

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No.

3/4 }
3/5 }

W

DATED

2nd Feb 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Temporary Employes engaged for general duties

Ordered that the Govt India be requested to
empower Officers to transfer — without the
sanction of Govt

Letter to the Govt of India

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
2566 Wg	5.10.98						
1115-16 Wg	4.5.98						

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

29 204

Public Works DEPARTMENT. V 8

again
Read the following:—

Office Note from the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, R 161 ^B/₈,
dated 16th January 1899. (Linked file)

Transfers of temporary Subordinates

Circulated to

This matter by the Govt ORDER. No: 314 W of 2.2.99.

Note for H.E. the Governor

27.1.99

Ordered that the Govern-
ment of India be addressed.

This draft letter has origi-
nated out of the number of petty
references that are being made
for overpayment for sanction to the
transfer of, and the grant of pay
during transit to temporary Sub-
ordinates of low grades. As
specimens, attention is invited
to the two cases in the linked files
— CN^o 397 and 463.

(48 R 315 W of 2nd February
Jan'y 1899)

The Joint Secretary to the
Government of India,
P.W. Department

Sir,

I am directed to invite
attention to the orders of the
Government of India commu-
nicated in your letter R 2589 G
dated 20th August 1898 in
regard to transit pay and
travelling allowance to
temporary employees on transfer.
In the opinion of the Examiner
Public

W. H. H. 20.1.99

Flag

3498 130.1.99
1,000-14.11-98.

Public Works Accounts it is necessary, under these orders, that the sanction of the local Government should be sought in each case; not only to the transfer, but also to the grant of transit pay to every temporary employe moved; whether he is engaged for general duties or not. The consequence is that officers of the Department from the Chief Engineer downwards are precluded from ordering the transfer of temporary men although they are empowered to transfer permanent men of much higher rank, rising as high as Assistant Engineer on the Superior Establishment.

2. The position, if the Examiner's interpretation of the orders of the Government of India is correct, thus seems to be anomalous. I am accordingly to suggest that officers of the Department should have the same powers of transfer in regard to temporary employes engaged

engaged for general duties as they now possess in the case of permanent men of corresponding grades.

3. I am to add that the circumstances of Madras are, as pointed out in my letter Sⁿ 1116 W dated 4th May, 1898, somewhat peculiar; the permanent staff here being kept as low as possible, and expansion and contraction according to need, being provided for by the employment of a large number of general duty subordinates on the temporary establishment.

23/2/99

L.S.

I have &c

W.H.S. 20.1.98

W

It will be seen
from C's office letter to 161^{3/4}
d/16 Jan 99 - that
that the effect of India's
ruling recorded with f.o.
25668 was 5 Oct 98 that C
is that no transfer of a
Temporary Subordinate
can in future be made
independently of Gadh.
Sanction, which is required.
(1) to the transfer itself &
(2) to the grant of transit
leave.

When it is considered
that officers have powers
which enable them to
transfer permanent
Subordinates of high rank,
it seems anomalous that
they cannot move a
Temporary man of the
lowest class.

If temporary men
are engaged for general
duties there seems no
reason why their inter-
ment transfer should not
be made by the same
authorities, who would