

Public Works (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 2970 60

DATED 8 Nov 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Quarters. Sanctioning certain estimates,
under Provincial Civil Building, for
— for Secretariat clerks at Botoleros.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

Letter from the Consulting Architect to Govt
B. 2070, dated 15th September 1899.
Office note from the Examiner P. W. Accounts,
B. 3313 B/A, dated 9th October 1899.

Circulated to

ORDER.

In the circumstances stated, the following estimates in connection with the Secretariat clerks' quarters at Botacumund are sanctioned: —

Provincial Civil Buildings,
Original Works.

(a) Providing furniture &c
to Connemara Cottage. £1046
(No 80 W of 1899-1900)

(b) so one clerk's quarters
in outhouses of the
seven married clerks'
quarters on Stonehouse Hill £. 25
(No 81 W of 1899-1900)

[P. W. 4.
1,000-18-7-09.]

Providing furniture &c
to seven married clerks'
quarters on Stonehouse Hill. Rs 161
(Rs 82 W of 1899-1900)

d) Rs apothecary's quarters
for 3 clerks. Rs 232

(Rs 83 W of 1899-1900)

e) Erecting a corrugated
iron kitchen for Crewe
Hall buildings. Rs 157
(Rs 84 W of 1899-1900)

Total Rs 1621

HL
26/10/99

[Signature]
30.10.99

May

He
13/11/99
[Signature]

The Consty. Architect
" Engr. P. V. Ault
" Public Dept
" Revenue Dept
21/11/99

Public Works (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 8314

From

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Madras. T Coor

Order

To C.

No. 3313 B/A.

Please name the
buildings, the original
estimates for which have
not been sanctioned by
the Court of Auditors.

Dated 9th October 1899.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Clerks Quarters only —
Stating that sanction of
Local Government is sufficient
for provision of furniture etc to
the

May

Dec.

025602 *[Signature]*

HC

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
3908 C	26-9-88

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
15 September 1899.

No. 2070

From

G. I. T. Harris, Esq.,

Consulting Architect


to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department

In,



2 Enclosures.

- 1) Government Cottage & Stone House
Bill at Ooty. Providing furniture. - Rs. 2,104/6.
- 2) Clerks' Quarters in Old Houses of Seven
Marries Clerks' Quarters. Stone House Bill - Rs. 23/.
- 3) Seven Marries Clerks' Quarters on Stone
House Hill Ooty. - Rs. 16/.
- 4) Bill for 1000 bricks for building at Ooty - something 23
- 5) a Corrugated iron Kitchen. - Rs. 157/.

I have the honour to inform
You that I had sanctioned the marginally
noted Estimates for providing
furniture & minor Original
work to Clerks' Quarters at
Ooty under the belief that
I had power to do so.
The Examiner P. W. Accounts
on my return of Sanctioned
Estimates for July/99, has
asked to obtain sanction of
Government under Code Vol.
Chapter I para 15, if this
is necessary I request you
will be good enough to
appl

apply for the same -

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

C. S. S. S.

Consulting Architect

to Government

Encls. 3
P.W. No. 83/4

Copy of letter from the Examiner P.W. Accounts, Madras & Coorg,
to the Accountant General, Public Works Department No. 1186 A/A dated
9th: October 1899.

In continuation of my No. 978 A/E dated the 9th: August
1899 I have the honor to report for your information another --
deviation on my part from the Established procedure of this --
office.

2. It has been the practice of this office to ask the --
Local Government to obtain the approval of the Government of
India to all proposals involving an increase of the Capital cost
of quarters as originally sanctioned by the Government of India
under P.W. Code Volume I chapter X - 17 (3), even when the ad-
ditional expenditure proposed is essential to the construction
of the building as estimated and as already sanctioned at Simla.

3. But I opine that although in cases where the rental --
of a building properly assessed will exceed eight per cent of
the salary of the intended occupant, it is for the Government of
India to decide whether that building shall be built or purchased,
this decision once given, the Government of India does not wish
an excess over the estimated cost of the building to be referred
for its sanction if such excess is caused by expenditure such
as was contemplated in the estimate, and unless there is a devia-
tion from the proposal which they have already approved, I opine
that any such excess can be sanctioned by the Local Government
without further reference to the Government of India.

4. I have accordingly not required the second sanction
of the Government of India to the following excesses :-

Constructing Residence for the

District Judge Madura.

Estimated cost

Rs: 29,130/-

Excess

Laying out roads & planting trees and hedges in the
compound

Rs: 199/-

Connemara Cottage at Ootacamund.

Quarters for Secretariat Clerks original

cost Rs: 24,131/-

Excess Providing furniture to Rs: 1,046/-

Stone House Hill Ootacamund.

Quarters for seven married clerks original cost Rs: 7,246/-

Excess Providing furniture to Rs: 186/-

Crew Hall Building at Ootacamund.

Quarters for clerks original cost Rs: 15,895/-

Excess Erecting a corrugated iron kitchen Rs: 157/-

Police Assistant Superintendents bungalow at Tirupattur.

Original cost Rs: 6,853/-

Excess Construction of a latrine Rs: 95/-

5. I deem it right to bring this to your notice as it is a distinct alteration in the procedure of my predecessors and -- seems to require an expression of your approval or disapproval for the guidance of my successor.

"True Copy"

Mahomed Yacoob 9¹⁰/₃₉
Superintendent General Branch.
9.10.99

Encl. 4
P.W. Encl. 3/4

EXAMINER,
P. W. ACCOUNTS
27 SEP 1899

Public Works (189 9) Department.

CURRENT No. 7628

No 3908 b of 26 Sep 99

Order
To C

From
The Consulting Architect
to the Government
of Madras

No. 2070

For favour of remarks with reference to fair office note No 941⁴/₄ dated 28th July 1899. It has been the practice hitherto to obtain the sanction of the Government of India in cases like the one mentioned by the Consulting Architect under para 17 (3) Chapter X, vol I of the Code. But in view of the opinion expressed in the above quoted note this is apparently unnecessary.

Dated 15th September 1899
Received
Registered } 16. 9. 99
Enclosures 2 Plans 2 } total
Spare copies. others 2 } 2

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain sanction to Estimator for providing furniture & houses original works to clerks quarters at Ootacamund

D. No. 23/9/99

0255222 12/19

HC

No. 3313^B_A dated 9th October 1899.

To

W.

If the construction of the -- buildings referred to has previously been sanctioned by India, no further -- sanction of India is required to the work now proposed which is essential to the building as originally estimated & sanctioned; the sanction of the Local Government to these estimates will -- therefore be sufficient.

But in order to regularise the alteration in practice referred to in your office note under reply I am re--porting it to our Accountant General.
(Copy enclosed).

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

H.R.

Offg: G.

9.10.99

No. 3313 ^B/_A dated 9th October 1899.

To

V.

If the construction of the --
buildings referred to has previously
been sanctioned by India, no further --
sanction of India is required to the
work now proposed which is essential to
the building as originally estimated &
sanctioned; the sanction of the Local
Government to these estimates will --
therefore be sufficient.

But in order to regularise the
alteration in practice referred to in
your office note under reply I am re--
porting it to our Accountant General.

(Copy enclosed).

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

K.R.

offg: C.

9.10.99