S. W.

(1899) Department

G.O., No. 4047 & 4053

Dated 5th May 1899

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inspection Bungalow with outhouses at Singavaram

Concurring in the opinion of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation that the option of Charging unremunerative works costing above Rs 1000 to the Revenue Account of Major (Productive) Works, should be exercised only by Govt sanctioning, with Revenue Remarks, an Estimate of Rs 3080 for Constructing an Inspection Bungalow with outhouses at Singavaram

Copy of Proc: Concurring in the opinion of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation that the option of charging unremunerative works costing above Rs 1000 to the Revenue Account of Major (Productive) Works, should be exercised only by Govt sanctioning an Estimate of Rs 3080 for Constructing an Inspection Bungalow with outhouses at Singavaram forwarded to the Collector (Ltd)

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

IRRIGATION.
ACCOUNT.

Government {1899} of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 404 I., 5th May 1899.

MAY.

Inspection Bungalow with out-houses at Singavaram

Concurring in the opinion of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation that the option of charging unremunerative works costing above Rs. 1,000 to the Revenue account of Major (Productive) works should be exercised only by Government, and sanctioning, with remark, an estimate of Rs. 3,080 for constructing an —, Kurnool division.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Irrigation.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, dated 2nd January 1899, No. 6 I.
To the " " III " " 30th " " " 4 K.C.
From the " " III " " 7th February " " 560 I.

Office-note to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 14th February 1899, No. 63.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for remarks. The Manager of the office of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation considers that paragraph 36 (*a*), chapter V, Volume II of the Code, limits the amount of an estimate for extensions and improvements to a productive public work which shall be charged to Revenue to Rs. 1,000, and from the letter of the Government of India communicated with G.O., No. 508 I., dated 10th June 1893 (copy appended), he would certainly appear to be right. On the other hand, unless it is intended that in certain cases works costing over Rs. 1,000 and which are extensions or improvements may be charged to Revenue, paragraphs 36 (*b*), 36 (*c*) seem to be senseless. If the question whether an extension is to be charged to Revenue or Capital depends on whether its cost is below or above Rs. 1,000, then only one rule is necessary. In both paragraphs 36 (*a*), 36 (*b*) the wording is *shall be* charged; in 36 (*c*) it is *may* be. It would save references if it could be decided whether the wording of paragraph 36 (*c*) "may be sanctioned against the Open Capital account" permits of any work of the class described being charged to Revenue, and if so, in what cases. The paragraph 156 (*a*), chapter XV, alluded to in the Government Order quoted above is that of the old edition of the Code and appears to be identical with 36, Chapter V of the current Code.

(Signed) H. J. F. WILSON,
Assistant Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 24th February 1899, No. 241 A./A.

My view is that of paragraph 36 above referred to, (*a*) and (*b*) are obligatory, but (*c*) optional.

Works otherwise (but for these rules) chargeable to Capital, must be charged to Revenue if not exceeding Rs. 1,000 in cost; must be charged to Capital if exceeding Rs. 1,000 and if in themselves directly remunerative; may be charged either to Capital or Revenue at the option of Government if exceeding Rs. 1,000, and if not in themselves remunerative.

I regard the order of India in letter, No. 61 A.I. of 13th May 1893, as referring to 36 (*a*) only.

To the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, dated 2nd March 1899, No. 7 K.C.
From the " III " " 18th " " " 1116 I.

No. 76 I.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

The accompanying estimate for a rest-house at Singavaram, on the Kurnool canal, is submitted to Government for orders.

The budget submitted by the Superintending Engineer contained provision for four rest-houses at different places. On enquiry it was found that one—that at Singavaram—had been sanctioned by him, under Imperial Irrigation—42. Major works (Working expenses), Extensions and Improvements.

