GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following papers:—

From the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 14th March 1890, No. 190.

From the Deputy Examiner, Railway Accounts, to the Government Examiner, Railway Accounts, dated Trichinopoly, 24th January 1890, No. 57 S.R.

I have the honor to refer the following case for your decision.

2. Major Gracey, R.E., on return from furlough, drew an advance of Rs. 1,000 in Bombay. He was ordered to report at Trichinopoly for orders. He was then placed on special duty in the Chief Engineer's office for a few days and then proceeded to Bellary to take charge of the north end of the Villupuram-Guntakal State Railway as Deputy Chief Engineer. After leaving Trichinopoly he drew a second advance of Rs. 1,000 from one of his Executive Engineers. The Chief Auditor, on this fact coming to his notice, asked him to refund Rs. 1,000 as he had already drawn an advance up to the maximum allowed. Major Gracey maintains, however, that he was entitled to draw a second advance on transfer from Trichinopoly to Bellary. The Chief Auditor is of opinion that, as he was posted here for a few days only and knew that he was not to be stationed here, the move from Trichinopoly can hardly be looked at in the light of a transfer.

Office note from the Examiner of Railway Accounts, dated 27th January 1890, No. 42 V.G.

Office note from the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated 28th January 1890, No. 187.

From the Examiner, Railway Accounts, Madras, to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, Calcutta, dated 7th February 1890, No. 64 V.G.

I have the honor to submit the following case for your orders.

2. Major T. Gracey, R.E., on return from furlough, was transferred to Madras for employment on the Villupuram-Guntakal State Railway.

3. That officer received an advance of Rs. 1,000 in Bombay on arrival.

4. On reaching Madras, he was directed to proceed to Trichinopoly to receive instructions from the Chief Engineer of that Railway. On arrival at Trichinopoly (the 10th November) Major Gracey was placed on special duty till the 22nd November, when he left to join at Bellary, which was decided to be his head-quarters.

5. Major Gracey then drew from one of the Executive Engineers of the Villupuram-Guntakal State Railway a second advance of Rs. 1,000.

6. The Chief Auditor, on this coming to his notice, requested the repayment of the second advance as inadmissible.

7. This Major Gracey refused to do on the ground that he was entitled to draw a second advance on transfer from Trichinopoly to Bellary.

8. I have the honor to inquire whether the being placed on special duty for 13 days at Trichinopoly and then moving on to his proper head-quarters (Bellary), it being known at the time that Trichinopoly was not to be his head-quarters, can be treated in the light of a transfer and so permit a second advance within but a short period of receiving the first.

From Lieutenant-Colonel A. G. BEGBIE, R.E., Officiating Accountant-General, Public Works Department (Establishment), to the Examiner of Guaranteed Railway Accounts, Madras, dated Calcutta, 7th March 1890, No. 181 E.

With reference to your letter, No. 64 V.G., dated 7th February 1890, I have the honor to state that you should communicate with the Government of Madras on the subject of the advances taken by Major T. Gracey, R.E., on return from furlough.

No. 121 V.G.

Copy, with copy of the letter to which it is a reply, forwarded to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, in continuation of this office memorandum, dated 6th February 1890.

(Signed) F. MORRISON,
Examiner, Railway Accounts.

13th March 1890.

NOTE.

The services of Major T. Gracey, R.E., were on return from furlough placed at the disposal of the Government of Madras for employment on Railways.

G.O., No. 1217 R., dated 7th November 1889.

2. On arrival at Bombay he wired for orders, and was told to join at Trichinopoly in order that he might receive instructions from the Chief Engineer with regard to his duties on the Villupuram-Guntakal State Railway, prior to joining his proper head-quarters.

3. During the time he was at Trichinopoly he was placed on special duty in the Chief Engineer's office (from 10th to 22nd November 1889), after which he proceeded to Bellary, presumably under the orders of the Chief Engineer. This place was subsequently fixed as his head-quarters.

G.O., No. 62 R., dated 15th January 1890.

4. Major Gracey drew an advance of Rs. 1,000 in Bombay before proceeding to Trichinopoly, and a second advance of Rs. 1,000 after leaving Trichinopoly, through one of his Executive Engineers.

