

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 972 W.

DATED 6 April 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Objectionable Items

Orders on the account of — in the accounts
of Smeaton Engineers remaining unreconciled to
end of Jan. '99, VI Circle.

B

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

840 w 8 18.3.99:
742 w 8 10.3.99
1634 w 8 4.7.95

in the Public Works Department.

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	<i>This cell contains irregularities</i> <i>Madura Division</i> <i>Delay in adjustment of</i> <i>items outstanding in the</i> <i>Schedule of Establishment</i> <i>charges.</i>				
1	<i>Travelling allowance Paid</i> <i>but not audited.</i> <i>Lieutenant A. J. Close assist</i> <i>ant Engineer outstand-</i> <i>ing from January 1898</i> <i>July 98</i>				
	<i>Non-occupation of a</i> <i>Government building</i> <i>constructed as a resi-</i> <i>dence for Government</i> <i>official.</i>				
2	<i>Head assistant collector's</i> <i>residence at Ramnad</i> <i>(Item 11 of Audit note for October 1898)</i> <i>Jan'y 99</i>				

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of *January* 1899.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					<i>No. 972 W 9</i> <i>6-4-99</i> <i>Orders in Provincial</i> <i>objectionable items</i> <i>Statement for</i> <i>December 1898</i> <i>were: "The Chief</i> <i>Engineer Bengal</i> <i>Command has</i> <i>been requested to</i> <i>recover the amount</i> <i>from Lieutenant</i> <i>Close."</i>	
					<i>2</i> <i>The Construction</i> <i>of this building</i> <i>sanctioned in</i> <i>G.O. No. 1634 W dated</i> <i>4-7-95 was report-</i> <i>ed to have been</i> <i>completed in</i> <i>November 97. Un-</i> <i>der Code I. E. 1714)</i> <i>it is binding on</i> <i>the part of the</i> <i>officer for whom</i> <i>the building</i> <i>was specially</i> <i>constructed</i>	

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	constructed to occupy it and pay rent for the same but the Head Assistant Collector has not occupied it up to date and in reply to an audit remark by this office the Executive Engineer states as follows:- The collector has been written to by the superintending Engineer to direct the Head Assistant Collector, Ramnad, to occupy the building which was not occupied till now as certain improvements proposed by him were not carried out. The matter is				

PROVINCE.

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of *January* 189*9*.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					constructed, to occupy it and pay rent for the same but the Head Assistant Collector has not occupied it up to date and in reply to an audit remark by this office the Executive Engineer states as follows:- The collector has been written to by the superintending Engineer to direct the Head Assistant Collector, Ramnad, to occupy the building which was not occupied till now as certain improvements proposed by him were not carried out. The matter is	

Madras

Statement of Objectionable items in the accounts of Executive

Item No.	Circle, Division and Description of Work.	Month in the accounts of which objectionable expenditure first appeared.	COMPARISON WITH ESTIMATE.		
			Amount of estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over estimate.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Miscellaneous Irregularities (Corrected)				
3	Removing spackly pear from Porumbalur Pattu-yeri Tank	Nov. 98			

PROVINCE

Engineers remaining unremedied to end of January 1899.

COMPARISON WITH APPROPRIATION.			Miscellaneous irregularities.	Expenditure during the month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer with final remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by local Government or Administration.
Amount of appropriation.	Expenditure of the year.	Excess over appropriation.				
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
					is brought to notice of Government. The Head assistant collector is liable for the rent from the date on which the building was fit for occupation.	
					3	3
					Orders in Provincial objectionable items statement for December 1898 were:-	The objection items should be removed at a very early date.
					amount of con- tribution realized & expended	
					Rs 22.	Rs 22.
					Early removal of this objection is avoided.	APK 25.3.99. 25/3/99
					Sanction of Government to the undertaking of the work as a contribution work has not yet been received.	W.

H. Raimier

offg Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

Madras & Coorg. 11/1/99

Order on Governor

Copy of an old letter

1898

1890

20.000 of beam

...to the ...

in fact as a
defeating of the