2. The general rules relating to the incidence of charge for such works are contained in the Code, Volume II, Chapter V, paragraph 36. It is obligatory, when the construction estimate of a "productive irrigation work" is closed, to charge extensions and improvements costing Rs. 1,000 or less to the Revenue account; it is also obligatory to charge to Capital account remunerative new works costing over Rs. 1,000; but estimates for unremunerative works exceeding Rs. 1,000 in value may be sanctioned against the Open Capital account. In 1893 the Madras Government proposed that the limit of sanctions for extensions and improvements of Irrigation works chargeable to the Revenue account should be raised from Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 2,500. To this the Government of India replied in letter No. 61 A.I., dated 13th May 1893, that "the object of the rules contained in Public Works Department Code, Volume II, Chapter XV, paragraph 156 (*a*),* is that the Capital account of a project shall be debited with the cost of works properly chargeable to that head, and this object will be defeated if new works costing more than Rs. 1,000 each are debited to the Revenue account. The Government of India are unable to sanction the proposed change."

* In the current edition, Volume II, Chapter V, paragraph 36.

3. It is evident from the above that although the rules allow of unremunerative works costing above Rs. 1,000 being charged to Revenue, the Government of India is generally averse to this being done and in my opinion the option of doing it should be exercised only by Government. Orders on this point are requested.

4. The estimate was sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer in May 1898, and the expenditure up to end of January last was Rs. 1,119. The work is probably nearly completed by this time. The orders of Government are requested whether it should be charged to Revenue or Capital. In the latter case the cost will have to be defrayed from Provincial funds as the project does not pay 4 per cent.

MADRAS,
23rd March 1899.

(Signed) W. HUGHES,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

ORDER—No. 404 I., dated 5th May 1899.

The Government concurs in the opinion expressed by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation in paragraph 3 of the foregoing note that the option of charging unremunerative works costing above Rs. 1,000 to the Revenue account of Major (Productive) works should be exercised only by Government.

2. In supersession of the sanction previously accorded by the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, under "42. Major works (Working expenses), Extensions and improvements," the following estimate is sanctioned:—

Irrigation.
43. Minor Works and Navigation.
Minor Works for which Capital and Revenue Accounts are kept.
Kurnool-Cuddapah Canal.
Open Capital Account.
2. Main Canals and Branches.
11. Buildings.
Unremunerative Work.
Constructing an Inspection Bungalow with Out-houses at Singavaram.

	Rs.
I. Works	2,500
II. Establishment	575
III. Tools and Plant	5
Total, Estimate ...	3,080

3. This sanction is registered as No. 7 I. of 1899–1900 and will expire on the 31st March 1900.

4. The Examiner of Public Works Accounts will see that the outlay on the work is shown in the administrative accounts as part of the Kurnool-Cuddapah canal.

5. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Government of India for information.

[Here enter No. 405 I., dated 5th May 1899.]

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, with five enclosure.
„ all other Superintending Engineers.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Ed. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

From Supdg Engr III Circle, No 69, dt 2.1.99
To do 4 RC, dt 30.1.99
From do 560 I, dt 7.2.99
Offr Note & Point 63, dt 14.2.99
do from C Point 241 A/A, dt 24.2.99
To Supdg Engr III Circle 7 RC, dt 2.3.99
From do 1116 I, dt 18.3.99
Note by the Chief Engr 76 I, dt 23.3.99

No: 404 Dt 5.5.99

No. 404 Dt

The Govt concurs in the opinion expressed by the Chief Engr for Irrigation in para 3 of the foregoing Note that the option of charging remuneration works costing above Rs 1000 to the Revenue Account of major (Productive) works should be exercised only by Govt.

2. In supersession of the sanction previous

accorded by the Supdg. Engr, III Circle, under "42. Major Works (Working Expenses). Extensions & Improvements," the following estimate is sanctioned:-

Irrigation

43. Minor works. Navigation Minor works for which Capital & Rev. Accts are kept.

Kurnool Cuddapah Canal

Open Capital Account

2. Main Canals & Branches

II. Buildings.

Unremunerative Work.