C. S. Regulations, para. 81 (*a*), chapter III.

P. W. D. Code, Vol. I., para. 52, chapter III.

(Signed) SIDNEY SMITH, Major, R.E.,
Dy. Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

Order—dated 18th April 1890, No. 536 R., Public Works.

The Government observes that Major Gracey, R.E., was designated for employment as Superintending Engineer on the Guntakal-Pakal section of the Villupuram-Guntakal Railway (G.O., dated 7th November 1889 No. 1217 R.), though the head-quarters of this charge was not settled till afterwards. He was allowed to proceed on duty to Trichinopoly from the 10th to the 22nd November to confer with the Chief Engineer, but he was not formally placed on special duty there, nor was any change in his destination contemplated. In these circumstances, His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that Major Gracey was not justified in drawing a second advance of Rs. 1,000 on proceeding from Trichinopoly to Bellary, and that this move did not constitute a transfer within the meaning of the rule. If any portion of the advance is still outstanding, it should be recovered in full at once.

2. The case will be brought to the notice of the Government of India in view to consideration whether a limit to the amount of advances admissible under the rule should not be laid down.

(Here enter No. 537 R., dated 18th April 1890.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) SIDNEY SMITH, Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
„ Examiner, of Railway Accounts.

Exd. A. Aubert.
Spare Copies

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 18th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 18th Apr. 1890, No. 536 R.

APRIL.

Major T. Gracey, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Villupuram-Guntakal State Railway.

Orders regarding a second advance drawn by —, and directing the recovery in full of any portion of the advance still outstanding.

18th April 1890, No. 537 R., Public Works.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Letter—from

Major SIDNEY SMITH, R.E.,
Under-Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department,
Railway Branch,

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

I am directed to forward copy of the Proceedings of the Government, No. 536 R., dated 18th April 1890, and to request that the question stated in para. 2 thereof may be taken into consideration by the Government of India.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

Madras,
18th April 1890.

Exd. A. Aubert.
Spare Copies

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 18th.

Recd.

Encl.

18th Apr. 1890, No. 537 R.

APRIL.

Major T. Gracey, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Villupuram-Guntakal State Railway

Forwarding copy of Proceedings regarding a second advance drawn by —, and requesting to consider whether a limit to the amount of advances admissible under the rule should not be laid down.

FORM No. 1.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 14th March 1890.

Office note from the Examiner of Railway Accounts No. 42 V.G, dated 27th January 1890.
— do. — the Consulting Engineer for Railways, No. 187, dated 28th January 1890.
Endorsement from the Examiner of Railway Accounts, No. 121 V.G, dated 13th March 1890, re-paying of 2nd advance made to Major Gracey R.E, Superintending Engineer, Villupuram Guntakal State Railway.
Office Note from Cons Engr for Rys No. 190.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

Sidney Smith
Major R.E.,
for Consg. Engr for Rys

1249R- 13-11-89.
1250R- 13-11-89
1217R- 7-11-89.
~~63R~~- 15-1-90
62R-

Rgo N-21 P79

P1/w

Office of the Deputy Examiner,
Railway accounts
No 57 4R
Trichinopoly 24th January 1890.

To
The Government Examiner,
Railway accounts
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to refer the following case for your decision.

Major Gracey R.E. on return from furlough drew an advance of Rs 1000/- in Bombay. He was ordered to report at Trichinopoly for orders. He was then placed on Special duty in the Chief Engineer's Office for a few days and then proceeded to Bellary to take charge of the North End of the Villupuram Guntakul State Railway as Deputy Chief Engineer. After leaving Trichinopoly, he drew a second advance of Rs 1000/- from one of his Executive Engineers.

The Chief Auditor, on this fact coming to his notice, asked him to refund Rs 1000/- as he had already drawn an advance up to the maximum allowed. Major Gracy maintains, however, that he was entitled

to

to draw a Second advance on transfer from Trichinopoly to Bellary. The Chief Auditor is of opinion that as he was posted here for a few days only and knew that he was not to be stationed here, the move from Trichinopoly can hardly be looked at in the light of a transfer.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

REGISTER
No 17
FILE
No

P.W. Secy
2695/90

No 42 E.G. D 27.1.90

Journalise
Deputy Examiner.
Railway Accounts.

Refd to E.R.

