

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 598 W

DATED 27 Feb 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Objectionable Items Introduced

The reversion to the procedure in force prior to 1893 in regard to the time limit after which claims in the ——— should be reported to the A.S. Board, approved.

B.

WP

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
-----	-------

respectively.

As the revised procedure entails the inclusion of a vast number of comparatively unimportant items in each Extract sent to the Acct. Genl, P.W.D. & the real intention of the form is thus to a large extent departed from, the Examiner wishes to revert to the procedure in force prior to 1893.

The Accountant General, P.W.D., accepts the Examiner's proposal, subject to the concurrence of the Local Govt., & to the due observance by the Examiner of the rules regarding special reports when necessary.

There is no objection to formal approval being given to the reversion to the procedure in force prior to 1893.

AK
19.1.99.

[Signature]
19.1.99.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
-----	-------

Dyno 62 4.25
1535W 8.6.93 5.0
420W 16.2.89
2871W 16.8.88
916W 20.3.88

Copy of letter from E.A. Denny, Esquire, Examiner of P.W. Accounts, Madras and goorg to the Accountant General, Public Works Department, No. 1339 A/A dated 17th. November 1898.

In connection with this office letter No. 1379 D of October 16th. 1893 to your address concerning the limit of time up to which certain items were excluded from the Extracts from Objectionable Items Statements submitted to you, I have now the honor to inform you that, whereas previous to 1893 the procedure in force in this office was that

(1) items of over Rs. 2,500 each were reported after 6 months, and

(2) items of under Rs. 2500 each after 12 months.

In that year, by some reason which I am unable to ascertain, the then Examiner altered the above times to 3 months and 6 months respectively.

2. This alteration was duly reported to you in the letter under reference, but I find that the revised procedure entails the inclusion of a vast number of comparatively unimportant items in each Extract, and that the real intention of the form is thus to a large extent departed from.

3. I propose therefore, subject to your approval, to revert to the former time limits of 6 months and 12 months respectively.

(True copy)

Mahomed Yacoub 19.12.98
Superintendent, General Branch.

985
17/12

Copy of letter from A.R. Becher, Esquire Accountant
General, Public Works Department, to the Examiner, P.W. Accounts
Madras and Coorg No. 958 G dated 5th. December 1898.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your
letter No. 1339 A/A, dated 17th. November 1898, in which you ask
for my approval to revert to the time limits which obtained
to prior to 1893, within which certain items were excluded
from the extracts from objectionable items statements, viz:-

(1) Items of over Rs. 2,500/ each, reported after 6 months,
and

(2) Items of under Rs. 2,500/ each after 12 months.

2. These proposals I accept, subject to the concurrence
of the Local Government, and to due observance of the rules
regarding special reports when necessary.

(True copy)

Mahomed Yacoub 19¹²/₉₈
Superintendent, General Branch.

nk
17/12.

Ans. 1
13/12
28/51

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT. }
OF MADRAS. }

1898.

{ P.W.
DEPT. }

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

dated

189 .

Madras, 19th December 1898.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1469 ^A/_A

To

W.

I beg to forward herewith copies of correspondence noted in the margin relative to the time limit after which items in the Provincial Objectionable Items statements Form No. 62 A find a place in the Extracts Form No. 62 C forwarded to the Accountant General, P.W. Department and with reference thereto to request that you will obtain the formal approval of Government to the revision to the procedure in force prior to 1893.

*
Examiner's letter to the Accountant General, P.W. Department No. 1339 A/A dated 17th. November 1898

Accountant General's (P.W.D) reply No. 958 G dated 5th. December 1898.

Chase

For C.

988
17/12

53/5
25/12

55/52
25/78