Constructing an inspection bungalow with outhouses at Singavaram.

	Rs
I Works	2500
II Establishment	575
III Tools & Plant	5
Total Estimate	3,080

3. This sanction is registered as No 7 9 of 1899-1900 & will expire on the 31st March 1900

4. The Examiner of P.W.A will see that the outlay

88

on the work is shown in the administrative accounts as part of the Kurnool Cuddapah Canal.

5. Ordered that a copy of these Proc: be forwarded to the Govt of India for information

Endorsement No: 405 Dt 9-6-99

Forwarded.

W.H. 7/4/99 Issue

7.4.99 W.H. I

R 12/6/99

To the Chief Engr for I. Supdg Engr III circle & the Collector S.C.O. & O.W.A.

L.C.W. 6/4/99

E.I. Form No. 4 (a)—200—9-4-97.

1

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

IRRIGATION BRANCH,

Rest House at Linganavaram

From S.E. III cude No. 61 dated 2nd Jan. 199
From E.I. do do No. III 4Ce " 30th Jan. 199
From do do No. 560 J " 4th Feb. 199
Office note B "C" No. 63 " 14th Feb. 199
Endorsl from do No. 241 A/A " 24th Feb. 199
From E.I. B.S.I. III No. III 4 Ce. " 2 March 199
" S.S. III No. 1116 J " 18 March 199
Note by E.I. " 23 " 199 —

READ the following:—

FOR ORDERS.

It would appear from the correspondence quoted above that para: 36(c) Chap V vol II of the P.W. Code makes it optional to charge the cost of an entire minor scheme work necessary for the development of a project either to Capital or Revenue if the cost exceeds Rs 1000 —

Two questions are submitted for orders (a) whether the option should only be exercised by Govt or whether it may be exercised by the Chief Engr for Irrigation or by the Supdg Engr. (b) to what head Capital or Revenue the cost of the rest house constructed

2

E. I. Form No. 1 (a)—500—21-4-98.

FOR ODRERS.

or the Kurnool Cuddapah Canal closed to receive —
As regards the first question the powers ~~of sanction~~ of the Chief Engr. for Irrigation & of the Supdg Engineers & sanction new works are limited to Rs 2500 and by rule if a work ~~is estimated~~ does not cost more than Rs 1000 it must be charged to Revenue So the question only relates to such works as cost between Rs 1000 and Rs 2500 - Generally these works will be charged to Capital & will require Govt' sanction - The Chief Engr for Irrigation recommends that the option be exercised by Govt' But if Govt does not wish to deal with these works, the option might be exercised by the Chief Engr. for Irrigation - The effect of the latter course would be that in a few cases there would be a ~~short~~ saving of time in sanctioning the works —

As regards the second question particulars of which are given in the Chief Engr's note, as the rest house is a permanent structure, it may

Hpbs.
A.S.H.

Gen.
A.S.H
1.4.99

be considered right to debit its cost to Capital —

JH 27/3/99

WH. 27.3.99

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 5 KC

From The Supty Engineer
3rd Circle
Bellary

Order

No. 560 I

Dated 7—2—99.

Received 8. 2. 99.

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Rest house at Singa
peram.

States that the
estimate Rs. 2500 has
been sanctioned under
Supdl Irrign or Major
works working expenses
extensions & Improvements

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
D4K.C.	30-1-99.
R1-III	2-1-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R8Ke	18-3-99

No. 560 J

Superintending Engineer's Office,
3RD CIRCLE.

Bellary 7 *February* 189 9.

FROM *Lt. Col. W. L. C. Baddeley* ESQ., RE

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIRD CIRCLE,
BELLARY.

To THE *Chief Engineer*
for Irrigation
Madras

Sir,

Enclosures.

