

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No.

7624

DATED

// 3

1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Suit

allocating a sum of Rs 175 for satisfying
the decree passed against Govt in the filed
of Pundaluenkar, Contractor for the H. d. and
Director's Office at Gudur, ordered by, directing the
S.E. to submit a report on the result of
the appeal.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

INGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Endorsement from the S. & II Circle No. 131 Wd 1 March 1898

Order No. 762 Wd 11.3.99

A sum of Rs. 1797 is allotted for satisfying the decree passed against Cont. in the suit filed by Venkatasubbiah Contractor for the Head Assistant Collector's office at Gudur, Koller District.

2. That I will submit in due course a full report on the result of the appeal.
AW
8.2.99.

May

Curd
8/3/99
W

Note. The Contractor claimed Rs. 2420-8-8 for the work done by him, while the amount due to him according to the Executive Engineer's measurements was Rs 675-14-2 only. The defence of the suit was sanctioned by Cont. in No. 3005 Wd 23 October 1898

** Haggad J & F*

*[P. W. 40
1,000-28-11-98.]*
May B.

and 1104 Dd 12 August 1897. The appeal was allowed in C.O. 2397 Wd 21.8.99
May 8.2.99.

C. No. 1889

the case has been practically decided against Govt. a further report will be called for as the information available in this office on the subject is meagre.

and
8/3/99

The information given regarding the case is exceedingly meagre. It seems to be still sub judice, the result of the appeal not having been reported.

ACK

8.3.99.

Sir,

1. Yes. As we have no further information, we can say nothing more.

2. Yes. If approved, para 2 may be added to the draft, as given below:-

2. The S. E. will submit a complete report on the results of the appeal.

Para added May
ACK
8/3/99
8.3.99.

56W-10-1-98 ✓ N. 1044W-23-4-94 ✓
35W-21-8-97 ✓ 2647C-18-7-93 ✓
2277W-21-8-97 ✓ 3449W-17-12-92 ✓
11048-12-8-97 ✓ 5325C-15-12-92 ✓
3205W-23-10-96 ✓ 1670W-2-6-92 ✓
2131W-8-8-91 ✓ 1765W-3-2-91 ✓
336W-13-2-85 ✓

NOTES.
Reference to P. W. D. Code.
Vol. II, Chapter IV, para. 135 (h).

P. W. D. Form No. 41 H
2230
27 FEB. 99
P. W. D.
SECOND
MADRAS PROVINCE.

- This form should be used by the Executive Engineer in any of the following cases:-
 - When he requires a grant, or an addition to an existing grant, is required for carrying on a sanctioned major work.
 - When he is unable to utilize the grant at his disposal for any work and wishes to resign it, either wholly or in part.
 - When he wishes to utilize the grant for one work upon some other.
- Either of the columns for "Proposed new grant" or for "Proposed reduction," or both, may be used as required.
- If it happens that the grant already allowed has been reduced, the entry in the column "Existing grant for the current year" would of course be the amount less such reduction.
- In the case of Irrigation works, the entries in the column "Departmental and service head" should show distinctly whether the proposed re-appropriation is debitable to Capital or Revenue, and the entries in the column "Works" should be so arranged as to show the project to the Capital or Revenue account of which the charge is debitable, and the class of service to which the charge is debitable, thus:-

- HEAD WORKS-
 - Land.
 - Buildings.

In cases of Productive public works, the fact of their being so should be noted against the entries.

5. It being of the utmost importance that early intimation of possible lapses, or of excesses, in outlay on works should be communicated to the Government, a close watch should be maintained on the progress of all works by executive officers, and this form employed the moment the facts are sufficiently known.

6. Applications for re-appropriations which require the sanction of the Government of India should be submitted by the local Government in duplicate; applications by Executive Engineers should be submitted through the Superintending Engineers of their Circles.

EXPLANATION OF NECESSITY FOR RE-APPROPRIATION.

Required to cover the cost of decree in O.P.N. 180 of 1896 & appeal in A.S.N. 210 & 214 of 97

(Signature) P. A. Simisara Angappa
24.2.99
Supt. Engineer

BRANCH- General
CIRCLE- II
DIVISION- Madras
FUND- 45 Civil Works Provincial
Recd. 3.3.99.

Application for a re-appropriation Budget grants.

No. 14786, dated the 25/2/1899.
Forwarded to the Supt. Engineer II Circle

for sanction if approved.
P. A. Simisara Angappa
24.2.99
Supt. Engineer
No. 131W, dated the 1st March 1899.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P. W. D. for sanction of Sanction.

14/3/99
Supt. Engineer II Circle

Orders by the Government of

Dated the _____ 189 . *

Secy. to Government of _____ P. W. Department.

(Signature)

No. _____, dated the _____ 189 .