

Public Works (1899) Department.

✓ G.O., No.

✓ 2736 W

DATED 17 Oct 1899.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Gardener, The employment of a —
on Ro 8, ^{during 1899-1900} for the Mt Stuart Buildings
Colacarium, sanctd.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

The employment of a gardener
in place of a watchman for

6th September 1899.

BACK PAPERS.

the Mount Stuart Buildings Ootacamund, was sanctioned in G.O. 340W of 3 February 1899.

The Consulting Architect was not aware of this order as it issued before the Buildings were handed over to his charge, and in submitting a ^{written} Proposition Statement of Establishment for 1899-1900 he provided for a watchman for the Buildings. This was disallowed in G.O. 2216W of 18 Aug 1899 on the ground that the watchman should be entertained & paid for by the officers occupying the buildings.

The Dy. Adjt General now brings to notice that the so called 'watchman' who has been withdrawn is the same man whose employment as a Gardener was sanctioned in G.O. 340W of 3-2-99; and requests that he may be re-entertained. The Gardener's re-employment during the current year is recommended for sanction.

[Signature]
19.9.99

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	2216W	W	18-8-99
	340W	-	3-2-99
	5581C	-	10-12-98
	1202W	-	12-5-98
	2462C	-	10-5-98

Will reference to your addition to para 7 of memo N° 3719C of 6.9.99 (not yet issued) and your instructions on the attached slip, it is submitted that it has already been decided in G.O. 2216W of 18.8.99 that the pay of the watchman to Mount Stuart Buildings should be paid by the officers occupying them.

The order has been communicated to the Dy. Adjt General.

Will you kindly say whether you wish any further reference made to the Military Authorities.

[Signature] Jf.
7.9.99

With reference to the above remarks, it is submitted that the addition to para 7 of the memo stating that the Military authorities will be addressed, may be struck out. A letter from D.A. & requesting to reconsider the decision that the pay of the watchman should be paid by the military Adjt. was received a few days ago & sent down to the D.A. for previous papers.

Further outlay on the Buildings
from Provincial Funds beyond
the cost of ordinary repairs.

423 W of 8-2-90. Signed H.

The question formed the
subject of further correspondence
between the Military Authorities
and the Local and Supreme
Govts. till the Govt finally
agreed to the proposal of the
Madras Govt, viz to pay rent
calculated under the rules
for the required additions
of Madras Govt on the Madras Govt
camping out the work from
Provincial Funds. The
work was accordingly ordered
to be carried out - vide

2885 W of 29-10-91. Signed J.

Since then further additions
have from time to time
been carried out from Provincial
Funds and rent assessed
under the rules, till the Govt
of India now pay a rental
of Rs 5004-8-0 per annum.

This will be raised to Rs 5065
when certain works now in
progress are completed. (Information
obtained from C's Office)

Some further small additions
have been suggested estimated
for; which if approved by the Govt
will cause a further increase
of the rent.

J. J.

Addressed to the
in the subject

1-9-99

W. S. S.

W
✓
Your query in the
Statement of Watchman, in
linked file -

"On what terms are
the buildings rented."

The printed note (Flagged E
by Col. Shaw Stewart gives the
history of the purchase of the
Mount Stuart Buildings, and
covers the correspondence which
took place connected with the
transaction up to the time
when the Govt. of India
refused to contribute towards
the purchase and declined
to admit any charge for rent
(Flagged F)

On a further representation
however from this Govt. the Govt.
accepted a charge of Rs 3600
per annum as rent for the
Building, but declined to
sanction any grant for their
purchase or repair vide G.O.
14137W of 19.6.85 (Flagged G)

This decision was accepted
as final and the property was
ordered to be classified under
Provincial Civil Buildings.

In July 1890 the S.E. & Civil
submitted an estimate of
Rs 3700 framed at the request
of the I.M.G. for extending the
main building. This Govt.
has refused to incur any

No. 1/W-1899/1

From

The Adjutant General, Madras Command.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department.

Sir,

With reference to order of Government No. 2219-W
of the 16th ultimo, I have the honour by desire of the Lieut.
General Commanding the Forces to state for the information
of Government that the "watchman" for the Mount Stuart build-
ings therein referred to is the man whose employment as a
gardener was authorised in order of Government No. 240-W of
the 3rd February 1899.

2. The term "watchman" is a misnomer. The duties per-
formed by the man whose services have now been withdrawn
were really those of a gardener as will be observed from the
correspondence forwarded to you with my Memo No. P/W-19126
of the 20th November last, and Government recognising the
necessity for his employment as such were pleased to special-
ly authorise his entertainment in their order above quoted.

3. There is no less need now for the gardeners services
than when they were applied for and sanctioned by Government,
and the Lieut-General Commanding therefore trusts that Govern-
ment will be pleased to reconsider their order and sanction
the re-entertainment of the man at an early date.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

J. Reay

Captain,

D.A.A.S., for D.A.G.