

G.O., No.

377 W

DATED

7th Feb 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr S. J. Roberts, late clerk in the P.W.
secretariat.

In forming ~~to~~ that no. 2926 W
of 18th Nov 98 is final in his case & that further
petition from him will remain unsuccessful.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Note. It will be seen from the note flagged H that Mr. Roberts' case has been considered over & over again by different Members of Govt & rejected. He was told in Gov. No 1138 Public of 31-8-97 that further petitions from

W / By order
H. A. L.
1851 Private Secy
consent of your
humble

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.

Date.

No.

Date.

from him would not be noticed,
but ~~noted~~ his case was subse-
-quently considered by the Govt.
of India & rejected & also by the
Govt. & rejected in G.O. No. 2926 W
of 18.11.98. It is unnecessary
therefore to submit this case
again to H.E., & it is time
that the decision of 1897.
not to notice further petitions
from him is acted upon.

A.P.

4.2.99.

2926 W of 18.11.98.

617 D of 11.7.98

328 D of 20.5.98

etc

To Mr. S. J. Roberts

2/2/99

No.

His Excellency,

The Right Honourable

The Governor in Council

Fort St. George

Madras.

The humble Petition of
Samuel J. Roberts late a
Clerk in the Public Works
Department.

Most Humbly and
Respectfully sheweth.

That your Excellency
humble petitioner begs to bring to your
Excellency kind notice that it was with
the greatest sorrow that he received G.O.
No. 2926 W dated 18th November 1898 of the
Public Works Department, however, being
conscious that he is not in fault your
Excellency humble petitioner once more
begs to lay his deplorable case for the most
favourable consideration of your Excellency
in Council.

Your Excellency humble petitioner
was removed from the service for
"reckless borrowing". It now occurs to
your Petitioner that from a statement
in the Public Works Department, it could
be easily seen that the additional duties
in the schedule which led to the presumption
that your petitioner borrowed recklessly
was an unfounded statement inserted
without the knowledge or consent of your
humble

humble petitioner - And as a just and
merciful Government is dealing with his
case your petitioner humbly begs he may
be pardoned for troubling your excellency
again on the subject - As your excellency
petitioner, his wife & their children are
undergoing very great sufferings & hardships

So humble your petitioner to prepare his
schedule of debts, the then Chief Justice
obtained from the Small Cause Court
a statement of your petitioners debts,
as his pay was attached by his creditors;
but the man who prepared your petitioners
schedule instead of drawing it up in accord-
-ance with this statement (the entries
in which were only necessary & sufficient
for entry in the schedule) - made additional
unnecessary entries of his own account in
the schedule without your petitioner's
or consent which led to the presumption
that your Petitioners conduct was reckless.
That this was intentionally done by the
man to injure your petitioner has been very
clearly explained by your petitioner to the
Secretary to Government Public Works
Department in his previous appeals -
And that it was so done and that your humble
petitioner is virtually not guilty of reckless
conduct could be easily seen by a com-
-parison of the statement obtained from
the Small Cause Court and the entries
in the schedule.

Your excellency's humble petitioner
now most humbly & earnestly begs
that your excellency in Council will
kindly & graciously pardon the past
and considering your petitioners long
and service and that your petitioner
his large family are in abject
poverty

poverty less merciful to sanction his
petitioner.

And your petitioner will do
in duty bound ever pray

Samuel J. Roberts
Late Clerk P.W. Department.

No 7 Pussawann
Madras.
26th Aug 1899.