

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 613 W

DATED 28th Feb - 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

In answer to of cheques

An answer in the affirmative Certain questions
of the Public Works Dept. House. In regard to the
interpretation of the rules to be observed in connection
with the ——— So as to prevent theft of Salt money.

E
CH
13

Referring for orders the cir-
-cumstances under which
Temporary Agents in this Office
can be entrusted with
encashment of Cheques etc
to prevent —.

The general question
still remains to be
dealt with and
22/2/55

OK his Phy for This is being
B. dealt with
separately. Am

137 In my office it will be extremely inconvenient to send either the Accountant or Head Clerk and it will often be inconvenient to send both persons to Cash Cheques. Will it be considered that I have obeyed orders if I send

^{peon}
one, and either the watchman or punkah puller?

(4) In the Sub-Division Offices where there is only one peon available for all purposes will it be sufficient if the peon and a monthly paid Cooly or two monthly paid Coolies are entrusted with public money.

(5) I would suggest that there is very little difference between temporary peons and men of the same class employed as monthly paid Coolies, punkah men etc

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obed^t servant

W. H. P. S.
Superintendent of Works
Light House Division

Coop^d S.S.

Comp^d C. S. 1. 99.