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION
8 FEB. 1899
5 KC

With reference to your Memorandum No. $\frac{III}{4 K.C.}$ dated 30th. January 1899. I have the honour to inform You that an Estimate amounting to Rs. 2500/- has been Sanctioned by Govt under Imperial Irrigation 42 Major works, working Expenses, extension and Improvements.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most Obed't. Servant

W. L. C. Baddeley
Lt. Col. RE
Superintending Engineer III circle

6/2/99

From

The Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle,
Bellary.

To

The _____

_____

_____

Dated } _____ 189 .
Received }

Enclosures.

No.

Subject.

_____

Qus.S.3/3051/94

Qus.S.C/3051/94

and of not an the remuneration.

I regret the order of Govt of India in Letter No. 61 A. I. of 13th May 93 is referring to 36 (a) only

H K
22-7-93.

31

III

W.H. K.C

Memo

With reference to this Sub. dated 2nd inst. from the Supdg: Engineer Circle, the undersigned has the honour to ~~request~~ ~~the early~~ under what head the ~~sanction~~ is to be made for the work Tuticorin Pier extension has been sanctioned and it is observed that no estimate for the work was submitted to this office for sanction. The amount of the estimate should be specified.

30/1
93.

To,

The Supdg: Engr
III Circle.

Wk. for Supdg Engr
27/1/93

Accs
27/1/93
26-1-93.

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3051

17/4

From The Chief Engineer for Irrigation

Order

No. 76 I

Dated 28 March 1899

Received 11.4.99

Registered 11.4.99

Enclosures 11 (1 Plan)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Rest House at Singavaram on the Kurnool Canal.

Note submitting for orders of Government an estimate for a —

Dis C.2
3051/99

CS/

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

2765

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation

The accompanying estimate for a rest house at Singaverayan on the Kurnool Canal is submitted to Government for orders.

The budget submitted by the Superintending Engineer contained provision for four rest houses at different places. On enquiry it was found that one - that at Singaverayan - had been sanctioned by him, under Imperial Irrigation 42 Major Works, Working Expenses, Extensions and Improvements.

2. The general rules relating to the incidence of charge for such works are contained in the Code Vol II, Chap V. para 36. It is obligatory, when the construction estimate of a "Productive Irrigation Work" is closed, to charge extensions and improvements costing Rs 1,000 or less to the Revenue Account; it is also obligatory to charge to Capital account remunerative new works costing over Rs 1,000; but estimates for unremunerative works exceeding Rs 1000 in value may be sanctioned

against

against the open Capital Account.
In 1893 the Madras Government proposed that the limit of sanctions for extensions and improvements of Irrigation works chargeable to the Revenue account should be raised from Rs 1,000 to Rs 2,500. To this the Government of India replied in letter No. 61 A. 9, dated 13th May 1893 that "the object of the rules contained "in P. W. D. Code, Vol II, Chap XV, para 15 6A[y] "is that the Capital Account of a "project shall be debited with the Cost "of works properly chargeable to that "head, and this object will be defeated "if new works costing more than Rs 1000 "each are debited to the Revenue Account. "The Government of India are unable "to sanction the proposed change".

[y] In the current edition Vol II, Chap V, para 36.

3. It is evident from the above that although the rules allow of remunerative works costing above Rs 1,000 being charged to Revenue the Government of India is generally averse to this being done and in my opinion the option of doing it should be exercised only by Government. Orders on this point are requested.

4 The estimate was sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer in May 1898 and the expenditure up to end of January last was Rs 1119. The work is probably nearly completed by this time. The orders of Government are requested whether it should be charged to Revenue or Capital. In the latter case the cost will have to be defrayed from Provincial funds as the Project does not pay 4 per Cent.

W Hughes

Chief Engineer for Irrigation

Madras
23rd March/98

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 8 KC

From The Supdtg Engineer 3 Circle

Order

No. 111 b.d.

Dated 18th March 1899

Received 20th — do —

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Inspection Bungalow at Singavaram Kurnool Dn.

Forwards for sanction Rs an estimate of Rs 250 for constg —

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

No. 1116 I

Superintending Engineer's Office,
3rd Circle.
Bellary 18th March 1899.

