinued number, so that
there is no question of
absorbing supernumerary
Officers before promotion
can be given.

7/10/0

W. M. S.

8.10.90

For

Can

22/10/0

27/10/0

Rev. 8-x-90.