

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No.

402 W

DATED

9 Feb

1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Salutary Statement Submits to the Office of the
Public Works

Withdrawing the Censure conveyed
in the order sanctioning the removal of the
the ———, respectfully, in the presence of
stating that the estimate should be prepared with
reasonable care & foresight.

-2-
10.

The census conveyed in Nos 11^o 2888 W, dated 14th November, 1898, and 11^o 3096, dated 2nd December, 1898, was chiefly directed against the large excesses under concrete in Chumam due to the fact that stone had been obtained from a more distant quarry, and to mistakes in the Superintending Engineer's office. With regard to the former it was considered that the Executive Engineer should have foreseen the necessity for going further afield for stone, and made provision accordingly. The Executive

W
for personal
4-2-89

[P. W. 4
1,000-14-11-98.

1,000-14-11-98.
162-31-1-59 114-2-58

On

In sanctioning the revised estimate for the Stationary Sub Magistrate's office at Tanuku, Gort. in G.O. No 3096W dated 2.12.98 observed that this estimate affords another instance of reprehensible carelessness in the preparation of the origl. estimate.

Mr Marshall, Secy. Ingr. Godavari Western Divn., as one of the officers concerned, submits an explanation & considers the censure wholly unwarranted.

Mr Marshall also states that the revised estimate for improvements to the Taluk office at Narasapur

X Flag W

Executive Engineer now explains that this foresight was hardly possible, and Government accepts this view and withdraws the censure conveyed in the orders above quoted. The Executive Engineer was not responsible for the increase in workshop rates.

All that Government requires is that estimates should be prepared with such reasonable care and foresight as to obviate the necessity for the large number of revised estimates now submitted.

AsK
31.1.99. *[Signature]*
31.1.99

The Suptg. Engineer, Circle
Examiner, P. W. Acornito

99. / was

was prepared under exactly
the same circumstances &
that therefore the remark
made by the Chief Engr.
in para 2 of his Note printed
in go. No 2888 W & 14.11.98
is also undeserved.

For orders whether go.
may be drafted accepting
Mr. Marshall's explanation.
RWR

27.1.99

W. Mr Marshall is not to
blame. The appointment of the
Rates Committee is sufficient
evidence of the uncertainty of rate.

2. The trouble is, as I have
before pointed out, ^{largely due to} that both Chief
Engrs & S.C.'s have devoted their en-
ergies for years past to cutting down
rates, & maintaining even rate that
is not plainly moderate. The policy
is wholly wrong. If Engr's rate must
not be cut down, ^{do not} cut ^{any} ^{other} ^{rates} ^{at} ^{all}.
Liability does not lie with them

3. To my knowledge, the East Coast
railway tried to work with P.W. D. district
rates. The result is a franco & great delay
in execution. As recent leader in Madras Mail
18.1.99.

* Hazy R.

Public Works (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 798

From the Supg. Engineer
First Circle

Order W

No. 132 G. 99.

The Govt accepts Mr Marshall's
explanations, which conclusively
show that he is not to blame,
that no carelessness can be
imputed to him, & that he
estimated as nearly as was
practicable with the informa-
tion at his disposal.

Dated 21.1.99.
Received 327
Registered
Enclosures one.
Spare copies

2. Until the Rates Com-
mittee has completed its la-
bours, it is apparent that the
determination of district rates
is surrounded with uncertainty.
All that the Govt now requires
is that the Engrs shall allow rates
sufficiently liberal as to obviate
the necessity for so considerable a
number of revised estimates as
are now submitted for sanction.

SUBJECT.

Stationary Sub Magistrate the
forward copy of
E.S. No. 3078
18.1.99 and states that the
representation is worthy of
consideration.

APK
20-1-99.

The censure conveyed in G.O. No 2088 W 4 14.11.88 & 3086 W 4 2.12.88 has chiefly directed against the large excess under concrete in Churnam Doo. In the fact that stone had been obtained from a more distant quarry, & to mistake in the L.S. office - with regard to the former it was considered that the L.S. should have foreseen the necessity for going further afield for stone, & made provision accordingly. - The L.S. Sup. now explains that this foresight was lacking from the L.S. & Govt accept this view & withdraw the censure conveyed in the orders above quoted -

The L.S. has not to answer the increase in tonnage rate. 2. As that Govt officer in that estimate should be prepared with such reasonable care & foresight as to obviate the necessity for the large number of similar estimates now submitted

21.1.88

No. 900 Date 4.1.88
+ file marked thereon

OW
SKW

Encls: 1 to S.L. No 1329 W 4 21.1.88

Copy of letter No. 307 S dated 18th January 89 from D. Marshall, Esquire, Executive Engineer, Godavari Western Division, to the Superintending Engineer, I circle.

With reference to your No. 23 G.O. dated 4-1-89 on G.O. No. 3096 W dated 2nd Dec 1898, I have the honor to state that as one of the officers concerned, I am greatly pained by the censure passed by Government in para 1 of the above order.

2. In explanation, I would point out that the original estimate was prepared in June 1897, a year before the work was started and the only quarries then open were at Dowlaiswararam. I acknowledge I was aware that the broken stone in stock at the time was not of 1st class quality, but there was no reason why a new part of these quarries should not be opened in the course of a year. This, however, was found to be impossible and a new quarry at Doutamur was opened about July last.

3. As regards the increase in the rate for wood work, I could not possibly foresee that the rate at the Dowlaiswararam workshops

Workshops would be increased a year afterwards.

4. As regards the increase in the thickness of the walls, your predecessor did not agree with me on this point and on more than one occasion he directed me to reduce the thickness in similar cases from 2 feet to 1'6".

5. The rate for grinding mortar was put down at 1 anna per para in the original Estimate but reduced to 8 pies in the Superintending Engineer's office.

6. I am convinced you will agree with me that, in the above circumstances, the censure is wholly unmerited, and I request that you will be good enough to lay the matter before the Chief Engineer.

7. In conclusion I would add out that the revised Estimate for the improvements to the Taluk office at Narasapur was prepared under exactly the same circumstances, and therefore the remark made by the Chief Engineer in para 2 of his note (G.O. No. 2888) 14-11-98 is also undeserved.

I have &c

(sd) D. Marshall
Exe. Engt.

True Copy/
10/11/98

H. Morgan
Exe. Engt. in ch. I circle.

No. 132 G.M.

From

H. C. De Morgan Esq. M. I. C. E.
Superintending Engineer, in ch.
Executive First Circle.

Enclosures.

To

The

Chief Engineer
M. Department.

Waltair,
Camp

21. 1 1899

Sir,

I have the honour to forward
Copy of Letter no. 3075 of 18. 1. 99 from
the Executive Engineer Godavari Western
Division

2.

In my opinion, the representation
of the Exec Engineer is worthy of
consideration

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt.

H. Morgan
24.1

Exec Engineer in ch. I circle.