From Lieut Col W L Pole Baddeley R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
3rd CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer,
for Irrigation,
Madras

CHIEF E... FOR IRRIGATION
20 MAR. 1899
8 K C

Sir,

Enclosures.

1 Report
1 Detailed estimate
1 Data sheet
1 Abstract estimate
1 Tracing

With reference to your Memo No. III / 7 KC dated 2nd March 1899, I have the honour to forward for favour of sanction of Government plan and estimate amounting to Rs 2,500 for constructing an Inspection Bungalow with outhouses at Singavaram, Kurnool Division.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
W L Baddeley Lieut Col R.E.
Supdtg: Engineer, III Circle.

17.3.99

From

The Superintending Engineer,
3rd Circle,

To

The _____

_____

_____

Dated } _____ 189 .
Received }

Enclosures.

No.

Subject.

_____

Dept 11
305/88

Public Works (1898) Department.

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

III

ISSUE No. 7 K.C.

To *The* Supg Engineer 3rd Circle

(Reply to letter No. , dated)

Dated 2-3-99

Despatched 4-3-99

Enclosures * 1- Copy of G of I letter No 61 H.P.W. 13-1-99

* Particulars of Enclosures.

SUBJECT.

Rest House at Srivaikuntam
States that the Govt of In
refused to sanction the
limit of sanction under R
cent, for Extensions & Improve
for 1000 to Rs 2500 and
that when it is completed
Estimate may be submi
for sanction

Indeed

P. I. Form No 13—2,000—4-6-98.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R5KC	7. 299
R42 on	24. 299

Sent to Government with No. I.,
dated 189 .
Printed with G.O., No. I.,
dated 189 .

REMINDERS ISSUED.

No. 1, dated 189 .
" 2 "
" 3 "
4 "
5 "

KEEP-WITHS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R8KC	18-3-99

Papers-
R443/99
R531/99
R5KC/99
R14KC/99
R1-III/99
R10-III/99
G.O. 576 dy 24.6.97
G.O. 508 dy 10.6.93
G.O. 341 dy 14.4.93

R7

III

No 7 K.C dy 2.3.99

Extl

S. S. III.

With reference to the the S.S. No 560 I dy 7 Feb 1899 and previous correspondence regarding the head of account to which the cost of a rest-house at Singavaram should be charged it is observed that the Govt of India, in letter No 61 T&F dy 13 May 1893 refused to raise the maximum limit of Sanction under Rev. Account, Elements and Improvements from Rs 1000 to Rs 2500 and it is thought possible that when it is contemplated to exercise the option allowed in certain cases by Code III. V 36(c) the estimate should be submitted to Govt for Sanction

W.H. 2/3/99

+ Copy enclosed (make one typed) with memo

CCS 2/3/99

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 43

From The Executive Engr Ambala

Order

No. 241 A/A

Dated 24 February 1899

Received } 27 — do —
Registered }

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Rest House at Singaravaram
furnishes further remarks
in connection with the
excess limit for improve-
-ments on to —

Qu. 5/3057/98

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

P. W. ACCOUNTS
17 FEB. 1899
No.

W63. d/14.2.99

Lett file

for extensions & improvements to a productive public work

To C for remarks
The manager of Rly office considers that para 36 (a) chap V vol II ry te code limits the amount of an estimate which shall be charged to Revenue to Rs 1000, and from the letter of the Govt of India communicated with G.O. No 508 I dated 10th June 92 (copy appended) he would certainly appear to be right — On the other hand unless it is intended that in certain cases works costing over Rs 1000 & which are extensions or improvements may be charged to Revenue paras 36 (b) 36 (c) seem the sanctions If the question whether an extension is to be charged to Revenue or Capital depends on whether its cost is below or above Rs 1000 then only one rule is necessary — In both paras 36 (a) 36 (b) the working is shall be charged in 36 (c) it is may be

Indexed

In the.