Major Gracey was entitled to draw the 2nd advance of 1000/- under the circumstances.

He had not before received an advance of 1000/- on return from furlo'.

The 2nd he drew a few days afterwards on his relief at Trichinopoly — there was no hamster —

CR
27.1.90.

Ld.
28.1.90

No 181 E

Accountant General
Public Works Department
Establishment

To
The Examiner of
Guaranteed Railway Accounts,
Madras.

Calcutta the 7th March 1890

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 64 22 G. dated 7th February 1890, I have the honor to state, that you should communicate with the Government of Madras, on the subject of the advances taken by Major J. Gracey, R.E., on return from furlough.

I have &c
sd/ A.G. Beglie, Lt. Coll R.E.
offg. Accountant General.

No 1217 E.G. dated 13th March 1890

Copy, with copy of the letter to which it is a reply, forwarded to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, in continuation of this office memo dated 6th February 1890.

Noted
14.3.90

E.R.
31.3.
Papers

F. Morrison
Examiner, Railway Accts:
Madras.

4

P W Dept
2695/90

Copy of the letter No 64 Vy dated 7th February 1890 from the Examiner Railway Accounts Madras to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, Calcutta.

I have the honor to submit the following case for your orders.

2. Major T. Gracey R. E., on return from furlough was transferred to Madras for employment on the Villupuram Guntakal State Railway.

3. That officer received an advance of Rs 1000/- in Bombay on arrival.

4. On reaching Madras he was directed to proceed to Trichinopoly to receive instructions from the Chief Engineer of that Railway. On arrival at Trichinopoly (the 10th November) Major Gracey was placed on Special duty till the 22nd November, when he left to join at Bellary which was decided to be his Head Quarters.

5. Major Gracey then drew from one of the Executive Engineers of the Villupuram Guntakal State Railway a 2nd advance of Rs 1000/-.

6. The Chief Auditor on this coming to his notice, requested the repayment of the 2nd advance as inadmissible.

7. This Major Gracey refused to do on the ground that he was entitled to draw a 2nd advance on transfer from Trichinopoly to Bellary.

8. I have the honor to enquire whether the being placed on special duty for 13 days at Trichinopoly and then moving on to his proper headquarters (Bellary) it being known at the time that Trichinopoly was not to be his head quarters, can be treated in the light of a transfer, and so permit a 2nd advance within but a short period of receiving the 1st.

REGISTER
No.
FILE
No.

P W Cor
2695/90

Form No. 34.

G.O. No. 1217-R dt 7th November 89.

P. W. D., RAILWAY BRANCH.

CONSULTING ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

189 .

~~Office~~ Note.

The services of Major F. Gracey R.E. were on return from furlough placed at the disposal of the Government of Madras for employment on Railways.

2. On arrival at Bombay he waited for orders and was told to join at Trichinopoly in order that he might receive instructions from the Chief Engineer with regard to his duties on the Villupuram-Gunlakal State Railway prior to joining his proper Head quarters.

3. During the time he was at Trichinopoly

Trichinopoly he was placed on special duty in the Chief Engineer's office (from 10th to 22d November 1889), after which he proceeded to Bellary presumably under the orders of the Chief Engineer. This place was subsequently fixed as his head quarters.

G.O. No 62R of 15th January 90.

4. Major Gracey drew an advance of Rs 1,000 in Bombay before proceeding to Trichinopoly, and a second advance of Rs 1,000 after leaving Trichinopoly, through one of his Executive Engineers.

C.S. Regulations para 81 (a) ch III

P.W.D. Code Vol I para 52 ch III

Sidney Smith
Major R.E.
Dy: Cary: Engr: for Railways.

I find the orders directing him to join at Trichinopoly but not the order placing him on special duty there or that transferring him to Bellary.

U.E.I.
29 May

With reference to the Hon'ble Member's remarks above, beyond the order fixing Major Gracey's residence at Bellary there appear to be no others.-

Sidney Smith
Major R.E.
Under Secy: to Govt. P.W.D. Ry. Branch

I consider that Major Gracey's having gone to Trichinopoly for a few days there considered to be on duty does not justify him in drawing a second advance of Rs 1000 & that such advance & any portion which may be still outstanding should be at once recovered. A note should be drawn up stating the facts, and the papers should be sent to the Govt of India in view to their considering whether a limit should not be fixed on the amount of advances which an Officer may be entitled to receive under the rules.

