

Public Works
Marine (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 504 W

DATED 17 Feb 69

Foreman of an
Workshop

Observing ~~that~~ that Claims to travelling
allowance for journeys on duty by Workshops
foremen, should be dealt with in the same
way as the Claims of workmen, ~~and not~~
and passing orders relative to the rank,
and incidence of the pay, of the

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
2859 W 11. 11. 98							
2238 W 20. 9. 98							

READ the following:—

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, No. 727 W., dated 23rd November 1898.
Office Note from the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, No. 4287 B/E, dated 19th December 1898.

Circulated to

ORDER.

No. 504 W. of 17-2-99

In the orders quoted in the margin, Superintending Engineers were authorised to admit claims to travelling allowance preferred by workmen of P.W. Workshops who were sent out on duty, the charges in such cases being debited to the particular job in connection with which the journey was undertaken.

2. The question has now arisen whether the same course should be followed in the case of the foreman of the Presidency Workshop who is borne on the list of temporary Upper Subordinates, and whose pay, unlike that of other workmen, is charged to "Establishment" instead

2 No. 504 W., 17TH FEBRUARY 1899.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated 23rd November 1898, No. 727 W.
 Office-note from the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, dated 19th Dec. 1898, No. 4287 B/E.

ORDER—No. 504 W., dated 17th February 1899.

In the orders quoted in the margin, Superintending Engineers were authorized to admit claims to travelling allowance preferred by workmen of Public Works Workshops who were sent out on duty, the charges in such cases being debited to the particular job in connection with which the journey was undertaken.

2. The question has now arisen, whether the same course should be followed in the case of the foreman of the Presidency workshops who is borne on the list of temporary upper subordinates, and whose pay, unlike that of other workmen, is charged to "establishment" instead of to the shops.

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council observes that the same rule should apply in this case, and claims to travelling allowance for journeys on duty by workshop foremen should be dealt with accordingly by the Superintending Engineer concerned.

4. The further question now arises whether the pay of the foreman of the Presidency workshops, and that of the foreman at the Dowlaishweram shops, should continue to be charged to "establishment." The accounts of the shops are maintained on commercial principles, and it is a mistake to exclude therefrom any considerable item of charge which would have the result of vitiating the profit and loss account, and thus convey an erroneous idea of the financial success, or otherwise, of the operations.

5. The foremen in question cannot be regarded as "general duty" men, and be it is understood, been selected for their present posts on the ground of their knowledge of mechanics, and there seems no reason, therefore, why their pay should be excluded from the workshops accounts. The charge will, accordingly, be removed from "establishment" and included in the workshop accounts with effect from the 1st April next.

6. Seeing, however, that these particular men have hitherto held the local rank of "supervisor," they will be allowed to retain this grading, being entered as supernumerary on the upper subordinate list.

7. The change will in no way affect their future promotion, which must be regulated by the circumstances of the shops, rather than by those of the department generally; but no increase of pay should be given without the prior sanction of Government.

(True Extract.)

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
 " " " II " "
 " " " V " "
 " Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

For, T. K. Narayana Aiyangar,

Under Secretary to Government.

instead of to the shops.

His Excellency the Governor in Council observes that ^{the} same rule should apply in this case, and claims to travelling allowance for journeys on duty by workshop foremen ^{should} be dealt with accordingly by the Superintending Engineer concerned.

4. The further question now arises whether the pay of the foreman of the Presidency Workshops, and that of the foreman at the Bowlaishwaram shops, should continue to be charged to "Establishment".

The accounts of the shops are maintained on commercial principles, and it is a mistake to exclude therefrom any considerable item of charge which would have the result of vitiating the profit and loss account, and thus convey an erroneous idea of the financial success, or otherwise, of the operations.

The foremen in question cannot be regarded as "general duty" men, and be made available

38
available for employment in the general work of the Department. They have, it is understood, been selected for their present posts on the ground of their knowledge of mechanics, and there seems no reason, therefore, why their pay should be excluded from the Workshops accounts. The charge will, accordingly, be removed from "Establishment" and included in the Workshop accounts with effect from the 1st April next.

6. Seeing, however, that these particular men have hitherto held the local rank of "Supervisors", they will be allowed to retain this grading, being entered as supernumerary on the Upper Subordinate list.

7. The change will in no way affect their future promotion, which must be regulated by the circumstances of the shops, rather than by the

those of the department
generally; but no increase
of pay should be given
without the prior sanction
of Government.

R.S.

am
14/12/99

Suptg. Engrs: I, II, V Circles
Examiner, P.W. Accounts.

50 W
DEPARTMENT.

9
READ the following:-

Letter from the Superintending Engineer I Circle No. 727 W dated
23rd November 1898.

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accounts No. 4287 P/2 dated
19th December 1898.

Circulated to

His Excellency the Governor

FOR ORDERS.

Incidence of the pay
of Workshop Foreman.

* G.O. 2438 W of 20 September 1898. Flag R
G.O. 2859 W of 11 November 1898. " P

This proposal is approved. But, it
is of course understood that no
increase of pay will be given to these
Foremen without the previous sanction
of Govt.

4.9.99
4.2.99

In the orders quoted in
the margin, Superintending
Engineers were authorised to
admit claims to travelling
allowance preferred by work-
men of P.W. Workshops who were
sent out on duty - the charges
in such cases being debited to
the particular job in connection
with which the journey was
undertaken.