It would save reference if it could be decided whether the wording of para 36 (c) may be sanctioned against the open Capital account permits of any work of the class described being charged to Revenue and if so in what cases — Ie para 156 A Chap XV allusion is in the G.O. quoted above is that of the old edition of the Code and appears to be identical with 36 Chap V the current code

17/2/99. No. 241 A/A dated 24th Feb/4:99.

My view is that of para 36 above referred to (a) and (b) are obligatory, but (c) optional. Works otherwise (but for this rule) chargeable to Capital, must be charged to Revenue if ~~under~~ the not exceeding Rs 1000 in cost; must be charged to Capital if exceeding Rs 1000 and if in themselves directly remunerative; may be charged either to Capital or Revenue at the option of Government if exceeding Rs 1000, and

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION 27 FEB 1899 43

Public Works (1898) Department.

IRRIGATION BRANCH.

III

ISSUE No. 4. KC

To The Supg Engineer's Circle

(Reply to letter No. 6.J, dated 21/99)

Dated 30.1.99.

Despatched 31-1-99

Enclosures *

* Particulars of Enclosures.

SUBJECT.

Rest House at Singavaram

Enquires under what head the Estimate for the —— has been sanctioned and that the amount of the Estimate should be specified.

Indexed

E. I. Form No 13—2,000—4-6-98.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
K1-III	2-1-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
K5Ke	9-2-99
K8Ke	18-3-99

Sent to Government with No. I.,
dated 189 .
Printed with G.O., No. I.,
dated 189 .

REMINDERS ISSUED.

No. 1, dated 189 .
" 2 "
" 3 "
" 4 "
" 5 "

KEEP-WITHS.

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. III

From The Supty: Engineer
3rd Circle
Bellary

Order

No. 6 I.

Dated 2 — 1 — 1899.

Received 3 - Jan 99.

Registered

Enclosures —

Spare copies —

SUBJECT.

Budget estimate for
major works for 1899-1900
III Circle items (1 to 4)
Stakes that out of
the 4 estimates included
in the only one
has been sanctioned

F. E. I
K3/31

LCC
3/1/99

M. I. Form No 13—2,000—4-6-98.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
K1-III	2-1-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
R5Ke	7-2-99
R8Ke	18-3-99

Sent to Government with No. I.,
dated 189 .
Printed with G.O., No. I.,
dated 189 .

REMINDERS ISSUED.

No. 1, dated 189 .
„ 2 „
„ 3 „
„ 4 „
„ 5 „

KEEP-WITHS.

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. III

From The Supty: Engineer
3rd Circle
Bellary

Order

No. 6 J.

Dated 2 — 1 — 1899.
Received 3 - Jan'y 99.
Registered
Enclosures —
Spare copies —

SUBJECT.

Budget estimate for
major works for 1899-1900
III Circle items (1 to 4)
States that out of
the 4 estimates included
in the only one
has been sanctioned

F. E. I

P. L. Form No. 13—2,000—4-6-98.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
210 III	5-11-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
14 KC 30 1 55	
KSKC 7-2-99	

No. 69

Superintending Engineer's Office,
3RD CIRCLE.
Bellary 2 January 1899.

FROM Lt. Col. W. C. Baddeley, R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIRD CIRCLE,
BELLARY.

To THE Chief Engineer
for Irrigation
Madras.

CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION
3 JAN. 1899
No. 1 III

Enclosures.

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 10 - III dated 5-11-98, I have the honour to report that out of the 4 Estimates included in the budget only one viz. Estimate for Rest House at Singanamalla has been Sanctioned. The other 3 Estimates are not yet Sanctioned and they are under preparation.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant

W. C. Baddeley Lt. Col.
Supg. Engineer III Circle.

Date 2-3-99

P. I. Form No 13—2,000—

From

The Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle,
Bellary.

To

The _____

_____

_____

Dated } _____ 189 .
Received }

Enclosures.

No.

Subject.

_____

OLE.1
3057/91

9 T