U.E.S.
10 Apr

H.M. 9.4.90 - R 10.4.90

No. 691R dy 10-6-89
- 1249R - 13-11-89
- 1250R - 13-11-89
- 1217R - 7-11-89
- 62R - 15-1-90

For Orders.
Sidney Smith
Major R.E.

for Jt. Secy to Govt. P.W.D. Ry Branch

The first advance was I suppose the sum mentioned at St C.S.R. & the second under Rule 81 C.S.R. Madras P.W. Code, or similar provision in the rules. There is only then limit to the advance to Civil Officers when no Imprest account or permanent advance has been sanctioned. Gracey, when I was Executive Engineer, was then a temporary I cannot say that I am acquainted with the facts. Will you kindly look up the papers regarding Major Gracey's posting to Trichy & to Bellary & report.

U.E.S.
19 May

The papers asked for by the Honorable Member are now put up.

Sidney Smith Major R.E.
Under Secy: to Govt. P.W.D.
Ry. Branch.

Hm. 26/3 R 28/3
Hm. 18-3-90 R 20-3-90

Form No. 3.

From

THE CONSULTING ENGINEER
FOR RAILWAYS,
MADRAS,

No. 190

Dated 14th
Received 15th } March 1890

No. of Enclosures. 4

Subject.

Submitting correspondence regarding refund of 2d advance made to Major Gracey Rs. Superintending Engineer, Kellner and Guntakal State Railways.

P.W.C. No. 2695

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

(H.S. No. R 87)

To the Secretary to the Govt of India
P.W.D. Rly Branch

Sir, I am directed to forward herewith copy of Proceedings of this Govt No. R dy April 1890 regarding certain advances drawn by Major J. Gracey R.E. Supt & Engr V.G.J. Railway.

With reference thereto I am directed to state that Major Gracey went to Trichinopoly for a few days and being considered as on duty there prior to joining his Head Quarters, does not in the opinion of this Govt, constitute a transfer, or justify him in having drawn a second advance.

Orders are therefore solicited as to whether the Govt of India does not consider that a limit should be fixed on the amount of advances which an officer may be entitled to receive under the rule.

SD.
16.4.90
DR.

R

No. 536 R dy 18 April 90

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Public Works Department Ry Branch.

Read the following:-

Endorsement from the Consulting Engr for Rys } No. 190 dy 14th March 1890.

The Govt observe that Major Gracey R.E. was designated for employment on Supdt Engr's cadre on the Guntakal-Vakal section of the V.G.R. (G.O. 7 Nov. 1887 No. 1217 Ry) though the headquarters of this charge were not settled till afterwards. He was allowed to proceed on duty to Trichinopoly from thence to 22nd Nov. to confer with the Chief Engineer, but he was not formally placed on Special duty there, nor was any change in his destination contemplated. In these circumstances H.E. the Governor in Council is of opinion that Major Gracey was not justified in drawing a second advance of Rs 1000 on proceeding from Trichinopoly to Bellary, and that this move did not constitute a transfer within the meaning of the rule. If any portion of the advance is still outstanding it should be recovered in full at once.

2. The case will be brought to the notice of the Govt of India in view to consideration whether

P 615

R
CR

a limit to the amount of advance admissible under the rule should not be laid down.

W.S

H.E. No 537 R dy 18th April 1890

Sir

I am directed to forward copy of the Proc.s of the Govt. No. 536 R dated 18th April 1890, and to request that the question stated in para 2 thereof may be taken into consideration by the Govt. of India.

W.S

Recd. 6/5/90

Partners

A

No. 536-37 R of 18 April 90

10

2695.

Govt. of 18 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Rcvd. Encl.

G.O., 18th April 1890 No. 536 R.
537 R.

Major J. Grasey R.E.
Supt. & Engr: V. G. S. Railway.

Orders regarding a second advance drawn by — : ~~on plans~~ & limiting the recovery in full of any portion of this advance till ascertained.

Major J. Grasey R.E.
Supt. & Engr: V. G. S. Railway.

Forty copies of Comp: reg: a second advance drawn by — : to consider whether a limit to the amount of advances admissible under the rule should not be laid down.