

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 33/ W

✓
DATED 2nd Feb 1899

8/2/99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Watchman & gardener for the Executive Engineer's
office at Madras.

Recording explanations from the Engineer
the Executive Engineer, Madras, as regard to the
entertainment, without sanction, of a
proposing that all proposals for the employment
of monthly paid servants such as gardeners, coolies
with the exception of those employed on the works,
should be submitted for the sanction of Govt.

22/14

13

Indexed 6/✓

Supervision

Supervision ^{of works} who can under Code rules be entertained by Executive and Superintending Engineers without the sanction of Govt.

W. L. S.
M. L. S.

May

W.

Note In para 3 of G.O. No. 2551 W. dy 4th October 1898, the Executive Engineer ^{called} Madura. Du was ~~asked~~ upon to explain why a watchman and gardener for the Executive Engineer's office at Madura was entertained without the sanction of Govt., as required by G.O. No. 1328 W, dated 17th May 1893, and the Examiner was asked to report how the charge was admitted.

2. The Executive Engineer explains that the duties of the man entertained were not confined to watching, but included those of gardening and sweeping, and that as the provisions of G.O. No. 1328 W. dated 17th May 1893 were not applicable to the case, the sanction of Govt., thereto was not applied for.

3. The Examiner reports that the pay of the man was admitted as he had been described as "Gardener"

To. *S. E. S.*
The C. A. to Govt.
C. E. for Irrigation
S of W. L. H. D.
Exam. P. W. Accts

+ Filey & L. K.

sent to Govt.

L

L. G.O. No. 1328 W, dated 17th May 1893, requires that all proposals for the entertainment of watchman to look after buildings or stores should be submitted for the sanction of Govt., but as this order does not specifically lay down that the sanction of Govt. is also necessary to the entertainment of gardeners, coolies, and other monthly paid servants, these men are entertained with the approval of Superintending Engineers. As it is desirable that the charges connected with this class of Establishment should be subject to careful scrutiny, it is proposed to issue orders to the effect that the sanction of Govt. should be obtained to the entertainment of all monthly servants, whatever their designation may be, with the exception of ^{the Establishment} ~~the establishment~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ ^{Supervising Works} Executive & Superintending Engineers can themselves employ under Code rules.

W. L. S.

W. L. S.
M. L. S.

May

La 138

Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 9/79

331 W

From The Engineer, P. W. Dept.,
Madras

Order

No. 3771 ^B/_A

Dated 4th November 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Absent explanation from the Executive
Engineer, Madras Division, called for
in para 3 of G.O. No. 2551 W dt 4-10-98
AK
10-11-98
DW

Watchman for the Ex Engineer's
office, Madras Div. —
Explains why the pay of
— was admitted in
audit without sanction
of Govt.

PKS. Mif

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

E- No. 27.
1,000-16-5-98

RE- WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT. }
OF MADRAS. }

1898.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

dated

189

Madras, 4th November

1898.

OFFICE NOTE No. 377' $\frac{B}{A}$

To

W.

With reference to the concluding sentence of para 3 of G.O.No.255I W dated 4th.October 1898 calling for a report from me as to how the charge on account of the pay of a watchman for the Executive Engineer's Office, Madura Division, was admitted in audit without the sanction of Government, I beg to explain that the man who is now said to be a "watchman" has hitherto been described as a "gardener" for the Executive Engineer's Office, and hence this Office took no exception to the nature of the man's employment but merely to the continuity of it.

W. W. 98

C

July 11

Public Works (1898.) Department.

CURRENT No. 9808

Order

From

The Supr. Engineer
U. Circle

No. 1604. L. D.

Dated 25th November 98.

Received 28. 11. 98

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Watchman & Gardener
for the Supr. Engineer's Office
Trinidad. Can submit
Copy of Supr. Eng's letter
explaining the necessity
of a ————— in request of
Govt for his maintenance

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Hon C. Joyce Esquire, Executive
 Engineer, Madison Dr., to the Superintendent
 Engineer, W Circle, No. 492 S.F. dated
 14th November 1890: —

With reference to your
No: 1777 T.C. dated 25th October 1890, I
have the honor to state that the ser-
vices of the watchmen and gardener
are chiefly intended to water the cro-
ton &c in the Compound and keeping
the office premises clean. Besides there
is no sweeper to sweep the office rooms.
As the work done by this man partook
more of gardening and sweeping than
that of watching it was thought that the
provisions of the G.O. No: 1328 W dated 17th
May 1893 were not applicable.

2. The services of this man are absolutely necessary as explained in this office No. 788 S. E. of 25 March 1895. There is no compound wall around the office without which the building cannot be considered to be safe in this part of the town. Rattle houses have sprung up round the office building. For want of sufficient accommodation a lot of valuable iron

articles and tools &c are kept in office
undisturbed.

3. Under these circumstances I am
strongly of opinion that the services of
this man in whom three different kinds
of duties are entrusted are necessary
and I therefore request that you will
be good enough to obtain sanction of
Government for the continuous employ-
ment of this watchman gardener and
sweeper from year to year.

No. 1604. L. D. dated 25. 11. 98

Copy submitted to the Chief
Engineer, P. W. D., with reference to para 3
of L. D. No. 2551 W. dated 4th October 1898.

Under the circumstances the undersigned
considers that the employment of the
watchman gardener is necessary.

J. V. Roddy, M. A. S. E.

Superintending Engineer
M. C. S. E.