

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No.

766 JV

DATED

13th March 1899.

Accounts desk [Despatch Abstract.] for the 14th mile

One thing that the appointment of an
—, be made without further delay.

1372

The Examiner P. W. Acco
Madras & Co.

Order No. 766 W 8/13.3.29.

M. Venkatasubbiah's
appeal having been rejected
in G.O. No 626 W dated 1st
March 1899, the appointment
of an Accounts Clerk for the
III Circle should be made
without further delay.

ALW
Rw. 7.3.99.

ant.
873/57
lv.

No. 232 A/E

Dated 21st february 1899

Received }
Registered } 23-2-99

Enclosures ~~to~~ 4

Spare copies

Account Clerk No. III
Circle — forwarding
Copies of Correspondence
between the Examiner
And the Superinten-
ding Engineer III circle
regarding the appoint-
ment of —

To

The Supt. Ingr., III bridge
" Leamm, P. W. Aceto

$A_{13} / 3 / 9$

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
626 W	21.3.99
666 C	21.1.99
+ file	

No.	Date.
-----	-------

Copy of letter from E. A. Denny's Esq. Exam-
P.W. Accounts Madras & Co. to the Superin-
tending Engineer 3rd circle NO: 1407 A/2 8.12.98

Under the orders of Government
Contained in G.O. NO: 3485 W dated 14 December
1897 you were required to reserve the first
vacancy in the Rs 40-50 grade for a man
who had passed the entrance test for the
Subordinate Accounts Branch.

2. Up to date I have received no recom-
mendations for the appointment of an Accounts
Clerk, and I would invite your attention
to the orders of Government Contained in
para 5 of G.O. NO: 2843 W dated 8 November 1898,
and to ask that you will submit for my Con-
currence the Name of a clerk in your circle,
who has passed the test, and who may be
otherwise qualified for the appointment of
Accounts clerk, with detailed reasons for
your recommendation

2. The favour of an early reply is requested

(True Copy) *Muhammad Yacob*
(Superintendent)
General Branch

Copy of letter from Lieut. Col. W. L. C. Baddeley
R. E.

Superintending Engineer 3rd circle to the Exa.
miner P. W. Accounts Madras & Coorg NO: ~~54~~⁹⁴ 975-1-99

In Reference to your NO: 1407 A/2 of 8th
December 1898, I have the honour to inform
you that I propose to reserve the next vacancy
on Rs 40-50 in this Circle for M. Venkatasubbiah
who has been transferred temporarily to this
Circle for 6 months.

This man is 35 years old, but in Con-
sideration of his ability and knowledge of
Accounts, and the fact that he passed the
Accounts test more than seven years ago,
I hope you will recommend ~~the~~^{the} Government
to relax the age rule in his favour, as
a special case. The only other qualified
permanent clerks in this Circle are M.
Vasudeva Row Naidu on Rs 50 and S. Dora
Sawmy Dyer on Rs 60-80.

The former is quite unfit for the post
of Accounts clerk, and the latter has
no wish for the appointment.

I also recommend that in the event
of a prior vacancy occurring in any

Dec 1
16/12/98

Other circle, Venkatasubbiah should
be given the appointment, as it is not
likely that there will be a vacancy in
this circle for some time in the 40-50 grade.

(True Copy)

Muhammed Yaqub 22/3/99
Superintendent

General Branch

4/2/2

Copy of letter from E. A. Dennis Esq. Finance
P.W. Accounts, Madras & Coorg to the Superintendent
Engineer 3rd Circle No. 40 M_E dated 11th January
1899.

In reply to your letter No. 94 dated 5th
Instant I have the honor to state that in the
case of 3 other clerks who have similarly been
strongly recommended for appointment as "Accounts
Clerks" but who have exceeded the age limit -
have been compelled to recognize and definitely re-
place on record their ineligibility for appointment
as "Accounts Clerks" in terms of G.O. No. 1864 W
dated 25th July 1898, and I therefore regret I cannot
treat Mr. M. Venkatasubbiah's case differently.

2. As you state in your last para that
it is not likely that there will be a vacancy
in the 3rd of Circle for some time in the 40/50
grade I wish to point out that the clerk
selected need not necessarily be in that grade
but may be of a lower grade provided the
first vacancy after his appointment in the 40/50
grade is reserved for him -

3. As it would appear that there is no
qualified man in your Circle that can be appointed
as an "Accounts Clerk" I would enquire whether you
have any objection under the circumstances explained
to me making a selection from clerks employed
elsewhere to fill up the appointment in question

(True Copy)

Muhammed Yaqub 22/3/99
Superintendent General
Branch
4/2/2

Over
16/4/99

Copy of letter from Lt. Col. W.D. C.
Baddley R.E. Superintending Engineer,
3rd Circle to the Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Madras Town No. 332 dated 20th January 1899.

With reference to your letter No. 4042
dated 11th January 1899 I have the honor
to state that I have no objection to a
Clerk being selected from any other Circle
for the post of Accounts Clerk in this Circle.
The first vacancy in this Circle
in the 40 to 50 grade will be reserved for him.