2. The question has now
arisen whether the same course
should be followed in the
case of the foreman of the
Presidency Workshop who is
borne on the list of temporary
Upper Subordinates and whose
pay, unlike that of other
workmen

W
Suptg. Engrs
am
7/2/99
P. W. 48
500-14-1-98
8.20.11 17.2.99

workman is charged to "Establishment" instead of to the shops.

3. It is submitted that the same rule should apply in this case, and the Superintendent Engineer be authorized to deal with claims to Travelling Allowance for journeys on duty.

4. The further question now arises whether the pay of this foreman, and that of the foreman at the Dowlaishwaroom shops, should continue to be charged to "Establishment." The accounts of the shops are maintained on commercial principles, and it is a mistake to exclude therefrom any considerable item of charge which would have the result of vitiating the profit and loss account, and thus convey an erroneous idea of the financial success, or otherwise, of the operations.

5. The foreman in question cannot be regarded as "general duty" men, and ^{be} made available for employment in the

the general work of the Department. They have been selected for ^{their} ~~this~~ present posts on the ground of their knowledge of mechanics, and there seems no reason why their pay should be excluded from the workshops accounts.

6. Seeing, however, that these particular men have hitherto held the local rank of "Supervisor," they may be allowed to retain this grading - being entered as Supernumerary ^{Upper Subordinate} on the list.

7. The change will in no way affect ^{their} ~~this~~ future promotion, which must be regulated by the circumstances of the shops, rather than by those of the Department, generally; and, as they are now on the Temporary Establishment, there are no prospective

prospective pensionary claims
to consider. There is no objection
to the proposal from an accounts
point of view.

W. H. S.
23-1-98

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 30

Order

From The Engineer, P. W.
Works, Madras

No. 4287 B/2

Dated 19th December 1898
2nd January 1899

Received

Registered } 2-1-99

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

General Workshop Foreman
Mr. Baskett — States that
there is no objection to the
pay of — being charged
to shops direct.

CHW

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
5712C	16-12-98 ✓
+ filed under back ✓	

No.	Date.

Copy of remarks made by the General Superintendent P. W. Workshops in the Travelling allowance Bill for October 1898.

x Flag-M

x Flag-T

"Journey of the General Superintendent to Comilla (see) beyond jurisdiction sanctioned in Chief Engineer's Memo N. 4782.C. dated 2 November 1898 communicated with Supg. Engineer, 5 Circle, N. 524 P.W.S. dated 9 November 1898. Further reference being made regarding the General Foreman's journey, for which sanction was also applied, as the Chief Engineer in his case calls attention to G.O. N. 2438 W. dated 20 September 1898, which seems to apply to the work establishment."

Alam
23/11/98

Colonel Al

Supg. Engineer 5 Circle

12.11.98

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS,
7-DEC. 1898

No. 7274

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chennai, 23rd November 1898.

From

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS,
7-DEC. 1898

Colonel B. C. Rawson R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department

SIR,

Enclosures. /

Flag - M

I have the honour to forward copy of remarks made by the General Superintendent, P. W. Workshops in his Travelling allowance Bill for October 1898, and with reference to your No. 4782.C. dated 2nd November 1898, to request that you will be so good as to state whether my sanction to the journeys beyond the jurisdiction of this Circle of the General Foreman, who ranks as a (Temporary) Upper Subordinate, is sufficient to enable the Examiner to pass the General Foreman's claims to Travelling allowance for such journeys.

The General Superintendent seems to

this

think that the sanction given in G.O. 243826 dated 20 September 1898 applies only to the workmen in the shops and not to the General Foreman who is a temporary upper subordinate.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Colonel R.B.
23/11/98
Suptg. Engineer & C. Secy.

Public Works (1898) Department.

No. 5476C d/b Decr 98.

CURRENT No. 9760

Officer to be

Order to C

Is the pay of the Workshop foreman charged to Establishment: Upper Subordinate

From

The Suptg. Engineer, V. Circle

and if so, is the arrangement in your opinion correct? Why should not all the "Works" Establishment be charged

direct to the shops?

The question of grading the foreman for purposes of promotion is a separate one.

W. B. 12/12/98
E.W.

No. 727 W

Dated 23rd November 1898

Received 25. 11. 98.

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Journeys beyond the jurisdiction of this office of the General at Home, who is a temporary subordinate.

Requesting orders regarding the —

No. 4287² dated 19th December 1898

The General Workshop Foreman referred to by you is apparently J.G. Baskett temporary upper subordinate on Rs. 200 per mensem. His pay and allowances are being debited

to the

065.2
30/9

22.11.98

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
to the grant for "Temporary establishment" under 'Upper Subordinates'.	
2. This was done as the Foreman is employed in supervising generally all the workshop jobs and his pay was charged to Establishment in the same way as other regular establishment.	
3. But I think Mr. Baskett can be held to fall under the rule in P.W.D Code I. IX. 17(I) and there would therefore be no objection to his pay being charged to the shops direct, under the head "General charges" and distributed at the end of the month to the several workshop jobs in hand.	
JRL 19. 4. 11. 98 For C. JRL 19/4/98	

FURTHER PAPERS.

Nov 7 1945 (9th Edition)