(True Copy)

M. A. D. J. 22/1/99
Superintendent General Branch

Copy of letter from E. A. Dennis Esq
Examiner P.W. Accounts, Madras Town
3rd Circle to
The Superintending Engineer
119 P.W. dated 1st February 1899.

With reference to your letter No.
332 of 20th January 1899 I have the honor to
put forward the name of M. R. R. Krishnasami
Rao B.A. a clerk on Rs. 20/- in my office for
appointment as Accounts Clerk of the 3rd Circle.

He has had 7 years experience
in the Establishment and Accounts Audit
Sections of my office; is a graduate of the
Madras University; and I think will make
a good Accountant.

(a good copyist
if possible)

3. R. Krishnasami Rao may for the present
fill a vacancy in the 40/50 grade occurs he
posted to fill a permanent vacancy, if one exists
in the Rs. 15/- to 20/- Rs. 20 to 30/- or Rs. 30/- to 40/-
grades; but if no vacancy exists in any of the
above grades he can be exchanged for a
clerk in the Rs. 15/- to 20/- grade, whose name
should be reported.

4. The favor of an early reply is requested.

(True Copy)

M. A. D. J. 22/1/99
Superintendent General Branch

W.D.C.

Bellary 4th Febuary 1899

copy of letter from Lieut. Col. W. L. G. Braddley, R.E.

Bellary

22

Madras.

Sir,

In reference to your L^o 119 A^o of the 1st February 1899. I regret to say that I cannot accept your selection of Mr. R. Krishnasamy Row BA, as his appointment would be an additional bar to the claims of clerks in Circle and Executive Engineers' Offices.

2. M.R.Ry. M. Venkata Subbiah has appealed to government to relax the age bar in his case, and I have strongly supported his appeal.

3 In these circumstances I think it advisable that the appointment of an accounts' clerk, for this circle, should be held in abeyance for a few months.

I have the honour to be

85

your most obedient servant

(80) W. L. C. Baddely Lieut. Col. R.E.

Supt. Engineer III Circle

True copy

27
 Michael Jacob 22nd 29
 Superintendent General Branch

$$\frac{9/2}{2}$$

2nd: grade (30-2-4 0) on each

$$\begin{array}{r} 0.583 \\ 16.145 \end{array}$$

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT. }
OF MADRAS. }

1898⁹

{ P.W.
DEPT. }

dated

189

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 21st February 1899.

OFFICE NOTE No. 232 A/B

To

W.

C's letter No. 1407 A/E dated 8-12-98
S.E's ,, ,, 94 dated 5-1-99
C's letter No. 40 A/E dated 11-1-99
S.E's ,, ,, 332 dated 20-1-99
C's letter No. 119 A/E dated 1-2-99
S.E's ,, ,, 529 dated 4-2-99.

✓ Flag T

x Flag S

I beg to forward for your information, copies of correspondence as per margin that has passed between the Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle and myself on the subject of the nomination of an Accounts Clerk for No. 3 Circle - which was sanctioned in G.O. No. 3485 W dated 14th: December 1897 and affirmed in G.O. No. 1864 W dated 25th: July 1898, and to request that I may be favored - with early orders of Government as to whether, for the reasons explained by - the Superintending Engineer in his letter No. 529 dated 4th: February 1899, the nomination of the Accounts Clerk in - question should be held in abeyance.

2. Notwithstanding that the appointment of an Accounts Clerk for No. 3 Circle was sanctioned by Government so far back as 14th: December 1897 no steps appear to have been taken to reserve a clerkship of at least IV or 2nd: grade (30-2-4 0) on either

055.4
16/1/99
4/5

of the two following occasions viz:

(1) On the promotion of S. Durasami Iyer (referred to in para 2 of G.O. No. 3485 W dated 14th: -- December 1897) to clerk 3rd: class 2nd: grade

+ Ray T.

(2) in the arrangements vice C.K. Venkatachalam Pillay, clerk 2nd: class 3rd: grade deceased, on 1st: July 1898 in which A. -- Amirtham Iyer, clerk IV class II grade, was promoted to clerk III class 2nd: grade.

Seeing that G.O. No. 3485 W dated 14th: December 1897 issued on a representation from Superintending Engineer 3rd: Circle himself of the claims of S. -- Durasami Iyer, it is not clear considering that Durasami Iyer was promoted to clerk 3rd: class 2nd: grade (although with -- effect from the 3th: December 1897 a few days before receipt of G.O. in question), by his appointment as clerk IV class II grade was not reserved for an Accounts -- clerk.

In his last letter on the subject, 529 dated 6th: February 1899 the Superintending Engineer 3rd: circle now recommends that the nomination made by me, with his express consent, should be held in abeyance on the ground that the nominee -- should be an additional bar to the claims of clerks in Circle and Divisional offices, that an appeal from M. Venkatasubbiah, strongly supported by him, is before Govern-

5. M. Venkatasubbiah's appeal -- which was referred for my remarks was returned with my O.N. No. 187 A/E dated 14th: February 1899 and the orders of Government will be awaited.

* cum 1372/99
Sent. 6th
on 22/2

492
21.2.98
C.
21/2